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# MESSAGE TO OUR SHAREHOLDERS 

2018 Annual Report - Message to Shareholders<br>Larry Hueth, President and CEO | Stephen Oliver, Board Chairman

We are pleased to report record earnings for 2018, and look forward to continued improvement in 2019 and beyond. Our continued success is built upon our unwavering commitment to deliver banking products and services to the communities we serve with a "hometown" touch. At the same time we recognize the need to embrace the changing needs of our customers. With this in mind, we are developing and deploying a comprehensive digital platform that positions us to continue to meet the banking needs of all generations and geographies we serve. In addition, in 2018 we introduced our first shareholder dividend, which enhances the value and return for those who have invested and believed in us.

## Financial Performance

The Company reported profits of $\$ 7.1$ million for the year ended December 31, 2018, representing a $45 \%$ increase over the previous year. Earnings per share increased to $\$ 0.69$ for the most recent year end compared to $\$ 0.46$ for the previous year. This increase in earnings per share resulted from the combined impact of improved earnings and a prudent share repurchase program that was initiated in 2016.

The dedicated efforts of our entire team of banking professionals delivered strong balance sheet growth in 2018. During this year, net loans increased $\$ 84.8$ million, or $10.9 \%$, and deposits increased $\$ 55.3$ million, or $6.2 \%$, with total asset growth of $\$ 43.1$ million, or $3.5 \%$, to $\$ 1.26$ billion at December 31, 2018.

We are pleased with the performance of the branches in our expansion markets of Kitsap and Whatcom counties. As of December 31, 2018, combined deposits at our Silverdale branch in Kitsap County (which opened in 2014) exceeded \$61 million; our Bellingham branches in Whatcom County (opened in 2015 and 2016) had combined deposits exceeding \$68 million; and our Bainbridge Island branch, also in Kitsap County (opened in 2018) had total deposits of over $\$ 8$ million.

Our capital ratios continue to substantially exceed regulatory requirements for a well-capitalized financial institution. Our plan is to continue deploying this capital through growth opportunities and other prudent capital management strategies, including a quarterly dividend that was initiated this year.

## Community Support and Development

We continue our proud tradition of connecting with local communities. Through our banking products and services, volunteerism, and monetary contributions, including the generous giving provided by the First Federal Community Foundation, we support various organizations and contribute to the economic development ot the communities we serve.

## The Future

Our focus for 2019 will be to continue to grow and transition our balance sheet to include a greater percentage of commercial business and real estate loans and deposits in order to improve net interest margin, net income, and shareholder returns, while never losing focus that our purpose is to provide innovative solutions to help meet the financial goals and dreams of the communities we serve.

Sincerely, Stephen Oliver Chairman, Board of Directors

Larry Hueth
President and Chief Executive Officer

## FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

These graphs present selected financial information for the consolidated financial position and results of operations of First Northwest Bancorp ("FNWB"). Total Shareholders' Equity, Net Loans Receivable, Total Deposits, and Total Assets are at the dates presented. Net Income is presented for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2016 and 2017, the six month transition period ended December 31, 2017, (representing our change from a fiscal year ended June 30 to December 31) and for the year ended December 31,2018 . This information is unaudited and derived from, and should be read in conjunction with, the Consolidated Financial Statements of FNWB and its wholly-owned subsidiary included in this Annual Report.


1971 siminem

$1961 \begin{aligned} & \text { Port Angeles } \\ & \text { Downtown }\end{aligned}$ Branch opens.

2014 smisitem

2008 Companywide rebrand.

## 2001 $\$ 500,000,000_{\text {assels }}^{\text {in }}$

1998 Introduced Online Banking and Debit Cards.

## $\$ 1,000,0000_{\text {assets }}^{1944}$

1923 盟 opens as Lincoln Savings and Loan.

1984 First two ATMs introduced.

7080 Port Townsend
$1989 \begin{aligned} & \text { Port Townsend } \\ & \text { Branch opens }\end{aligned}$ and Administrative Center opens in Port Angeles.


# 2018 HIGHLIGHTS 

First Federal sponsored the "Sail Like A Girl" team in the Race to Alaska. The team won!


This was First Federal's most profitable year ever.

First Federal was named the
2nd most giving medium-sized organization
by the Puget Sound Business Journal.

We set the standard for excellence in Community Banking. We're committed to knowing our customers and communities, so we can provide them with innovative solutions that help meet their financial goals and achieve their dreams. We deliver the best banking experience anywhere, with a 'home town' touch.

## V I S I O N

Through service, leadership and strong financial performance, we:

- Put our resources to work strengthening communities and supporting local business.

Deliver banking services that support customer convenience and choice.
Attract, develop and retain phenomenal talent who love their jobs and love where they live.

## C O R E V ALUES

Community Excellence Collaboration Integrity Accountability

First Federal is the only community bank headquartered on the North Olympic Peninsula. We opened our doors in 1923 under the name of Lincoln Savings and Loan and made our first home loan on April 18, 1923, for \$500. Today we are 12 banking locations strong, serving Clallam, Jefferson, Kitsap, Whatcom and King Counties. Our locations can be found at www.ourfirstfed.com/locations
in Auto Lending

First Federal was voted

## Best Place to Bank

for the 23rd Consecutive Year in the Peninsula

## 2020 Women on Boards

First Northwest Bancorp was recognized in 2018 as a 2020 Women on Boards

Winning 'W' Company for 2018.



On January 29, 2015, First Federal converted from a mutual to stock savings bank and formed First Northwest Bancorp as its holding company. In connection with the conversion, a private foundation was established to continue First Federal's 93 -year history of giving back to the communities it serves. With a gift of cash and stock valued at $\$ 12$ million from First Northwest Bancorp, the Foundation received the funding it needed to ensure it would have the sustained and meaningful impact envisioned by First Northwest Bancorp when it established the Foundation.

Since that time, the Foundation has awarded \$2.8 Million in grants to recipients located in the communities in which First Federal operates a full service branch in Washington, including Clallam, Jefferson, Kitsap and Whatcom counties. The Foundation's awards target four key priorities: Community Support; Affordable Housing; Economic Development; and Community Development.

First Federal is proud of the Foundation and the work it does and is proud to work side-by-side with the Foundation to improve the communities in which it operates. Although a separate 501(c)3 nonprofit corporation, the Foundation's board and officers include members of the Bank's board. Volunteers from the Bank also serve on the Foundation's Advisory Committee. With encouragement from its board, the Bank's employees interact regularly with the Foundation, promoting its benefits within their communities and participating in Foundation events.

Since 2015, the Foundation has given over \$2.8Million in donations
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As used in this report, the terms, "we," "our," and "us," and "Company" refer to First Northwest Bancorp and its consolidated subsidiary, unless the context indicates otherwise. When we refer to "First Federal" or the "Bank" in this report, we are referring to First Federal Savings and Loan Association of Port Angeles, the wholly owned subsidiary of First Northwest Bancorp.

## Forward-Looking Statements

Certain matters in this Form $10-\mathrm{K}$, including information included or incorporated by reference, constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forwardlooking statements are not statements of historical fact, are based on certain assumptions and are generally identified by the use of words such as "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "estimates," or similar expressions. Forwardlooking statements include, but are not limited to:

- statements of our goals, intentions and expectations;
- statements regarding our business plans, prospects, growth and operating strategies;
- statements regarding the quality of our loan and investment portfolios; and
- estimates of our risks and future costs and benefits.

These forward-looking statements are based on current beliefs and expectations of management and are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond the Company's control. Actual results may differ materially from those contemplated by the forwardlooking statements due to, among others, the following factors:

- changes in general economic conditions, either nationally or in our market area, or the market areas where the collateral for our loans is located, that are worse than expected;
- the credit risks of our lending activities, including changes in the level and trend of loan delinquencies and write-offs and changes in our allowance for loan losses and provision for loan losses that may be impacted by deterioration in the housing and commercial real estate markets;
- fluctuations in the demand for loans, the number of unsold homes, land and other properties and fluctuations in real estate values in our market area;
- a decrease in the secondary market demand for loans that we originate for sale;
- management's assumptions in determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses;
- our ability to control operating costs and expenses;
- whether our management team can implement our operational strategy including but not limited to our loan growth;
- our ability to successfully execute on merger and/or acquisition strategies and integrate any newly acquired assets, liabilities, customers, systems, and management personnel into our operations and our ability to realize related revenue synergies and cost savings within expected time frames and any goodwill charges related thereto;
- our ability to successfully execute on growth strategies related to the home lending center and new branches;
- staffing needs and associated expenses in response to product demand or the implementation of corporate strategies;
- increases in premiums for deposit insurance;
- the use of estimates in determining fair value of certain of our assets, which estimates may prove to be incorrect and result in significant declines in valuation;
- changes in the levels of general interest rates, and the relative differences between short and long-term interest rates, deposit interest rates, our net interest margin and funding sources;
- increased competitive pressures among financial services companies;
- our ability to attract and retain deposits;
- our ability to retain key members of our senior management team;
- changes in consumer spending, borrowing and savings habits;
- our ability to successfully manage our growth in compliance with regulatory requirements;
- results of examinations of us by the Washington State Department of Financial Institutions, Department of Banks, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, or other regulatory authorities, which could result in restrictions that may adversely affect our liquidity and earnings;
- legislative or regulatory changes that adversely affect our business;
- adverse changes in the securities markets;
- changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the financial institutions regulatory agencies, the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board or the Financial Accounting Standards Board;
- costs and effects of litigation, including settlements and judgments;
- disruptions, security breaches, or other adverse events, failures or interruptions in, or attacks on, our information technology systems or on the third-party vendors who perform several of our critical processing functions;
- inability of key third-party vendors to perform their obligations to us; and
- other economic, competitive, governmental, regulatory and technical factors affecting our operations, pricing, products and services and other risks described elsewhere in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including this Form 10-K.

