

WRIT


Washington Real Estate Investment Trust



2003 Annual Report


VALUE / GROWTH / PERFORMANCE

For more than 40 years, we have focused on investing in properties in the Greater Washington, D.C. metropolitan region. The fourth-largest metropolitan economy in the United States, the Washington region has led all metro areas in employment growth over the last 20 years. In this strong, stable region, we acquire and manage a diverse range of income-producing properties. Through our diversified holdings, our goal is to protect our assets from single property-type value fluctuations and to continue to safely increase earnings and shareholder value.




FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS

(in dollars per share)



CASH DIVIDENDS PAID

(in dollars per share)



RETURN ON INVESTED CAPITAL

(four quarters through 3Q03)

Source: Credit Suisse First Boston

SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA

(in millions, except fully diluted per share amounts)

| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| FOR THE YEAR | | | | | |
| Real Estate Revenue | \$163 | \$153 | \$147 | \$133 | \$118 |
| Net Income | 45 | 52 | 52 | 45 | 44 |
| Funds from Operations | 81 | 77 | 74 | 64 | 56 |
| Cash Dividends Paid | 59 | 54 | 50 | 44 | 41 |
| Average Shares Outstanding | 40 | 39 | 39 | 36 | 36 |
| PER FULLY DILUTED COMMON SHARE | | | | | |
| Net Income | \$1.13 | \$1.32 | \$1.38 | \$1.26 | \$1.24 |
| Funds from Operations | 2.04 | 1.97 | 1.96 | 1.79 | 1.57 |
| Cash Dividends Paid | 1.47 | 1.39 | 1.31 | 1.23 | 1.16 |
| AT YEAR END | | | | | |
| Total Assets | \$927 | \$756 | \$708 | \$633 | \$608 |
| Total Debt | 517 | 403 | 360 | 351 | 330 |
| Shareholders' Equity | 379 | 326 | 324 | 259 | 257 |

VALUE

RENOVATIONS, REDEVELOPMENT, DEVELOPMENT

Value for the long term is achieved through the combination of creativity and sustainability. Sustainability is achieved through a serious commitment to the tenant and operating the properties at optimum levels. When properties reach a level of maturity, offering only limited growth potential, they are sold. Other properties, through renovation or redevelopment, provide the opportunity for further enhancement of income, leading to higher value.

This past year, WRIT was very busy with renovations, redevelopment and the planning of two new future developments. In both cases for future development, we are increasing the overall value of the properties by taking advantage of excess densities, embedded at the time of the acquisitions, and, in one case, adding adjacent parcels. Projects completed or currently under construction are primarily in retail and apartment properties. The major capital expenditures are for lobby and hallway improvements, which will enhance both occupancy and rental rate growth. We are completely remodeling 50 apartment units at the Ashby in McLean, Virginia. The apartments were occupied by tenants under a government subsidy program for the last 20 years, which has recently expired. The renovated units are being offered for lease at significantly higher rates. At Westminster Shopping Center, we demolished a portion of the center that will be replaced by a new supermarket and additional retail space. Completion is expected in the fall of 2004. These and other ongoing efforts will result in increased values driven by increased income.



GROWTH

DISCIPLINED ACQUISITION APPROACH

Growth for growth's sake is not a sound proposition. Strategic growth management is necessary to be competitive and deliver increased funds from operations and dividends every year. To carry out our growth plan, we must understand and manage our existing portfolio well, be intensely knowledgeable of our marketplace and be perceptive to the ever-changing real estate landscape.

Each year, the Trustees and management review in detail the growth strategy for the coming year. Particular focus is placed on identifying potential opportunities among different property types and identifying their effect on the balance of our diversified portfolio by net operating income contribution. 2003 was a challenging year for identifying and successfully acquiring assets that fit our strategic growth plan. Real estate investment has become a very popular investment alternative for domestic and international institutional and private fund investors, who previously paid only nominal attention to this asset class. Our targeted focus on the Washington-Baltimore region and our ability to react quickly to opportunities and invest across several real estate sectors enable WRIT to be both effective and selective. Despite the competition, we exceeded expectations in 2003, increasing funds from operations and dividends per share.




PERFORMANCE

DISCIPLINED OPERATING STRATEGY

Performance at the highest level can be only achieved by the development of a strategic plan, which allows for flexibility in execution by a dedicated management team with a commitment to success. Over the years, we have performed at peak levels, outperforming both our peer group and the industry indices.

Our business plan continues to focus on making strategic real estate investments in the Washington-Baltimore region. The objective is to own and manage a diversified portfolio of property types, which reduces WRIT's exposure to single property-type value fluctuations. Looking back over the last three years, WRIT has weathered another economic recession. Most importantly, during this period, we continued to grow the company's asset base, increase funds from operations for the 31st consecutive year and increase dividends for the 33rd consecutive year.



LETTER

TO OUR SHAREHOLDERS

In 2003, WRIT outperformed the industry in growth in funds from operations ("FFO"). WRIT's FFO per share grew 3.6% compared with flat median growth for the Morgan Stanley REIT index and -1.1% median growth for the Wilshire REIT index. At year-end, WRIT's stock price closed at \$29.20 per share, providing shareholders a 20.7% total return for the year.

In November 2002, WRIT provided earnings guidance in the range of \$2.00 to \$2.05 in FFO per share for 2003. Consensus predicted \$2.01, versus an actual FFO per share earned of \$2.04 for 2003. For 2004, WRIT has provided FFO guidance in the range of \$2.08 to \$2.12 per share.

In your review of 2003 performance, several factors should be considered. While interest rates remained low, occupancy declined modestly below 2002 averages and competition for acquisitions was intense, we were able to achieve rental rate growth. The industrial flex sector was the weakest-performing property type, followed by the office and multi-family sectors. The star performer was the retail sector. The slow pace of the economic recovery continues to negatively impact the portfolio. However, based on recent tenant activity, we are optimistic that economic conditions are improving in the Greater Washington-Baltimore area.

In our business plan for 2003, we projected \$100 million in new acquisitions. We exceeded that amount, acquiring six properties for a total of \$174.5 million, with the majority of the investments being made in the third and fourth quarters of 2003. Since the properties were acquired late in the year, their contribution to FFO was nominal.

Now that we have completed the assemblage of the 800 block of S. Washington Street in Alexandria, Virginia, we intend to build 75 multi-family units and an underground garage behind the existing street-front retail. Recently approved by the city, construction is expected to start in late 2004.

In August, we acquired 1776 G Street, N.W., in Washington, D.C., for \$84.8 million. This office building contains 262,000 square feet plus an underground parking garage. The location

is extraordinary, being near the headquarters of the World Bank, the International Monetary Fund and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corp., as well as the Old Executive Office Building and George Washington University. This unique property is one of the few privately owned office buildings in this quadrant with no additional ground available on which to build. When acquired, the property was 88% leased, and it is now 100% leased.

Over the last few years, we have become more focused on adding modern medical office buildings to the portfolio. Medical buildings, particularly new ones, located near growing, major hospital centers generally have very low volatility. In October, we acquired a three-building medical office property, known as Prosperity Medical Park, located in Fairfax County, Virginia, near Inova Hospital. The buildings contain 255,000 square feet with on-site parking for staff and patients. At acquisition, the property was 98% leased, and is now 100% leased.

Looking forward to 2004, we expect to continue our property acquisition program with an emphasis on retail, industrial distribution and medical office building property types. We also expect to start construction on a 224-unit, multi-family building in a premier location in Rosslyn, Virginia, which will provide incredible views of Washington from the upper floors. Also in 2004, we will complete the renovation and construction of a supermarket at Westminster Shopping Center, begin the redevelopment of the Shoppes of Foxchase and complete other projects, which will begin to produce additional net operating income in 2004 and 2005.

Over the past year, many new regulations and guidelines have been instituted by the Securities and Exchange Commission, the New York Stock Exchange, the Financial Accounting Standards Board and related parties. I encourage you to read the 10-K included in this annual report. It is the most comprehensive document reporting WRIT's operations for the year, and is filed with the SEC. I also encourage you to visit our Web site, at www.writ.com, and read our quarterly supplemental financial reports as they are posted throughout the year.



Best regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Edmund B. Cronin, Jr." The signature is fluid and cursive.

Edmund B. Cronin, Jr.
*Chairman of the Board,
President and Chief Executive Officer*

FORM 10-K

United States Securities and Exchange Commission
Washington, D.C. 20549

(Mark One)

Annual Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

or

Transition Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003
Commission file number 1-6622

WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

| | |
|--|---|
| (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) | Maryland |
| (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) | 53-0261100 |
| (Address of principal executive office) | 6110 Executive Boulevard, Suite 800 Rockville, Maryland |
| (Zip code) | 20852 |
| (Registrant's telephone number, including area code) | (301) 984-9400 |
| Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: | |
| (Title of each class) | Shares of Beneficial Interest |
| (Name of exchange on which registered) | New York Stock Exchange |
| Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: | None |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding twelve (12) months (or such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such report) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past ninety (90) days. YES X NO

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. X

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). YES X NO

As of March 4, 2004, 41,535,072 Shares of Beneficial Interest were outstanding. As of June 30, 2003, the aggregate market value of such shares held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$1,068,576,208 (based on the closing price of the stock on June 30, 2003).

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Trust's definitive Proxy Statement relating to the 2004 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, are incorporated by reference in Part III, Items 10-14 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K as indicated herein.

Part III of this Form 10-K is incorporated by reference from the Trust's 2004 Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement.

INDEX

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| PART I | |
| Item 1. Business | 8 |
| Item 2. Properties | 17 |
| Item 3. Legal Proceedings | 19 |
| Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders | 19 |
| PART II | |
| Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters | 20 |
| Item 6. Selected Financial Data | 20 |
| Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 21 |
| Item 7A. Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures about Market Risk | 45 |
| Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data | 45 |
| Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure | 46 |
| Item 9A. Controls and Procedures | 46 |
| PART III | |
| Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant | 47 |
| Item 11. Executive Compensation | 47 |
| Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management | 47 |
| Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions | 47 |
| Item 14. Principle Accountant Fees and Services | 47 |
| PART IV | |
| Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K | 48 |
| Signatures | 51 |

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS**THE TRUST**

Washington Real Estate Investment Trust ("WRIT," the "Trust," or the "company") is a self-administered, self-managed, equity real estate investment trust ("REIT"). Our business consists of the ownership, operation and development of income-producing real properties. We have a fundamental strategy of regional focus, diversification by property type and conservative capital management.

We have qualified as a Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT) under Sections 856-860 of the Internal Revenue Code and intend to continue to qualify as such. To maintain our status as a REIT, we are required to distribute 90% of our ordinary taxable income to our shareholders. We have the option of (i) reinvesting the sale price of properties sold, allowing for a deferral of income taxes on the sale, (ii) paying out capital gains to the shareholders with no tax to the company or (iii) treating the capital gains as having been distributed to the shareholders, paying the tax on the gain deemed distributed and allocating the tax paid as a credit to the shareholders. We distributed all of our 2003, 2002 and 2001 ordinary taxable income to our shareholders. Gains on sale of properties sold during 2002 and 2001 were reinvested in replacement properties, therefore no capital gains were distributed to shareholders during these periods. Accordingly, no provision for income taxes was necessary. Over the last five years, dividends paid per share have been \$1.47 for 2003, \$1.39 for 2002, \$1.31 for 2001, \$1.23 for 2000 and \$1.16 for 1999.

We generally incur short-term floating rate debt in connection with the acquisition of real estate. We replace the floating rate debt with fixed-rate secured or unsecured term loans or senior notes, or repay the debt with the proceeds of sales of equity securities as market conditions permit. We may, in appropriate circumstances, acquire one or more properties in exchange for our equity securities or operating partnership units which are convertible into WRIT shares.

Our geographic focus is based on two principles:

1. Real estate is a local business and is much more effectively selected and managed by owners located and with expertise in the region.
2. Geographic markets deserving of focus must be among the nation's best markets with a strong primary industry foundation and be diversified enough to withstand downturns in their primary industry.

We consider markets to be local if they can be reached from the Washington centered market within two hours by car. Our Washington centered market reaches north to Philadelphia, Pennsylvania and south to Richmond, Virginia. While we have historically focused most of our investments in the Greater Washington-Baltimore Region, in order to maximize acquisition opportunities we will and have considered investments within the two-hour radius described above. We also will consider opportunities to duplicate our Washington focused approach in other geographic markets which meet the criteria described above.

All of our Trustees, officers and employees live and work in the Greater Washington-Baltimore region and our officers average over 20 years of experience in this region.

This section includes or refers to certain forward-looking statements. You should refer to the explanation of the qualifications and limitations on such forward-looking statements beginning on page 43.

THE GREATER WASHINGTON, D.C. ECONOMY

During the past twelve months, the Federal government has escalated its issuance of defense, intelligence, security, and healthcare contracts. This has resulted in several large office space lease transactions throughout the region by both the private sector and General Services

Administration ("GSA"). However, there is still a substantial inventory of office space available for lease in Northern Virginia. Office leasing activity is increasing, which is expected to have a positive impact on the industrial and multifamily rental markets which have also been soft over the last two years.

Increased spending by the Federal government is likely to continue driving regional economic growth in 2004. According to Delta Associates/Transwestern Commercial Services (Delta):

- 12-month job growth through October 2003 was 0.8% for the region compared to negative 0.2% nationwide.
- The Washington area unemployment rate was 3.2% in September 2003, down from 3.6% one year ago and well below the national rate of 6.1%.
- Approximately 55,000 new jobs are projected for the region in 2004.

While growth is very important, from an investment perspective, economic stability is equally important. The Federal government, technology industries and the service sectors are the core industries in the Washington area economy. Increased spending by the Federal government is expected to continue driving regional economic growth. Federal government spending increased 10% in 2003 and accounts for 15% of the Gross Regional Product.

GREATER WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE MARKETS

The economic stability in the Greater Washington region has translated into stronger relative real estate market performance in each of our four sectors, compared to other national metropolitan regions analyzed by Delta:

Office Sector

- Rents were flat on average in 2003 in the region as a whole. The District of Columbia experienced flat rental rate growth, while close-in Northern Virginia and suburban Maryland experienced declining rents.
- Rents are expected to remain flat in the District of Columbia. Rents in suburban Maryland submarkets and Northern Virginia will likely begin to stabilize.
- Direct vacancy was 8.9% (11.2% with sublet space included) at year-end 2003, up from 8.4% direct (11.6% with sublet space) at year-end 2002.
- Vacancy rates remain among the lowest of any major metro area.
- The overall vacancy rate is projected to remain in the 11% range over the next two years.
- Net absorption totaled 3.4 million square feet, up from 2.4 million square feet in 2002.
- Of the 12.1 million square feet of space under construction at year-end 2003, 65% was pre-leased.

Multifamily Sector

- Overall, Class B apartment (our market segment) rents were flat in the Washington region in 2003. Suburban Maryland rents increased 1.2%, the District submarkets decreased 2.9% and Northern Virginia decreased 2.6%.
- Rental rates are expected to stabilize over the next 12 months with continued concessions.

Grocery-Anchored Retail Centers Sector

The Washington Metro area market continues to be a strong retail market due to:

- The highest per capita income of any major metro area in the U.S.
- The healthiest regional economy in the U.S., generating 25,000–35,000 households per year since 1993.
- Demand for retail space exceeding new development for nine of the past eleven years.
- Overall market vacancy in grocery-anchored retail centers still remains low at 3.0% at year-end 2003, compared to 4.8% at year-end 2002.
- Rents for in-line tenants rose 2.0% in 2003.

Industrial/Flex Sector

- Average industrial rents remained flat in both suburban Maryland and Northern Virginia in 2003.
- Rents are projected to remain flat in 2004, as vacancy rates hold steady.
- Direct vacancy was 10.2% at year-end 2003 (11.4% with sublet space), down from 10.8% at year-end 2002 (12.3% with sublet space).
- Of the 3.3 million square feet of industrial space under construction at year-end 2003, 10% was pre-leased, as compared to 3.6 million and 29%, respectively, at year-end 2002.

WRIT PORTFOLIO

As of December 31, 2003, we owned a diversified portfolio consisting of 11 retail centers, 29 office buildings, 9 multifamily buildings and 17 industrial/flex properties. Our principal objective is to invest in high quality properties in prime locations, then proactively manage, lease, and develop ongoing capital improvement programs to improve their economic performance. The percentage of total real estate rental revenue by property group for 2003, 2002 and 2001 and the percent leased, calculated as the percentage of physical net rentable area leased, as of December 31, 2003 were as follows:

| Percent Leased December 31, 2003 | | Real Estate Rental Revenue | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|------|------|
| | | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 |
| 89% | Office buildings | 53% | 52% | 55% |
| 96% | Retail centers | 16 | 15 | 13 |
| 91% | Multifamily | 17 | 19 | 19 |
| 90% | Industrial | 14 | 14 | 13 |
| | | 100% | 100% | 100% |

On a combined basis, our portfolio was 90% leased at December 31, 2003, 92% leased at December 31, 2002, and 97% leased at December 31, 2001.

Total rental revenue was \$163.4 million for 2003, \$152.9 million for 2002, and \$147.3 million for 2001. During 2003, 2002 and 2001, we acquired six office buildings, three retail centers, one multifamily property and two industrial properties. During that same time frame, we sold one office property and one industrial property. These acquisitions and dispositions were the primary reason for the shifting of each group's percentage of total revenue reflected above.

No single tenant accounted for more than 2.3% of revenue in 2003, 2.7% of revenue in 2002, and 3.3% of revenue in 2001. All Federal government tenants in the aggregate accounted for approximately 2% of our 2003 total revenue. Federal government tenants include the Department of Defense, U.S. Patent and Trademark, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Office of Personnel Management, U.S. Department of Consumer Affairs and the National Institutes of Health. WRIT's larger non-Federal government tenants include World Bank, Sunrise Senior Living, Inc., Lockheed Corporation, Xerox, SunTrust Bank, Sun Microsystems, INOVA Health Systems, Northrop-Grumman, and International Monetary Fund.

We expect to continue investing in additional income producing properties. We only invest in properties which we believe will increase in income and value. Our properties compete for tenants with other properties throughout the respective areas in which they are located on the basis of location, quality and rental rates.

During the last three years, we have engaged in ground-up development in order to further strengthen our portfolio with long-term growth prospects. We currently have two development projects underway. The first is a 224-unit high-rise apartment building in Arlington, VA referred to as WRIT Rosslyn Center, with completion expected in mid-2005. The second is a mixed-use

residential and retail property in Alexandria, VA referred to as South Washington Street, with completion expected in early 2006.

We make capital improvements on an ongoing basis to our properties for the purpose of maintaining and increasing their value and income. Major improvements and/or renovations to the properties in 2003, 2002, and 2001 are discussed under the heading "Capital Improvements."

Further description of the property groups is contained in Item 2, Properties and in Schedule III. Reference is also made to Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The number of persons we employed was 266 as of February 29, 2004 including 201 persons engaged in property management functions and 65 persons engaged in corporate, financial, leasing and asset management functions.

AVAILABILITY OF REPORTS

A copy of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, as well as our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and any amendments to such reports are available, free of charge, on the Internet on our website www.writ.com. All required reports are made available on the website as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission. The reference to our website address does not constitute incorporation by reference of the information contained in the website and such information should not be considered part of this document.

RISK FACTORS

Set forth below are the risks that we believe are material to our shareholders. We refer to the shares of beneficial interest in Washington Real Estate Investment Trust as our "shares," and the investors who own shares as our "shareholders." This section includes or refers to certain forward-looking statements. You should refer to the explanation of the qualifications and limitations on such forward-looking statements beginning on page 43.

Our performance and value are subject to risks associated with our real estate assets and with the real estate industry.

Our economic performance and the value of our real estate assets are subject to the risk that if our office, industrial, multifamily and retail properties do not generate revenues sufficient to meet our operating expenses, including debt service and capital expenditures, our cash flow and ability to pay distributions to our shareholders will be adversely affected. The following factors, among others, may adversely affect the revenues generated by our office, industrial, multifamily and retail properties:

- downturns in the national, regional and local economic climate;
- competition from other office, industrial, multifamily and retail properties;
- local real estate market conditions, such as oversupply or reduction in demand for office, industrial, multi-family or retail properties;
- changes in interest rates and availability of financing;
- vacancies, changes in market rental rates and the need to periodically repair, renovate and relet space;
- increased operating costs, including insurance premiums, utilities and real estate taxes;
- civil disturbances, earthquakes and other natural disasters, or terrorist acts or acts of war may result in uninsured or underinsured losses;
- significant expenditures associated with each investment, such as debt service payments, real estate taxes, insurance and maintenance costs, are generally not reduced when circumstances cause a reduction in revenues from a property; and
- ability to collect rents from tenants.

We are dependent upon the economic climate of the Greater Washington, D.C. region.

All of our properties are located in the Greater Washington-Baltimore region. General economic conditions and local real estate conditions in this geographic region have a particularly strong effect on us.

We face risks associated with property acquisitions.

We intend to continue to acquire properties that could continue to increase our size and alter the capital structure. Our acquisition activities and success may be exposed to the following risks:

- we may be unable to acquire a desired property because of competition from other real estate investors, including publicly traded real estate investment trusts, institutional investment funds and private investors;
- even if we enter into an acquisition agreement for a property, it is subject to customary conditions to closing, including completion of due diligence investigations which may be unacceptable;
- even if we are able to acquire a desired property, competition from other real estate investors may significantly increase the purchase price;
- we may be unable to finance acquisitions on favorable terms;
- acquired properties may fail to perform as we expected in analyzing our investments; and
- our estimates of the costs of repositioning or redeveloping acquired properties may be inaccurate.

We may acquire properties subject to liabilities and without recourse, or with limited recourse, with respect to unknown liabilities. As a result, if liability were asserted against us based upon those properties, we may have to pay substantial sums to settle it, which could adversely affect our cash flow. Unknown liabilities with respect to properties acquired might include:

- liabilities for clean-up of undisclosed environmental contamination;
- claims by tenants, vendors or other persons dealing with the former owners of the properties;
- liabilities incurred in the ordinary course of business; and
- claims for indemnification by general partners, directors, officers and others indemnified by the former owners of the properties.

We will face new and different risks associated with property development.

The ground-up development of WRIT Rosslyn Center and South Washington Street, as opposed to renovation and redevelopment of an existing property, is a new activity for us. Developing properties, in addition to the risks historically associated with our business, presents a number of new and additional risks for us, including risks that:

- the development opportunity may be abandoned after expending significant resources, if we are unable to obtain all necessary zoning and other required governmental permits and authorizations;
- the development and construction costs of the project may exceed original estimates;
- construction and/or permanent financing may not be available on favorable terms or may not be available at all;
- the project may not be completed on schedule as a result of a variety of factors, many of which are beyond our control, such as weather, labor conditions and material shortages, which would result in increases in construction costs and debt service expenses; and
- occupancy rates and rents at the newly completed property may not meet the expected levels and could be insufficient to make the property profitable.

Properties developed or acquired for development may generate little or no cash flow from the date of acquisition through the date of completion of development. In addition, new development activities, regardless of whether or not they are ultimately successful, may require a substantial portion of management's time and attention.

We face potential difficulties or delays renewing leases or re-leasing space.

From 2004 through 2008, leases on our office, retail and industrial properties will expire on a total of approximately 73.5% of our rentable square feet, with leases on approximately 21% of our rentable square feet expiring in 2004, 17% in 2005, 16% in 2006, 9% in 2007 and 11% in 2008. We derive substantially all of our income from rent received from tenants. If a tenant experiences a downturn in its business or other types of financial distress, it may be unable to make timely rental payments. Also, when our tenants decide not to renew their leases, we may not be able to relet the space. If tenants decide to renew their leases, the terms of renewals, including the cost of required improvements or concessions, may be less favorable than current lease terms. As a result, our cash flow could decrease and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders could be adversely affected. Residential properties are leased under operating leases with terms of generally one year or less. For the years ended 2003 and 2002, the residential tenant retention rate was 53% and 59%, respectively.

We face potential adverse effects from major tenants' bankruptcies or insolvencies.

The bankruptcy or insolvency of a major tenant may adversely affect the income produced by a property. Although we have not experienced material losses from tenant bankruptcies or insolvencies in the past, a major tenant could file for bankruptcy protection or become insolvent in the future. We cannot evict a tenant solely because of its bankruptcy. On the other hand, a court might authorize the tenant to reject and terminate its lease with us. In such case, our claim against the bankrupt tenant for unpaid, future rent would be subject to a statutory cap that might be substantially less than the remaining rent actually owed under the lease, and, even so, our claim for unpaid rent would likely not be paid in full. This shortfall could adversely affect our cash flow and results from operations.

Our properties face significant competition.