Any of these developments could have a material adverse impact on our financial position and our results of operations.

Any of the forward-looking statements that we make in this report and in other public statements we make may turn out to be wrong because of inaccurate assumptions we might make, because of the factors illustrated above or because of other factors that we cannot foresee. Any forward-looking statements are based upon management's beliefs and assumptions at the time they are made. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements included or incorporated by reference in this document or to update the reasons why actual results could differ from those contained in such statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the forward-looking statements discussed in this report might not occur, and you should not put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements.

## Available Information

The Company provides an Investor Relations link on its website (www.ourfirstfed.com) to the Securities and Exchange Commission's ("SEC") website (www.sec.gov) for purposes of providing copies of its annual report to shareholders, Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and press releases. Other than an investor's own Internet access charges, these filings are available free of charge and can also be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The information contained on the Company's website is not included as part of, or incorporated by reference into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

## PART I

## Item 1. Business

## General

First Northwest Bancorp ("First Northwest" or the "Company"), a Washington corporation formed on August 14, 2012, is the bank holding company for First Federal Savings and Loan Association of Port Angeles ("First Federal" or the "Bank").

At December 31, 2018, the Company had total assets of $\$ 1.3$ billion, net loans of $\$ 863.9$ million, total deposits of $\$ 940.3$ million, and total shareholders' equity of $\$ 172.3$ million. The Company's business activities are generally limited to passive investment activities and oversight of its investment in First Federal. Accordingly, the information set forth in this report, including consolidated financial statements and related data, relates primarily to First Federal.

First Northwest is a bank holding company subject to regulation by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("Federal Reserve"). First Federal is examined and regulated by the Washington State Department of Financial Institutions, Division of Banks ("DFI") and by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"). First Federal is required to have certain reserves set by the Federal Reserve and is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines ("FHLB" or "FHLB of Des Moines"), which is one of the eleven regional banks in the Federal Home Loan Bank System ("FHLB System").

First Federal is a community-oriented financial institution serving Western Washington with offices in Clallam, Jefferson, Kitsap, King, and Whatcom counties. Our thirteen banking locations include ten full-service banking offices, two banking locations primarily serving our customers through the use of Interactive Teller Machines ("ITM"), and a Home Lending Center ("HLC"), which is focused on the origination of loans secured by one- to four-family residential properties.

We offer a wide range of products and services focused on the lending and depository needs of the communities we serve. Lending activities include the origination of first lien one- to four-family mortgage loans, commercial and multi-family real estate loans, construction and land loans (including lot loans), commercial business loans, and consumer loans, consisting primarily of home equity loans and lines of credit as well as automobile loans. Over the last five years we have significantly increased the origination of higher-yielding commercial real estate, multi-family real estate, and construction loans and more recently have increased our auto loan portfolio through our indirect lending and auto loan purchase programs. We offer traditional consumer and business deposit products, including transaction accounts, savings and money market accounts and certificates of deposit for individuals and businesses. Deposits are our primary source of funds for our lending and investing activities.

The executive office of the Company is located at 105 West 8th Street, Port Angeles, Washington 98362, and its telephone number is (360) 457-0461.

On July 25, 2017, the Board of Directors of First Northwest amended, in accordance with the Company's Bylaws, the Company's fiscal year to begin on January 1 and end on December 31 of each year. As a result of the change, this Form 10-K includes information for the six-month transition period from July 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017, and also contains unaudited information for the twelve-month period from January 1 to December 31, 2017, which compares more accurately to the 2018 presentation.

## Market Area

We operate out of thirteen banking locations throughout western Washington. We have two banking locations, primarily serviced by an ITM, and five branch offices in Clallam County. We also have one branch office in Jefferson County, two branch offices in Kitsap County, two branch offices in Whatcom County, and our HLC is located in Seattle, in King County.

Clallam County has a population of approximately 75,474 and estimated median family income of $\$ 48,002$ according to the latest information available from the U.S. Census Bureau. The economic base in Clallam County is dependent on government, healthcare, education, tourism, marine services, forest products, agriculture, and
technology industries. The primary employers in Clallam County include the Olympic Medical Center, Peninsula College, the Port Angeles School District, Clallam County government, Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe, Clallam Bay Corrections Center, and the Westport Shipyard. According to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, the unemployment rate for Clallam County was $6.9 \%$ at December 31, 2018, compared to $7.0 \%$ at December 31, 2017. The State of Washington average was $4.3 \%$, and the national average was $3.9 \%$ at December 31,2018 . The average sales price of a residential home in Clallam County was $\$ 321,819$ for the quarter ended December 31, 2018, a $7.4 \%$ increase compared to the quarter ended December 31, 2017, according to Paragon Olympic Listing Service. Residential sales volume decreased $2.6 \%$ for the quarter ended December 31, 2018 as compared to the quarter ended December 31, 2017, and inventory levels at December 31, 2018 were projected to be two months according to Paragon.

Jefferson County has a population of approximately 31,234 and estimated median family income of $\$ 51,842$ according to the latest information available from the U.S. Census Bureau. The economic base in Jefferson County is dependent on government, healthcare, education, tourism, arts and culture, maritime and boat building, and small-scale manufacturing. The primary employers in Jefferson County include Port Townsend Paper, Jefferson Healthcare, Port Townsend School District, the Port Authority of Port Townsend and related marine trade, and the Jefferson County government. According to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, the unemployment rate for Jefferson County was $5.9 \%$ at December 31, 2018, compared to $6.2 \%$ at December 31, 2017. The average sales price of a residential home in Jefferson County was $\$ 417,604$ for the quarter ended December 31, 2018, less than a $1.0 \%$ increase when compared to the quarter ended December 31, 2017, according to Northwest Multiple Listing Service (NMLS). Residential sales volume decreased $17.4 \%$ for the quarter ended December 31, 2018 as compared to the quarter ended December 31, 2017, and inventory levels at December 31, 2018 were projected to be two months according to NMLS.

Kitsap County has a population of approximately 266,414 and estimated median family income of \$68,336 according to the latest information available from the U.S. Census Bureau. The economic base of Kitsap County is largely supported by the United States Navy through personnel stationed at Kitsap Naval Base along with other employers supporting the military. Private industries that support the economic base are healthcare, retail and tourism. Other primary employers in Kitsap County include the Department of Defense, Harrison Medical Center, Walmart, and Port Madison Enterprises, which owns and operates the Clearwater Casino and Resort, gas stations and other retail operations. According to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, the unemployment rate for Kitsap County was $4.9 \%$ at December 31, 2018, compared to $5.0 \%$ at December 31, 2017. The average sales price of a residential home in Kitsap County was $\$ 423,328$ for the quarter ended December 31, 2018, a less than $1.0 \%$ increase when compared to the quarter ended December 31, 2017, according to NMLS. Residential sales volume decreased $13.6 \%$ for the quarter ended December 31, 2018 as compared to the quarter ended December 31, 2017, and inventory levels at December 31, 2018 were projected to be one month according to NMLS.

Whatcom County has a population of approximately 221,404 and estimated median family income of $\$ 56,419$ according to the latest information available from the U.S. Census Bureau. The economic base of Whatcom County is largely supported by healthcare, education and crude oil refinery industries. There is some niche manufacturing and a large variety of other small businesses that create a well-rounded economy with a close proximity to the Canadian border bringing in shoppers seeking retail products and services. The primary employers in Whatcom County include PeaceHealth Medical Center, Western Washington University, Bellingham School District, and BP Cherry Point Refinery. According to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, the unemployment rate for Whatcom County was $5.0 \%$ at December 31, 2018, compared to $5.0 \%$ at December 31, 2017. The average sales price of a residential home in Whatcom County was $\$ 395,840$ for the quarter ended December 31, 2018, an $8.0 \%$ increase compared to the quarter ended December 31, 2017, according to NMLS. Residential sales volume decreased $15.1 \%$ for the quarter ended December 31, 2018 as compared to the quarter ended December 31, 2017, and inventory levels at December 31, 2018 were projected to be two months according to NMLS.

King County has a population of approximately 2.2 million and estimated median family income of $\$ 83,571$, according to the latest information available from the U.S. Census Bureau. The economic base of King County is largely supported by technology, services, and manufacturing industries. The primary employers in King County include Microsoft, Amazon, Boeing, Starbucks, and the King County government. According to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, the unemployment rate for King County was $3.3 \%$ at December 31, 2018, compared to $3.6 \%$ at December 31, 2017. The average sales price of a residential home in King County was $\$ 721,439$ for the quarter ended December 31, 2018, a $5.3 \%$ increase compared to the quarter ended December 31, 2017, according to NMLS. Residential sales volume decreased $16.1 \%$ for the quarter ended December 31, 2018 as compared to the quarter ended December 31, 2017, and inventory levels at December 31, 2018 were projected to be two months according to NMLS.