We face significant competition from developers, owners and operators of office, industrial, multifamily, retail and other commercial real estate. Substantially all of our properties face competition from similar properties in the same market. Such competition may affect our ability to attract and retain tenants and may reduce the rents we are able to charge. These competing properties may have vacancy rates higher than our properties, which may result in their owners being willing to make space available at lower prices than the space in our properties.

Compliance or failure to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act and other laws could result in substantial costs.

The Americans with Disabilities Act generally requires that public buildings, including office, industrial, retail and multifamily properties, be made accessible to disabled persons. Noncompliance could result in imposition of fines by the federal government or the award of damages to private litigants. If, pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, we are required to make substantial alterations and capital expenditures in one or more of our properties, including the removal of access barriers, it could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations, as well as the amount of cash available for distribution to our shareholders. We may also incur significant costs complying with other regulations. Our properties are subject to various federal, state and local regulatory requirements, such as state and local fire and life safety requirements. If we fail to comply with these requirements, we may incur fines or private damage awards. We believe that our properties are currently in material compliance with all of these regulatory requirements. However, we do not know whether existing requirements will change or whether compliance with future requirements will require significant unanticipated expenditures that will adversely affect our cash flow and results from operations.

Some potential losses are not covered by insurance.

We carry insurance coverage on our properties of types and in amounts that we believe are in line with coverage customarily obtained by owners of similar properties. We believe all of our properties are adequately insured. The property insurance that we maintain for our properties

has historically been on an "all risk" basis, which is in full force and effect until renewal in September 2004. Effective September 2003, we have a separate insurance policy covering losses caused by acts of terrorism, also in full force and effect until renewal in September 2004. There are other types of losses, such as from wars or catastrophic acts of nature, for which we cannot obtain insurance at all or at a reasonable cost. In the event of an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of our insurance limits, we could lose both the revenues generated from the affected property and the capital we have invested in the affected property. Depending on the specific circumstances of the affected property it is possible that we could be liable for any mortgage indebtedness or other obligations related to the property. Any such loss could adversely affect our business and financial condition and results of operations.

Also, we have to renew our policies in most cases on an annual basis and negotiate acceptable terms for coverage, exposing us to the volatility of the insurance markets, including the possibility of rate increases. Any material increase in insurance rates or decrease in available coverage in the future could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition, which would cause a decline in the market value of our securities.

Potential liability for environmental contamination could result in substantial costs.

Under federal, state and local environmental laws, ordinances and regulations, we may be required to investigate and clean up the effects of releases of hazardous or toxic substances or petroleum products at our properties, regardless of our knowledge or responsibility, simply because of our current or past ownership or operation of the real estate. In addition, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration are increasingly involved in indoor air quality standards, especially with respect to asbestos, mold and medical waste. The clean up of any environmental contamination, including asbestos and mold, can be costly. If unidentified environmental problems arise, we may have to make substantial payments which could adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders because:

- as owner or operator we may have to pay for property damage and for investigation and clean-up costs incurred in connection with the contamination;
- the law typically imposes clean-up responsibility and liability regardless of whether the owner or operator knew of or caused the contamination;
- even if more than one person may be responsible for the contamination, each person who shares legal liability under the environmental laws may be held responsible for all of the clean-up costs; and
- governmental entities and third parties may sue the owner or operator of a contaminated site for damages and costs.

These costs could be substantial and in extreme cases could exceed the value of the contaminated property. The presence of hazardous or toxic substances, petroleum products, or the failure to properly remediate contamination may adversely affect our ability to borrow against, sell or rent an affected property. In addition, applicable environmental laws create liens on contaminated sites in favor of the government for damages and costs it incurs in connection with a contamination.

We have a storage tank third party liability policy in place to cover potential hazardous releases from underground storage tanks on our properties. This insurance is in place to mitigate any potential remediation costs from the effect of releases of hazardous or toxic substances from these storage tanks.

Environmental laws also govern the presence, maintenance and removal of asbestos. Such laws require that owners or operators of buildings containing asbestos:

- properly manage and maintain the asbestos;
- notify and train those who may come into contact with asbestos; and
- undertake special precautions, including removal or other abatement, if asbestos would be disturbed during renovation or demolition of a building.

Such laws may impose fines and penalties on building owners or operators who fail to comply with these requirements and may allow third parties to seek recovery from owners or operators for personal injury associated with exposure to asbestos fibers.

It is our policy to retain independent environmental consultants to conduct Phase I environmental site assessments and asbestos surveys with respect to our acquisition of properties. These assessments generally include a visual inspection of the properties and the surrounding areas, an examination of current and historical uses of the properties and the surrounding areas and a review of relevant state, federal and historical documents, but do not involve invasive techniques such as soil and ground water sampling. Where appropriate, on a property-by-property basis, our practice is to have these consultants conduct additional testing, including sampling for asbestos, for mold, for lead in drinking water, for soil contamination where underground storage tanks are or were located or where other past site usages create a potential environmental problem, and for contamination in groundwater. Even though these environmental assessments are conducted, there is still the risk that:

- the environmental assessments and updates did not identify all potential environmental liabilities;
- a prior owner created a material environmental condition that is not known to us or the independent consultants preparing the assessments;
- new environmental liabilities have developed since the environmental assessments were conducted; and
- future uses or conditions such as changes in applicable environmental laws and regulations could result in environmental liability to us.

We face risks associated with the use of debt to fund acquisitions and developments, including refinancing risk.

We rely on borrowings under our credit facilities to finance acquisitions and development activities and for working capital. If we were unable to borrow under our credit facilities, or to refinance existing indebtedness, our financial condition and results of operations would likely be adversely affected.

We are subject to the risks normally associated with debt financing, including the risk that our cash flow may be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest. We anticipate that only a small portion of the principal of our debt will be repaid prior to maturity. Therefore, we are likely to need to refinance at least a portion of our outstanding debt as it matures. There is a risk that we may not be able to refinance existing debt or that the terms of any refinancing will not be as favorable as the terms of the existing debt. If principal payments due at maturity cannot be refinanced, extended or repaid with proceeds from other sources, such as new equity capital, our cash flow will not be sufficient to repay all maturing debt in years when significant "balloon" payments come due.

Rising interest rates would increase our interest costs.

We may incur indebtedness that bears interest at variable rates. Accordingly, if interest rates increase, so will our interest costs, which could adversely affect our cash flow, our ability to service debt and our ability to make distributions to shareholders. As a protection against rising interest rates, we may enter into agreements such as interest rate swaps, caps, floors and other interest rate exchange contracts. These agreements, however, increase our risks including other parties to the agreements not performing or that the agreements may be unenforceable.

Covenants in our debt agreements could adversely affect our financial condition.

Our credit facilities contain customary restrictions, requirements and other limitations on our ability to incur indebtedness. We must maintain certain ratios, including total debt to assets, secured debt to total assets, debt service coverage and minimum ratios of unencumbered assets to unsecured debt. Our ability to borrow under our credit facilities is subject to compliance with our financial and other covenants.

Failure to comply with any of the covenants under our unsecured credit facilities or other debt instruments could result in a default under one or more of our debt instruments. This could cause our lenders to accelerate the timing of payments and would therefore have a material adverse effect on our business, operations, financial condition or liquidity.

Further issuances of equity securities may be dilutive to current shareholders.

The interests of our existing shareholders could be diluted if additional equity securities are issued to finance future developments and acquisitions instead of incurring additional debt. Our ability to execute our business strategy depends on our access to an appropriate blend of debt financing, including unsecured lines of credit and other forms of secured and unsecured debt, and equity financing.

Failure to qualify as a REIT would cause us to be taxed as a corporation, which would substantially reduce funds available for payment of dividends.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we would be taxed as a corporation. We believe that we are organized and qualified as a REIT, and intend to operate in a manner that will allow us to continue to qualify as a REIT.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT we could face serious tax consequences that could substantially reduce the funds available for payment of dividends for each of the years involved because:

- we would not be allowed a deduction for dividends paid to shareholders in computing our taxable income and could be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates;
- we also could be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax and possibly increased state and local taxes;
- unless we are entitled to relief under statutory provisions, we could not elect to be subject to tax as a REIT for four taxable years following the year during which we are disqualified; and
- all dividends will be subject to tax as ordinary income to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits.

In addition, if we fail to qualify as a REIT, we would no longer be required to pay dividends. As a result of these factors, our failure to qualify as a real estate investment trust could impair our ability to expand our business and raise capital, and could adversely affect the value of our shares.

The market value of our securities can be adversely affected by many factors.

As with any public company, a number of factors may adversely influence the public market price of our common stock, many of which are beyond our control. These factors include:

- level of institutional interest in us;
- perception of REITs generally and REITs with portfolios similar to ours, in particular, by market professionals;
- attractiveness of securities of REITs in comparison to other companies taking into account, among other things, the higher tax rates imposed on dividends paid by REITs;
- our financial condition and performance;
- the market's perception of our growth potential and potential future cash dividends;
- government action or regulation, including changes in tax law;
- increases in market interest rates, which may lead investors to demand a higher annual yield from our distributions in relation to the price paid for our stock; and
- relatively low trading volume of shares of REITs in general, which tends to exacerbate a market trend with respect to our stock.

Additional risk factors are discussed in the Liquidity and Capital Resources section beginning on page 36.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The schedule on this and the following page lists our real estate investment portfolio as of December 31, 2003, which consisted of 66 properties.

As of December 31, 2003, the percent leased is the percentage of net rentable area for which fully executed leases exist and may include signed leases for space not yet occupied by the tenant.

Cost information is included in Schedule III to our financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

SCHEDULE OF PROPERTIES

| Properties | Location | Year Acquired | Year Constructed | Net Rentable Square Feet* | Percent Leased 12/31/03 |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Office Buildings | | | | | |
| 1901 Pennsylvania Avenue | Washington, D.C. | 1977 | 1960 | 97,000 | 92% |
| 51 Monroe Street | Rockville, MD | 1979 | 1975 | 210,000 | 77% |
| 7700 Leesburg Pike | Falls Church, VA | 1990 | 1976 | 147,000 | 62% |
| 515 King Street | Alexandria, VA | 1992 | 1966 | 78,000 | 95% |
| The Lexington Building | Rockville, MD | 1993 | 1970 | 46,000 | 97% |
| The Saratoga Building | Rockville, MD | 1993 | 1977 | 59,000 | 91% |
| Brandywine Center | Rockville, MD | 1993 | 1969 | 35,000 | 100% |
| Tycon Plaza II | Vienna, VA | 1994 | 1981 | 127,000 | 77% |
| Tycon Plaza III | Vienna, VA | 1994 | 1978 | 151,000 | 64% |
| 6110 Executive Boulevard | Rockville, MD | 1995 | 1971 | 199,000 | 78% |
| 1220 19th Street | Washington, D.C. | 1995 | 1976 | 102,000 | 94% |
| Maryland Trade Center I | Greenbelt, MD | 1996 | 1981 | 190,000 | 95% |
| Maryland Trade Center II | Greenbelt, MD | 1996 | 1984 | 158,000 | 79% |
| 1600 Wilson Boulevard | Arlington, VA | 1997 | 1973 | 166,000 | 82% |
| 7900 Westpark Drive | McLean, VA | 1997 | 1972/'86/'99 ⁽¹⁾ | 526,000 | 93% |
| 8230 Boone Boulevard | Vienna, VA | 1998 | 1981 | 58,000 | 72% |
| Woodburn Medical Park I | Annandale, VA | 1998 | 1984 | 71,000 | 100% |
| Woodburn Medical Park II | Annandale, VA | 1998 | 1988 | 96,000 | 96% |
| 600 Jefferson Plaza | Rockville, MD | 1999 | 1985 | 115,000 | 95% |
| 1700 Research Boulevard | Rockville, MD | 1999 | 1982 | 103,000 | 91% |
| Parklawn Plaza | Rockville, MD | 1999 | 1986 | 40,000 | 96% |
| Wayne Plaza | Silver Spring, MD | 2000 | 1970 | 91,000 | 94% |
| Courthouse Square | Alexandria, VA | 2000 | 1979 | 113,000 | 96% |
| One Central Plaza | Rockville, MD | 2001 | 1974 | 267,000 | 94% |
| The Atrium Building | Rockville, MD | 2002 | 1980 | 81,000 | 94% |
| 1776 G Street | Washington, D.C. | 2003 | 1979 | 262,000 | 100% |
| Prosperity Medical Center I | Merrifield, VA | 2003 | 2000 | 92,000 | 100% |
| Prosperity Medical Center II | Merrifield, VA | 2003 | 2001 | 88,000 | 100% |
| Prosperity Medical Center III | Merrifield, VA | 2003 | 2002 | 75,000 | 94% |
| Subtotal | | | | <u>3,843,000</u> | <u>89%</u> |

(1) A 49,000 square foot addition to 7900 Westpark Drive was completed in September 1999.

SCHEDULE OF PROPERTIES (CONTINUED)

| Properties | Location | Year Acquired | Year Constructed | Net Rentable Square Feet* | Percent Leased 12/31/03 |
|--|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Retail Centers | | | | | |
| Takoma Park | Takoma Park, MD | 1963 | 1962 | 51,000 | 100% |
| Westminster | Westminster, MD | 1972 | 1969 | 146,000 | 87% |
| Concord Centre | Springfield, VA | 1973 | 1960 | 76,000 | 97% |
| Wheaton Park | Wheaton, MD | 1977 | 1967 | 72,000 | 96% |
| Bradlee | Alexandria, VA | 1984 | 1955 | 168,000 | 100% |
| Chevy Chase Metro Plaza | Washington, D.C. | 1985 | 1975 | 50,000 | 87% |
| Montgomery Village Center | Gaithersburg, MD | 1992 | 1969 | 198,000 | 99% |
| Shoppes of Foxchase | Alexandria, VA | 1994 | 1960 | 128,000 | 97% |
| Frederick County Square | Frederick, MD | 1995 | 1973 | 235,000 | 100% |
| 1620 Wilson Boulevard | Arlington, VA | 2002 | 1959 | 5,000 | N/A |
| 800 S. Washington Street ⁽²⁾ | Alexandria, VA | 1998/'03 ⁽²⁾ | 1955/'59 | 56,000 | 88% |
| Centre at Hagerstown | Hagerstown, MD | 2002 | 2000 | 334,000 | 97% |
| Subtotal | | | | <u>1,519,000</u> | <u>96%</u> |
| Multifamily Buildings/# units | | | | | |
| 3801 Connecticut Avenue/307 | Washington, D.C. | 1963 | 1951 | 177,000 | 96% |
| Roosevelt Towers/190 | Falls Church, VA | 1965 | 1964 | 168,000 | 93% |
| Country Club Towers/227 | Arlington, VA | 1969 | 1965 | 159,000 | 94% |
| Park Adams/200 | Arlington, VA | 1969 | 1959 | 172,000 | 94% |
| Munson Hill Towers/279 | Falls Church, VA | 1970 | 1963 | 259,000 | 92% |
| The Ashby at McLean/250 | McLean, VA | 1996 | 1982 | 244,000 | 78% |
| Walker House Apartments/212 ⁽³⁾ | Gaithersburg, MD | 1996 | 1971/'03 | 154,000 | 89% |
| Bethesda Hill Apartments/194 | Bethesda, MD | 1997 | 1986 | 226,000 | 90% |
| Avondale/236 | Laurel, MD | 1999 | 1987 | 170,000 | 97% |
| Subtotal (2,095 units) | | | | <u>1,729,000</u> | <u>91%</u> |
| Industrial Distribution/Flex Properties | | | | | |
| Fullerton Business Center | Springfield, VA | 1985 | 1980 | 104,000 | 95% |
| Pepsi-Cola Distribution Center | Forestville, MD | 1987 | 1971 | 69,000 | 100% |
| Charleston Business Center | Rockville, MD | 1993 | 1973 | 85,000 | 93% |
| Tech 100 Industrial Park | Elkridge, MD | 1995 | 1990 | 167,000 | 87% |
| Crossroads Distribution Center | Elkridge, MD | 1995 | 1987 | 85,000 | 100% |
| The Alban Business Center | Springfield, VA | 1996 | 1981/'82 | 87,000 | 100% |
| The Earhart Building | Chantilly, VA | 1996 | 1987 | 90,000 | 83% |
| Ammendale Technology Park I | Beltsville, MD | 1997 | 1985 | 167,000 | 94% |
| Ammendale Technology Park II | Beltsville, MD | 1997 | 1986 | 108,000 | 69% |
| Pickett Industrial Park | Alexandria, VA | 1997 | 1973 | 246,000 | 85% |
| Northern Virginia Industrial Park | Lorton, VA | 1998 | 1968/'91 | 788,000 | 85% |
| 8900 Telegraph Road | Lorton, VA | 1998 | 1985 | 32,000 | 100% |
| Dulles South IV | Chantilly, VA | 1999 | 1988 | 83,000 | 100% |
| Sully Square | Chantilly, VA | 1999 | 1986 | 95,000 | 100% |
| Amvax | Beltsville, MD | 1999 | 1986 | 31,000 | 100% |
| Sullyfield Center | Chantilly, VA | 2001 | 1985 | 245,000 | 94% |
| Fullerton Industrial Center | Springfield, VA | 2003 | 1980 | 137,000 | 94% |
| Subtotal | | | | <u>2,619,000</u> | <u>90%</u> |
| TOTAL | | | | <u>9,710,000</u> | |

(2) South Washington Street includes 5,000 square feet for the May 2003 acquisition of 718 E. Jefferson Street. 718 E. Jefferson Street was acquired to complete our ownership of the entire block of 800 S. Washington Street. The surface parking lot on this block is now in the preliminary stages of development.

(3) A 16 unit addition referred to as The Gardens at Walker House was completed in October 2003.

* Multifamily buildings are presented in gross square feet.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

None.

**ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF
SECURITY HOLDERS**

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of 2003.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Effective January 4, 1999, our shares began trading on the New York Stock Exchange. Currently, there are approximately 39,000 shareholders.

From 1971 through December 31, 1998, our shares were traded on the American Stock Exchange. Our shares were split 3-for-1 in March 1981, 3-for-2 in July 1985, 3-for-2 in December 1988, and 3-for-2 in May 1992.

The high and low sales price for our shares for 2003 and 2002, by quarter, and the amount of dividends we paid per share are as follows:

| Quarter | Dividends Per Share | Quarterly Share Price Range | |
|-------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| | | High | Low |
| 2003 | | | |
| 4 | \$.3725 | \$31.28 | \$28.32 |
| 3 | .3725 | 29.72 | 26.51 |
| 2 | .3725 | 28.39 | 25.98 |
| 1 | .3525 | 26.28 | 23.95 |
| 2002 | | | |
| 4 | \$.3525 | \$26.14 | \$22.30 |
| 3 | .3525 | 26.95 | 24.65 |
| 2 | .3525 | 30.15 | 26.79 |
| 1 | .3325 | 28.79 | 24.34 |

We have historically paid dividends on a quarterly basis. Dividends are normally paid based on our cash flow from operating activities.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1999 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| (In thousands, except per share data) | | | | | |
| Real estate rental revenue | \$163,405 | \$152,929 | \$147,283 | \$133,431 | \$117,961 |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 44,887 | \$ 48,080 | \$ 47,425 | \$ 40,687 | \$ 35,782 |
| Discontinued Operations: | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from operations of property disposed | \$ — | \$ (82) | \$ 632 | \$ 885 | \$ 610 |
| Gain on property disposed | \$ — | \$ 3,838 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Income before gain on sale of real estate | \$ 44,887 | \$ 51,836 | \$ 48,057 | \$ 41,572 | \$ 36,392 |
| Gain on sale of real estate | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 4,296 | \$ 3,567 | \$ 7,909 |
| Net income | \$ 44,887 | \$ 51,836 | \$ 52,353 | \$ 45,139 | \$ 44,301 |
| Income per share from continuing operations—diluted | \$ 1.13 | \$ 1.22 | \$ 1.25 | \$ 1.13 | \$ 1.00 |
| Earnings per share—diluted | \$ 1.13 | \$ 1.32 | \$ 1.38 | \$ 1.26 | \$ 1.24 |
| Total assets | \$927,129 | \$755,997 | \$707,935 | \$633,415 | \$608,480 |
| Lines of credit payable | \$ — | \$ 50,750 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 33,000 |
| Mortgage notes payable | \$142,182 | \$ 86,951 | \$ 94,726 | \$ 86,260 | \$ 87,038 |
| Notes payable | \$375,000 | \$265,000 | \$265,000 | \$265,000 | \$210,000 |
| Shareholders' equity | \$378,748 | \$326,177 | \$323,607 | \$258,656 | \$257,189 |
| Cash dividends paid | \$ 58,605 | \$ 54,352 | \$ 49,686 | \$ 43,955 | \$ 41,341 |
| Cash dividends paid per share | \$ 1.47 | \$ 1.39 | \$ 1.31 | \$ 1.23 | \$ 1.16 |

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. On an on-going basis, we evaluate these estimates, including those related to estimated useful lives of real estate assets, cost reimbursement income, bad debts, contingencies and litigation. We base the estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. There can be no assurance that actual results will not differ from those estimates.

During 2003 we continued our long-standing strategy of focusing in the Greater Washington-Baltimore region, one of the most stable real estate markets in the country. The region posted positive job growth of approximately 1% in 2003 compared to the nation as a whole. The job growth occurred principally in the professional, government contracting and general business services sector, while the information and telecom sectors continued to lose jobs. During the second half of 2003 federal government agencies accelerated their contract issuance, prompting the GSA to increase its leasing activity in private sector properties. This is a very positive sign for continued economic growth in the region. Overall conditions in the region improved during the year, with continued strength in the retail sector and stabilizing rents in the office and industrial sectors, while the multifamily sector continued to be affected by the combination of overbuilding and a slow economic recovery.

GENERAL

During 2003, we completed the following significant transactions:

- The acquisitions of 4 Office properties, 1 Retail property and 1 Industrial property, for an aggregate investment of \$176.6 million, adding 659,000 square feet of rentable space.
- The issuance of \$60 million of 5.125% senior unsecured notes in March 2003 and \$100 million of 5.25% senior unsecured notes in December 2003.
- The payoff of \$50 million of 7.125% senior unsecured notes in August 2003.
- The issuance of 2.2 million shares of common stock in December 2003 for net proceeds of approximately \$63 million.
- The lease of 130,000 square feet to Sunrise Senior Living, Inc. at 7900 Westpark Drive.
- The execution of new leases (including Sunrise Senior Living, Inc.) for 1.7 million square feet of office, retail and industrial space, combined.

During 2002, we completed the following significant transactions:

- The acquisitions of 2 Retail properties and 1 Office property, for an aggregate investment of \$58.1 million, adding 413,000 square feet of rentable space.
- The disposition of 1 Industrial property for net proceeds of approximately \$5.8 million.
- The execution of new leases for 1.3 million square feet of office, retail and industrial space, combined.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

We believe the following critical accounting policies affect the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 2 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Revenue Recognition

Residential properties are leased under operating leases with terms of generally one year or less, and commercial properties are leased under operating leases with average terms of three to five years. We recognize rental income and rental abatements from our residential and commercial leases when earned on a straight-line basis in accordance with SFAS No. 13 "Accounting for Leases." We record a provision for losses on accounts receivable equal to the estimated uncollectible amounts. This estimate is based on our historical experience and a review of the current status of the company's receivables. Percentage rents are recorded when we have been informed of cumulative sales data exceeding the amount necessary. Thereafter, percentage rent is accrued based on subsequent sales.

In accordance with SFAS No. 66, "Accounting for Sales of Real Estate," sales are recognized at closing only when sufficient down payments have been obtained, possession and other attributes of ownership have been transferred to the buyer and we have no significant continuing involvement. The gain or loss resulting from the sale of properties is included in net income at the time of sale.

We recognize cost reimbursement income from pass-through expenses on an accrual basis over the periods in which the expenses were incurred. Pass-through expenses are comprised of real estate taxes, operating expenses and common area maintenance costs which are reimbursed by tenants in accordance with specific allowable costs per tenant lease agreements.

Capital Expenditures

We capitalize those expenditures related to acquiring new assets, significantly increasing the value of an existing asset, or substantially extending the useful life of an existing asset. Expenditures necessary to maintain an existing property in ordinary operating condition are expensed as incurred.

Real Estate Assets

Real estate assets are depreciated on a straight-line basis over estimated useful lives ranging from 28 to 50 years. All capital improvement expenditures associated with replacements, improvements, or major repairs to real property are depreciated using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives ranging from 3 to 30 years. All tenant improvements are amortized over the shorter of the useful life or the term of the lease.