Our business plan includes the intent to extend our operations throughout the Puget Sound Region. This region dominates the economy of the Pacific Northwest and is broadly defined as the area surrounding the inlet of the Pacific Ocean that extends into the northwestern section of the state of Washington. The population of this additional region (beyond our current market area) is approximately 2.2 million, or $29.7 \%$ of the state's population. The market area is a mix of urban, suburban and rural areas, with the Seattle metropolitan area harboring a welldeveloped urban area along the eastern portion of Puget Sound. The region extends from Whatcom County in the north on the Canadian border to Thurston and Pierce counties to the south. Other key metropolitan areas within the Puget Sound region include Bellingham (Whatcom County), Burlington (Skagit County), Everett (Snohomish County), Tacoma (Pierce County) and Olympia (Thurston County).

Key employment sectors include aerospace, military, information technology, clean technology, biotechnology, education, logistics, international trade, and tourism. The region is well known for the long-term presence of The Boeing Corporation and Microsoft, two major industry leaders. The military presence includes a number of large installations serving the U.S. Air Force, Army and Navy. Given the employment profile, the region's workforce is generally highly educated. Washington's geographic proximity to the Pacific Rim along with a deep water port has made it a center for international trade, which contributes significantly to the regional economy. The Washington ports make Washington the fourth largest exporting state in the nation, and the top five trading partners with Washington include China, Mexico, Canada, Japan and Korea. Tourism has also developed into a major industry for the area, due to the scenic beauty, temperate climate, and easy accessibility. Maritime industry employment, supported by the trade and fishing industries, is also an important employment sector.

For a discussion regarding the competition in our primary market area, see "Competition."

## Lending Activities

General. First Federal's principal lending activities are concentrated in real estate secured loans with first lien one- to four-family mortgage, commercial, and multi-family loans. First Federal also makes construction and land loans (including lot loans), commercial business loans, and consumer loans, consisting primarily of automobile loans and home-equity loans and lines of credit.
Loan Portfolio Analysis

| The following table represents information concerning the composition of our loan portfolio, excluding loans held for sale, by the type of loan at the dates |
| :--- |
| indicated: |
|  |
|  |

Fixed-Rate and Adjustable-Rate Loans
The following table shows the composition of our loan portfolio, excluding loans held for sale, in dollar amounts and in percentages by fixed rates and adjustable rates at the dates indicated:

|  | December 31, |  |  |  | June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2017 |  | 2017 |  |  | 2016 |  | 2015 |  | 2014 |  |
|  | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent |  | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| Fixed-rate loans: |  |  |  |  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family | \$ 214,359 | 24.5\% | \$ 219,511 | 27.9\% | \$ | 215,706 | 29.4\% | \$ 198,984 | 31.8\% | \$ 182,299 | 36.8\% | \$ 172,801 | 34.3\% |
| Multi-family | 20,756 | 2.4 | 19,786 | 2.5 |  | 1,370 | 0.2 | 9,596 | 1.5 | 7,979 | 1.6 | 2,281 | 0.5 |
| Commercial real estate | 75,637 | 8.7 | 58,656 | 7.5 |  | 38,423 | 5.2 | 46,082 | 7.4 | 36,880 | 7.5 | 46,199 | 9.2 |
| Construction and land | 36,208 | 4.2 | 23,791 | 3.0 |  | 21,582 | 2.9 | 17,399 | 2.7 | 14,132 | 2.9 | 12,575 | 2.5 |
| Total real estate loans | 346,960 | 39.8 | 321,744 | 40.9 |  | 277,081 | 37.7 | 272,061 | 43.4 | 241,290 | 48.8 | 233,856 | 46.5 |
| Consumer: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home equity | 18,056 | 2.1 | 14,586 | 1.8 |  | 12,582 | 1.7 | 8,845 | 1.4 | 8,741 | 1.8 | 10,085 | 2.0 |
| Other consumer | 86,681 | 10.0 | 27,303 | 3.5 |  | 20,170 | 2.7 | 7,991 | 1.3 | 6,986 | 1.4 | 9,247 | 1.7 |
| Total consumer loans | 104,737 | 12.1 | 41,889 | 5.3 |  | 32,752 | 4.4 | 16,836 | 2.7 | 15,727 | 3.2 | 19,332 | 3.7 |
| Commercial business loans | 5,507 | 0.6 | 6,066 | 0.8 |  | 5,688 | 0.8 | 6,607 | 1.1 | 5,900 | 1.2 | 8,547 | 1.7 |
| Total fixed-rate loans | 457,204 | 52.5 | 369,699 | 47.0 |  | 315,521 | 42.9 | 295,504 | 47.2 | 262,917 | 53.2 | 261,735 | 51.9 |
| Adjustable-rate loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family | 121,819 | 14.0 | 135,880 | 17.3 |  | 112,537 | 15.4 | 109,487 | 17.5 | 74,397 | 15.1 | 69,109 | 13.7 |
| Multi-family | 61,575 | 7.1 | 53,981 | 6.9 |  | 56,731 | 7.7 | 36,529 | 5.8 | 25,107 | 5.1 | 42,819 | 8.5 |
| Commercial real estate | 177,598 | 20.4 | 144,300 | 18.4 |  | 163,615 | 22.3 | 115,100 | 18.4 | 88,743 | 18.0 | 81,829 | 16.2 |
| Construction and land | 17,894 | 2.1 | 47,354 | 6.0 |  | 50,048 | 6.8 | 32,952 | 5.3 | 4,995 | 1.0 | 7,922 | 1.6 |
| Total real estate loans | 378,886 | 43.6 | 381,515 | 48.6 |  | 382,931 | 52.2 | 294,068 | 47.0 | 193,242 | 39.2 | 201,679 | 40.0 |
| Consumer: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home equity | 19,573 | 2.3 | 23,887 | 3.0 |  | 23,287 | 3.2 | 25,064 | 4.0 | 27,646 | 5.6 | 29,979 | 6.0 |
| Other consumer | 676 | 0.1 | 803 | 0.1 |  | 873 | 0.1 | 1,032 | 0.2 | 1,212 | 0.2 | 1,450 | 0.3 |
| Total consumer loans | 20,249 | 2.4 | 24,690 | 3.1 |  | 24,160 | 3.3 | 26,096 | 4.2 | 28,858 | 5.8 | 31,429 | 6.3 |
| Commercial business loans | 13,391 | 1.5 | 10,237 | 1.3 |  | 11,385 | 1.6 | 10,317 | 1.6 | 8,864 | 1.8 | 8,985 | 1.8 |
| Total adjustable-rate loans | 412,526 | 47.5 | 416,442 | 53.0 |  | 418,476 | 57.1 | 330,481 | 52.8 | 230,964 | 46.8 | 242,093 | 48.1 |
| Total loans | 869,730 | 100.0\% | 786,141 | 100.0\% |  | 733,997 | 100.0\% | 625,985 | 100.0\% | 493,881 | 100.0\% | 503,828 | 100.0\% |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net deferred loan fees | 292 |  | 724 |  |  | 904 |  | 1,182 |  | 840 |  | 862 |  |
| Premium on purchased loans, net | $(3,947)$ |  | $(2,454)$ |  |  | $(2,216)$ |  | $(2,280)$ |  | $(1,957)$ |  | $(1,290)$ |  |
| Allowance for loan losses | 9,533 |  | 8,760 |  |  | 8,523 |  | 7,239 |  | 7,111 |  | 8,072 |  |
| Total loans, net | $\underline{\$ 863,852}$ |  | $\underline{\text { \$779,111 }}$ |  |  | $\underline{726,786}$ |  | $\underline{\$(619,844}$ |  | $\underline{\text { \$487,887 }}$ |  | $\underline{\$(496,184}$ |  |

Loan Maturity
The following table illustrates the contractual maturity of our loan portfolio at December 31, 2018. Mortgages that have adjustable or
renegotiable interest rates are shown as maturing in the period during which the contract is due. The total amount of loans due after December 31,2019 that have fixed interest rates is $\$ 455.5$ million, while the total amount of loans due after such date that have adjustable interest rates is $\$ 400.9$ million.