Beginning in 2002, we allocate the purchase price of acquired properties to the related physical assets and in-place leases based on their fair values, based on SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations." The fair values of acquired buildings are determined on an "as-if-vacant" basis considering a variety of factors, including the physical condition and quality of the buildings, estimated rental and absorption rates, estimated future cash flows and valuation assumptions consistent with current market conditions. The "as-if-vacant" fair value is allocated to land, building and tenant improvements based on property tax assessments and other relevant information obtained in connection with the acquisition of the property.

The fair value of in-place leases consists of the following components—(1) the estimated cost to us to replace the leases, including foregone rents during the period of finding a new tenant, foregone recovery of tenant pass-throughs, commissions, tenant improvements and other direct costs associated with obtaining a new tenant, discounted using an interest rate which reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired (referred to as "Tenant Origination Cost"); (2) the above/at/below market cash flow of the leases, determined by comparing the projected cash flows of the leases in place to projected cash flows of comparable market-rate leases, both discounted using an interest rate which reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired (referred to as "Net Lease Intangible"); and (3) the value, if any, of customer relationships, determined based on our evaluation of the specific characteristics of each tenant's lease and our overall relationship with the tenant (referred to as "Customer Relationship Value"). Tenant

Origination Costs are included in Real Estate Assets on our balance sheet and are amortized as depreciation expense on a straight-line basis over the remaining life of the underlying leases.

Impairment Losses on Long-Lived Assets

We recognize impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operations when indicators of impairment are present and the net undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the assets' carrying amount. If such carrying amount is in excess of the estimated projected operating cash flows of the property, we would recognize an impairment loss equivalent to an amount required to adjust the carrying amount to the estimated fair market value. There were no property impairments recognized during the three-year period ended December 31, 2003.

Federal Income Taxes

We have qualified as a Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT) under Sections 856-860 of the Internal Revenue Code and intend to continue to qualify as such. To maintain our status as a REIT, we are required to distribute 90% of our ordinary taxable income to our shareholders. We have the option of (i) reinvesting the sale price of properties sold, allowing for a deferral of income taxes on the sale, (ii) paying out capital gains to the shareholders with no tax to the company or (iii) treating the capital gains as having been distributed to the shareholders, paying the tax on the gain deemed distributed and allocating the tax paid as a credit to the shareholders. We distributed 100% of our 2003, 2002 and 2001 ordinary taxable income to our shareholders. Gains on sale of properties disposed during 2002 and 2001 were reinvested in replacement properties, therefore no capital gains were distributed to shareholders during these periods. Accordingly, no provision for income taxes was necessary.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The discussion that follows is based on our consolidated results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001. The ability to compare one period to another may be significantly affected by acquisitions completed and dispositions made during those years.

For purposes of evaluating comparative operating performance, we categorize our properties as either "core" or "non-core". A "core" property is one that was owned for the entirety of the periods being evaluated. A "non-core" property is one that was acquired or sold during either of the periods being evaluated. Results for properties disposed of are classified as Discontinued Operations.

To provide more insight into our operating results, our discussion is divided into two main sections: (1) Consolidated Results of Operations where we provide an overview analysis of results on a consolidated basis and (2) Net Operating Income ("NOI") where we provide a detailed analysis of core versus non-core property-level NOI results by segment. NOI is calculated as real estate rental revenue less real estate operating expenses.

Consolidated Results of Operations

Real Estate Rental Revenue

Real Estate Rental Revenue is summarized as follows (all data in thousands except percentage amounts):

| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2003 vs 2002 | % Change | 2002 vs 2001 | % Change |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Minimum base rent | \$148,773 | \$138,935 | \$134,785 | \$ 9,838 | 7.1% | \$4,150 | 3.1% |
| Recoveries from tenants | 10,016 | 8,960 | 8,367 | 1,056 | 11.8% | 593 | 7.1% |
| Parking and other tenant charges | 4,616 | 5,034 | 4,131 | (418) | (8.3%) | 903 | 21.9% |
| | <u>\$163,405</u> | <u>\$152,929</u> | <u>\$147,283</u> | <u>\$10,476</u> | <u>6.9%</u> | <u>\$5,646</u> | <u>3.8%</u> |

Real estate rental revenue is comprised of (1) minimum base rent, which includes gross potential rental revenues recognized on a straight-line basis less a vacancy adjustment for space that

is not leased, (2) revenue from the recovery of operating expenses from our tenants and (3) other revenue such as parking and termination fees.

Minimum base rent increased \$9.8 million (7.1%) in 2003 as compared to 2002 and \$4.2 million (3.1%) in 2002 as compared to 2001. The increase in minimum base rent in 2003 was due primarily to the increase in rent from properties acquired in 2003 (\$6.5 million) and 2002 (\$3.2 million), combined with a \$0.1 million increase in minimum base rent for core properties in 2003. The increase in minimum base rent in 2002 was due primarily to the increase in rent from properties acquired in 2002 (\$3.2 million) and 2001 (\$4.0 million), partially offset by a \$2.1 million decline in minimum base rent for core properties in 2002 due to increased vacancies in the Office and Industrial sectors. Additionally, the sale of one property in 2001 resulted in a \$0.9 million decline in minimum base rent.

As mentioned previously, minimum base rent for core properties in 2002 was impacted by increased vacancies. Vacancy is calculated as the inverse of economic occupancy, which represents actual rental revenues recognized for the period indicated as a percentage of gross potential rental revenues for that period. Percentage rents and expense reimbursements are not considered in computing either actual rental revenues or gross potential rental revenues. A summary of consolidated economic occupancy by sector follows:

Consolidated Economic Occupancy

| Sector | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2003 vs 2002 | 2002 vs 2001 |
|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Office | 88.1% | 88.7% | 97.4% | (0.6%) | (8.7%) |
| Retail | 96.0% | 94.8% | 95.7% | 1.2% | (0.9%) |
| Multifamily | 90.8% | 93.7% | 94.8% | (2.9%) | (1.1%) |
| Industrial | 88.2% | 93.7% | 98.0% | (5.5%) | (4.3%) |
| Total | 89.7% | 91.1% | 96.8% | (1.4%) | (5.7%) |

Recoveries from tenants increased \$1.1 million (11.8%) in 2003 as compared to 2002 and \$0.6 million (7.1%) in 2002 as compared to 2001. The increase in recoveries from tenants in 2003 was due primarily to recoveries from properties acquired in 2003 (\$0.5 million) and 2002 (\$0.4 million). The increase in recoveries from tenants in 2002 was due primarily to recoveries from properties acquired in 2002 (\$0.5 million) and 2001 (\$0.8 million), partially offset by a \$0.7 million decrease in tenant recoveries from core properties in 2002 due to increased vacancies.

Parking and other tenant charges decreased \$0.4 million (8.3%) in 2003 as compared to 2002 and increased \$0.9 million (21.9%) in 2002 as compared to 2001. The decrease in parking and other charges in 2003 was due primarily to a \$0.9 million decline from core properties due to decreases in lease termination fees and percentage rent. Parking and other charges from properties acquired in 2003 and 2002 increased \$0.5 million on a combined basis. The increase in parking and other charges in 2002 was due to a \$0.9 million increase from core properties due primarily to increased lease termination fee income.

Real Estate Operating Expenses

Real estate operating expenses are summarized as follows (all data in thousands except percentage amounts):

| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2003 vs 2002 | % Change | 2002 vs 2001 | % Change |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Property operating expenses | \$35,307 | \$32,719 | \$31,528 | \$2,588 | 7.9% | \$1,191 | 3.8% |
| Real estate taxes | 12,555 | 11,186 | 10,205 | 1,369 | 12.2% | 981 | 9.6% |
| | \$47,862 | \$43,905 | \$41,733 | \$3,957 | 9.0% | \$2,172 | 5.2% |

Property operating expenses include utilities, repairs and maintenance, property administration and management, operating services and supplies, common area maintenance and other operating expenses.

Real estate operating expenses as a percentage of revenue were 29% for 2003 and 2002 and 28% for 2001.

Real estate operating expenses increased \$4.0 million (9.0%) in 2003 over 2002 due to a \$2.6 million increase in property operating expenses and a \$1.4 million increase in real estate taxes. \$1.6 million of the increase in property operating expenses was driven by properties acquired in 2003 (\$1.2 million) and 2002 (\$0.4 million). Core property operating expenses increased \$1.0 million due primarily to increases in administrative expenses, common area maintenance in the Retail segment and repairs and maintenance. Additionally, insurance costs increased as a result of a 29% increase in core property premiums and the addition of terrorism coverage. Real estate taxes increased \$1.4 million due primarily to the properties acquired in 2003 and 2002 (\$1.2 million combined).

Real estate operating expenses increased to \$43.9 million in 2002 from \$41.7 million in 2001 due to a \$1.2 million increase in property operating expenses and a \$1.0 million increase in real estate taxes. \$1.1 million of the increase in property operating expenses was driven by properties acquired in 2002 (\$0.4 million) and 2001 (\$0.7 million). The increase in real estate taxes was due to properties acquired in 2002 and 2001 (\$0.8 million combined), as well as increases in assessed value throughout much of the core portfolio. Additionally, insurance costs were higher in 2002 as a result of a 43% increase in core property premiums.

Other Operating Expenses

Other operating expenses are summarized as follows (all data in thousands except percentage amounts):

| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2003 vs 2002 | % Change | 2002 vs 2001 | % Change |
|-------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Depreciation and amortization | \$35,755 | \$29,200 | \$26,640 | \$6,555 | 22.4% | \$ 2,560 | 9.6% |
| Interest expense | 30,040 | 27,849 | 27,071 | 2,191 | 7.9% | 778 | 2.9% |
| General and administrative | 5,275 | 4,574 | 6,100 | 701 | 15.3% | (1,526) | (25.0%) |
| | \$71,070 | \$61,623 | \$59,811 | \$9,447 | 15.3% | \$ 1,812 | 3.0% |

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$6.6 million (22.4%) to \$35.8 million in 2003 from \$29.2 million in 2002 due to total acquisitions of \$176.6 million in 2003, \$58.1 million in 2002 and capital and tenant improvement expenditures of \$27.4 million and \$25.1 million for 2003 and 2002, respectively. Of the \$6.6 million increase in depreciation and amortization expense in 2003, \$3.6 million was from core properties, \$2.1 million was from properties acquired in 2003 and \$0.8 million was from properties acquired in 2002. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$2.6 million to \$29.2 million in 2002 from \$26.6 million in 2001 due to total acquisitions of \$58.1 million in 2002, \$67.8 million of acquisitions throughout 2001 and capital and tenant improvement expenditures of \$25.1 million and \$14.0 million for 2002 and 2001, respectively. Of the \$2.6 million increase in depreciation and amortization expense in 2002, \$0.7 million was from properties acquired in 2002, \$1.1 million was from properties acquired in 2001 and \$1.0 million was from core properties.

Interest expense increased \$2.2 million (7.9%) to \$30.0 million in 2003 from \$27.8 million in 2002. The increase was primarily due to (1) the issuance of \$60 million in 5.125% senior unsecured notes in March 2003, (2) the issuance of a \$60 million short-term note payable in August of 2003, which was increased to \$90 million in October 2003 and was subsequently refinanced in December 2003 with \$100 million in 5.25% senior unsecured notes, in connection with the

acquisitions of 1776 G Street and Prosperity Medical Center and (3) the assumption of a \$6.8 million mortgage in January 2003 for the acquisition of Fullerton Industrial Center and \$49.8 million in mortgages in October 2003 for the acquisition of Prosperity Medical Center. The increase to interest expense as a result of these borrowings (\$4.1 million in total) was partially offset by lower interest expense of \$1.4 million due to the payoff of \$50 million in 7.125% senior notes in August 2003 and \$0.4 million due to the payoff of the Frederick County Square mortgage in September 2002. Interest expense in 2003 included \$21.2 million for notes payable, \$7.4 million for mortgage interest and \$1.5 million for lines of credit/short-term note payable interest.

Interest expense increased \$0.7 million to \$27.8 million in 2002 from \$27.1 million in 2001. The increase was primarily due to the assumption of an \$8.5 million mortgage in November 2001 for the acquisition of Sullyfield Commerce Center and a higher average unsecured line of credit balance outstanding in 2002 from funding acquisitions. Interest expense in 2002 included \$20.0 million for notes payable, \$7.0 million for mortgage interest and \$0.8 million for lines of credit interest. Overall borrowing costs were lower in 2002 as a result of the decline in variable interest rates on the lines of credit even though a higher average balance was outstanding on the lines in 2002.

General and administrative expenses were \$5.3 million for 2003 as compared to \$4.6 million for 2002. The \$0.7 million increase in 2003 was due primarily to increased incentive compensation. General and administrative expenses were \$4.6 million for 2002 as compared to \$6.1 million for 2001. The decrease in general and administrative expenses in 2002 from 2001 was primarily attributable to decreased incentive compensation as a result of our reduced rate of growth.

Discontinued Operations

Gain on disposal of real estate from discontinued operations was \$3.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2002 as a result of the sale of 1501 South Capitol Street. Gain on sale of real estate was \$4.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2001, resulting from the sale of 10400 Connecticut Avenue.

Net Operating Income

Real estate Net Operating Income ("NOI"), defined as real estate rental revenue less property level expenses, is the primary performance measure we use to assess the results of our operations at the property level. We provide NOI as a supplement to income from continuing operations calculated in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). NOI does not represent income from continuing operations calculated in accordance with GAAP. As such, it should not be considered an alternative to income from continuing operations as an indication of our operating performance. NOI is calculated as income from continuing operations, less non-real estate ("other") revenue, plus interest expense, depreciation and amortization and general and administrative expenses. A reconciliation of NOI to income from continuing operations is provided on the following page.

2003 VERSUS 2002

The following tables of selected operating data provide the basis for our discussion of NOI in 2003 compared to 2002. All amounts are in thousands except percentage amounts.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|------------|----------|
| | 2003 | 2002 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Core | \$148,668 | \$149,300 | \$ (632) | (0.4%) |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 14,737 | 3,629 | 11,108 | 306.1% |
| Total Real Estate Rental Revenue | \$163,405 | \$152,929 | \$10,476 | 6.9% |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Core | \$ 44,277 | \$ 43,111 | \$ 1,166 | 2.7% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 3,585 | 794 | 2,791 | 351.5% |
| Total Real Estate Expenses | \$ 47,862 | \$ 43,905 | \$ 3,957 | 9.0% |
| Net Operating Income | | | | |
| Core | \$104,391 | \$106,189 | \$ (1,798) | (1.7%) |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 11,152 | 2,835 | 8,317 | 293.4% |
| Total Net Operating Income | \$115,543 | \$109,024 | \$ 6,519 | 6.0% |

**Reconciliation to Income
from Continuing Operations**

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| NOI | \$115,543 | \$109,024 |
| Other revenue | 414 | 680 |
| Interest expense | (30,040) | (27,849) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (35,755) | (29,200) |
| General and administrative expenses | (5,275) | (4,575) |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 44,887 | \$ 48,080 |

| Economic Occupancy | 2003 | 2002 |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|
| Core | 89.3% | 91.1% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 94.0% | 92.2% |
| Total | 89.7% | 91.1% |

(1) Non-core properties include:

2003 acquisitions—1776 G Street, Prosperity Medical Center I, Prosperity Medical Center II, Prosperity Medical Center III, 718 Jefferson Street and Fullerton Industrial.
2002 acquisitions—The Atrium Building, 1620 Wilson Boulevard and Centre at Hagerstown.

We recognized NOI of \$115.5 million in 2003, which was \$6.5 million (6.0%) greater than in 2002 due largely to our acquisitions of 5 office buildings, 3 retail properties and one industrial property in 2002 and 2003, which added 1,072,000 square feet of net rentable space. These acquired properties contributed \$11.2 million in NOI in 2003 (9.7% of total NOI).

Core properties experienced a \$1.8 million (1.7%) decrease in NOI due to a \$0.6 million decline in revenues combined with a \$1.2 million increase in real estate expenses. The revenue decline was driven by increased vacancy in the Industrial, Multifamily and Office portfolios. The increase in core expenses was driven by the Multifamily and Retail sectors, which contributed \$0.7 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, to the increase as a result of increased utilities, property administrative costs, common area maintenance and operating services and supplies. Overall economic occupancy declined from 91% in 2002 to 90% in 2003. Core economic occupancy declined from 91% in 2002 to 89% in 2003. An analysis of NOI by sector follows.

Office Sector

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| | 2003 | 2002 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Core | \$ 78,229 | \$ 78,462 | \$ (233) | (0.3%) |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 8,510 | 853 | 7,657 | 897.7% |
| Total Real Estate Rental Revenue | \$ 86,739 | \$ 79,315 | \$ 7,424 | 9.4% |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Core | \$ 23,866 | \$ 23,865 | \$ 1 | 0.0% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 2,126 | 249 | 1,877 | 753.8% |
| Total Real Estate Expenses | \$ 25,992 | \$ 24,114 | \$ 1,878 | 7.8% |
| Net Operating Income | | | | |
| Core | \$ 54,363 | \$ 54,596 | \$ (234) | (0.4%) |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 6,384 | 605 | 5,780 | 955.4% |
| Total Net Operating Income | \$ 60,747 | \$ 55,201 | \$ 5,546 | 10.0% |

**Reconciliation to Income
from Continuing Operations**

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| NOI | \$ 60,747 | \$ 55,201 |
| Other revenue | — | — |
| Interest expense | (2,083) | (1,621) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (20,258) | (15,866) |
| General and administrative expenses | — | — |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 38,406 | \$ 37,714 |

| Economic Occupancy | 2003 | 2002 |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|
| Core | 87.7% | 88.8% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 92.5% | 82.6% |
| Total | 88.1% | 88.7% |

(1) Non-core properties include:
2003 acquisitions—1776 G Street, Prosperity Medical Center I, Prosperity Medical Center II,
Prosperity Medical Center III
2002 acquisitions—The Atrium Building

The office sector recognized NOI of \$60.7 million in 2003, which was \$5.5 million (10.0%) higher than in 2002 due primarily to WRIT's acquisition of the Atrium Building in 2002, 1776 G Street in August 2003 and Prosperity Medical Center in October 2003. The properties acquired in 2003 contributed \$4.4 million to NOI, while NOI for the Atrium Building increased \$1.3 million in 2003 over 2002.

Core office properties experienced a \$0.2 million (0.4%) decrease in NOI due to a \$0.2 million decline in revenues, while Core real estate expenses remained flat at \$23.9 million. Core rental rates increased \$1.1 million due to a 1% average increase in rates. The rental rate increase was driven by lease renewals at higher rates at several Maryland and Washington, D.C. properties, offset partially by rental rate decreases at several Northern Virginia properties, due to the lease-up of vacant space at lower contractual rates and a decline in market rates in that market. Core vacancy increased \$1.0 million due to occupancy declines at all but seven of the properties. The lease-up of 116,000 square feet of vacant space at 7900 Westpark Drive in March 2003 and an additional 13,500 square feet in December 2003 to Sunrise Senior Living, Inc. partially offset this reduction in occupancy.

Both overall and core economic occupancy for the Office sector declined from 89% in 2002 to 88% in 2003.

During 2003, we executed new leases for 865,000 square feet of office space at an average rent increase of 10%.

Retail Sector

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| | 2003 | 2002 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Core | \$21,479 | \$21,053 | \$ 426 | 2.0% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 4,995 | 2,776 | 2,219 | 79.9% |
| Total Real Estate Rental Revenue | \$26,474 | \$23,829 | \$2,645 | 11.1% |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Core | \$ 4,744 | \$ 4,321 | \$ 423 | 9.8% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 1,177 | 545 | 632 | 116.0% |
| Total Real Estate Expenses | \$ 5,921 | \$ 4,866 | \$1,055 | 21.7% |
| Net Operating Income | | | | |
| Core | \$16,735 | \$16,732 | \$ 3 | 0.0% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 3,818 | 2,231 | 1,587 | 71.1% |
| Total Net Operating Income | \$20,553 | \$18,963 | \$1,590 | 8.4% |

**Reconciliation to Income
from Continuing Operations**

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| NOI | \$20,553 | \$18,963 |
| Other revenue | — | — |
| Interest expense | — | (405) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (3,975) | (3,021) |
| General and administrative expenses | — | — |
| Income from continuing operations | \$16,578 | \$15,537 |

| Economic Occupancy | 2003 | 2002 |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|
| Core | 95.8% | 94.6% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 96.5% | 96.2% |
| Total | 96.0% | 94.8% |

(1) Non-core properties include:
2003 acquisitions—718 Jefferson Street
2002 acquisitions—1620 Wilson Boulevard and Centre at Hagerstown

The retail sector recognized NOI of \$20.6 million in 2003, which was \$1.6 million (8.4%) greater than in 2002 due to WRIT's acquisition of Centre at Hagerstown in June 2002.

NOI for core properties was flat at \$16.7 million due to a \$0.4 million increase in both revenues and expenses. Core retail revenues increased \$0.4 million or 2.0%, due primarily to the average 3% increase in rental rates, combined with a 1% occupancy gain. Increases in rate and occupancy totaling \$0.7 million were partially offset by decreased lease termination fee income and lower percentage rent. Core real estate expenses increased \$0.4 million due primarily to higher common-area maintenance costs and real estate taxes.

During 2003, we executed new leases for 274,000 square feet of retail space at an average rent increase of 31%.

Multifamily Sector

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| | 2003 | 2002 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Core | \$28,266 | \$28,530 | \$(264) | (0.9%) |
| Total Real Estate Rental Revenue | \$28,266 | \$28,530 | \$(264) | (0.9%) |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Core | \$10,860 | \$10,148 | \$ 712 | 7.0% |
| Total Real Estate Expenses | \$10,860 | \$10,148 | \$ 712 | 7.0% |
| Net Operating Income | | | | |
| Core | \$17,406 | \$18,382 | \$(976) | (5.3%) |
| Total Net Operating Income | \$17,406 | \$18,382 | \$(976) | (5.3%) |

**Reconciliation to Income
from Continuing Operations**

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| NOI | \$17,406 | \$18,382 |
| Other revenue | — | — |
| Interest expense | (4,284) | (4,300) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (4,550) | (4,128) |
| General and administrative expenses | — | — |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 8,572 | \$ 9,954 |

| Economic Occupancy | 2003 | 2002 |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|
| Core/Total | 90.8% | 93.7% |

Multifamily revenues declined \$0.3 million due primarily to the renovation of 46 units taken off-market at The Ashby at McLean in the second half of 2003. At December 31, 2003, 22 units were renovated and available for lease. The vacancy impact of these units was \$0.6 million, or 64% of this sector's \$0.9 million decrease in economic occupancy in 2003 versus 2002. All but two of the Multifamily properties experienced occupancy reductions resulting in a 3% decline in economic occupancy, while rental rates increased an average of 2%. Real estate expenses increased \$0.7 million (7.0%) due primarily to increased marketing, heating and snow-removal costs in 2003 due to the marketing of new units at The Ashby at McLean and Walker House and the unusually harsh winter.

Industrial Sector

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| | 2003 | 2002 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Core | \$20,694 | \$21,255 | \$ (561) | (2.6%) |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 1,232 | — | 1,232 | 100.0% |
| Total Real Estate Rental Revenue | \$21,926 | \$21,255 | \$ 671 | 3.2% |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Core | \$ 4,807 | \$ 4,777 | \$ 30 | 0.6% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 282 | — | 282 | 100.0% |
| Total Real Estate Expenses | \$ 5,089 | \$ 4,777 | \$ 312 | 6.5% |
| Net Operating Income | | | | |
| Core | \$15,887 | \$16,478 | \$ (591) | (3.6%) |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 950 | — | 950 | 100.0% |
| Total Net Operating Income | \$16,837 | \$16,478 | \$ 359 | 2.2% |

**Reconciliation to Income
from Continuing Operations**

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| NOI | \$16,837 | \$16,478 |
| Other revenue | — | — |
| Interest expense | (1,008) | (641) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (5,467) | (4,930) |
| General and administrative expenses | — | — |
| Income from continuing operations | \$10,362 | \$10,907 |

| Economic Occupancy | 2003 | 2002 |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|
| Core | 87.8% | 93.7% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 95.1% | n/a |
| Total | 88.2% | 93.7% |

(1) Non-core properties include Fullerton Industrial, acquired in 2003.

The industrial sector recognized NOI of \$16.8 million in 2003, which was \$0.4 million (2.2%) greater than in 2002 due to the acquisition of Fullerton Industrial in January 2003, which contributed \$1.0 million in NOI.

Core properties experienced a \$0.6 million (3.6%) decrease in NOI due to a \$0.6 million decline in revenues, while real estate expenses remained relatively flat at \$4.8 million. Core revenues declined \$0.6 million due primarily to the decline in occupancy from 94% in 2002 to 88% in 2003. The increased vacancy was offset partially by core rental rate increases totaling \$0.8 million due to a 4% average increase in rental rates.