|  | Within One Year ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | After One Year Through Three Years |  |  | After Three Years Through Five Years |  |  | After Five Years Through Ten Years |  | Beyond Ten Years |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Weighted <br> Average | Amount |  | Weighted <br> Average <br> Rate | Weighted <br> Average <br> Rate |  |  | Amoun | Weighted <br> Average <br> Rate | Amount | Weighted <br> Average <br> Rate | Amount | Weighted <br> Average <br> Rate |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Dollars i | ousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family | \$ 17 | 5.31\% | \$ | 180 | 6.30\% | \$ | 709 | 3.85\% | \$ 23,730 | 3.47\% | \$311,542 | 4.05\% | \$336,178 | 4.01\% |
| Multi-family | 113 | 4.96 |  | 7 | 5.89 |  | 19,546 | 3.95 | 49,218 | 4.45 | 13,447 | 5.41 | 82,331 | 4.48 |
| Commercial real estate | 676 | 5.01 |  | 14,232 | 4.97 |  | 45,141 | 4.69 | 191,928 | 4.63 | 1,258 | 3.47 | 253,235 | 4.65 |
| Construction and land | 3,237 | 6.55 |  | 481 | 6.04 |  | 4,745 | 6.81 | 18,076 | 5.19 | 27,563 | 4.81 | 54,102 | 5.23 |
| Consumer: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home equity | 243 | 6.25 |  | 2,720 | 6.31 |  | 3,151 | 6.27 | 5,280 | 6.01 | 26,235 | 4.91 | 37,629 | 5.29 |
| Other consumer | 873 | 9.85 |  | 2,076 | 5.29 |  | 15,063 | 4.84 | 39,895 | 6.15 | 29,450 | 6.52 | 87,357 | 6.06 |
| Commercial business loans | 8,166 | 6.72 |  | 1,357 | 6.25 |  | 6,886 | 5.00 | 2,489 | 5.41 | - | - | 18,898 | 5.88 |
| Total loans | \$ 13,325 |  |  | 21,053 |  |  | 95,241 |  | \$330,616 |  | \$409,495 |  | \$869,730 |  |

$\overline{(1) \text { Includes demand loans, loans having no stated maturity, and overdraft loans. }}$
Geographic Distribution of our Loans

| Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | $\%$ of Total <br> in Category |
| \$ | 336,178 | 38.7\% |
|  | 82,331 | 9.5 |
|  | 253,235 | 29.1 |
|  | 54,102 | 6.2 |
|  | 725,846 | 83.5 |
|  | 37,629 | 4.3 |
|  | 87,357 | 10.0 |
|  | 124,986 | 14.3 |
|  | 18,898 | 2.2 |
| \$ | 869,730 | 100.0\% |




| Other Washington |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount |  | $\%$ of Total <br> in Category |
| \$ |  | (Dollars in |
|  | 3,649 | 1.1\% |
|  | 5,273 | 6.4 |
|  | 20,728 | 8.2 |
|  | - | - |
| 29,650 |  | 4.1 |
| 14 |  | - |
| 930 |  | 1.1 |
| 944 |  | 0.8 |
| - |  | - |
| \$ | 30,594 | 3.5\% |


| Puget Sound Region (2) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | $\%$ of Total <br> in Category |
| \$ | 133,945 | 39.8\% |
|  | 72,928 | 88.6 |
|  | 184,227 | 72.7 |
|  | 38,073 | 70.4 |
|  | 429,173 | 59.1 |
|  | 3,670 | 9.8 |
|  | 25,063 | 28.7 |
|  | 28,733 | 23.0 |
|  | 10,216 | 54.1 |
| \$ | 468,122 | 53.8\% |


(1) Includes Clallam and Jefferson counties.
(2) Includes Kitsap, Mason, Thurston, Pierce,
(2) Includes Kitsap, Mason, Thurston, Pierce, King, Snohomish, Skagit, Whatcom, and Island counties.
(3) Includes loans located primarily in California and Ohio.

One- to Four-Family Real Estate Lending. At December 31, 2018, one- to four-family residential mortgage loans (excluding loans held for sale) totaled $\$ 336.2$ million, or $38.7 \%$, of our total loan portfolio, including $\$ 34.3$ million, or $10.2 \%$, of loans secured by properties outside the state of Washington, primarily in the states of California and Ohio. We originate both fixed and adjustable-rate residential loans, which can be sold in the secondary market or retained in our portfolio, and supplement originations with loan purchases from time to time, depending on our balance sheet objectives. Residential loans are underwritten to either secondary market standards for sale or to internal underwriting standards, which may not meet Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("Freddie Mac") and Federal National Mortgage Association ("Fannie Mae") eligibility requirements.

Fixed-rate residential mortgages are offered with repayment terms between 10 and 30 years, priced off of Freddie Mac posted daily pricing indications adjusted for economic and competitive considerations. Adjustable-rate residential mortgage products with similar amortization terms are also offered, with an interest rate that is typically fixed for an initial period ranging from 1 to 7 years with annual adjustments thereafter. Future interest rate adjustments include periodic caps of no more than $2 \%$ and lifetime caps of $5 \%$ to $6 \%$ above the initial interest rate, with no borrower prepayment restrictions.

Adjustable-rate mortgage loans could increase credit risk when interest rates rise. An increase to the borrower's loan payment may affect the borrower's ability to repay and could increase the probability of default. To mitigate this risk to both the borrower and First Federal, adjustable rate loans contain both periodic and lifetime interest rate caps, limiting the amount of payment changes. In addition, depending on market conditions, we may underwrite the borrower at a higher interest rate and payment amount than the initial rate. We do not offer adjustable-rate mortgages with deep discount teaser rates. At December 31, 2018, the average interest rate on our adjustable-rate mortgage loans was approximately $31.7 \%$ under the fully indexed rate. As of December 31, 2018, we had $\$ 121.8$ million, or $14.0 \%$, of adjustable-rate residential mortgage loans in our residential loan portfolio.

The underwriting process considers a variety of factors including credit history, debt to income ratios, property type, loan to value ratio, and occupancy. For loans with over $80 \%$ loan to value ratios, we typically require private mortgage insurance, which reduces our exposure to loss in the event of a loan default. Credit risk is also mitigated by obtaining title insurance, hazard insurance, and flood insurance. Residential mortgage loans which require appraisals are appraised by independent fee appraisers.

In connection with rules and regulations issued by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau ("CFPB"), defining qualified mortgage loans based on the borrower's ability to repay the loan, we believe that generally all of our mortgage loans originated meet this standard.

First Federal does not actively engage in subprime mortgage lending, either through advertising, marketing, underwriting and/or risk selection, and has no established program to originate or purchase subprime mortgage loans.

Commercial and Multi-Family Real Estate Lending. At December 31, 2018, $\$ 253.2$ million, or 29.1\%, and $\$ 82.3$ million, or $9.5 \%$, of our total loan portfolio was secured by commercial and multi-family real estate property, respectively. At December 31, 2018, we have identified $\$ 37.9$ million of our commercial real estate portfolio as owner-occupied commercial real estate and $\$ 297.7$ million is secured by income producing, or non-owner-occupied, commercial real estate. Substantially all of our commercial real estate and multi-family loans are secured by properties located in Washington State.

These loans are generally priced at a higher rate of interest than one- to four-family residential loans, to compensate for the greater risk associated with higher loan balances and the complexity of underwriting and monitoring. Repayment on loans secured by commercial or multi-family properties is dependent on successful management by the property owner to create sufficient net operating income to meet debt service requirements. Changes in economic and real estate market conditions can affect net operating income, capitalization rates, and ultimately the valuation and marketability of the collateral. As a result, we analyze market data including vacancy rates, absorption percentages, leasing rates, and competing projects under development. Interest rate, occupancy and capitalization rate stress testing are required as part of our underwriting analysis. If the borrower is a corporation, we generally require and obtain personal guarantees from the corporate principals, which include underwriting of their personal financial statements, tax returns, cash flows and individual credit reports, which provides us with additional support and a secondary source for repayment of the debt.

We offer both fixed- and adjustable-rate loans on commercial and multi-family real estate, which may include balloon payments. As of December 31, 2018, we had $\$ 177.6$ million in adjustable-rate commercial real
estate loans and $\$ 61.6$ million in adjustable-rate multi-family loans. Commercial and multi-family real estate loans with adjustable rates generally adjust after an initial period of three to five years and have maturity dates of three to ten years. Amortization terms are generally limited to terms up to 25 years on commercial real estate loans and up to 30 years on multi-family loans. Adjustable-rate multi-family residential and commercial real estate loans are generally priced to market indices with appropriate margins, which may include the U.S. Constant Maturity Treasury Rate, London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR"), The Wall Street Journal prime rate, or other acceptable index. Substantially all adjustable-rate commercial and multi-family real estate loans are subject to a floor rate, and the weighted average floor rate on these loans was $4.33 \%$ at December 31, 2018. Of all of the adjustable-rate commercial loans, $44.9 \%$ are subject to a ceiling rate, and the weighted average ceiling rate on those loans was $10.2 \%$ at December 31, 2018.

The maximum loan to value ratio for commercial and multi-family real estate loans is typically limited to $75 \%$ of the appraiser opinion of market value. The minimum debt service coverage ratio is 1.20 x for non-owneroccupied and owner-occupied properties. We require independent appraisals or evaluations on all loans secured by commercial real estate from an approved appraisers list.

We require most of our commercial and multi-family real estate loan borrowers to submit annual financial statements and/or rent rolls on the subject property, as well as personal financial statements of borrowers and guarantors. These properties may also be subject to annual inspections to support that the appropriate maintenance is being performed by the owner/borrower. All commercial real estate loans over $\$ 1.0$ million are reviewed at least annually. The loan and its borrowers and/or guarantors are subject to an annual risk certification verifying that the loan is properly risk rated based upon covenant compliance and other terms as provided for in the loan agreements. While this process does not prevent loans from becoming delinquent, it does provide us with the opportunity to better identify problem loans in a timely manner and to work with the borrower prior to the loan becoming delinquent.