During 2003, we executed new leases for 574,000 square feet of industrial space at an average rent increase of 2%.

2002 VERSUS 2001

The following tables of selected operating data provide the basis for our discussion of NOI in 2002 compared to 2001. All amounts are in thousands except for percentage amounts.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| | 2002 | 2001 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Core | \$138,806 | \$140,649 | \$(1,843) | (1.3%) |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 14,123 | 6,634 | 7,489 | 112.9% |
| Total Real Estate Rental Revenue | \$152,929 | \$147,283 | \$ 5,646 | 3.8% |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Core | \$ 40,287 | \$ 39,571 | \$ 716 | 1.8% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 3,618 | 2,162 | 1,456 | 67.3% |
| Total Real Estate Expenses | \$ 43,905 | \$ 41,733 | \$ 2,172 | 5.2% |
| Net Operating Income | | | | |
| Core | \$ 98,519 | \$101,078 | \$(2,559) | (2.5%) |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 10,505 | 4,472 | 6,033 | 134.9% |
| Total Net Operating Income | \$109,024 | \$105,550 | \$ 3,474 | 3.3% |

**Reconciliation to Income
from Continuing Operations**

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| NOI | \$109,024 | \$105,550 |
| Other revenue | 680 | 1,686 |
| Interest expense | (27,849) | (27,071) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (29,200) | (26,640) |
| General and administrative expenses | (4,575) | (6,100) |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 48,080 | \$ 47,425 |

| Economic Occupancy | 2002 | 2001 |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|
| Core | 90.7% | 96.8% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 96.1% | 97.0% |
| Total | 91.1% | 96.8% |

(1) Non-core properties include:

2002 acquisitions—The Atrium Building, 1620 Wilson Boulevard and Centre at Hagerstown

2001 acquisitions—1611 North Clarendon, One Central Plaza, Sullyfield Commerce Center

2001 dispositions—10400 Connecticut Avenue

NOI increased \$3.5 million (3.3%) to \$109.0 million in 2002 as compared to \$105.6 million in 2001 due largely to the acquisitions of 2 office buildings, 2 retail properties, 1 multifamily property and 1 industrial property in 2001 and 2002, which added 946,000 square feet of net rentable space. These acquired properties contributed \$10.5 million in NOI in 2002 (9.6% of total NOI). Core properties experienced a \$2.6 million (2.5%) decrease in NOI due to a \$1.8 million decline in revenues combined with a \$0.7 million increase in real estate expenses. The revenue decline was driven by lower core real estate revenue of \$3.9 million in the Office sector and \$0.8 million in the Industrial sector due primarily to increased vacancies. The increase in core real estate expenses was driven by the Multifamily (\$0.4 million) and Retail (\$0.3 million) sectors due to increased real estate taxes and property administrative costs. Both overall and core economic occupancy declined from 97% in 2001 to 91% in 2002. An analysis of NOI by sector follows.

Office Sector

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| | 2002 | 2001 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Core | \$ 70,944 | \$ 74,794 | \$(3,850) | (5.1%) |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 8,371 | 6,229 | 2,142 | 34.4% |
| Total Real Estate Rental Revenue | \$ 79,315 | \$ 81,023 | \$(1,708) | (2.1%) |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Core | \$ 21,660 | \$ 21,790 | \$ (130) | (0.6%) |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 2,454 | 2,061 | 393 | 19.1% |
| Total Real Estate Expenses | \$ 24,114 | \$ 23,851 | \$ 263 | 1.1% |
| Net Operating Income | | | | |
| Core | \$ 49,284 | \$ 53,004 | \$(3,720) | (7.0%) |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 5,917 | 4,168 | 1,749 | 42.0% |
| Total Net Operating Income | \$ 55,201 | \$ 57,172 | \$(1,971) | (3.4%) |

**Reconciliation to Income
from Continuing Operations**

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| NOI | \$ 55,201 | \$ 57,172 |
| Other revenue | — | 499 |
| Interest expense | (1,621) | (1,595) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (15,866) | (15,195) |
| General and administrative expenses | — | — |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 37,714 | \$ 40,881 |

| Economic Occupancy | 2002 | 2001 |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|
| Core | 88.1% | 97.4% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 94.9% | 97.4% |
| Total | 88.7% | 97.4% |

(1) Non-core properties include:
2002 acquisitions—The Atrium Building
2001 acquisitions—One Central Plaza
2001 dispositions—10400 Connecticut Avenue

During 2002, our office building revenues and NOI decreased by 2.1% and 3.4%, respectively, from 2001. These decreases were primarily due to decreased core revenue and NOI of \$3.9 million and \$3.7 million, respectively, as a result of lower occupancy levels, offset in part by the April 2001 acquisition of One Central Plaza and the July 2002 acquisition of the Atrium Building. Occupancy levels decreased significantly from 97% in 2001 to 89% in 2002 due primarily to 156,000 square feet of vacant space at 7900 Westpark Drive effective December 31, 2001.

Core revenues and NOI decreased 5.1% and 7.0%, respectively from 2001 to 2002. Rental rate increases throughout the office portfolio averaged 5%. These increases were offset by decreases in revenue and operating income which were the result of lower occupancy levels, primarily the large vacancy at 7900 Westpark Drive discussed above, decreased operating expense reimbursement income due to lower occupancy, decreased antenna rent as a result of the bankruptcy of several providers and increased repairs, maintenance and insurance costs.

During 2002, we executed new leases for 569,000 square feet of office space at an average rent increase of 11%.

Retail

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| | 2002 | 2001 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Core | \$21,053 | \$19,244 | \$1,809 | 9.4% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 2,776 | — | 2,776 | 100.0% |
| Total Real Estate Rental Revenue | \$23,829 | \$19,244 | \$4,585 | 23.8% |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Core | \$ 4,321 | \$ 3,996 | \$ 325 | 8.1% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 545 | — | 545 | 100.0% |
| Total Real Estate Expenses | \$ 4,866 | \$ 3,996 | \$ 870 | 21.8% |
| Net Operating Income | | | | |
| Core | \$16,732 | \$15,248 | \$1,484 | 9.7% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 2,231 | — | 2,231 | 100.0% |
| Total Net Operating Income | \$18,963 | \$15,248 | \$3,715 | 24.4% |

**Reconciliation to Income
from Continuing Operations**

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| NOI | \$18,963 | \$15,248 |
| Other revenue | — | 10 |
| Interest expense | (405) | (635) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (3,021) | (2,339) |
| General and administrative expenses | — | — |
| Income from continuing operations | \$15,537 | \$12,284 |

| Economic Occupancy | 2002 | 2001 |
|---------------------------|-------|--------|
| Core | 94.6% | 95.7% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 96.2% | 100.0% |
| Total | 94.8% | 95.7% |

(1) Non-core properties include 1620 Wilson Boulevard and Centre at Hagerstown, acquired in 2002.

During 2002, our retail center revenues and NOI increased 24% over 2001. The change was primarily attributable to the June 2002 acquisition of the Centre at Hagerstown and increased rental rates across the retail center portfolio. Occupancy levels decreased slightly from 96% in 2001 to 95% in 2002.

Core retail center revenues and operating income increased 9.4% and 9.7%, respectively, from 2001 to 2002, due primarily to the 6% growth in retail center rental rates, other income in the form of lease termination fees and increased percentage rent, offset by a \$0.3 million increase in operating expenses due to increased real estate taxes, property administration costs and insurance. Economic occupancy rates for the core group of retail properties averaged 95% in 2002 and 96% in 2001.

During 2002, we executed new leases for 203,000 square feet of retail space at an average rent increase of 24%.

Multifamily Sector

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| | 2002 | 2001 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Core | \$28,513 | \$27,449 | \$1,064 | 3.9% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 17 | 6 | 11 | 183.3% |
| Total Real Estate Rental Revenue | \$28,530 | \$27,455 | \$1,075 | 3.9% |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Core | \$10,135 | \$ 9,742 | \$ 393 | 4.0% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 13 | 12 | 1 | 8.3% |
| Total Real Estate Expenses | \$10,148 | \$ 9,754 | \$ 394 | 4.0% |
| Net Operating Income | | | | |
| Core | \$18,378 | \$17,707 | \$ 671 | 3.8% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 4 | (6) | 10 | 166.7% |
| Total Net Operating Income | \$18,382 | \$17,701 | \$ 681 | 3.8% |

**Reconciliation to Income
from Continuing Operations**

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| NOI | \$18,382 | \$17,701 |
| Other revenue | — | 22 |
| Interest expense | (4,300) | (4,315) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (4,128) | (3,836) |
| General and administrative expenses | — | — |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 9,954 | \$ 9,572 |

| Economic Occupancy | 2002 | 2001 |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|
| Core | 93.7% | 94.9% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | n/a | 0.0% |
| Total | 93.7% | 94.8% |

(1) Non-core properties include 1611 North Clarendon, acquired in 2001.

Multifamily revenues and operating income increased by 4% in 2002 over 2001. These increases were primarily the result of the 6% rental rate increase throughout the multifamily portfolio, offset by declining occupancy levels. Economic occupancy rates for multifamily properties averaged 94% in 2002 and 95% in 2001.

Core real estate expenses increased \$0.4 million due primarily to higher real estate taxes, property administrative costs and insurance.

Industrial Sector

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| | 2002 | 2001 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Real Estate Rental Revenue | | | | |
| Core | \$18,296 | \$19,162 | \$ (866) | (4.5%) |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 2,959 | 399 | 2,560 | 641.6% |
| Total Real Estate Rental Revenue | \$21,255 | \$19,561 | \$1,694 | 8.7% |
| Real Estate Expenses | | | | |
| Core | \$ 4,171 | \$ 4,043 | \$ 128 | 3.2% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 606 | 89 | 517 | 580.9% |
| Total Real Estate Expenses | \$ 4,777 | \$ 4,132 | \$ 645 | 15.6% |
| Net Operating Income | | | | |
| Core | \$14,125 | \$15,119 | \$ (994) | (6.6%) |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 2,353 | 310 | 2,043 | 659.0% |
| Total Net Operating Income | \$16,478 | \$15,429 | \$1,049 | 6.8% |

Reconciliation to Income from Continuing Operations

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| NOI | \$16,478 | \$15,429 |
| Other revenue | — | 6 |
| Interest expense | (641) | (104) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (4,930) | (4,078) |
| General and administrative expenses | — | — |
| Income from continuing operations | \$10,907 | \$11,253 |

| Economic Occupancy | 2002 | 2001 |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|
| Core | 92.7% | 98.7% |
| Non-core ⁽¹⁾ | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Total | 93.7% | 98.8% |

(1) Non-core properties include Sullyfield Commerce Center, acquired in 2001.

Our industrial/flex revenues and NOI increased by 9% and 7%, respectively, in 2002 over 2001. These increases were primarily due to the 2001 acquisition of Sullyfield Commerce Center and increased rental rates across the sector. Occupancy levels decreased from 99% in 2001 to 94% in 2002 as a result of declines throughout the portfolio due primarily to more unfavorable economic conditions in 2002.

Core revenues and NOI decreased 4.5% and 6.6%, respectively, from 2001 to 2002 primarily as a result of decreased occupancy levels at most properties, offset by an average 4% increase in rental rates. Economic occupancy rates for the core group of industrial/flex properties averaged 93% in 2002 compared to 99% in 2001.

During 2002, we executed new leases for 544,000 square feet of industrial space at an average rent increase of 26%.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**General**

Our primary sources of liquidity are our real estate operations and our unsecured credit facilities. As of December 31, 2003, we had approximately \$5.5 million in cash and cash equivalents and \$75 million available for borrowing under our unsecured credit facilities. We derive substantially all of our revenue from tenants under leases at our properties. Our operating cash flow therefore depends materially on our ability to lease our properties to tenants, the rents that we are able to charge to our tenants, and the ability of these tenants to make their rental payments.

Our primary uses of cash are to fund distributions to shareholders, to fund capital investments in our existing portfolio of operating assets, to fund operating and administrative expenses, and to fund new acquisitions and redevelopment activities. As a REIT, we are required to distribute at least 90% of our taxable income to our stockholders on an annual basis. We also regularly require capital to invest in our existing portfolio of operating assets in connection with large-scale renovations, routine capital improvements, deferred maintenance on properties we have recently acquired, and our leasing activities, including funding tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions. The amounts of the leasing-related expenditures can vary significantly depending on negotiations with tenants and the current competitive leasing environment.

During 2004, we expect that we will have significant capital requirements, including the following items. There can be no assurance that our capital requirements will not be materially higher or lower than these expectations.

- Funding dividends on our common shares and minority interest distributions to third party unit holders;
- Approximately \$18 million to invest in our existing portfolio of operating assets, including approximately \$2 million to fund tenant-related capital requirements;
- Approximately \$25 million to invest in our development projects;
- Approximately \$100 million to fund our expected property acquisitions;
- \$55 million to retire our 7.78% senior unsecured notes maturing November 2004, which we expect to pay at or before the scheduled maturity date from the proceeds of a new financing or borrowings under our credit facilities.

We expect to meet our capital requirements using cash generated by our real estate operations and through borrowings on our unsecured credit facilities. We could also raise additional debt or equity capital in the public market or fund acquisitions of properties through property-specific mortgage debt.

We believe that we will generate sufficient cash flow from operations and have access to the capital resources necessary to expand and develop our business, to fund our operating and administrative expenses, to continue to meet our debt service obligations, to pay dividends in accordance with REIT requirements, to acquire additional properties, and to pay for construction in progress. However, as a result of general economic downturns, if our credit rating is downgraded, or if our properties do not perform as expected, we may not generate sufficient cash flow from operations or otherwise have access to capital on favorable terms, or at all. If we are unable to obtain capital from other sources, we may not be able to pay the dividend required to maintain our status as a REIT, make required principal and interest payments, make strategic acquisitions or make necessary routine capital improvements with respect to our existing portfolio of operating assets. In addition, if a property is mortgaged to secure payment of indebtedness and we are unable to meet mortgage payments, the holder of the mortgage could foreclose on the property, resulting in loss of income and asset value.

If principal amounts due at maturity cannot be refinanced, extended or paid with proceeds of other capital transactions, such as new equity capital, our cash flow may be insufficient to repay all maturing debt. Prevailing interest rates or other factors at the time of a refinancing (such as possible reluctance of lenders to make commercial real estate loans) may result in higher interest rates and increased interest expense.

Capital Structure

We manage our capital structure to reflect a long-term investment approach, generally seeking to match the cash flow of our assets with a mix of equity and various debt instruments. We expect that our capital structure will allow us to obtain additional capital from diverse sources that could include additional equity offerings of common shares, public and private debt financings and possible asset dispositions. Our ability to raise funds through the sale of debt and

equity securities is dependent on, among other things, general economic conditions, general market conditions for REITs, our operating performance, our debt rating and the current trading price of our shares. We will always analyze which source of capital is most advantageous to us at any particular point in time, however, the capital markets may not consistently be available on terms that are attractive.

In March and December 2003, respectively, we issued \$60 million of 5.125% and \$100 million of 5.25%, senior unsecured notes. Also in December, we issued 2.2 million shares of common stock for net proceeds of approximately \$63 million.

Debt Financing

We generally use unsecured, corporate-level debt, including senior unsecured notes and our unsecured credit facilities, to meet our borrowing needs. We generally use fixed rate debt instruments in order to match the returns from our real estate assets. We also utilize variable rate debt for short-term financing purposes. At times, our mix of variable and fixed rate debt may not suit our needs. At those times, we may use derivative financial instruments including interest rate swaps and caps, forward interest rate options or interest rate options in order to assist us in managing our debt mix. We would either hedge our variable rate debt to give it a fixed interest rate or hedge fixed rate debt to give it a variable interest rate. At December 31, 2003, there were no derivative securities outstanding.

Typically we have obtained the ratings of two credit rating agencies in the underwriting of our unsecured debt. As of December 31, 2003, Standard & Poors had assigned its A- rating to our senior unsecured debt offerings. Moody's Investor Service has assigned its Baa1 rating to our senior unsecured debt offerings. A downgrade in rating by either of these rating agencies could result from, among other things, a change in our financial position, or a downturn in general economic conditions. Any such downgrade could adversely affect our ability to obtain future financing or could increase the interest rates on our existing variable rate debt. However, we have no debt instruments under which the principal maturity would be accelerated upon a downward change in our debt rating. A security rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities. It may be subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the assigning rating organization. Each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating.

Our total debt at December 31, 2003 is summarized as follows:

| (In thousands) | |
|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Fixed rate mortgages | \$142,182 |
| Unsecured credit facilities | — |
| Senior unsecured notes | 375,000 |
| | <u>\$517,182</u> |

The \$142.2 million in fixed rate mortgages, which includes \$0.7 million in unamortized premiums due to fair value adjustments, bore an effective weighted average interest rate of 6.5% at December 31, 2003 and had a weighted average maturity of 7.9 years. There were no borrowings outstanding on our unsecured credit facilities at December 31, 2003.

Our primary external source of liquidity is our two revolving credit facilities. The first, Credit Facility No. 1, is a two-year, \$25 million unsecured credit facility expiring in July 2004. The second, Credit Facility No. 2, is a three-year \$50 million unsecured credit facility expiring in July 2005. The facilities carry an interest rate of 70 basis points over LIBOR, or 1.85% as of December 31, 2003. There were no outstanding balances under either credit facility at December 31, 2003.

Our unsecured credit facilities contain financial and other covenants with which we must comply. Some of these covenants include:

- A minimum ratio of annual EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization) to interest expense;
- A minimum ratio of tangible fair market value of our unencumbered assets to aggregate unsecured debt; and
- A maximum ratio of total debt to tangible fair market value of our assets.

Failure to comply with any of the covenants under our unsecured credit facilities or other debt instruments could result in a default under one or more of our debt instruments. This could cause our lenders to accelerate the timing of payments and would therefore have a material adverse effect on our business, operations, financial condition or liquidity.

As of December 31, 2003, we were in compliance with our loan covenants, however, our ability to draw on our unsecured credit facility or incur other unsecured debt in the future could be restricted by the loan covenants.

We have senior unsecured notes outstanding at December 31, 2003 as follows:

| (In thousands) | Note Principal |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| 7.78% notes due 2004 | \$ 55,000 |
| 7.25% notes due 2006 | 50,000 |
| 6.74% notes due 2008 | 60,000 |
| 5.125% notes due 2013 | 60,000 |
| 5.25% notes due 2014 | 100,000 |
| 7.25% notes due 2028 | 50,000 |
| | <u>\$375,000</u> |

Our senior unsecured notes contain covenants with which we must comply. These include:

- Limits on our total indebtedness;
- Limits on our secured indebtedness;
- Limits on our required debt service payments; and
- Maintenance of a minimum level of unencumbered assets.

We are in compliance with our senior unsecured notes covenants as of December 31, 2003.

As noted above, \$55 million of senior unsecured notes mature in November 2004. We expect to pay the unsecured notes at or before the scheduled maturity date from proceeds of a new financing or credit facility borrowings.

Dividends

We pay dividends quarterly. The maintenance of these dividends is subject to various factors, including the discretion of the Board of Trustees, the ability to pay dividends under Maryland law, the availability of cash to make the necessary dividend payments and the effect of REIT distribution requirements, which require at least 90% of our taxable income to be distributed to shareholders. The table below details our dividend and distribution payments for 2003 and 2002.

| (In thousands) | 2003 | 2002 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Common dividends | \$58,605 | \$54,352 |
| Minority interest distributions | 89 | 215 |
| | <u>\$58,694</u> | <u>\$54,567</u> |

Cash flows from operations is an important factor in our ability to sustain our dividend at its current rate. Cash flows from operations increased from \$70.3 million in 2002 to \$76.4 million in 2003 due in part to acquisitions completed in 2003. If our cash flows from operations were to decline significantly, we may be unable to sustain our dividend payment at its current rate.

Capital Commitments

We will require capital for development and redevelopment projects currently underway and in the future. As of December 31, 2003, we had a residential project with 224 apartment units (WRIT Rosslyn Center) and a mixed-use project with 75 residential units and 3,000 square feet of retail space (South Washington Street) under development. Our total investment in WRIT Rosslyn Center is expected to be \$53 million. As of December 31, 2003, we had invested \$3 million in this project and we expect to fund approximately \$24 million of the total project costs during 2004. Our total investment in South Washington Street is expected to be \$17 million. As of December 31, 2003, we had invested \$0.6 million in this project and we expect to fund approximately \$1.0 million of the total project costs during 2004. In addition, we anticipate funding an additional \$3.2 million for smaller redevelopment projects within our existing portfolio during 2004. We expect that our credit facilities will provide the additional funds required to complete existing development projects and to finance the costs of additional projects we may undertake. On March 10, 2004, we acquired 8880 Gorman Road, a 140,700 square foot industrial property located in Laurel, Maryland for \$11.5 million utilizing funds from a draw on one of these credit facilities.

Below is a summary of certain contractual obligations that will require significant capital:

| (In thousands) | Payments Due by Period | | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|
| | Total | Less than 1 Year | 1-3 Years | 3-5 Years | After 5 Years |
| Contractual Obligations | | | | | |
| Long-term debt ⁽¹⁾ | \$740,516 | \$87,320 | \$133,461 | \$105,194 | \$414,541 |
| Purchase obligations ⁽²⁾ | 7,218 | 949 | 974 | 1,033 | 4,262 |
| Estimated development commitments ⁽³⁾ | 4,798 | 4,798 | — | — | — |
| Tenant-related capital ⁽⁴⁾ | 1,437 | 1,437 | — | — | — |
| Building capital ⁽⁵⁾ | 4,264 | 4,264 | — | — | — |
| Operating leases | 53 | 23 | 30 | — | — |

(1) See Notes 4, 5 and 6 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Amounts include principal, interest and unused commitment fees.

(2) Represent elevator maintenance contracts with terms through 2015.

(3) Committed development obligations based on contracts in place as of December 31, 2003.

(4) Committed tenant-related capital based on executed leases as of December 31, 2003.

(5) Committed building capital additions based on contracts in place as of December 31, 2003.

We have various standing or renewable contracts with vendors. The majority of these contracts are cancelable with immaterial or no cancellation penalties, with the exception of our elevator maintenance agreements which are included above on the purchase obligations line. Contract terms on cancelable leases are generally one year or less. Our elevator maintenance contracts extend through 2015. We are currently committed to fund tenant-related capital improvements as described in the table above for executed leases. However, expected leasing levels could require additional tenant-related capital improvements which are not currently committed. We expect that total tenant-related capital improvements, including those already committed, will be approximately \$2 million in 2004. Due to the competitive office leasing market and higher vacancy rates, we expect that tenant-related capital costs will continue to remain high into 2005.

Historical Cash Flows

Consolidated cash flow information is summarized as follows:

| (In millions) | For the Year Ended December 31, | | | Variance | |
|--|---------------------------------|---------|---------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 | 2003 vs. 2002 | 2002 vs. 2001 |
| Cash provided by operating activities | \$ 76.4 | \$ 70.3 | \$ 74.7 | \$ 6.1 | \$ (4.4) |
| Cash used in investing activities | (147.5) | (77.5) | (65.7) | (70.0) | (11.8) |
| Cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 63.5 | (6.2) | 11.0 | 69.7 | (17.2) |

Operations generated \$76.4 million of net cash in 2003 compared to \$70.3 million in 2002 and \$74.7 million in 2001. The increase in cash flow from 2002 to 2003 was due primarily to the additional revenues from assets acquired in 2003. The decrease in cash flow from 2001 to 2002 was due primarily to decreases in occupancy throughout the portfolio, and decreased operating income as a result of a property sold. The level of net cash provided by operating activities is also affected by the timing of receipt of revenues and payment of expenses.

Our investing activities used net cash of \$147.5 million in 2003, \$77.5 million in 2002 and \$65.7 million in 2001. The change in cash flows from investing activities in 2003 is due primarily to real estate acquisitions, net of assumed mortgages. The change in cash flows from investing activities in 2002 was due primarily to increased capital improvement expenditures (\$25.1 million), as well as net cash received for sale of real estate (\$5.8 million).

Our financing activities provided net cash of \$63.5 million in 2003, used net cash of \$6.2 million in 2002, and provided net cash of \$11.0 million in 2001. The increase in net cash provided by financing activities in 2003 from 2002 is due primarily to proceeds raised in common share equity and unsecured debt offerings. The funds were used to pay off short-term borrowings and refinance notes payable with a maturity in 2003. The difference in net cash used by financing activities in 2002 from 2001 was due primarily to repayment of the Frederick County Square mortgage, a decline in proceeds from the exercise of share options and an increase in dividends paid.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS

Capital improvements of \$27.4 million were completed in 2003, including tenant improvements. Capital improvements to our properties in 2002 and 2001 were approximately \$25.1 million and \$14.0 million, respectively.