The following table provides information on multi-family and commercial real estate loans by type at the dates indicated:

|  | December 31, |  |  |  | June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2017 |  | 2017 |  | 2016 |  |
|  | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-owner occupied |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multi-family | \$ 74,511 | 22.2\% | \$ 72,137 | 26.1\% | \$ 58,101 | 22.3\% | \$ 46,125 | 22.3\% |
| Office building | 52,290 | 15.6 | 30,344 | 11.0 | 7,386 | 2.8 | 12,510 | 6.0 |
| Hospitality | 51,134 | 15.3 | 23,741 | 8.6 | 29,455 | 11.3 | 19,293 | 9.3 |
| Retail | 50,409 | 15.0 | 42,798 | 15.5 | 50,398 | 19.4 | 42,637 | 20.6 |
| Mixed use | 24,293 | 7.2 | 11,205 | 4.0 | 11,000 | 4.2 | - | - |
| Self-storage | 11,641 | 3.5 | 17,007 | 6.1 | 17,343 | 6.7 | 15,086 | 7.3 |
| Health care | 10,186 | 3.0 | 9,581 | 3.5 | 9,001 | 3.5 | 13,837 | 6.7 |
| Warehouse | 6,028 | 1.8 | 6,433 | 2.3 | 16,301 | 6.3 | 12,940 | 6.2 |
| Manufacturing | 3,765 | 1.1 | 3,857 | 1.4 | 3,900 | 1.5 | - | - |
| Vehicle dealership | 2,560 | 0.8 | 2,658 | 1.0 | - | - | 1,689 | 0.8 |
| Other non-owner occupied | 10,833 | 3.2 | 11,178 | 4.0 | 11,178 | 4.3 | 7,391 | 3.6 |
| Total non-owner occupied | 297,650 | 88.7 | 230,939 | 83.5 | 214,063 | 82.3 | 171,508 | 82.8 |
| Owner occupied |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health care | 11,586 | 3.5 | 11,892 | 4.3 | 12,105 | 4.7 | 7,925 | 3.8 |
| Vehicle dealership | 7,705 | 2.3 | 8,096 | 2.9 | 6,241 | 2.4 | 9,424 | 4.5 |
| Office building | 4,335 | 1.3 | 9,726 | 3.5 | 9,906 | 3.8 | 2,271 | 1.1 |
| Warehouse | 2,997 | 0.9 | 1,687 | 0.6 | 842 | 0.3 | 178 | 0.1 |
| Retail | 2,801 | 0.9 | 2,957 | 1.1 | 3,499 | 1.3 | 2,396 | 1.2 |
| Manufacturing | 2,150 | 0.6 | 2,983 | 1.1 | 3,037 | 1.2 | 3,387 | 1.6 |
| Mixed use | 1,429 | 0.4 | 1,797 | 0.6 | 1,597 | 0.6 | 1,041 | 0.5 |
| Hospitality | 486 | 0.1 | 1,077 | 0.4 | 1,093 | 0.4 | - | - |
| Other owner-occupied | 4,427 | 1.3 | 5,569 | 2.0 | 7,756 | 3.0 | 9,177 | 4.4 |
| Total owner occupied | 37,916 | 11.3 | 45,784 | 16.5 | 46,076 | 17.7 | 35,799 | 17.2 |
| Summary by type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multi-family | 74,511 | 22.2 | 72,137 | 26.1 | 58,101 | 22.3 | 46,125 | 22.3 |
| Office building | 56,625 | 16.9 | 40,070 | 14.5 | 17,292 | 6.6 | 14,781 | 7.1 |
| Retail | 53,210 | 15.9 | 45,755 | 16.6 | 53,897 | 20.7 | 45,033 | 21.8 |
| Hospitality | 51,620 | 15.4 | 24,818 | 9.0 | 30,548 | 11.7 | 19,293 | 9.3 |
| Mixed use | 25,722 | 7.6 | 13,002 | 4.6 | 12,597 | 4.8 | 1,041 | 0.5 |
| Health care | 21,772 | 6.5 | 21,473 | 7.8 | 21,106 | 8.2 | 21,762 | 10.5 |
| Self-storage | 11,641 | 3.5 | 17,007 | 6.1 | 17,343 | 6.7 | 15,086 | 7.3 |
| Vehicle dealership | 10,265 | 3.1 | 10,754 | 3.9 | 6,241 | 2.4 | 11,113 | 5.3 |
| Warehouse | 9,025 | 2.7 | 8,120 | 2.9 | 17,143 | 6.6 | 13,118 | 6.3 |
| Manufacturing | 5,915 | 1.7 | 6,840 | 2.5 | 6,937 | 2.7 | 3,387 | 1.6 |
| Other non-owner occupied | 10,833 | 3.2 | 11,178 | 4.0 | 11,178 | 4.3 | 7,391 | 3.6 |
| Other owner-occupied | 4,427 | 1.3 | 5,569 | 2.0 | 7,756 | 3.0 | 9,177 | 4.4 |
| Total multi-family and commercial real estate | \$ 335,566 | 100.0\% | \$276,723 | 100.0\% | \$260,139 | 100.0\% | \$207,307 | 100.0\% |

If we foreclose on a multi-family or commercial real estate loan, the marketing and liquidation period can be a lengthy process with substantial holding costs. Vacancies, deferred maintenance, repairs and market stigma can result in real or perceived losses for the time it takes to return the property to profitability. Depending on the individual circumstances, initial charge-offs and subsequent losses on commercial real estate loans can be unpredictable and substantial.

The average outstanding loan size in our commercial real estate portfolio, including multi-family loans, was $\$ 1.7$ million as of December 31, 2018. We generally target individual commercial and multi-family real estate loans between $\$ 1.0$ million and $\$ 5.0$ million to small and mid-size owners and investors in our market areas as well as other parts of Washington. We will also make commercial and multi-family real estate loans in other states if we have a pre-existing relationship with the borrower.

Our three largest commercial and multi-family borrowing relationships, including loan balances outstanding and unused commitments, at December 31, 2018 consisted of an $\$ 18.5$ million relationship secured by multi-family construction in King County, a $\$ 15.9$ million relationship secured by multi-family real estate and multifamily construction in Pierce and King counties, and a $\$ 14.9$ million relationship secured by multi-family construction and multi-family real estate in Kitsap and King counties.

Construction and Land Lending. Our construction and land loans decreased $\$ 17.0$ million, or $23.9 \%$, to $\$ 54.1$ million, or $6.2 \%$ of the total loan portfolio at December 31, 2018 compared to $\$ 71.1$ million at December 31, 2017. At December 31, 2018, the undisbursed portion of construction loans in process totaled $\$ 57.0$ million compared to $\$ 59.4$ million at December 31, 2017.

First Federal offers an "all-in-one" residential custom construction loan product, which upon completion of construction will be held in our loan portfolio. We also originate construction loans for certain commercial real estate projects. These projects include, but are not limited to, subdivisions, multi-family, retail, office/warehouse, hotel, and office buildings. Underwriting criteria on these loans include, but are not limited to, minimum debt service coverage requirements of 1.20 or better, loan to value limitations, pre-leasing requirements, construction cost over-run contingency reserves, interest and absorption period reserves, occupancy, capitalization rates and interest rate stress testing, as well as other underwriting criteria.

Construction loan applications generally require architectural and working plans, a material specifications list, a detailed cost breakdown and a construction contract. Construction loan advances are based on progress payments for "work in place" based on detailed line item construction budgets. Independent construction inspectors are used to evaluate the construction draw request relative to the progress and "work in place." Our construction administrator reviews all construction projects, inspection reports and construction loan advance requests to ensure they are appropriate and in compliance with all loan conditions. Other risk management tools include title insurance, date down endorsements or periodic lien inspections prior to the payment of construction loan advances. In some cases, general contractors may be required to provide sub-contractor lien releases for any work performed prior to the filing of our deed of trust or prior to each construction loan advance.

Custom and speculative construction valuations are based on the assumption that the project will be built in accordance with plans and specifications submitted to us at the time of the loan application. The appraiser takes into consideration the proposed design and market appeal of the improvements, based on current market conditions and demand for homes, although the improvements may not be completed for six to twelve months or longer, depending on the complexity of the plans and specifications and market conditions.

Land acquisition, development and construction loans are available to local contractors and developers for the purpose of holding and/or developing residential building sites and homes when market conditions warrant such activity. Land acquisition loans are secured by a first lien on the property and are generally limited to $65 \%$ of the acquisition price or the appraised value, whichever is less. Development land loans are generally limited to $75 \%$ of the discounted appraised value based on the projected lot sale absorption rate and associated carry and liquidation costs of the developed lots and homes. Underwriting criteria for acquisition and development loans include evidence of preliminary plat approval, compliance with state and Federal environmental protection and disclosure laws, engineering plans, detailed cost breakdowns and marketing plans. These loans have been limited to projects within the North Olympic Peninsula and Puget Sound region. Other risk management tools include title insurance, feasibility and market absorption reports.