Our capital improvement costs for 2001–2003 were as follows (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 |
| Accretive capital improvements: | | | |
| Acquisition related | \$ 612 | \$ 1,360 | \$ 3,528 |
| Expansions and major renovations/development | 10,747 | 11,645 | 2,287 |
| Tenant improvements | 9,506 | 4,010 | 2,871 |
| Total accretive capital improvements | 20,865 | 17,015 | 8,686 |
| Other | 6,548 | 8,068 | 5,329 |
| Total | \$27,413 | \$25,083 | \$14,015 |

Accretive Capital Improvements

Acquisition Related—These are capital improvements to properties acquired during the current and preceding two years which were anticipated at the time we acquired the properties. In 2003, the most significant of these improvements were made to The Atrium Building, Fullerton Industrial Center and Centre at Hagerstown. In 2002, the most significant of these improvements were made to One Central Plaza, Sullyfield Commerce Center and Courthouse Square. In 2001, the most significant of these improvements were made to Wayne Plaza, One Central Plaza, Courthouse Square and Avondale Apartments.

Expansions and Major Renovations/Development—Expansions increase the rentable area of a property. Major renovations are improvements sufficient to increase the income otherwise achievable at a property. 2003 expansions and major renovations included a lobby renovation at One Central Plaza, a 46-unit apartment renovation at The Ashby at McLean, continuation of the façade renovation at 1901 Pennsylvania Avenue, the addition of 16 apartment units at Walker House and a change to the entrance design at Country Club Towers. 2002 expansions and major renovations included costs incurred for a lobby renovation at 51 Monroe Street, the façade renovation at 1901 Pennsylvania Avenue and a façade renovation and roof replacement at Westminster Shopping Center. Expansion costs in 2001 included a façade renovation of Westminster Shopping Center.

In February 2001, we acquired an apartment building at 1611 North Clarendon Boulevard adjacent to our 1600 Wilson Boulevard office property and 1620 Wilson Boulevard retail property with the intent of developing a high-rise apartment building on that site utilizing the available density rights from both properties. This planned 224 unit development effort is referred to as WRIT Rosslyn Center and completion is expected in mid 2005. Development costs in each of the years presented included costs associated with the development of WRIT Rosslyn Center. In May 2003, we acquired 718 E. Jefferson Street to complete our ownership of the entire block of 800 S. Washington Street, with the intent of developing a mixed-use property with 75 apartment units and 3,000 square feet of retail space. Completion of South Washington Street is expected in early 2006. Development costs in 2003 and 2002 included costs associated with the development of this project.

Tenant Improvements—Tenant Improvements are costs associated with commercial lease transactions such as carpeting and other space build-out.

Our average Tenant Improvement Costs for 2001–2003 per square foot of space leased were as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------|--------|
| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 |
| Office Buildings | \$9.81 | \$4.58 | \$4.56 |
| Retail Centers | \$0.81 | \$1.76 | \$2.65 |
| Industrial/Flex Properties | \$1.91 | \$0.50 | \$0.17 |

The \$5.23 increase in average tenant improvement costs per square foot of space leased for office buildings in 2003 as compared to 2002 is primarily due to the payment of \$3.5 million to one tenant at 7900 Westpark. The retail and industrial tenant improvement costs are substantially lower than office improvement costs due to the tenant improvements required in these property types being substantially less extensive than in office. Approximately 63% of our office tenants renewed their leases with us in 2003. Renewing tenants generally require minimal tenant improvements. In addition, lower tenant improvement costs are one of the many benefits of our focus on leasing to smaller office tenants. Smaller office suites have limited configuration alternatives. Therefore, we are often able to lease an existing suite with limited tenant improvements.

Other Capital Improvements

Other Capital Improvements are those not included in the above categories. These are also referred to as recurring capital improvements. Over time these costs will be re-incurred to maintain a property's income and value. In our residential properties, these include new appliances, flooring, cabinets, bathroom fixtures, and the like. These improvements which are made as needed upon vacancy of an apartment totaled \$1.2 million in 2003 and averaged \$1,409 for the 42% of apartments turned over in 2003. In addition, during 2003, we incurred repair and maintenance expenses of \$6.5 million that were not capitalized, to maintain the quality of our buildings.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report contains forward-looking statements which involve risks and uncertainties. Such forward looking statements include the following statements with respect to the greater Washington real estate markets: (a) the expectation in time of more pro-active leasing by the government, (b) increased spending by the Federal government is expected to drive regional economic growth; (c) office sector rents are expected to remain flat in the District of Columbia and will begin to stabilize in suburban Maryland submarkets and Northern Virginia; (d) the overall office sector vacancy rate is projected to remain in the 11% range over the next two years; (e) multifamily sector rents are expected to stabilize over the next 12 months; (f) the Washington Metro area market continues to be a strong retail market; (g) industrial sector rents are projected to remain flat in 2004 as vacancy rates hold steady; and (h) the regional industrial vacancy rate is projected to remain stable through year-end 2004. Such forward looking statements also include the following statements with respect to WRIT: (a) our intention to invest in properties that we believe will increase in income and value; (b) our belief that external sources of capital will continue to be available and that additional sources of capital will be available from the sale of shares or notes; and (c) our belief that we have the liquidity and capital resources necessary to meet our known obligations and to make additional property acquisitions and capital improvements when appropriate to enhance long-term growth. Forward looking statements also include other statements in this report preceded by, followed by or that include the words "believe," "expect," "intend," "anticipate," "potential," "project," "will" and other similar expressions.

We claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 for the foregoing statements. The following important factors, in addition to those discussed elsewhere in this Annual Report, could affect our future results and could cause those results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements: (a) the economic health of our tenants; (b) the economic health of the Greater Washington-Baltimore region, or other markets we may enter, including the effects of changes in Federal government spending; (c) the supply of competing properties; (d) inflation; (e) consumer confidence; (f) unemployment rates; (g) consumer tastes and preferences; (h) stock price and interest rate fluctuations; (i) our future capital requirements; (j) competition; (k) compliance with applicable laws, including those concerning the environment and access by persons with disabilities; (l) changes in general economic and business conditions; (m) terrorist attacks or actions; (n) acts of war; (o) weather conditions; (p) the effects of changes in capital availability to the technology and biotechnology sectors of the economy, and (q) other factors discussed under the caption "Risk Factors." We undertake no obligation to update our forward-looking statements or risk factors to reflect new information, future events, or otherwise.

RATIOS OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES AND DEBT SERVICE COVERAGE

The following table sets forth our ratios of earnings to fixed charges and debt service coverage for the periods shown:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 |
| Earnings to fixed charges | 2.47x | 2.71x | 2.75x |
| Debt service coverage | 3.53x | 3.64x | 3.63x |

We computed the ratio of earnings to fixed charges by dividing earnings by fixed charges. For this purpose, earnings consist of income from continuing operations plus fixed charges, less capitalized interest. Fixed charges consist of interest expense, including amortized costs of debt issuance, plus interest costs capitalized.

We computed the debt service coverage ratio by dividing earnings before interest income and expense, depreciation, amortization and gain on sale of real estate by interest expense and principal amortization.

Funds From Operations

Funds from Operations ("FFO") is a widely used measure of operating performance for real estate companies. We provide FFO as a supplemental measure to net income calculated in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). Although FFO is a widely used measure of operating performance for equity real estate investment trusts ("REITs"), FFO does not represent net income calculated in accordance with GAAP. As such, it should not be considered an alternative to net income as an indication of our operating performance. In addition, FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP, nor does it represent cash available to pay distributions and should not be considered as an alternative to cash flow from operating activities, determined in accordance with GAAP as a measure of WRIT's liquidity. The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, Inc. ("NAREIT") defines FFO (April, 2002 White Paper) as net income (computed in accordance with GAAP) excluding gains (or losses) from sales of property plus real estate depreciation and amortization. We consider FFO to be a standard supplemental measure for REITs because it facilitates an understanding of the operating performance of our properties without giving effect to real estate depreciation and amortization, which historically assumes that the value of real estate assets diminish predictably over time. Since real estate values have instead historically risen or fallen with market conditions, we believe that FFO more accurately provides investors an indication of our ability to incur and service debt, make capital expenditures and fund other needs. Our FFO may not be comparable to FFO reported by other REITs. These other REITs may not define the term in accordance with the current NAREIT definition or may interpret the current NAREIT definition differently.

The following table provides the calculation of our FFO and a reconciliation of FFO to net income for the years presented:

| (In thousands) | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Net income | \$44,887 | \$51,836 | \$52,353 |
| Adjustments | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 35,755 | 29,200 | 26,640 |
| Divestiture sharing distribution* | — | — | (537) |
| Gain on property disposed | — | (3,838) | (4,296) |
| Discontinued operations depreciation and amortization | — | 11 | 95 |
| FFO as defined by NAREIT | \$80,642 | \$77,209 | \$74,255 |

*Included in Other Revenue on the Statements of Income.

ITEM 7A. QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The principal material financial market risk to which we are exposed is interest-rate risk. Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to refinancing long-term fixed rate obligations, the opportunity cost of fixed rate obligations in a falling interest rate environment and our variable rate lines of credit. We primarily enter into debt obligations to support general corporate purposes including acquisition of real estate properties, capital improvements and working capital needs. In the past we have used interest rate hedge agreements to hedge against rising interest rates in anticipation of imminent refinancing or new debt issuance.

The table below presents principal, interest and related weighted average interest rates by year of maturity, with respect to debt outstanding on December 31, 2003.

| (In thousands) | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | Thereafter | Total | Fair Value |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| DEBT (all fixed rate and lines of credit) | | | | | | | | |
| Unsecured debt | | | | | | | | |
| Principal | \$55,000 | \$ — | \$50,000 | \$ — | \$60,000 | \$210,000 | \$375,000 | \$396,575 |
| Interest payments | \$20,978 | \$17,055 | \$15,847 | \$13,430 | \$ 9,981 | \$ 95,748 | \$173,039 | |
| Average interest rate on debt maturities | 7.89% | — | 7.49% | — | 6.74% | 5.79% | 6.48% | |
| Mortgages | | | | | | | | |
| Principal amortization (30 year schedule) | \$ 1,958 | \$27,549 | \$ 7,388 | \$ 8,642 | \$ 834 | \$ 95,811 | \$142,182 | \$147,809 |
| Interest payments | \$ 9,296 | \$ 8,640 | \$ 6,982 | \$ 6,218 | \$ 6,088 | \$ 12,982 | \$ 50,206 | |
| Average interest rate on debt maturities | 6.62% | 7.66% | 5.87% | 6.67% | 5.35% | 6.27% | 6.55% | |

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The financial statements and supplementary data appearing on pages 55 to 80 are incorporated herein by reference to Item 15 (a).

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

PREVIOUS INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

On April 26, 2002, we dismissed Arthur Andersen LLP, of Washington, D.C. as our independent accountants.

In connection with its audits for the two fiscal years ended December 31, 2001, and through March 31, 2002, there were no disagreements with Arthur Andersen LLP on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing scope or procedure, which disagreements if not resolved to the satisfaction of Arthur Andersen LLP would have caused them to make reference thereto in their report on our financial statements for such years.

The reports of Arthur Andersen LLP on our financial statements for the two years ended December 31, 2001 contained no adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion and were not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope, or accounting principles.

During 2000, 2001 and through March 31, 2002, there were no reportable events (as defined in Regulation S-K Item 304(a)(1)(v)).

NEW INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

Upon the recommendation of our Audit Committee, our Board of Trustees approved the decision to change independent accountants. Effective April 26, 2002, Ernst & Young LLP was approved by our Board of Trustees as the new independent accountants. Effective October 2002, Ernst & Young LLP was engaged to re-audit and report on our consolidated financial statements for the years ending December 31, 2001 and 2000. Their report on the results of this re-audit is on page 55 of our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Securities Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President of Accounting, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President of Accounting, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2003. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President of Accounting concluded that the Trust's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Certain information required by Part III is omitted from this report in that we will file a definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A (the "Proxy Statement") no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report, and certain information included therein is incorporated herein by reference. Only those sections of the Proxy Statement which specifically address the items set forth herein are incorporated by reference. Such incorporation does not include the Performance Graph included in the Proxy Statement.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The information required by this Item is hereby incorporated herein by reference to our 2004 Annual Meeting Proxy Statement.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item is hereby incorporated herein by reference to our 2004 Annual Meeting Proxy Statement.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The information required by this Item is hereby incorporated herein by reference to our 2004 Annual Meeting Proxy Statement.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

| Plan Category | (a) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights | (b) Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | (c) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) |
|--|---|---|---|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 977,000 | \$21.99 | 1,257,000 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | — | — | —* |
| Total | 977,000 | \$21.99 | 1,257,000 |

* We maintain a Share Grant Plan for officers and trustees. The aggregate number of shares which can be made the subject of awards under this Share Grant Plan, together with the aggregate number of shares issued either directly or in connection with the exercise of a stock option under any other plan maintained by the Trust, may not exceed three percent (3%) of the number of then-outstanding shares in any one calendar year and may not exceed, in the aggregate, during any five (5) year period, ten percent (10%) of the number of then-outstanding shares. As of December 31, 2003, 134,019 shares have been granted under this plan.

See Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements for a description of the Share Grant Plan.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The information required by this Item is hereby incorporated herein by reference to our 2004 Annual Meeting Proxy Statement.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item is hereby incorporated by reference to the material in our 2004 Annual Meeting Proxy Statement under the caption "Independent Auditors."

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

ITEM 15 (A). THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE FILED AS PART OF THIS REPORT

| 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | PAGE |
|---|------|
| Report of Independent Auditors | 55 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets | 56 |
| Consolidated Statements of Income | 57 |
| Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity | 58 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | 59 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 60 |
| | |
| 2. FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES | |
| Schedule III—Consolidated Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation | 76 |
| | |
| 3. EXHIBITS | |
| 3. Declaration of Trust and Bylaws | |
| (a) Declaration of Trust. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3 to the Trust's registration statement on Form 8-B dated July 10, 1996. | |
| (b) Bylaws. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4 to the Trust's registration statement on Form 8-B dated July 10, 1996. | |
| (c) Amendment to Declaration of Trust dated September 21, 1998. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3 to the Trust's Form 10-Q dated November 13, 1998. | |
| (d) Articles of Amendment to Declaration of Trust dated June 24, 1999. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4c to Amendment No. 1 to the Trust's Form S-3 registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as of July 14, 1999. | |
| (e) Amendment to Bylaws dated February 21, 2002. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3(e) to the Trust's Form 10-K dated April 1, 2002. | |
| 4. Instruments Defining Rights of Security Holders | |
| (a) Amended and restated credit agreement dated March 17, 1999 between Washington Real Estate Investment Trust, as borrower, Bank One, as lender (successor by merger to The First National Bank of Chicago), and Bank One as agent. ⁽¹⁾ | |
| (b) Amended and restated credit agreement dated July 25, 1999, among Washington Real Estate Investment Trust, as borrower, SunTrust Bank (successor by merger to Crestar Bank), as lender, First Union National Bank (successor by merger to Signet Bank), as lender, and SunTrust Bank, as agent. ⁽¹⁾ | |
| (c) Indenture dated as of August 1, 1996 between Washington Real Estate Investment Trust and The First National Bank of Chicago. ⁽²⁾ | |
| (d) Officers' Certificate Establishing Terms of the Notes, dated August 8, 1996. ⁽²⁾ | |
| (e) [Intentionally omitted] | |
| (f) Form of 2006 Notes. ⁽²⁾ | |
| (g) Form of MOPPRS Notes. ⁽³⁾ | |
| (h) Form of 30 year Notes. ⁽³⁾ | |
| (i) Remarketing Agreement. ⁽³⁾ | |
| (j) Form of 2004 fixed-rate notes. ⁽⁴⁾ | |
| (k) [Intentionally omitted] | |
| (l) Credit agreement dated July 23, 2002 between Washington Real Estate Investment Trust, as borrower, Bank One, as lender, and Bank One, as agent. ⁽⁷⁾ | |

- (m) Amended and restated credit agreement dated July 25, 2002, among Washington Real Estate Investment Trust, as borrower, SunTrust Bank, successor to Crestar Bank, as Agent, and SunTrust Bank (SunTrust), successor to Crestar Bank, and Wachovia Bank, National Association (Wachovia), successor to First Union National Bank (the Credit Agreement).⁽⁷⁾
 - (n) Officer's Certificate Establishing Terms of the Notes, dated March 12, 2003.⁽⁸⁾
 - (o) Form of 2013 Notes.⁽⁸⁾
 - (p) Officers' Certificate Establishing Terms of the Notes, dated December 8, 2003.⁽⁹⁾
 - (q) Form of 2014 Notes.⁽⁹⁾
 - (r) We are a party to a number of other instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt. No such instrument authorizes an amount of securities in excess of 10 percent of the total assets of the Trust and its Subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. On request, we agree to furnish a copy of each such instrument to the Commission.
10. Management Contracts, Plans and Arrangements
- (a) Employment Agreement dated May 11, 1994 with Edmund B. Cronin, Jr.⁽⁵⁾
 - (b) 1991 Incentive Stock Option Plan, as amended.⁽⁵⁾
 - (c) Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement dated December 14, 1994 with Edmund B. Cronin, Jr.⁽⁵⁾
 - (d) Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement dated December 19, 1995 with Edmund B. Cronin, Jr. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(e) to the 1995 Form 10-K.
 - (e) Share Grant Plan.⁽⁶⁾
 - (f) Share Option Plan for Trustees.⁽⁶⁾
 - (g) Deferred Compensation Plan for Executives dated January 1, 2000, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(g) to the 2001 Form 10-K.
 - (h) Split-Dollar Agreement dated April 1, 2000, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10(h) to the 2001 Form 10-K.
 - (i) 2001 Stock Option Plan incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit A to 2001 Proxy Statement dated March 29, 2001.
 - (j) Share Purchase Plan.⁽⁷⁾
 - (k) Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan.⁽⁷⁾
12. Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges
21. Subsidiaries of Registrant
- In 1995, WRIT formed a subsidiary partnership, WRIT Limited Partnership, a Maryland limited partnership in which it owns 100% of the partnership interest.
- In 1998, WRIT formed a subsidiary limited liability company, WRIT-NVIP, L.L.C., a Virginia limited liability company in which it owns 93% of the membership interest. The 7% minority ownership interest is discussed further in Note 2 to the financial statements.
- In 2003, WRIT formed subsidiary limited liability companies WRIT 8501-8503, L.L.C. and WRIT 8505, L.L.C., both Delaware limited liability companies in which WRIT owns 100% of the membership interests.
23. Consents
- (a) Consent of Ernst & Young LLP
31. Rule 13a-14(a)/15(d)-14(a) Certifications
- (a) Certification—Chief Executive Officer
 - (b) Certification—Senior Vice President
 - (c) Certification—Chief Financial Officer
32. Section 1350 Certifications
- (a) Written Statement of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer

ITEM 15 (B). REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

October 9, 2003—Report pursuant to Items 2 and 7 on the acquisition of Prosperity Medical Center, amended on December 8, 2003 to provide financial statements with respect to acquired property

November 4, 2003—Report pursuant to Items 7 and 9

December 8, 2003—Report pursuant to Items 5 and 7

December 11, 2003—Report pursuant to Items 5 and 7

- (1) Incorporated herein by reference to the Exhibits of the same designation to the Trust's Form 10-K filed March 24, 2000.
- (2) Incorporated herein by reference to the Exhibit of the same designation to the Trust's Form 8-K filed August 13, 1996.
- (3) Incorporated herein by reference to the Exhibit of the same designation to the Trust's Form 8-K filed February 25, 1998.
- (4) Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4 to the Trust's Form 10-Q filed November 14, 2000.
- (5) Incorporated herein by reference to the Exhibit of the same designation to Amendment No. 2 to the Trust's Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed July 17, 1995.
- (6) Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibits 4(a) and 4(b), respectively, to the Trust's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed on March 17, 1998.
- (7) Incorporated herein by reference to the Exhibits of the same designation to the Trust's Form 10-Q filed November 14, 2002.
- (8) Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibits 4(a) and 4(b), respectively, to the Trust's Form 8-K filed March 17, 2003.
- (9) Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibits 4(a) and 4(b), respectively, to the Trust's Form 8-K filed December 11, 2003.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 and 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Washington Real Estate Investment Trust

DATE: March 12, 2004

By: /s/ Edmund B. Cronin, Jr.

Edmund B. Cronin, Jr.
President, Chief Executive Officer
and Chairman

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| <u>Signature</u> | <u>Title</u> | <u>Date</u> |
|---|---|----------------|
| <u>/s/ Edmund B. Cronin, Jr.</u> Edmund B. Cronin, Jr. | Trustee | March 12, 2004 |
| <u>/s/ John M. Derrick, Jr.</u> John M. Derrick, Jr. | Trustee | March 12, 2004 |
| <u>/s/ Clifford M. Kendall</u> Clifford M. Kendall | Trustee | March 12, 2004 |
| <u>/s/ John P. McDaniel</u> John P. McDaniel | Trustee | March 12, 2004 |
| <u>/s/ Charles T. Nason</u> Charles T. Nason | Trustee | March 12, 2004 |
| <u>/s/ David M. Osnos</u> David M. Osnos | Trustee | March 12, 2004 |
| <u>/s/ Susan J. Williams</u> Susan J. Williams | Trustee | March 12, 2004 |
| <u>/s/ Laura M. Franklin</u> Laura M. Franklin | Senior Vice President Accounting and Administration and Corporate Secretary | March 12, 2004 |
| <u>/s/ Sara L. Grootwassink</u> Sara L. Grootwassink | Chief Financial Officer | March 12, 2004 |

EXHIBIT 31A

I, Edmund B. Cronin, Jr., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Washington Real Estate Investment Trust;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15e and 15d-15e) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - c. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonable likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls over financial reporting which are reasonable likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

DATE: March 12, 2004

/s/ Edmund B. Cronin, Jr.

Edmund B. Cronin, Jr.
Chief Executive Officer

EXHIBIT 31B

I, Laura M. Franklin, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Washington Real Estate Investment Trust;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15e and 15d-15e) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - c. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonable likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls over financial reporting which are reasonable likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

DATE: March 12, 2004

/s/ Laura M. Franklin

Laura M. Franklin
Senior Vice President Accounting,
Administration and Corporate Secretary

EXHIBIT 31C

I, Sara L. Grootwassink, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Washington Real Estate Investment Trust;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15e and 15d-15e) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - c. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonable likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls over financial reporting which are reasonable likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

DATE: March 12, 2004

/s/ Sara L. Grootwassink

Sara L. Grootwassink
Chief Financial Officer

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

To the Trustees and Shareholders of
Washington Real Estate Investment Trust

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Washington Real Estate Investment Trust and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2003. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Washington Real Estate Investment Trust and Subsidiaries at December 31, 2003 and 2002, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2003, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

ERNST & YOUNG LLP

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

McLean, Virginia
February 19, 2004

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| As of December 31, 2003 and 2002 | 2003 | 2002 |
|--|------------|------------|
| (In thousands) | | |
| Assets | | |
| Land | \$ 210,366 | \$ 169,045 |
| Buildings and improvements | 846,411 | 683,065 |
| Total real estate, at cost | 1,056,777 | 852,110 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (177,983) | (146,912) |
| Total investment in real estate, net | 878,794 | 705,198 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 5,486 | 13,076 |
| Rents and other receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2,674 and \$2,188, respectively | 18,397 | 14,072 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 24,452 | 23,651 |
| Total assets | \$ 927,129 | \$ 755,997 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | | |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities | \$ 18,108 | \$ 14,661 |
| Advance rents | 5,322 | 4,409 |
| Tenant security deposits | 6,168 | 6,495 |
| Mortgage notes payable | 142,182 | 86,951 |
| Lines of credit/short-term note payable | — | 50,750 |
| Notes payable | 375,000 | 265,000 |
| Total liabilities | 546,780 | 428,266 |
| Minority interest | 1,601 | 1,554 |
| Shareholders' equity | | |
| Shares of beneficial interest; \$.01 par value; 100,000 shares authorized: 41,607 and 39,168 shares issued and outstanding, respectively | 416 | 392 |
| Additional paid in capital | 396,462 | 328,797 |
| Distributions in excess of net income | (16,272) | (2,554) |
| Less: Deferred compensation on restricted shares | (1,858) | (458) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 378,748 | 326,177 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 927,129 | \$ 755,997 |

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

| For the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002, and 2001 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| (In thousands, except per share data) | | | |
| Revenue | | | |
| Real estate rental revenue | \$163,405 | \$152,929 | \$147,283 |
| Other | 414 | 680 | 1,686 |
| | 163,819 | 153,609 | 148,969 |
| Expenses | | | |
| Utilities | 8,477 | 7,987 | 8,351 |
| Real estate taxes | 12,555 | 11,186 | 10,205 |
| Repairs and maintenance | 6,505 | 6,121 | 6,109 |
| Property administration | 4,392 | 4,069 | 3,046 |
| Property management | 4,980 | 4,655 | 4,619 |
| Operating services and supplies | 6,084 | 5,718 | 5,864 |
| Common area maintenance | 2,579 | 2,152 | 1,999 |
| Other real estate expenses | 2,290 | 2,017 | 1,540 |
| Interest expense | 30,040 | 27,849 | 27,071 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 35,755 | 29,200 | 26,640 |
| General and administrative expenses | 5,275 | 4,575 | 6,100 |
| | 118,932 | 105,529 | 101,544 |
| Income from continuing operations | 44,887 | 48,080 | 47,425 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | |
| Income (loss) from operations of property disposed | — | (82) | 632 |
| Gain on disposal | — | 3,838 | — |
| Income before gain on sale of real estate | 44,887 | 51,836 | 48,057 |
| Gain on sale of real estate | — | — | 4,296 |
| Net Income | \$ 44,887 | \$ 51,836 | \$ 52,353 |
| Income from continuing operations per share—basic | \$ 1.14 | \$ 1.23 | \$ 1.26 |
| Income from continuing operations per share—diluted | \$ 1.13 | \$ 1.22 | \$ 1.25 |
| Net income per share—basic | \$ 1.14 | \$ 1.33 | \$ 1.39 |
| Net income per share—diluted | \$ 1.13 | \$ 1.32 | \$ 1.38 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding—basic | 39,399 | 39,061 | 37,674 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding—diluted | 39,600 | 39,281 | 37,951 |
| Dividends paid per share | \$ 1.47 | \$ 1.39 | \$ 1.31 |

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

| For the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 | Shares | Shares of Beneficial Interest at Par Value | Deferred Compensation | Additional Paid in Capital | Distributions in Excess of Net Income | Shareholders' Equity |
|---|--------|---|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| (In thousands) | | | | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2000 | 35,740 | \$357 | \$ — | \$261,004 | \$ (2,705) | \$258,656 |
| Net income | — | — | — | — | 52,353 | 52,353 |
| Dividends | — | — | — | — | (49,686) | (49,686) |
| Share offering | 2,535 | 25 | — | 53,083 | — | 53,108 |
| Share options exercised and share grants | 554 | 6 | — | 9,170 | — | 9,176 |
| Balance, December 31, 2001 | 38,829 | 388 | — | 323,257 | (38) | 323,607 |
| Net income | — | — | — | — | 51,836 | 51,836 |
| Dividends | — | — | — | — | (54,352) | (54,352) |
| Share options exercised and share grants | 339 | 4 | (458) | 5,540 | — | 5,086 |
| Balance, December 31, 2002 | 39,168 | 392 | (458) | 328,797 | (2,554) | 326,177 |
| Net income | — | — | — | — | 44,887 | 44,887 |
| Dividends | — | — | — | — | (58,605) | (58,605) |
| Share offering | 2,201 | 22 | — | 62,802 | — | 62,824 |
| Share options exercised and share grants | 238 | 2 | (1,400) | 4,863 | — | 3,465 |
| Balance, December 31, 2003 | 41,607 | \$416 | \$(1,858) | \$396,462 | \$(16,272) | \$378,748 |

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| For the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| (In thousands) | | | |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | | |
| Net income | \$ 44,887 | \$ 51,836 | \$ 52,353 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | | |
| Gain on sale of real estate | — | (3,838) | (4,296) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 35,755 | 29,212 | 26,736 |
| Provision for losses on accounts receivable | 1,835 | 1,335 | 1,011 |
| Changes in other assets | (8,037) | (10,602) | (2,960) |
| Changes in other liabilities | 1,950 | 2,402 | 1,811 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 76,390 | 70,345 | 74,655 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | | |
| Real estate acquisitions, net* | (120,000) | (58,075) | (59,250) |
| Capital improvements to real estate | (27,413) | (25,083) | (14,015) |
| Non-real estate capital improvements | (107) | (188) | (538) |
| Net cash received for sale of real estate | — | 5,813 | 8,115 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (147,520) | (77,533) | (65,688) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | |
| Net proceeds from share offering | 62,824 | — | 53,108 |
| Line of credit/short-term note payable net (repayments)/borrowings | (50,750) | 50,750 | — |
| Notes payable repayments | (50,000) | — | — |
| Dividends paid | (58,605) | (54,352) | (49,686) |
| Principal payments—mortgage notes payable | (1,333) | (7,775) | (843) |
| Net proceeds from debt offering | 158,166 | — | — |
| Net proceeds from exercise of share options | 3,238 | 5,200 | 8,469 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | 63,540 | (6,177) | 11,048 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (7,590) | (13,365) | 20,015 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 13,076 | 26,441 | 6,426 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 5,486 | \$ 13,076 | \$ 26,441 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: | | | |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ 28,889 | \$ 26,903 | \$ 25,930 |

* Supplemental discussion of non-cash investing and financing activities:

On January 24, 2003, we purchased Fullerton Industrial Center for an acquisition cost of \$10.6 million. We assumed a mortgage in the amount of \$6.6 million, fair valued at \$6.8 million, and paid the balance in cash. On October 9, 2003, we purchased Prosperity Medical Center for an acquisition cost of \$78.4 million. We assumed two mortgages in the total amount of \$49.8 million, borrowed \$27.0 million under Credit Facility No. 3 and paid the balance in cash. The \$120.0 million shown as 2003 real estate acquisitions does not include the \$56.6 million in total assumed mortgages for Fullerton Industrial and Prosperity Medical Center, as the assumption of these mortgages was a non-cash acquisition cost.

On November 1, 2001, we purchased Sullyfield Center for an acquisition cost of \$21.7 million. We assumed a mortgage in the amount of \$8.5 million, fair valued at \$9.3 million, and paid the balance in cash. The \$8.5 million of assumed mortgage debt, which was a non-cash acquisition cost, is not included in the \$59.3 million shown as 2001 real estate acquisitions.

See accompanying notes to the financial statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001

1. NATURE OF BUSINESS

Washington Real Estate Investment Trust ("WRIT," the "company" or the "Trust"), a Maryland Real Estate Investment Trust, is a self-administered, self-managed equity real estate investment trust, successor to a trust organized in 1960. Our business consists of the ownership of income-producing real estate properties in the greater Washington—Baltimore region. We own a diversified portfolio of office buildings, industrial/flex properties, multifamily buildings and retail centers.

Federal Income Taxes

We have qualified as a Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT) under Sections 856-860 of the Internal Revenue Code and intend to continue to qualify as such. To maintain our status as a REIT, we are required to distribute 90% of our ordinary taxable income to our shareholders. We have the option of (i) reinvesting the sale price of properties sold, allowing for a deferral of income taxes on the sale, (ii) paying out capital gains to the shareholders with no tax to the company or (iii) treating the capital gains as having been distributed to the shareholders, paying the tax on the gain deemed distributed and allocating the tax paid as a credit to the shareholders. We distributed 100% of our 2003, 2002 and 2001 ordinary taxable income to our shareholders. Gains on sale of properties sold during 2002 and 2001 were reinvested in replacement properties, therefore no capital gains were distributed to shareholders during these periods. Accordingly, no provision for income taxes was necessary.

The following is a breakdown of the taxable percentage of our dividends for 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively:

| | Ordinary Income | Return of Capital |
|------|--------------------|----------------------|
| 2003 | 97% | 3% |
| 2002 | 100% | 0% |
| 2001 | 100% | 0% |

2. ACCOUNTING POLICIES**Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Trust and its majority owned subsidiaries, after eliminating all intercompany transactions.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In November 2002, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others" (the "Interpretation"). The Interpretation requires certain guarantees to be recorded at fair value, which is different from current practice, which is generally to record a liability only when a loss is probable and reasonably estimable, as those terms are defined in FASB Statement No. 5 "Accounting for Contingencies." The Interpretation also requires a guarantor to make significant new disclosures, even when the likelihood of making any payments under the guarantee is remote, which is another change from current practice. The initial recognition and measurement provisions of the Interpretation are applicable on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. The Interpretation's disclosure requirements are effective for financial statements of interim or annual periods ending after December 15, 2002. The adoption of this statement did not have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities" ("FIN 46"). This Interpretation addresses the consolidation of variable interest entities ("VIEs") in which the equity investors lack one or more of the essential characteristics of a controlling financial interest or where the equity investment at risk is not sufficient for the entity to

finance its activities without subordinated financial support from other parties. In December 2003, the FASB issued a revised Interpretation No. 46 which modifies and clarifies various aspects of the original Interpretation. The adoption of this statement and of the revised interpretation did not have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity." SFAS No. 150 establishes standards for the classification and measurement of certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. It requires that an issuer classify a financial instrument that is within its scope as a liability (or an asset in some circumstances). In particular, it requires that mandatorily redeemable financial instruments be classified as liabilities and reported at fair value and that changes in their fair values be reported as interest cost. SFAS No. 150 was effective for the company as of July 1, 2003. On October 29, 2003, the FASB indefinitely delayed the provision of the statement related to non-controlling interests in limited-life subsidiaries that are consolidated. Based on FASB's deferral of this provision, adoption of SFAS No. 150 did not affect the company's financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

Residential properties are leased under operating leases with terms of generally one year or less, and commercial properties are leased under operating leases with average terms of three to five years. We recognize rental income and rental abatements from our residential and commercial leases when earned on a straight-line basis in accordance with SFAS No. 13 "Accounting for Leases." We record a provision for losses on accounts receivable equal to the estimated uncollectible amounts. This estimate is based on our historical experience and a review of the current status of the company's receivables. Percentage rents are recorded when we have been informed of cumulative sales data exceeding the amount necessary. Thereafter, percentage rent is accrued based on subsequent sales.

In accordance with SFAS No. 66, "Accounting for Sales of Real Estate," sales are recognized at closing only when sufficient down payments have been obtained, possession and other attributes of ownership have been transferred to the buyer and we have no significant continuing involvement. The gain or loss resulting from the sale of properties is included in net income at the time of sale.

We recognize cost reimbursement income from pass-through expenses on an accrual basis over the periods in which the expenses were incurred. Pass-through expenses are comprised of real estate taxes, operating expenses and common area maintenance costs which are reimbursed by tenants in accordance with specific allowable costs per tenant lease agreements.

Minority Interest

We entered into an operating agreement with a member of the entity that previously owned Northern Virginia Industrial Park in conjunction with the acquisition of this property in May 1998. This resulted in a minority ownership interest in this property based upon defined company ownership units at the date of purchase. The operating agreement was amended and restated in 2002 resulting in a reduced minority ownership percentage interest. We account for this activity by allocating the minority owner's percentage ownership interest of the net income of the property to minority interest included in our general and administrative expenses, thereby reducing net income. Quarterly distributions are made to the minority owner equal to the quarterly dividend per share for each ownership unit. We distributed \$0.1 million, \$0.2 million, and \$0.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Deferred Financing Costs

Costs associated with the issuance of mortgages and other notes and draws on lines of credit are capitalized and amortized using the straight-line method which approximates the effective interest rate method over the term of the related notes and are included in interest expense in the accompanying statements of income.

The amortization of debt costs included in interest expense totaled \$1.3 million, \$1.2 million and \$1.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

Real Estate and Depreciation

Buildings are depreciated on a straight-line basis over estimated useful lives ranging from 28 to 50 years. All capital improvement expenditures associated with replacements, improvements, or major repairs to real property that extend its useful life are capitalized and depreciated using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives ranging from 3 to 30 years. All tenant improvements are amortized over the shorter of the useful life of the improvements or the term of the related tenant lease. Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 was \$32.6 million, \$26.9 million and \$24.4 million, respectively. Maintenance and repair costs are charged to expense as incurred. Total interest expense capitalized to real estate assets related to development and major renovation activities was \$0.2 million in 2003 and \$0.1 million in 2002. No interest was capitalized in 2001.

We recognize impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operations when indicators of impairment are present and the net undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets are less than the assets' carrying amount. If such carrying amount is in excess of the estimated projected operating cash flows of the property, we would recognize an impairment loss equivalent to an amount required to adjust the carrying amount to the estimated fair market value. There were no property impairments recognized during the three-year period ended December 31, 2003.

We allocate the purchase price of acquired properties to the related physical assets and in-place leases based on their fair values, based on SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations." The fair values of acquired buildings are determined on an "as-if-vacant" basis considering a variety of factors, including the physical condition and quality of the buildings, estimated rental and absorption rates, estimated future cash flows and valuation assumptions consistent with current market conditions. The "as-if-vacant" fair value is allocated to land, building and tenant improvements based on property tax assessments and other relevant information obtained in connection with the acquisition of the property.

The fair value of in-place leases consists of the following components—(1) the estimated cost to us to replace the leases, including foregone rents during the period of finding a new tenant, foregone recovery of tenant pass-throughs, commissions, tenant improvements and other direct costs associated with obtaining a new tenant, discounted using an interest rate which reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired (referred to as "Tenant Origination Cost"); (2) the above/at/below market cash flow of the leases, determined by comparing the projected cash flows of the leases in place to projected cash flows of comparable market-rate leases, both discounted using an interest rate which reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired (referred to as "Net Lease Intangible"); and (3) the value, if any, of customer relationships, determined based on our evaluation of the specific characteristics of each tenant's lease and our overall relationship with the tenant (referred to as "Customer Relationship Value"). Tenant Origination Costs are included in Real Estate Assets on our balance sheet and are amortized as depreciation expense on a straight-line basis over the remaining life of the underlying leases. Net Lease Intangible assets are classified as Other Assets and are amortized on a straight-line basis as a decrease to Real Estate Rental Revenue over the remaining term of the underlying leases. Net Lease Intangible liabilities are classified as Other Liabilities and are amortized on a straight-line basis as an increase to Real Estate Rental Revenue over the remaining term of the underlying leases. Should a tenant terminate its lease, the unamortized portions of the Tenant Origination Cost and Net Lease Intangible associated with that lease are written off to depreciation expense and rental revenue, respectively. As of December 31, 2003, Tenant Origination Costs net of accumulated depreciation totaled \$8.5 million, Net Lease Intangible assets net of accumulated

amortization totaled \$1.6 million, Net Lease Intangible liabilities net of accumulated amortization totaled \$2.2 million and \$0 had been assigned to Customer Relationship Value.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include investments readily convertible to known amounts of cash with original maturities of 90 days or less.

Stock Based Compensation

We maintain Incentive Stock Option Plans and Share Grant Plans as described in Note 7, Share Options and Grants, which include qualified and non-qualified options and deferred shares for eligible employees.

Stock options are issued annually to trustees and key employees under the Stock Option Plans, and historically to officers. The options vest over a 2-year period in annual installments commencing one year after the date of grant. Stock options are accounted for in accordance with APB 25, whereby if options are priced at fair market value or above at the date of grant and if other requirements are met then the plans are considered fixed and no compensation expense is recognized. Accordingly, we have recognized no compensation cost. Had we determined compensation cost for the Plans consistent with SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," our net income and earnings per share would have been reduced to the following pro-forma amounts:

| Pro-forma Information | For the Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 |
| (In thousands, except per share data) | | | |
| Net income ⁽¹⁾ , as reported | \$44,887 | \$51,836 | \$52,353 |
| Additional stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method | (755) | (877) | (774) |
| Pro-forma net income | \$44,132 | \$50,959 | \$51,579 |
| Earnings per share: | | | |
| Basic—as reported | \$ 1.14 | \$ 1.33 | \$ 1.39 |
| Basic—pro-forma | \$ 1.12 | \$ 1.30 | \$ 1.37 |
| Diluted—as reported | \$ 1.13 | \$ 1.32 | \$ 1.38 |
| Diluted—pro-forma | \$ 1.11 | \$ 1.30 | \$ 1.36 |

(1) Includes amortization of compensation expense for current year share grants and prior year share grants over the options' vesting period.

Deferred shares are granted to officers and trustees under the Share Grant Plans. Officer share grants vest over 5 years in annual installments commencing one year after the date of grant. Trustee share grants are fully vested immediately upon date of share grant. We recognize compensation expense for share grants over the vesting period equal to the fair market value of the shares on the date of issuance. The unvested portion of officer share grants is recognized as deferred compensation.

Earnings Per Common Share

We calculate basic and diluted earnings per share in accordance with SFAS No. 128, "Earnings Per Share." "Basic earnings per share" is computed as net income divided by the weighted-average common shares outstanding. "Diluted earnings per share" is computed as net income divided by the total weighted-average common shares outstanding plus the effect of dilutive common equivalent shares outstanding for the period. Dilutive common equivalent shares reflect the assumed issuance of additional common shares pursuant to certain of our share based compensation plans (see Note 7) that could potentially reduce or "dilute" earnings per share, based on the treasury stock method.

Use of Estimates in the Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Reclassifications

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

3. REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS

Our real estate investment portfolio, at cost, consists of properties located in Maryland, Washington, D.C. and Virginia as follows:

| (In thousands) | December 31, | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| | 2003 | 2002 |
| Office buildings | \$ 644,709 | \$461,986 |
| Retail centers | 143,519 | 142,385 |
| Multifamily | 118,402 | 111,082 |
| Industrial/Flex properties | 150,147 | 138,249 |
| | <u>\$1,056,777</u> | <u>\$853,702</u> |

Our results of operations are dependent on the overall economic health of our markets, tenants and the specific segments in which we own properties. These segments include commercial office, multifamily, retail and industrial. All sectors are affected by external economic factors, such as inflation, consumer confidence, unemployment rates, etc. as well as changing tenant and consumer requirements.

As of December 31, 2003 no single property or tenant accounted for more than 10% of total real estate assets or total revenues.

Properties we acquired during the years ending December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 are as follows:

| Acquisition Date | Property | Type | Rentable Square Feet | Acquisition Cost |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| January 24, 2003 | Fullerton Industrial Center | Industrial | 137,000 | \$ 10,863 |
| May 29, 2003 | 718 Jefferson Street | Retail | 5,000 | 1,131 |
| August 7, 2003 | 1776 G Street | Office | 262,000 | 86,172 |
| October 9, 2003 | Prosperity Medical Center I | Office | 92,000 | 28,130 |
| October 9, 2003 | Prosperity Medical Center II | Office | 88,000 | 27,142 |
| October 9, 2003 | Prosperity Medical Center III | Office | 75,000 | 23,126 |
| | | Total 2003 | <u>659,000</u> | <u>\$176,564</u> |
| January 25, 2002 | 1620 Wilson Boulevard | Retail | 5,000 | \$ 2,272 |
| June 21, 2002 | Centre at Hagerstown | Retail | 327,000 | 41,341 |
| July 23, 2002 | The Atrium Building | Office | 81,000 | 14,462 |
| | | Total 2002 | <u>413,000</u> | <u>\$ 58,075</u> |
| February 15, 2001 | 1611 North Clarendon | Multifamily | 11,000 | \$ 1,521 |
| April 19, 2001 | One Central Plaza | Office | 274,000 | 44,549 |
| November 1, 2001 | Sullyfield Commerce Center | Industrial | 248,000 | 21,742 |
| | | Total 2001 | <u>533,000</u> | <u>\$ 67,812</u> |

We accounted for each acquisition using the purchase method of accounting. As discussed in Note 2, we allocate the purchase price to the related physical assets (land, building and tenant improvements) and in-place leases (tenant origination costs and net lease intangible assets/liabilities) based on their fair values, in accordance with SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations." Our 2002 and 2003 acquisitions of Centre at Hagerstown, 1776 G Street and Prosperity Medical Center resulted in the recognition of \$7.3 million and \$2.1 million in tenant origination costs, \$0.2 million and \$1.6 million in net intangible lease assets and \$2.4 million and \$0 in net intangible lease liabilities in 2003 and 2002, respectively, due to the implementation of this standard. The results of operations of the acquired properties are included in the income statement as of the acquisition date.

The difference in total 2003 acquisition cost per the above chart of \$176.6 million and the Statement of Cash Flows of \$120.0 million is the \$56.6 million in mortgages assumed on the acquisitions of Fullerton Industrial Center and Prosperity Medical Center (all three buildings).

The following unaudited pro-forma combined condensed statements of operations set forth the consolidated results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 as if the above described acquisitions had occurred at the beginning of the period of acquisition and the same period in the year prior to the acquisition. The unaudited pro-forma information does not purport to be indicative of the results that actually would have occurred if the acquisitions had been in effect for the years ended December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002.

| (In thousands, except per share data, unaudited) | Fiscal Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2003 | 2002 |
| Real estate revenues | \$175,518 | \$175,276 |
| Net income | \$ 45,355 | \$ 55,509 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 1.15 | \$ 1.41 |

Properties we sold during the years ending December 31, 2002 and 2001 are as follows:

| Disposition Date | Property | Type | Rentable Square Feet | Sale Price |
|------------------------|---------------------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| February 28, 2002 | 1501 South Capitol Street | Industrial | 145,000 | \$6,200 |
| September 28, 2001 | 10400 Connecticut Avenue | Office | 65,000 | \$8,400 |

We incurred a net operating loss of \$0.1 million in 2002 and net income of \$0.6 million in 2001 for 1501 South Capitol Street, reflected as discontinued operations. We recognized total revenues and net income of \$1.0 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, in 2001, for 10400 Connecticut Avenue, which was sold in 2001. The proceeds and resultant gains on sale for all dispositions in 2002 and 2001 were reinvested on a tax-free basis in acquired properties.

4. MORTGAGE NOTES PAYABLE

| (In thousands) | December 31, | |
|---|------------------|-----------------|
| | 2003 | 2002 |
| On November 30, 1998, we assumed a \$9.2 million mortgage note payable and a \$12.4 million mortgage note payable as partial consideration for our acquisition of Woodburn Medical Park I and II. Both mortgages bear interest at 7.69 percent per annum. Principal and interest are payable monthly until September 15, 2005, at which time all unpaid principal and interest are payable in full. | \$ 19,245 | \$19,779 |
| On September 20, 1999, we assumed an \$8.7 million mortgage note payable as partial consideration for our acquisition of the Avondale Apartments. The mortgage bears interest at 7.88 percent per annum. Principal and interest are payable monthly until November 1, 2005, at which time all unpaid principal and interest are payable in full. | 7,910 | 8,125 |
| On September 27, 1999, we executed a \$50.0 million mortgage note payable secured by Munson Hill Towers, Country Club Towers, Roosevelt Towers, Park Adams Apartments and the Ashby of McLean. The mortgage bears interest at 7.14 percent per annum and interest only is payable monthly until October 1, 2009, at which time all unpaid principal and interest are payable in full. | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| On November 1, 2001, we assumed an \$8.5 million mortgage note payable, with an estimated fair value of \$9.3 million, as partial consideration for our acquisition of Sullyfield Commerce Center. The mortgage bears interest at 9.00 percent per annum. Principal and interest are payable monthly until February 1, 2007, at which time all unpaid principal and interest are payable in full. | 8,776 | 9,047 |
| On January 24, 2003, we assumed a \$6.6 million mortgage note payable, with an estimated fair value of \$6.8 million, as partial consideration for our acquisition of Fullerton Industrial Center. The mortgage bears interest at 6.77 percent per annum. Principal and interest are payable monthly until September 1, 2006, at which time all unpaid principal and interest are payable in full. | 6,670 | — |
| On October 9, 2003, we assumed a \$36.1 million mortgage note payable and a \$13.7 million mortgage note payable as partial consideration for our acquisition of Prosperity Medical Center. The mortgages bear interest at 5.36 percent per annum and 5.34 percent per annum respectively. Principal and interest are payable monthly until May 1, 2013, at which time all unpaid principal and interest are payable in full. | 49,581 | — |
| | <u>\$142,182</u> | <u>\$86,951</u> |

Total carrying amount of the above mortgaged properties was \$219.7 million and \$125.2 million at December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Scheduled principal payments during the five years subsequent to December 31, 2003 and thereafter are as follows:

| | |
|----------------|------------------|
| (In thousands) | |
| 2004 | \$ 1,958 |
| 2005 | 27,549 |
| 2006 | 7,388 |
| 2007 | 8,642 |
| 2008 | 834 |
| Thereafter | 95,811 |
| | <u>\$142,182</u> |

5. UNSECURED LINES OF CREDIT PAYABLE AND SHORT-TERM NOTE PAYABLE

During 2003, we maintained a \$25.0 million unsecured line of credit ("Credit Facility No. 1"), a \$50.0 million unsecured line of credit ("Credit Facility No. 2"), and a \$90.0 million unsecured short-term note payable ("Credit Facility No. 3").