The success of land acquisition, development and construction lending is dependent upon successful completion of the project and the sale or leasing of the property for repayment of the loan. Because of the
uncertainties inherent in the estimates related to construction costs, the market value of the completed project, the demand for the property at completion, the rates of interest paid, and other factors, actual results may vary and can have a significant adverse impact on the value and marketability of the collateral.

At December 31, 2018, the average construction commitment for single-family residential construction was $\$ 424,000$, for multi-family construction was $\$ 3.3$ million and for commercial real estate construction was $\$ 4.3$ million. The largest construction commitments for multi-family and commercial real estate were $\$ 9.4$ million and $\$ 9.7$ million, respectively, at December 31, 2018.

Substantially all of our land acquisition, development and construction lending have adjustable rates of interest based on The Wall Street Journal prime rate. During the term of construction, the accumulated interest on the loan is either added to the principal of the loan through an interest reserve or billed monthly, as is the case for acquisition and development loans. When original interest reserves set up at origination are exhausted, no additional reserves are permitted unless the loan is re-analyzed and it is determined that the additional reserves are appropriate.

Because an incomplete construction project is difficult to sell in the event of default, we may be required to advance additional funds and/or contract with another builder in order to complete construction. There is a risk that we may not fully recover unpaid loan funds and associated construction and liquidation costs under these circumstances. Speculative construction loans carry additional risk associated with identifying an end-purchaser for the finished project.

We also originate individual lot loans, which are secured by a first lien on the property, for borrowers who are planning to build on the lot within the next five years. Generally, these loans have a maximum loan to value ratio of $75 \%$ for improved lands (legal access, water and power) and $50 \%$ to $65 \%$ for unimproved land. The interest rate on these loans is fixed with a 20-year amortization and a five-year term.

At the dates indicated, the composition of our construction and land portfolio was as follows:

|  | December 31, |  |  |  | June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2017 |  | 2017 |  | 2016 |  | 2015 |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family residential | \$ | 17,319 | \$ | 9,560 | \$ | 13,426 | \$ | 4,512 | \$ | 3,438 |
| Multi-family residential |  | 17,348 |  | 22,256 |  | 26,105 |  | 12,301 |  | 3,358 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 11,008 |  | 22,748 |  | 17,139 |  | 18,846 |  | 400 |
| Land |  | 8,427 |  | 16,581 |  | 14,960 |  | 14,692 |  | 11,931 |
| Total construction and land | \$ | 54,102 | \$ | 71,145 | \$ | 71,630 | \$ | 50,351 | \$ | 19,127 |

Our construction and land loans are geographically disbursed throughout the state of Washington and, as a result, these loans are susceptible to risks that may be different depending on the location of the project. We manage all of our construction lending by utilizing a licensed third party vendor to assist us in monitoring our construction projects, with construction loan proceeds disbursed periodically as construction progresses and as inspections by our approved third party vendor warrant them.

The following tables show our construction commitments by type and geographic concentration at the dates indicated:

December 31, 2018


## Construction Commitment

One- to four-family residential
Multi-family residential
Commercial real estate
Total commitment

| \$ | 16,814 | \$ | 18,550 | \$ | - | \$ | 35,364 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - |  | 45,313 |  | - |  | 45,313 |
|  | 1,868 |  | 20,147 |  | - |  | 22,015 |
| \$ | 18,682 | \$ | 84,010 | \$ | - | \$ | 102,692 |

## Construction Funds Disbursed

One- to four-family residential
Multi-family residential
Commercial real estate
Total disbursed

| $\$$ | 8,321 | $\$$ | 8,998 | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | 17,319 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | - |  | 17,348 |  | - |  | 17,348 |
|  | 1,584 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 9,424 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - |  |  | 11,008 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Undisbursed Commitment
One- to four-family residential
Multi-family residential
Commercial real estate
Total undisbursed

| \$ | 8,493 | \$ | 9,552 | \$ | - | \$ | 18,045 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - |  | 27,965 |  | - |  | 27,965 |
|  | 284 |  | 10,723 |  | - |  | 11,007 |
| \$ | 8,777 | \$ | 48,240 | \$ | - | \$ | 57,017 |

## Land Funds Disbursed



| December 31, 2017 | Olympic <br> Peninsula |  | Puget Sound Region |  | Other Washington |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Commitment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family residential | \$ | 11,570 | \$ | 14,824 | \$ | - | \$ | 26,394 |
| Multi-family residential |  | - |  | 61,939 |  | - |  | 61,939 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 975 |  | 14,837 |  | 9,811 |  | 25,623 |
| Total commitment | \$ | 12,545 | \$ | 91,600 | \$ | 9,811 | \$ | 113,956 |
| Construction Funds Disbursed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family residential | \$ | 3,711 | \$ | 5,849 | \$ | - | \$ | 9,560 |
| Multi-family residential |  | - |  | 22,256 |  | - |  | 22,256 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 594 |  | 12,343 |  | 9,811 |  | 22,748 |
| Total disbursed | \$ | 4,305 | \$ | 40,448 | \$ | 9,811 | \$ | 54,564 |
| Undisbursed Commitment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family residential | \$ | 7,859 | \$ | 8,975 | \$ | - | \$ | 16,834 |
| Multi-family residential |  | - |  | 39,683 |  | - |  | 39,683 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 381 |  | 2,494 |  | - |  | 2,875 |
| Total undisbursed | \$ | 8,240 | \$ | 51,152 | \$ | - | \$ | 59,392 |
| Land Funds Disbursed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family residential | \$ | 6,606 | \$ | 1,242 | \$ | - | \$ | 7,848 |
| Commercial real estate |  | - |  | 8,733 |  | - |  | 8,733 |
| Total disbursed for land | \$ | 6,606 | \$ | 9,975 | \$ | - | \$ | 16,581 |

Consumer Lending. We offer a variety of consumer loans, including home equity loans and lines of credit, new and used automobile loans, loans on other miscellaneous vehicles, and personal lines of credit. At December 31, 2018 , home equity loans and lines of credit totaled $\$ 37.6$ million, or $4.3 \%$ of the loan portfolio. Our interest rates on home equity loans are priced for risk based on credit score, loan to value and overall credit quality of the applicant. Home equity loans are made for, among other purposes, the improvement of residential properties and other consumer needs. Some of these loans are secured by first liens; however, the majority of these loans are secured by a second deed of trust on the residential property. Fixed-rate, fully-amortizing home equity loans in first lien position are available up to a maximum loan amount of $\$ 750,000$ with repayment periods ranging from 5 to 20 years. We also offer, to borrowers who qualify, a five-year home equity line of credit with a discounted initial fixed interest rate for the first year with the interest rate adjusting monthly thereafter based on a margin over the prime rate; payments are interest-only for the first year. The balance and rate are fixed after five years and the principal amortized over the remaining fifteen year period of the loan up to a maximum of $\$ 750,000$ if in first lien position. Home equity fixed and line of credit products in second lien positions behind a First Federal mortgage have a maximum loan amount of $\$ 250,000$. Home equity loans and lines of credit have greater risk than one- to four-family residential mortgage loans because they are secured by mortgages subordinated to the existing first mortgage on the property, which we may or may not have private mortgage insurance coverage.

We originate, refinance, or purchase auto loans with a maximum term of up to 144 months depending on the age and condition of the vehicle and strength of the borrower. Loan rates for auto lending, as well as all other consumer loans, are priced based on the specific loan type and the risk involved. Direct and indirect lending sources are used to originate auto loans.

Indirect auto loans are originated with auto dealerships located throughout our market areas through a third party service provider that also facilitates a portion of the underwriting and origination of these loans based on our underwriting and pricing criteria. As of December 31, 2018, we worked with 44 auto dealerships within our market areas, which provides us with the opportunity to actively deepen customer relationships through cross-selling
opportunities. At December 31, 2018, auto loans totaled $\$ 39.7$ million, of which $\$ 36.0$ million were originated through dealer programs. Indirect auto customers receive a fixed rate loan in an amount and at an interest rate that is based on their FICO credit score, age of the vehicle, and loan term. Our underwriting and pricing criteria for indirect auto loans focuses primarily on the ability of the borrower to repay the loan rather than the value of the underlying collateral. Loans may be made up to the full sales price of the vehicle plus "Additional Vehicle Costs" such as sales tax, dealer preparation fees, license fees and title fees, service and warranty contracts, and "GAP" insurance coverage obtained in connection with the vehicle. Accordingly, the amount financed by us may exceed the manufacturer's suggested retail price of the financed vehicle and the Additional Vehicle Costs. In the case of used vehicles, the amount financed may exceed the vehicle's value as assigned by the Kelly Blue Book, our primary reference source of used cars and the Additional Vehicle Costs. In January 2017, a "final LTV" was implemented, limiting the loan to value ratio to $100 \%$ of the full sales price plus Additional Vehicle Costs. The loan term averages 70 months, which is comparable to national auto industry data.