Credit Facility No. 1

We had \$0 outstanding as of December 31, 2003 related to Credit Facility No. 1. At December 31, 2003, \$25.0 million of this commitment was unused and available for subsequent acquisitions or capital improvements. For the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, we recognized interest expense (excluding unused commitment fees) of \$251,000, \$220,000 and \$0, respectively, on Credit Facility No. 1, representing an average interest rate of 1.90%, 2.38% and 0% per annum, respectively.

On July 23, 2002, we executed an agreement to renew the original Credit Facility No. 1 agreement, which requires us to pay the lender unused line of credit fees at the rate of 0.25 percent per annum based on a sliding scale as usage is increased. Advances under this agreement bear interest at either LIBOR plus a spread, or the higher of the Prime rate or the Federal Funds effective rate, at our option, plus a spread based on the credit rating on our publicly issued debt. All outstanding advances are due and payable upon maturity in July 2004. Interest only payments are due and payable generally on a monthly basis.

Credit Facility No. 2

We had \$0 outstanding as of December 31, 2003 related to Credit Facility No. 2. At December 31, 2003, \$50.0 million of this commitment was unused and available for subsequent acquisitions or capital improvements. For the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, we recognized interest expense (excluding unused commitment fees) of \$442,000, \$422,000 and \$51,000, respectively, on credit Facility No. 2, representing an average interest rate of 1.91%, 2.53% and 5.38% per annum, respectively.

On July 25, 2002, we executed an agreement to renew the original Credit Facility No. 2 agreement, which requires us to pay the lender unused line of credit fees at the rate of 0.2 percent per annum on the amount by which the unused portion of the line of credit exceeds the balance of outstanding advances and term loans. Advances under this agreement bear interest at LIBOR plus a spread, the Prime rate plus a spread or an advance can be converted into a term loan based upon a Treasury rate plus a spread. All outstanding advances are due and payable upon maturity in July 2005. Interest only payments are due and payable generally on a monthly basis.

Credit Facility No. 3

On August 7, 2003, we executed a \$60.0 million unsecured term note, the proceeds of which were utilized as partial payment for the acquisition of 1776 G Street. With the acquisition of Prosperity Medical Center on October 9, 2003, we increased this facility to \$90.0 million and drew \$27.0 million on the extension to fund a portion of the purchase price. We had \$0 outstanding as of December 31, 2003, related to Credit Facility No. 3. For the year ended December 31, 2003, we recognized interest expense of \$457,000 on Credit Facility No. 3, representing an average interest rate of 1.82% per annum.

Borrowings under this facility bore interest at LIBOR plus a spread based on the credit rating on our publicly issued debt. Interest only payments were due and payable every 14 days. Any outstanding advances under this facility were due and payable in February 2004, upon which date the short-term financing expired and was not renewed.

Credit Facility No. 1 and No. 2 contain certain financial and non-financial covenants, all of which we have met as of December 31, 2003. In addition, Credit Facility No. 1 requires approval to be obtained from the lender for purchases we undertake that are over an agreed upon amount.

Information related to revolving credit facilities is as follows (in thousands)⁽¹⁾:

| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Total revolving credit facilities at December 31 | \$75,000 | \$75,000 | \$75,000 |
| Borrowings outstanding at December 31 | — | 50,750 | — |
| Weighted average daily borrowings during the year | 35,378 | 25,390 | 956 |
| Maximum daily borrowings during the year | \$72,500 | \$53,750 | \$43,000 |
| Weighted average interest rate during the year | 1.91% | 2.48% | 5.38% |
| Weighted average interest rate at December 31 | — | 2.13% | — |

(1) Excludes Credit Facility No. 3 which is not a revolving facility.

6. SENIOR AND MEDIUM-TERM NOTES PAYABLE**Senior Notes**

On August 13, 1996 we sold \$50.0 million of 7.125% 7-year unsecured notes due August 13, 2003, and \$50.0 million of 7.25% unsecured 10-year notes due August 13, 2006. The 7-year notes were sold at 99.107% of par and the 10-year notes were sold at 98.166% of par. Net proceeds to the Trust after deducting underwriting expenses were \$97.6 million. The 7-year notes bore an effective interest rate of 7.46%, and the 10-year notes bore an effective interest rate of 7.49%, for a combined effective interest rate of 7.47%. We paid off the \$50.0 million unsecured note due August 13, 2003 with an advance under Credit Facility No. 2.

On March 17, 2003, we sold \$60.0 million of 5.125% unsecured notes due March 2013. The notes bear an effective interest rate of 5.23%. Our total proceeds, net of underwriting fees, were \$59.1 million. We used portions of the proceeds of these notes to repay advances on our lines of credit and to fund a portion of the purchase price of 1776 G Street.

On December 11, 2003, we sold \$100.0 million of 5.25% unsecured notes due January 2014. The notes bear an effective interest rate of 5.34%. Our total proceeds, net of underwriting fees, were \$99.3 million. We used portions of the proceeds of these notes to repay advances on our lines of credit and to fund a portion of the purchase price of Prosperity Medical Center.

Medium-Term Notes

On February 20, 1998 we sold \$50.0 million of 7.25% unsecured notes due February 25, 2028 at 98.653% to yield approximately 7.36%. We also sold \$60.0 million in unsecured Mandatory Par Put Remarketed Securities ("MOPPRS") at an effective borrowing rate through the remarketing date (February 2008) of approximately 6.74%. Our costs of the borrowings and related closed hedge settlements of approximately \$7.2 million are amortized over the lives of the notes using the effective interest method. These notes do not require any principal payment and are due in full at maturity.

On November 6, 2000 we sold \$55.0 million of 7.78% unsecured notes due November 2004. The notes bear an effective interest rate of 7.89%. Our total proceeds, net of underwriting fees, were \$54.8 million. We used the proceeds of these notes to repay advances on our lines of credit.

These notes contain certain financial and non-financial covenants, all of which we have met as of December 31, 2003.

The covenants under one of the line of credit agreements require us to insure our properties against loss or damage in the amount of the replacement cost of the improvements at the properties. The covenants for the notes require us to keep all of our insurable properties insured against loss or damage at least equal to their then full insurable value. We have a separate insurance policy which provides terrorism coverage, however, our financial condition and results of operations are subject to the risks associated with acts of terrorism and the potential for uninsured losses as the result of any such acts. Effective November 26, 2002, under this existing coverage, any losses caused by certified acts of terrorism would be partially reimbursed by the United States under a formula established by federal law. Under this formula the United States pays 90% of covered terrorism losses exceeding the statutorily established deductible paid by the insurance provider. If the aggregate amount of insured losses under the Act exceeds \$100 billion during the applicable period for all insured and insurers combined, then each insurance provider will not be liable for payment of any amount which exceeds the aggregate amount of \$100 billion. This current legislation expires in November 2005.

Scheduled maturity dates of securities during the five years subsequent to December 31, 2003 and thereafter are as follows:

| (In thousands) | |
|----------------|------------------|
| 2004 | \$ 55,000 |
| 2005 | — |
| 2006 | 50,000 |
| 2007 | — |
| 2008 | 60,000 |
| Thereafter | 210,000 |
| | <u>\$375,000</u> |

7. SHARE OPTIONS AND GRANTS**Options**

We maintain Incentive Stock Option Plans (the "Plans"), which include qualified and non-qualified options. In 2003 the Board approved a change in the composition of officer share options and share grant awards. Officers no longer receive annual share option awards. Effective 2003, annual incentive compensation is awarded as the same percentage of cash compensation as in prior years except it is in the form of share grants only.

As of December 31, 2003, 1.3 million shares may be awarded to eligible employees. Under the Plans, options, which are issued at market price on the date of grant, vest 50% after year one and 50% after year two and expire ten years following the date of grant. We adopted the Washington Real Estate Investment Trust 2001 Stock Option Plan ("New Stock Option Plan") to replace the 1991 Stock Option Plan ("Stock Option Plan") that expired on June 25, 2001. Activity under the Plans is summarized below:

| | 2003 | | 2002 | | 2001 | |
|----------------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|------------------|
| | Shares | Wtd Avg Ex Price | Shares | Wtd Avg Ex Price | Shares | Wtd Avg Ex Price |
| Outstanding at January 1 | 1,107,000 | \$20.94 | 1,236,000 | \$18.88 | 1,621,000 | \$17.16 |
| Granted | 57,000 | 29.49 | 212,000 | 25.61 | 238,000 | 24.85 |
| Exercised | (181,000) | 17.83 | (326,000) | 16.08 | (517,000) | 16.39 |
| Expired/Forfeited | (6,000) | 25.36 | (15,000) | 22.98 | (106,000) | 18.11 |
| Outstanding at December 31 | 977,000 | 21.99 | 1,107,000 | 20.94 | 1,236,000 | 18.88 |
| Exercisable at December 31 | 834,000 | 21.16 | 798,000 | 19.24 | 856,000 | 16.87 |

The 834,000 exercisable options outstanding at December 31, 2003 have exercise prices between \$14.47 and \$29.55, with a weighted-average exercise price of \$21.16 and a weighted average remaining contractual life of 7.1 years. The remaining 143,000 options have exercise prices between \$25.61 and \$29.55, with a weighted average exercise price of \$26.83 and a weighted average remaining contractual life of 9.3 years.

The weighted-average fair value of options and related assumptions are summarized below:

| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 |
|--|--------|--------|--------|
| Weighted-average fair value of options | | | |
| Granted | 2.04 | 3.21 | 3.49 |
| Weighted-average assumptions: | | | |
| Expected lives (years) | 5 | 7 | 7 |
| Risk free interest rate | 3.18% | 4.16% | 5.08% |
| Expected volatility | 14.40% | 20.32% | 19.81% |
| Expected dividend yield | 4.97% | 5.36% | 5.29% |

The assumptions used in the calculations of weighted average fair value of options granted are as prescribed under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Such assumptions may not be the same as those used by the financial community and others in determining the fair value of such options.

The option values are based upon a Black Scholes model calculation. The value is divided into a defined percentage of each eligible individual's cash compensation and determines the number of share options granted each year.

Share Grants

We maintain a Share Grant Plan for officers and trustees. At the approval of the Board, the Share Grant Plan was changed in 2003 so that Managing Directors receive an award of shares with a market value of 25% of the individual's cash compensation (45% for the Chief Executive Officer, 37% for Executive Vice Presidents, and 35% for Senior Vice Presidents) at the date of the award. In 2003, officers no longer receive annual awards of share options and the total annual incentive compensation (share options and share grants) as a percentage of officer cash compensation

remains unchanged. Each Trustee receives an annual grant of 400 unrestricted shares under the plan. Shares granted to officers under the Share Grant Plan vest 20% per year over five years and are restricted from transfer for five years from the date of grant. During 2003, 2002 and 2001, we issued 56,678, 6,254 and 7,209 share grants, respectively, to our executives and trustees. The 56,678 of restricted shares awarded in 2003 includes a special award of 18,624 shares to officers. The Board awarded this in recognition of the Trust's performance for 2002. Officers received no cash bonus in 2002. Compensation expense for officers is recognized over the 5-year vesting period equal to the fair market value of the shares on the date of issuance. The unvested portion of share grants is recognized as deferred compensation upon issuance. Trustee share grants are fully vested upon issuance, and compensation expense for these grants is fully recognized upon issuance based upon the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant. The Board of Trustees awards share grants subject to Compensation Committee recommendations. The total share grants vested at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 were 65,528, 53,329 and 41,020, respectively. The total share grants unvested at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001 were 68,491, 24,012 and 30,067, respectively.

Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share (dollars in thousands; except per share data):

| | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Numerator for basic and diluted | | | |
| per share calculations: | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$44,887 | \$48,080 | \$47,425 |
| Income (loss) from operations of property disposed | — | (82) | 632 |
| Gain on property disposed | — | 3,838 | — |
| Gain on sale of real estate | — | — | 4,296 |
| Net income | 44,887 | 51,836 | 52,353 |
| Denominator for basic and diluted | | | |
| per share calculations: | | | |
| Denominator for basic per share amounts— | | | |
| weighted average shares | 39,399 | 39,061 | 37,674 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | |
| Employee stock options and awards | 201 | 221 | 277 |
| Denominator for diluted per share amounts | \$39,600 | \$39,282 | \$37,951 |
| Income from continuing operations per share | | | |
| Basic | \$ 1.14 | \$ 1.23 | \$ 1.26 |
| Diluted | \$ 1.13 | \$ 1.22 | \$ 1.25 |
| Income (loss) from operations of property disposed | | | |
| Basic | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 0.02 |
| Diluted | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 0.02 |
| Gain on property disposed | | | |
| Basic | \$ — | \$ 0.10 | \$ — |
| Diluted | \$ — | \$ 0.10 | \$ — |
| Gain on sale of real estate per share | | | |
| Basic | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 0.11 |
| Diluted | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 0.11 |
| Net income per share | | | |
| Basic | \$ 1.14 | \$ 1.33 | \$ 1.39 |
| Diluted | \$ 1.13 | \$ 1.32 | \$ 1.38 |

8. OTHER BENEFIT PLANS

During 1996, we adopted an Incentive Compensation Plan that provides for our senior personnel, share options under the Incentive Stock Option Plan and share grants under the Share Grant Plan based on our financial performance. Under the Incentive Stock Option Plan, options which are issued at market price on the date of grant vest 50% after year one and 50% after year two and expire ten years following the date of grant. Officer share grants vest over 5 years in annual installments commencing one year after the date of grant. The unvested portion is recognized as deferred compensation in the accompanying Statement of Shareholders' Equity. Trustee share grants are fully vested upon issuance and compensation expense for these grants is fully recognized upon issuance based upon the fair market value of the shares on the date of the grant.

We have a Retirement Savings Plan (the "401K Plan"), which permits all eligible employees to defer a portion of their compensation in accordance with the Internal Revenue Code. Under the 401K Plan, the company may make discretionary contributions on behalf of eligible employees. For the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, the company made contributions to the 401K plan of \$0.3 million each year.

We adopted a split dollar life insurance plan for senior officers, excluding the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"), in 2000. It is intended that we will recover our costs from the life insurance policies at death prior to retirement, termination prior to retirement or retirement at age 65. We have an interest in the cash value and death benefit of each policy to the extent of the sum of premium payments we have made.

We have adopted a non-qualified deferred compensation plan for the officers and members of the Board of Trustees. The plan allows for a deferral of a percentage of annual cash compensation and trustee fees. The deferred compensation liability was \$0.9 million, \$0.7 million and \$0.6 million at December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

We established a Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan ("SERP") effective July 1, 2002 for the benefit of the CEO. We recognized \$0.3 million and \$0.1 million as the current service cost for the years ended December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, respectively, in accordance with the requirements of SFAS 87.

9. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

SFAS No. 107 "Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments" requires disclosure of the fair value of financial instruments. Whenever possible, the estimated fair value has been determined using quoted market information as of December 31, 2003. The estimated fair value information presented is not necessarily indicative of amounts we could realize currently in a market sale since we may be unable to sell such instruments due to contractual restrictions or the lack of an established market. The estimated market values have not been updated since December 31, 2003; therefore, current estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the amounts presented.

Below is a summary of significant methodologies used in estimating fair values and a schedule of fair values at December 31, 2003.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Includes cash and commercial paper with remaining maturities of less than 90 days, which are valued at the carrying value.

Mortgage Notes Payable

Mortgage notes payable consist of instruments in which certain of our real estate assets are used for collateral. The fair value of the mortgage notes payable is estimated based upon dealer quotes for instruments with similar terms and maturities.

Lines of Credit Payable

Lines of credit payable consist of bank facilities which we use for various purposes including working capital, acquisition funding or capital improvements. The lines of credit advances are priced at a specified rate plus a spread. The carrying value of the lines of credit payable is estimated to be market value since the interest rate adjusts with the market.

Notes Payable

The fair value of these securities is estimated based on dealer quotes for securities with similar terms and characteristics.

| (In thousands) | 2003 | | 2002 | |
|---------------------------|----------------|------------|----------------|------------|
| | Carrying Value | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Fair Value |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 5,486 | \$ 5,486 | \$ 13,076 | \$ 13,076 |
| Mortgage notes payable | \$142,182 | \$147,809 | \$ 86,951 | \$ 93,270 |
| Lines of credit payable | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 50,750 | \$ 50,750 |
| Notes payable | \$375,000 | \$396,575 | \$265,000 | \$280,124 |

10. RENTALS UNDER OPERATING LEASES

Noncancellable commercial operating leases provide for minimum rental income before any reserve for uncollectible amounts during each of the next five years of approximately \$122.3 million, \$99.6 million, \$80.2 million, \$64.9 million, \$52.3 million and \$151.9 million thereafter. Apartment leases are not included as they are generally for one year. Most of these commercial leases increase in future years based on agreed-upon percentages or changes in the Consumer Price Index. Percentage rents from retail centers, based on a percentage of tenants' gross sales, were \$0.5 million, \$0.8 million and \$0.4 million in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Real estate tax, operating expense and common area maintenance reimbursement income was \$10.0 million, \$9.0 million and \$8.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

11. CONTINGENCIES

In the normal course of business, we are involved in various lawsuits and environmental matters. Management believes that such matters will not have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

12. SEGMENT INFORMATION

We have four reportable segments: Office Buildings, Retail Centers, Multifamily and Industrial/Flex Properties. Office Buildings, including medical office buildings, represent 53% of 2003 real estate rental revenue and 61% of real estate assets. This segment provides office space for various types of businesses and professions. Retail Centers represent 16% of 2003 real estate rental revenue and 14% of real estate assets and are typically neighborhood grocery store or drug store anchored retail centers. Multifamily properties represent 17% of 2003 real estate rental revenue and 11% of real estate assets. These properties provide housing for families throughout the Washington Metropolitan area. Industrial/flex Properties represent the remaining 14% of 2003 real estate rental revenue and 14% of real estate assets and are used for flex-office, warehousing and distribution type facilities.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note 2. We evaluate performance based upon operating income from the combined properties in each segment. Our reportable segments are consolidations of similar properties. They are managed separately because each segment requires different operating, pricing and leasing strategies. All of these properties have been acquired separately and are incorporated into the applicable segment.

2003

| (In thousands) | Office Buildings | Retail Centers | Multifamily | Industrial/ Flex Properties | Corporate and Other | Consoli- dated |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| Revenue | | | | | | |
| Real estate rental revenue | \$ 86,739 | \$ 26,474 | \$ 28,266 | \$ 21,926 | \$ — | \$ 163,405 |
| Other income | — | — | — | — | 414 | 414 |
| | 86,739 | 26,474 | 28,266 | 21,926 | 414 | 163,819 |
| Expenses | | | | | | |
| Real estate expenses | (25,992) | (5,921) | (10,860) | (5,089) | — | (47,862) |
| Interest expense | (2,083) | — | (4,284) | (1,008) | (22,665) | (30,040) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (20,258) | (3,975) | (4,550) | (5,467) | (1,505) | (35,755) |
| General and administrative | — | — | — | — | (5,275) | (5,275) |
| | (48,333) | (9,896) | (19,694) | (11,564) | (29,445) | (118,932) |
| Income from continuing operations | 38,406 | 16,578 | 8,572 | 10,362 | (29,031) | 44,887 |
| Income before sale of real estate investment | 38,406 | 16,578 | 8,572 | 10,362 | (29,031) | 44,887 |
| Gain on sale of real estate investment | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Net income | \$ 38,406 | \$ 16,578 | \$ 8,572 | \$ 10,362 | \$(29,031) | \$ 44,887 |
| Capital expenditures | \$ 16,857 | \$ 1,863 | \$ 7,392 | \$ 1,301 | \$ 107 | \$ 27,520 |
| Total assets | \$570,450 | \$127,582 | \$ 83,445 | \$128,844 | \$ 16,808 | \$ 927,129 |

2002

| (In thousands) | Office Buildings | Retail Centers | Multifamily | Industrial/ Flex Properties | Corporate and Other | Consoli- dated |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| Revenue | | | | | | |
| Real estate rental revenue | \$ 79,315 | \$ 23,829 | \$ 28,530 | \$ 21,255 | \$ — | \$152,929 |
| Other income | — | — | — | — | 680 | 680 |
| | 79,315 | 23,829 | 28,530 | 21,255 | 680 | 153,609 |
| Expenses | | | | | | |
| Real estate expenses | (24,114) | (4,866) | (10,148) | (4,777) | — | (43,905) |
| Interest expense | (1,621) | (405) | (4,300) | (641) | (20,882) | (27,849) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (15,866) | (3,021) | (4,128) | (4,930) | (1,255) | (29,200) |
| General and administrative | — | — | — | — | (4,575) | (4,575) |
| | (41,601) | (8,292) | (18,576) | (10,348) | (26,712) | (105,529) |
| Income from continuing operations | 37,714 | 15,537 | 9,954 | 10,907 | (26,032) | 48,080 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from opera- tions of disposed property | — | — | — | (82) | — | (82) |
| Gain on property disposed | — | — | — | 3,838 | — | 3,838 |
| Income before sale of real estate investment | 37,714 | 15,537 | 9,954 | 14,663 | (26,032) | 51,836 |
| Net income | \$ 37,714 | \$ 15,537 | \$ 9,954 | \$ 14,663 | \$(26,032) | \$ 51,836 |
| Capital expenditures | \$ 16,272 | \$ 2,970 | \$ 4,911 | \$ 930 | \$ 188 | \$ 25,271 |
| Total assets | \$399,272 | \$127,013 | \$ 80,679 | \$121,777 | \$ 27,256 | \$755,997 |

2001

| (In thousands) | Office Buildings | Retail Centers | Multifamily | Industrial/ Flex Properties | Corporate and Other | Consoli- dated |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| Revenue | | | | | | |
| Real estate rental revenue | \$ 81,023 | \$19,244 | \$27,455 | \$ 19,561 | \$ — | \$ 147,283 |
| Other income | 499 | 10 | 22 | 6 | 1,149 | 1,686 |
| | 81,522 | 19,254 | 27,477 | 19,567 | 1,149 | 148,969 |
| Expenses | | | | | | |
| Real estate expenses | (23,851) | (3,996) | (9,754) | (4,132) | — | (41,733) |
| Interest expense | (1,595) | (635) | (4,315) | (104) | (20,422) | (27,071) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (15,195) | (2,339) | (3,836) | (4,078) | (1,192) | (26,640) |
| General and administrative | — | — | — | — | (6,100) | (6,100) |
| | (40,641) | (6,970) | (17,905) | (8,314) | (27,714) | (101,544) |
| Income from continuing operations | 40,881 | 12,284 | 9,572 | 11,253 | (26,565) | 47,425 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from opera- tions of disposed property | — | — | — | 632 | — | 632 |
| Income before sale of real estate investment | 40,881 | 12,284 | 9,572 | 11,885 | (26,565) | 48,057 |
| Gain on sale of real estate investment | 4,296 | — | — | — | — | 4,296 |
| Net income | \$ 45,177 | \$12,284 | \$ 9,572 | \$ 11,885 | \$(26,565) | \$ 52,353 |
| Capital expenditures | \$ 8,899 | \$ 895 | \$ 2,460 | \$ 1,761 | \$ 538 | \$ 14,553 |
| Total assets | \$380,990 | \$81,294 | \$79,829 | \$127,625 | \$ 38,197 | \$ 707,935 |

13. SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA

The following table summarizes our financial data by quarter for 2003 and 2002.

| (in thousands, unaudited) | Quarter | | | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | First | Second | Third | Fourth |
| 2003 | | | | |
| Real estate rental revenue | \$38,961 | \$39,481 | \$41,109 | \$43,854 |
| Net income | 11,214 | 11,288 | 10,987 | 11,398 |
| Income from continuing operations per share | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.29 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.28 |
| Net income per share | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.29 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.28 | \$ 0.28 |
| 2002 | | | | |
| Real estate rental revenue | \$38,022 | \$37,556 | \$38,324 | \$39,027 |
| Net income | 16,328 | 11,813 | 11,643 | 12,052 |
| Income from continuing operations per share | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.32 | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.31 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.32 | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.31 |
| Net income per share* | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.31 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.31 |

*Includes gain on the sale of real estate of \$0.10 per share in the first quarter of 2002.