We purchase auto loans through a partnership with a loan originator that operates in all 50 states, underwriting and funding loans for classic ( 25 years or older) and collector (premium price with limited production) vehicles. These loans range from $\$ 10,000$ to over $\$ 250,000$ with terms that range from 84 to 144 months and require down payments of $10 \%$ to $20 \%$. We receive loan pools each week with complete packages that we are able to underwrite to determine whether to purchase or pass on all loans submitted. These loans present unique risks with the collateral being located across the country; however, our loan originator helps mitigate risk of loss by facilitating collection efforts should repossession become necessary, for which we would incur a cost. Historically, losses on these types of loans is less than $1 \%$ and First Federal has incurred no losses since implementation of this program.

Because our primary focus for auto loans is on the credit quality of the customer rather than the value of the collateral, the collectability of an auto loan is more likely to be affected by adverse personal circumstances than a single-family first mortgage loan. We rely on the borrower's continuing financial stability, rather than on the value of the vehicle, for the repayment of an auto loan.

Consumer loans represent additional risks because of the mobility and rapidly depreciating nature of consumer assets in contrast to real estate based collateral. If a borrower defaults, repossession and liquidation of the collateral may not provide sufficient proceeds to satisfy the outstanding loan balance. Other factors that may account for potential loan losses on consumer loans include deferred maintenance and damages. While subsequent legal actions and judgments against borrowers in default may be appropriate, such collection efforts and costs may not always be warranted and are evaluated on a case by case basis. Consumer loan collections are dependent on the borrower's continuing financial stability and federal and state laws, including federal and state bankruptcy and insolvency laws, which may limit the amount that can be recovered on these loans.

Commercial Business Lending. As of December 31, 2018, commercial business loans totaled $\$ 18.9$ million, or $2.2 \%$, of our loan portfolio. These loans include lines of credit, term loans, and letters of credit used for general business purposes, including seasonal and permanent working capital, equipment financing, and general investments. In general, these loans are typically secured by business assets, and loan terms vary from one to seven years with floating rates indexed to LIBOR, The Wall Street Journal prime rate or other indices.

Commercial business loans typically have shorter maturity terms and higher interest spreads than real estate loans but generally involve more credit risk because of the type and nature of the collateral. We are focusing our efforts on small-to-medium sized, privately-held companies with local or regional businesses that operate in our market area. Our commercial business lending policy includes an analysis of the borrower's financial condition, past, present and future cash flows, as well as the collateral pledged as security. We generally obtain personal guarantees on our commercial business loans.

Our commercial business loans are originated based on the cash flow of the borrowing entity, which may be unpredictable due to normal business cycles, industry changes, and economic and political conditions. Secondary and tertiary sources of repayment are guarantor cash flows and collateral liquidation. Most often, this collateral consists of real estate, accounts receivable, inventory, or equipment. Collateral may fluctuate in value, which can reduce liquidation proceeds, and our ability to collect on accounts receivable or other third party payments can affect the amount of losses we incur in the event of default.

Loan Origination and Underwriting. Our loans are obtained from a variety of sources, including existing or walk-in customers, business development, referrals, and advertising, among others. All of our consumer loan products, including residential mortgage loans and secured and unsecured consumer loans are processed through our centralized processing and underwriting center. Commercial business loans, including commercial and multi-family
real estate loans, are originated by our relationship managers ("RMs") and underwritten centrally with formalized credit presentations submitted for approval to the appropriate individuals and committee(s) with lending authority designated by the Board of Directors.

Lending Authority. Through its current policy, the Board of Directors delegates lending authority to the Bank's management and staff, to the Senior Loan Committee ("SLC") and to the Board of Directors' Loan and Asset Quality Committee ("BLC"). Overdrafts and small business express loans require one signature. The Chief Credit Officer ("CCO") has the authority to approve overdrafts up to $\$ 100,000$, and certain other staff and management have authority to approve overdrafts ranging from $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 50,000$. Our small business express loans, which are commercial business loans of $\$ 100,000$ or less, are approved by the CCO or designated personnel and management. In addition, the CCO may approve Automated Clearing House and Remote Deposit Capture transactions in any amount, and has the authority to approve most modifications and extensions of credit in any amount, for terms of less than one year.

Mortgage loans require at least two signatures with cumulative approval authority up to the loan amount requested. Underwriters have approval authority of $\$ 424,100$. The Consumer and Mortgage Manager and CCO have approval authority of $\$ 1.0$ million. Mortgage loans over $\$ 2.0$ million are approved by the SLC, and loans $\$ 6.0$ million and over are approved by the BLC.

Commercial loans require at least two signatures with cumulative approval authority up to the loan amount requested. The CCO has approval authority of $\$ 1.0$ million, and other personnel have approval authority ranging from $\$ 250,000$ to $\$ 500,000$. Commercial loan relationships over $\$ 2.5$ million are approved by the SLC, and loans over $\$ 8.0$ million are approved by the BLC. The SLC has the authority to exceed the $\$ 8.0$ million limitation when approving a new loan as part of an existing commercial relationship, not to exceed $\$ 750,000$.

Consumer loans require at least two signatures with cumulative approval authority up to the loan amount requested. The Consumer and Mortgage Manager has approval authority for consumer loans of $\$ 250,000$ and certain named individuals have authority ranging from $\$ 35,000$ to $\$ 50,000$. Additionally, we have assigned authority to approve indirect auto loans meeting our underwriting and pricing criteria to our third party service provider. Indirect auto loan reports are reviewed daily for adherence to our policies.

Monthly, the SLC and the BLC review loan portfolio quality, concentrations, production, and industry trends and provide directional oversight. On a quarterly basis, the BLC reviews the SLC approved loans, and the Board of Directors reviews the BLC approved loans, as well as policy exceptions, credit concentrations and related risk concerns. Additionally, all policies are reviewed no less than annually.

Washington law provides for loans to one borrower restrictions, which restricts total loans and extensions of credit by a bank to $20 \%$ of its unimpaired capital and surplus, which was $\$ 30.4$ million at December 31, 2018. First Federal, however, restricts its loans to one borrower to no more than $\$ 18.0$ million unless specifically approved by the BLC as an exception to policy. The following table provides a summary of our five largest relationships at December 31, 2018.

| Total Commitment | Number of Loans in <br> Relationship |  | Primary Collateral Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 18,476$ | 12 |  | Multi-family Construction |
| 15,851 | 4 | Multi-family Real Estate |  |
| 14,863 | 1 | Multi-family Construction |  |
| 13,861 | 2 | Commercial Real Estate |  |
| 13,602 |  |  | Multi-family Construction |

Loan Originations, Servicing, Purchases and Sales. We originate mortgage, consumer, multi-family and commercial real estate, and commercial business loans for our portfolio utilizing fixed- and adjustable-rate loan terms. We also purchase whole and participation loans on a servicing retained or released basis. During the year ended December 31, 2018, our total originations were $\$ 253.4$ million. Our total originations for the six month transition period ended December 31, 2017 were $\$ 174.4$ million and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017 were $\$ 221.9$ million.

During the year ended December 31, 2018, we purchased $\$ 70.4$ million of loans. We purchased $\$ 43.9$ million of loans during the six month transition period ended December 31, 2017 and purchased $\$ 44.0$ million during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. During the last year, the majority of loan pool purchases consisted of auto loans purchased through our partnership with an originator specializing in classic and collector vehicles followed by commercial real estate purchase and participations, whereby we receive a portion of a loan originated by another lender who retains the servicing and customer relationship of the loan and may, depending on the terms of the agreement, retain a portion of the interest as a servicing fee. Loan pools purchased prior to 2018 consisted mainly of loans exceeding conforming loan limits, or "jumbo loans," secured by single family residential properties located in the states of Washington and California. Purchased loans, loan pools, and participations are underwritten by our credit administration department and approved by the appropriate loan committee(s) prior to purchase, according to our lending authority guidelines.

The North Olympic Peninsula region, which represents a substantial concentration of depositors and borrowers, has experienced limited population growth, and the region's unemployment rate is higher than both the state and national unemployment rates. As a result, we originate and purchase loans outside of these areas in the counties surrounding the Puget Sound and elsewhere, and we may purchase loans with different credit and underwriting criteria than those we originate organically.

We sell residential first mortgage loans in the secondary market. The majority of residential mortgages we originate are fixed-rate, which we may sell to the secondary market to manage our interest rate risk and improve noninterest income. During the year ended December 31, 2018, the six month transition period ended December 31, 2017 and the fiscal year ended June 30 , 2017, we sold $\$ 25.7$ million, $\$ 17.4$ million and $\$ 23.3$ million of residential mortgage loans, respectively. Our secondary market relationship for residential loans is primarily with Freddie Mac, and more recently we have engaged with another third-party purchaser whereby we can sell these loans with servicing released and realize higher gains on sale than when we receive a servicing fee on loans sold when the servicing is retained by us. We expect to sell loans with servicing released to this third-party purchaser beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2019. Loans in general are sold on a non-recourse basis, whenever possible, subject to a provision for repurchase upon breach of representation, warranty or covenant.

At December 31, 2018, we were servicing $\$ 175.5$ million of loans for others. We earned mortgage servicing income of $\$ 454,000$ for the year ended December 31, 2018, $\$ 228,000$ for the six month transition period ended December 31, 2017, and $\$ 464,000$ for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. Mortgage servicing rights for these loans had a fair value of $\$ 1.5$ million at December 31, 2018. See Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data," of this Form 10-K.