SUMMARY OF REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

| Properties | Location | Initial Cost ^(b) | | Net Improvements (Retirements) since Acquisition |
|--|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| | | Land | Building and Improvements | |
| Office Buildings | | | | |
| 1901 Pennsylvania Avenue | Washington, DC | \$ 892,000 | \$ 3,481,000 | \$12,176,000 |
| 51 Monroe Street | Maryland | 840,000 | 10,869,000 | 15,080,000 |
| 7700 Leesburg Pike | Virginia | 3,670,000 | 4,000,000 | 7,651,000 |
| 515 King Street | Virginia | 4,102,000 | 3,931,000 | 1,956,000 |
| The Lexington Building | Maryland | 1,180,000 | 1,262,000 | 1,045,000 |
| The Saratoga Building | Maryland | 1,464,000 | 1,554,000 | 1,569,000 |
| Brandywine Center | Maryland | 718,000 | 735,000 | 762,000 |
| Tycon Plaza II | Virginia | 3,262,000 | 7,243,000 | 2,757,000 |
| Tycon Plaza III | Virginia | 3,255,000 | 7,794,000 | 3,598,000 |
| 6110 Executive Boulevard | Maryland | 4,621,000 | 11,926,000 | 4,943,000 |
| 1220 19th Street | Washington, DC | 7,803,000 | 11,366,000 | 2,258,000 |
| Maryland Trade Center I | Maryland | 3,330,000 | 12,747,000 | 3,765,000 |
| Maryland Trade Center II | Maryland | 2,826,000 | 9,486,000 | 1,513,000 |
| 1600 Wilson Boulevard | Virginia | 6,661,000 | 16,742,000 | 1,772,000 |
| 7900 Westpark Drive | Virginia | 12,049,000 | 71,825,000 | 10,463,000 |
| 8230 Boone Boulevard | Virginia | 1,417,000 | 6,754,000 | 505,000 |
| Woodburn Medical Park I | Virginia | 2,563,000 | 12,460,000 | 651,000 |
| Woodburn Medical Park II | Virginia | 2,632,000 | 17,574,000 | 332,000 |
| 600 Jefferson Plaza | Maryland | 2,296,000 | 12,188,000 | 1,043,000 |
| 1700 Research Boulevard | Maryland | 1,847,000 | 11,105,000 | 641,000 |
| Parklawn Plaza | Maryland | 714,000 | 4,053,000 | 395,000 |
| Wayne Plaza | Maryland | 1,564,000 | 6,243,000 | 2,054,000 |
| Courthouse Square | Virginia | — | 17,096,000 | 1,016,000 |
| One Central Plaza | Maryland | 5,480,000 | 39,107,000 | 5,681,000 |
| The Atrium Building | Maryland | 3,182,000 | 11,281,000 | 1,918,000 |
| 1776 G Street | Washington, DC | 31,500,000 | 55,508,000 | 7,000 |
| Prosperity Medical Center I | Virginia | 2,071,000 | 26,795,000 | — |
| Prosperity Medical Center II | Virginia | 1,598,000 | 26,331,000 | — |
| Prosperity Medical Center III | Virginia | 2,819,000 | 20,147,000 | (22,000) |
| Development and Pre-construction Costs ^(h) | — | — | — | 1,221,000 |
| | | \$116,356,000 | \$441,603,000 | \$86,750,000 |
| Retail Centers | | | | |
| Takoma Park | Maryland | \$ 415,000 | \$ 1,084,000 | \$ 94,000 |
| Westminster | Maryland | 519,000 | 1,775,000 | 5,080,000 |
| Concord Centre | Virginia | 413,000 | 850,000 | 3,131,000 |
| Wheaton Park | Maryland | 796,000 | 857,000 | 3,573,000 |
| Bradlee | Virginia | 4,152,000 | 5,383,000 | 6,961,000 |
| Chevy Chase Metro Plaza | Washington, DC | 1,549,000 | 4,304,000 | 3,391,000 |
| Montgomery Village Center | Maryland | 11,625,000 | 9,105,000 | 1,247,000 |
| Shoppes of Foxchase | Virginia | 5,838,000 | 2,979,000 | 1,457,000 |
| Frederick County Square | Maryland | 6,561,000 | 6,830,000 | 1,476,000 |
| 800 S. Washington Street | Virginia | 2,904,000 | 4,626,000 | 1,226,000 |
| 1620 Wilson Boulevard ^(g) | Virginia | 1,355,000 | 917,000 | — |
| Centre at Hagerstown | Maryland | 13,029,000 | 26,719,000 | 166,000 |
| 718 Jefferson Street ^(h) | Virginia | 269,000 | 863,000 | — |
| | | \$ 49,425,000 | \$ 66,292,000 | \$27,802,000 |

WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES

| Gross Amounts at Which Carried at December 31, 2003 | | | Accumulated Depreciation at December 31, 2003 | Year of Construction | Date of Acquisition | Net Rentable Square Feet ^(e) | Units | Depreciation Life ^(d) |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|---|-------------------------|------------------------|---|-------|-------------------------------------|
| Land | Buildings and Improvements | Total ^(a) | | | | | | |
| \$ 892,000 | \$ 15,657,000 | \$ 16,549,000 | \$ 7,212,000 | 1960 | May 1977 | 97,000 | | 28 Years |
| 840,000 | 25,949,000 | 26,789,000 | 11,917,000 | 1975 | August 1979 | 210,000 | | 41 Years |
| 3,670,000 | 11,651,000 | 15,321,000 | 4,045,000 | 1976 | October 1990 | 147,000 | | 50 Years |
| 4,102,000 | 5,887,000 | 9,989,000 | 1,768,000 | 1966 | July 1992 | 78,000 | | 50 Years |
| 1,180,000 | 2,307,000 | 3,487,000 | 823,000 | 1970 | November 1993 | 46,000 | | 50 Years |
| 1,464,000 | 3,123,000 | 4,587,000 | 1,122,000 | 1977 | November 1993 | 59,000 | | 50 Years |
| 718,000 | 1,497,000 | 2,215,000 | 567,000 | 1969 | November 1993 | 35,000 | | 50 Years |
| 3,262,000 | 10,000,000 | 13,262,000 | 2,779,000 | 1981 | June 1994 | 127,000 | | 50 Years |
| 3,255,000 | 11,392,000 | 14,647,000 | 3,262,000 | 1978 | June 1994 | 151,000 | | 50 Years |
| 4,621,000 | 16,869,000 | 21,490,000 | 6,094,000 | 1971 | January 1995 | 199,000 | | 30 Years |
| 7,803,000 | 13,624,000 | 21,427,000 | 3,635,000 | 1976 | November 1995 | 102,000 | | 30 Years |
| 3,330,000 | 16,512,000 | 19,842,000 | 4,991,000 | 1981 | May 1996 | 190,000 | | 30 Years |
| 2,826,000 | 10,999,000 | 13,825,000 | 3,241,000 | 1984 | May 1996 | 158,000 | | 30 Years |
| 6,661,000 | 18,514,000 | 25,175,000 | 4,263,000 | 1973 | October 1997 | 166,000 | | 30 Years |
| 12,049,000 | 82,288,000 | 94,337,000 | 15,969,000 | 1972/'86/'99 | November 1997 | 526,000 | | 30 Years |
| 1,417,000 | 7,259,000 | 8,676,000 | 1,458,000 | 1981 | September 1998 | 58,000 | | 30 Years |
| 2,563,000 | 13,111,000 | 15,674,000 | 2,340,000 | 1984 | November 1998 | 71,000 | | 30 Years |
| 2,632,000 | 17,906,000 | 20,538,000 | 3,202,000 | 1988 | November 1998 | 96,000 | | 30 Years |
| 2,296,000 | 13,231,000 | 15,527,000 | 2,294,000 | 1985 | May 1999 | 115,000 | | 30 Years |
| 1,847,000 | 11,746,000 | 13,593,000 | 1,841,000 | 1982 | May 1999 | 103,000 | | 30 Years |
| 714,000 | 4,448,000 | 5,162,000 | 685,000 | 1986 | November 1999 | 40,000 | | 30 Years |
| 1,564,000 | 8,297,000 | 9,861,000 | 1,033,000 | 1970 | May 2000 | 91,000 | | 30 Years |
| — | 18,112,000 | 18,112,000 | 2,036,000 | 1979 | October 2000 | 113,000 | | 30 Years |
| 5,480,000 | 44,788,000 | 50,268,000 | 3,847,000 | 1974 | April 2001 | 267,000 | | 30 Years |
| 3,182,000 | 13,199,000 | 16,381,000 | 565,000 | 1980 | July 2002 | 81,000 | | 30 Years |
| 31,500,000 | 55,515,000 | 87,015,000 | 1,177,000 | 1979 | August 2003 | 262,000 | | 30 Years |
| 2,071,000 | 26,795,000 | 28,866,000 | 220,000 | 2000 | October 2003 | 92,000 | | 30 Years |
| 1,598,000 | 26,331,000 | 27,929,000 | 209,000 | 2001 | October 2003 | 88,000 | | 30 Years |
| 2,819,000 | 20,125,000 | 22,944,000 | 169,000 | 2002 | October 2003 | 75,000 | | 30 Years |
| 1,221,000 | — | 1,221,000 | — | | | | | |
| <u>\$116,356,000</u> | <u>\$528,353,000</u> | <u>\$644,709,000</u> | <u>\$92,764,000</u> | | | <u>3,843,000</u> | | |
| \$ 415,000 | \$ 1,178,000 | \$ 1,593,000 | \$ 914,000 | 1962 | July 1963 | 51,000 | | 50 Years |
| 519,000 | 6,855,000 | 7,374,000 | 2,680,000 | 1969 | September 1972 | 146,000 | | 37 Years |
| 413,000 | 3,981,000 | 4,394,000 | 1,994,000 | 1960 | December 1973 | 76,000 | | 33 Years |
| 796,000 | 4,430,000 | 5,226,000 | 1,686,000 | 1967 | September 1977 | 72,000 | | 50 Years |
| 4,152,000 | 12,344,000 | 16,496,000 | 5,324,000 | 1955 | December 1984 | 168,000 | | 40 Years |
| 1,549,000 | 7,695,000 | 9,244,000 | 2,959,000 | 1975 | September 1985 | 50,000 | | 50 Years |
| 11,625,000 | 10,352,000 | 21,977,000 | 2,522,000 | 1969 | December 1992 | 198,000 | | 50 Years |
| 5,838,000 | 4,436,000 | 10,274,000 | 1,294,000 | 1960 | June 1994 | 128,000 | | 50 Years |
| 6,561,000 | 8,306,000 | 14,867,000 | 2,649,000 | 1973 | August 1995 | 235,000 | | 30 Years |
| 2,904,000 | 5,852,000 | 8,756,000 | 919,000 | 1955/'59 | June 1998 | 51,000 | | 30 Years |
| 1,355,000 | 917,000 | 2,272,000 | 60,000 | 1959 | January 2002 | 5,000 | | 30 Years |
| 13,029,000 | 26,885,000 | 39,914,000 | 1,545,000 | 2000 | June 2002 | 334,000 | | 30 Years |
| 269,000 | 863,000 | 1,132,000 | 18,000 | 1951/'90 | May 2003 | 5,000 | | 30 Years |
| <u>\$ 49,425,000</u> | <u>\$ 94,094,000</u> | <u>\$143,519,000</u> | <u>\$24,564,000</u> | | | <u>1,519,000</u> | | |

SUMMARY OF REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS
AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

| Properties | Location | Initial Cost ^(b) | | Net Improvements (Retirements) since Acquisition |
|------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| | | Land | Building and Improvements | |
| Multifamily Properties | | | | |
| 3801 Connecticut Avenue | Washington, DC | \$ 420,000 | \$ 2,678,000 | \$ 5,206,000 |
| Roosevelt Towers ^(a) | Virginia | 336,000 | 1,996,000 | 2,830,000 |
| Country Club Towers ^(a) | Virginia | 299,000 | 2,562,000 | 4,527,000 |
| Park Adams ^(a) | Virginia | 287,000 | 1,654,000 | 4,144,000 |
| Munson Hill Towers ^(a) | Virginia | 322,000 | 3,337,000 | 6,338,000 |
| The Ashby at McLean ^(a) | Virginia | 4,356,000 | 17,102,000 | 5,338,000 |
| Walker House Apartments | Maryland | 2,851,000 | 7,946,000 | 4,072,000 |
| Bethesda Hill Apartments | Maryland | 3,900,000 | 13,412,000 | 3,283,000 |
| Avondale ^(a) | Maryland | 3,460,000 | 9,244,000 | 1,841,000 |
| WRIT Rosslyn Center ^(g) | Virginia | 1,506,000 | — | 3,155,000 |
| | | <u>\$ 17,737,000</u> | <u>\$ 59,931,000</u> | <u>\$ 40,734,000</u> |
| Industrial Properties | | | | |
| Fullerton Business Center | Virginia | \$ 950,000 | \$ 3,317,000 | \$ 887,000 |
| Pepsi-Cola Distribution Center | Maryland | 760,000 | 1,792,000 | 1,659,000 |
| Charleston Business Center | Maryland | 2,045,000 | 2,091,000 | 476,000 |
| Tech 100 Industrial Park | Maryland | 2,086,000 | 4,744,000 | 685,000 |
| Crossroads Distribution Center | Maryland | 894,000 | 1,946,000 | 154,000 |
| The Alban Business Center | Virginia | 878,000 | 3,298,000 | 397,000 |
| The Earhart Building | Virginia | 916,000 | 4,129,000 | 1,008,000 |
| Ammendale Technology Park I | Maryland | 1,335,000 | 6,466,000 | 1,137,000 |
| Ammendale Technology Park II | Maryland | 862,000 | 4,996,000 | 507,000 |
| Pickett Industrial Park | Virginia | 3,300,000 | 4,920,000 | 709,000 |
| Northern Virginia Industrial Park | Virginia | 4,971,000 | 25,670,000 | 7,318,000 |
| 8900 Telegraph Road | Virginia | 372,000 | 1,489,000 | 153,000 |
| Dulles South IV | Virginia | 913,000 | 5,997,000 | 169,000 |
| Sully Square | Virginia | 1,052,000 | 6,506,000 | 174,000 |
| Amvax | Virginia | 246,000 | 1,987,000 | (13,000) |
| Sullyfield Center ^(a) | Virginia | 2,803,000 | 19,711,000 | 279,000 |
| Fullerton Industrial | Virginia | 2,465,000 | 8,397,000 | 144,000 |
| | | <u>\$ 26,848,000</u> | <u>\$107,456,000</u> | <u>\$ 15,843,000</u> |
| Total | | <u>\$210,366,000</u> | <u>\$675,282,000</u> | <u>\$171,129,000</u> |

Notes:

- (a) At December 31, 2003, our properties were encumbered by non-recourse mortgage amounts as follows: \$13,700,000 on the Ashby, \$7,910,000 on Avondale; \$7,755,000 on Country Club Towers, \$10,560,000 on Munson Hill Towers, \$9,625,000 on Park Adams, \$8,360,000 on Roosevelt Towers, \$8,224,000 on Woodburn Medical Park I, \$11,021,000 on Woodburn Medical Park II, \$49,581,000 on Prosperity Medical Center, \$8,776,000 on Sullyfield Center and \$6,670,000 on Fullerton Industrial Center.
- (b) The purchase cost of real estate investments has been divided between land and buildings and improvements on the basis of management's determination of the relative values.
- (c) At December 31, 2003, total land, buildings and improvements are carried at \$923,933,000 for federal income tax purposes.
- (d) The useful life shown is for the main structure. Buildings and improvements are depreciated over various useful lives ranging from 3 to 50 years.
- (e) Residential properties are presented in gross square feet.
- (f) Development costs within office properties reflect pre-development construction for excess density approved for development and available to the Tycon III property.
- (g) WRIT Rosslyn Center is a planned 224 unit multifamily property in the early development stages. Completion is expected in mid 2005. 1620 Wilson Boulevard was acquired in conjunction with the overall development plan for WRIT Rosslyn Center.
- (h) 718 E. Jefferson Street was acquired to complete our ownership of the entire block of 800 S. Washington Street. The surface parking lot on this block is now in the preliminary stages of development. We refer to this development project as South Washington Street.

WASHINGTON REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES

| Gross Amounts at Which Carried at December 31, 2003 | | | Accumulated Depreciation at December 31, 2003 | Year of Construction | Date of Acquisition | Net Rentable Square Feet ^(a) | Units | Depreciation Life ^(a) |
|---|----------------------------------|------------------------|---|-------------------------|------------------------|---|--------------|-------------------------------------|
| Land | Buildings and Improvements | Total ^(a) | | | | | | |
| \$ 420,000 | \$ 7,884,000 | \$ 8,304,000 | \$ 5,227,000 | 1951 | January 1963 | 177,000 | 307 | 30 Years |
| 336,000 | 4,826,000 | 5,162,000 | 3,231,000 | 1964 | May 1965 | 168,000 | 190 | 40 Years |
| 299,000 | 7,089,000 | 7,388,000 | 4,199,000 | 1965 | July 1969 | 159,000 | 227 | 35 Years |
| 287,000 | 5,798,000 | 6,085,000 | 3,615,000 | 1959 | January 1969 | 172,000 | 200 | 35 Years |
| 322,000 | 9,675,000 | 9,997,000 | 6,032,000 | 1963 | January 1970 | 259,000 | 279 | 33 Years |
| 4,356,000 | 22,440,000 | 26,796,000 | 5,385,000 | 1982 | August 1996 | 244,000 | 250 | 30 Years |
| 2,851,000 | 12,018,000 | 14,869,000 | 2,656,000 | 1971 | March 1996 | 154,000 | 212 | 30 Years |
| 3,900,000 | 16,695,000 | 20,595,000 | 3,741,000 | 1986 | November 1997 | 226,000 | 194 | 30 Years |
| 3,460,000 | 11,085,000 | 14,545,000 | 1,949,000 | 1987 | September 1999 | 170,000 | 236 | 30 Years |
| 1,506,000 | 3,155,000 | 4,661,000 | 6,000 | 1957 | February 2001 | — | — | 30 Years |
| <u>\$ 17,737,000</u> | <u>\$100,665,000</u> | <u>\$ 118,402,000</u> | <u>\$ 36,041,000</u> | | | <u>1,729,000</u> | <u>2,095</u> | |
| \$ 950,000 | \$ 4,204,000 | \$ 5,154,000 | \$ 1,737,000 | 1980 | September 1985 | 104,000 | | 50 Years |
| 760,000 | 3,451,000 | 4,211,000 | 1,263,000 | 1971 | October 1987 | 69,000 | | 50 Years |
| 2,045,000 | 2,567,000 | 4,612,000 | 623,000 | 1973 | November 1993 | 85,000 | | 50 Years |
| 2,086,000 | 5,429,000 | 7,515,000 | 1,867,000 | 1990 | May 1995 | 167,000 | | 30 Years |
| 894,000 | 2,100,000 | 2,994,000 | 647,000 | 1987 | December 1995 | 85,000 | | 30 Years |
| 878,000 | 3,695,000 | 4,573,000 | 1,062,000 | 1981/'82 | October 1996 | 87,000 | | 30 Years |
| 916,000 | 5,137,000 | 6,053,000 | 1,409,000 | 1987 | December 1996 | 90,000 | | 30 Years |
| 1,335,000 | 7,603,000 | 8,938,000 | 2,139,000 | 1985 | February 1997 | 167,000 | | 30 Years |
| 862,000 | 5,503,000 | 6,365,000 | 1,356,000 | 1986 | February 1997 | 108,000 | | 30 Years |
| 3,300,000 | 5,629,000 | 8,929,000 | 1,265,000 | 1973 | October 1997 | 246,000 | | 30 Years |
| 4,971,000 | 32,988,000 | 37,959,000 | 6,777,000 | 1968/'91 | May 1998 | 788,000 | | 30 Years |
| 372,000 | 1,642,000 | 2,014,000 | 378,000 | 1985 | September 1998 | 32,000 | | 30 Years |
| 913,000 | 6,166,000 | 7,079,000 | 1,033,000 | 1988 | January 1999 | 83,000 | | 30 Years |
| 1,052,000 | 6,680,000 | 7,732,000 | 1,066,000 | 1986 | April 1999 | 95,000 | | 30 Years |
| 246,000 | 1,974,000 | 2,220,000 | 282,000 | 1986 | September 1999 | 31,000 | | 30 Years |
| 2,803,000 | 19,990,000 | 22,793,000 | 1,441,000 | 1985 | November 2001 | 245,000 | | 30 Years |
| 2,465,000 | 8,541,000 | 11,006,000 | 269,000 | 1980/'82 | January 2003 | 137,000 | | 30 Years |
| <u>\$ 26,848,000</u> | <u>\$123,299,000</u> | <u>\$ 150,147,000</u> | <u>\$ 24,614,000</u> | | | <u>2,619,000</u> | <u>—</u> | |
| <u>\$210,366,000</u> | <u>\$846,411,000</u> | <u>\$1,056,777,000</u> | <u>\$177,983,000</u> | | | <u>9,710,000</u> | <u>2,095</u> | |

SUMMARY OF REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

The following is a reconciliation of real estate assets and accumulated depreciation for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001:

| (In thousands) | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 |
|---|--------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Real Estate Assets | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 852,110 | \$774,586 | \$698,513 |
| Additions —property acquisitions | 178,763 | 56,483 | 68,584 |
| —improvements | 27,413 | 25,083 | 14,015 |
| Deductions—write-off of disposed assets | (1,509) | (647) | (332) |
| Deductions—property sales | — | (3,395) | (6,194) |
| Balance, end of period | <u>\$1,056,777</u> | <u>\$852,110</u> | <u>\$774,586</u> |
| Accumulated Depreciation | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 146,912 | \$122,625 | \$100,906 |
| Additions —depreciation | 32,580 | 26,635 | 24,492 |
| Deductions—write-off of disposed assets | (1,509) | (281) | (332) |
| Deductions—property sales | — | (2,067) | (2,441) |
| Balance, end of period | <u>\$ 177,983</u> | <u>\$146,912</u> | <u>\$122,625</u> |

CORPORATE INFORMATION

CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS

Washington Real Estate Investment Trust
6110 Executive Boulevard, Suite 800
Rockville, MD 20852-3927
301.984.9400
800.565.9748
fax 301.984.9610
www.writ.com

COUNSEL

Arent Fox PLLC
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036-5339

INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Ernst & Young LLP
8484 Westpark Drive
McLean, VA 22102

TRANSFER AGENT

EquiServe Trust Company, N.A.
P.O. Box 43069
Providence, RI 02940-3069

ANNUAL MEETING

WRIT will hold its annual meeting of stockholders on May 20, 2004, at 11:00 a.m. at the Bethesda Marriott Hotel, 5151 Pooks Hill Road, Bethesda, MD.

WRIT DIRECT

WRIT's dividend reinvestment and direct stock purchase plan permits cash investment of up to \$25,000 per month, plus dividends, and is IRA eligible.

STOCK INFORMATION

WRIT is traded on the New York Stock Exchange. The symbol listed in the newspaper is WRIT. The trading symbol is WRE.

MEMBER

National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts®
1875 Eye Street, N.W.
Suite 600
Washington, DC 20006-5413

WRIT TRUSTEES AND OFFICERS

TRUSTEES

Edmund B. Cronin, Jr.
*Chairman, President and
Chief Executive Officer
Director,
John J. Kirlin Companies;
Pepco Holdings Inc.*

John M. Derrick, Jr.
*Chairman,
Pepco Holdings Inc.*

Clifford M. Kendall
*Director,
VSE Corporation*

John P. McDaniel
*Chief Executive Officer,
MedStar Health;
Director,
Thrivent Financial for Lutherans*

Charles T. Nason
*Chairman,
Acacia Life Insurance Company*

David M. Osnos
*Attorney,
Arent Fox PLLC;
Director,
EastGroup Properties;
VSE Corporation*

Susan J. Williams
*Chief Executive Officer
and President,
Williams Aron & Associates*

OFFICERS

Edmund B. Cronin, Jr.
*Chairman, President and
Chief Executive Officer*

George F. McKenzie
*Executive Vice President,
Real Estate*

Brian J. Fitzgerald
*Managing Director,
Leasing*

Laura M. Franklin
*Senior Vice President,
Accounting, Administration
and Corporate Secretary*


Sara L. Grootwassink
Chief Financial Officer

Kenneth C. Reed
*Managing Director,
Property Management*

Thomas L. Regnell
*Managing Director,
Acquisitions*

RETURNS

\$10,000 INVESTED IN WRIT SINCE 1971, WITH DIVIDENDS REINVESTED, WOULD BE WORTH \$2,356,793 AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2003.



COMPOUND ANNUAL RATES OF RETURN

| | |
|---------------|-------|
| WRIT | 18.0% |
| REIT INDUSTRY | 13.0% |
| S&P 500 | 11.3% |
| NASDAQ | 9.5% |
| DJIA | 7.9% |

WRIT

Washington Real Estate Investment Trust

6110 Executive Boulevard, Suite 800, Rockville, Maryland 20852-3927

301.984.9400 800.565.9748 Fax 301.984.9610 www.writ.com