During fiscal 2008, we sold loans with "life of the loan" recourse provisions to Freddie Mac, and beginning in May 2013, Freddie Mac has required loans guaranteed by the United States Department of Agriculture to be sold with "life of the loan" recourse provisions as well. These recourse provisions require us to repurchase the loan upon default. The balance of loans serviced for others with life of the loan recourse provisions was $\$ 5.6$ million at December 31, 2018. There were no loans repurchased during the year ended December 31, 2018 or during the six month transition period ended December 31, 2017, and one loan was repurchased during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017 for $\$ 100,000$.

We may solicit one or more financial institutions to take a portion of a commercial real estate loan in order to manage risk or generate income through gain on sale or servicing fees. The participation agreement outlines the indirect relationship between the Bank and the participant with regard to borrower access, loan servicing, loan documents, etc. The participant's transactional involvement is typically limited to only that provided by the Bank as "agent" in the transaction, and the participation interest is sold without recourse. We maintain greater than 50 percent ownership interest in the loan and retain the servicing of loans we participate with others in order to maintain our direct relationship with the borrower and better manage our credit risk. During the year ended December 31, 2018, we sold $\$ 3.9$ million in commercial real estate loan participations, and during the six months ended December 31, 2017, we sold $\$ 1.5$ million in commercial real estate loan participations.

Gains, losses and transfer fees on sales of one- to four-family and commercial real estate loans are recognized at the time of the sale. Our net gain on sale of residential and commercial real estate loans was $\$ 577,000$, $\$ 499,000$ and $\$ 757,000$ for the year ended December 31, 2018, the six month transition period ended December 31, 2017, and the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, respectively.

The following table shows our loan origination, sale and repayment activities for the periods indicated:

|  | Year Ended December 31, 2018 |  | Six Months Ended December 31, 2017 |  | Year Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2017 | 2016 |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Originations by type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed-rate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family | \$ | 33,660 |  |  | \$ | 30,531 | \$ | 66,376 | \$ | 50,229 |
| Multi-family |  | 247 |  | 13,427 |  | - |  | - |
| Commercial real estate |  | 26,212 |  | 22,944 |  | 138 |  | 16,713 |
| Construction and land |  | 29,610 |  | 45,997 |  | 18,394 |  | 11,997 |
| Home equity |  | 7,214 |  | 3,707 |  | 6,297 |  | 2,193 |
| Other consumer |  | 26,704 |  | 8,265 |  | 16,192 |  | 4,133 |
| Commercial business |  | 2,666 |  | 1,220 |  | 1,623 |  | 3,413 |
| Total fixed-rate |  | 126,313 |  | 126,091 |  | 109,020 |  | 88,678 |
| Adjustable-rate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family |  | 7,414 |  | 5,778 |  | 4,075 |  | 1,095 |
| Multi-family |  | 11,202 |  | 5,038 |  | 23,797 |  | 13,882 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 60,641 |  | 10,916 |  | 43,939 |  | 54,139 |
| Construction and land |  | 36,611 |  | 17,543 |  | 30,325 |  | 49,818 |
| Home equity |  | 5,322 |  | 5,151 |  | 6,464 |  | 4,987 |
| Other consumer |  | 4 |  | 2 |  | 11 |  | 23 |
| Commercial business |  | 5,884 |  | 3,913 |  | 4,244 |  | 4,399 |
| Total adjustable-rate |  | 127,078 |  | 48,341 |  | 112,855 |  | 128,343 |
| Total loans originated |  | 253,391 |  | 174,432 |  | 221,875 |  | 217,021 |
| Purchases by type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family |  | 1,096 |  | 27,963 |  | 30,345 |  | 55,143 |
| Multi-family |  | 1,258 |  | 1,011 |  | 10,782 |  | 74 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 23,307 |  | 13,603 |  | - |  | - |
| Multi-family construction |  | - |  | - |  | 2,848 |  | 3,986 |
| Auto |  | 44,736 |  | 1,283 |  | - |  | - |
| Total loans purchased |  | 70,397 |  | 43,860 |  | 43,975 |  | 59,203 |
| Sales and Repayments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family loans sold |  | 25,668 |  | 17,399 |  | 23,251 |  | 7,763 |
| Commercial real estate loans sold |  | 5,736 |  | - |  | 10,402 |  | 1,500 |
| Total loans sold |  | 31,404 |  | 17,399 |  | 33,653 |  | 9,263 |
| Total principal repayments, charge-offs and transfers to real estate owned and repossessed assets |  | 208,795 |  | 148,749 |  | 124,185 |  | 134,857 |
| Total reductions |  | 240,199 |  | 166,148 |  | 157,838 |  | 144,120 |
| Net loan activity | \$ | 83,589 | \$ | 52,144 | \$ | 108,012 | \$ | 132,104 |

Loan Origination and Other Fees. Loan origination fees paid by the borrower generally represent a percentage of the principal amount of the loan. Accounting standards require that certain fees received, net of certain origination costs, be deferred and amortized over the contractual life of the loan. Net deferred fees or costs associated with loans that are prepaid or sold are recognized as income or expense at the time of prepayment. We had $\$ 292,000, \$ 724,000$ and $\$ 904,000$ of net deferred loan fees at December 31, 2018, December 31, 2017 and June 30, 2017, respectively. In addition, we receive fees for loan commitments, late payments and miscellaneous services.

## Asset Quality

Management of asset quality includes loan performance monitoring and reporting as well as utilization of both internal and independent third party loan reviews. The primary objective of our loan review process is to
measure borrower performance and assess risk for the purpose of identifying loan weakness in order to minimize loan loss exposure. From the time of origination through final repayment, all loans are assigned a risk rating based on pre-determined criteria. The risk rating is monitored annually for most loans, and may change during the life of the loan as appropriate.

Loan reviews vary by loan type and complexity of the loan. Some loans may warrant detailed individual review, while other loans may have less risk based upon size, or be of a homogeneous nature, such as consumer loans and loans secured by residential real estate. Homogeneous loans may be reviewed on the basis of risk indicators such as delinquency or credit rating. In cases of significant concern, re-evaluation of the loan and associated risks are documented by completing a loan risk assessment and action plan.

First lien residential mortgage loan payments have a 15-day grace period following the due date, after which time we institute collection procedures. Attempts to contact the borrower continue until the 90th day, after which time if we have not been able to reach a mutually satisfactory arrangement for curing the default, we will pursue all permissible remedies according to the terms of the security instruments and applicable law. In the event of an unsecured loan, we will either seek legal action against the borrower or refer the loan to an outside collection agency.

The following table shows our delinquent loans by type of loan and number of days delinquent as of December 31, 2018.

|  | Loans Delinquent For: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 60-89 Days |  |  |  | 90 Days and Over |  |  |  | Total Loans Delinquent 60 Days or More |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Amount |  | Percent of Loan Category | Number | Amount |  | Percent of Loan Category | Number | Amount |  | Percent of Loan Category |
|  |  |  |  |  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family | 3 | \$ | 176 | 0.1\% | 2 | \$ | 164 | -\% | 5 | \$ | 340 | 0.1\% |
| Construction and land | 1 |  | 14 | - | 1 |  | 31 | 0.1 | 2 |  | 45 | 0.1 |
| Total real estate loans | 4 |  | 190 | 0.1 | 3 |  | 195 | 0.1 | 7 |  | 385 | 0.1 |
| Consumer loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home equity | 2 |  | 30 | 0.1 | 2 |  | 9 | - | 4 |  | 39 | 0.1 |
| Other | 7 |  | 92 | 0.1 | 11 |  | - | - | 18 |  | 92 | 0.1 |
| Total consumer loans | 9 |  | 122 | 0.2 | 13 |  | 9 | - | 22 |  | 131 | 0.1 |
| Total loans | 13 | \$ | 312 | 0.3\% | 16 | \$ | 204 | 0.1\% | 29 | \$ | 516 | 0.1\% |

Nonperforming Assets. Nonperforming assets include all nonperforming loans as well as real estate owned and repossessed assets. Troubled debt restructurings ("TDR") include nonperforming and performing loans. Nonperforming assets as a percent of total assets was $0.1 \%$ at December 31,2018 , compared to $0.1 \%$ and $0.2 \%$ at December 31, 2017 and June 30, 2017, respectively. At each of the dates indicated in the following table, there were no loans delinquent more than 90 days that were accruing interest.

|  | December 31, |  |  |  | June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2017 |  | 2017 |  | 2016 |  | 2015 |  | 2014 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ollars in |  | nds) |  |  |  |  |
| Nonaccruing loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family | \$ | 759 | \$ | 681 | \$ | 1,042 | \$ | 2,413 | \$ | 4,232 | \$ | 3,543 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 133 |  | 378 |  | 426 |  | 474 |  | 147 |  | 1,913 |
| Construction and land |  | 44 |  | 52 |  | 28 |  | 91 |  | 159 |  | 127 |
| Total real estate loans |  | 936 |  | 1,111 |  | 1,496 |  | 2,978 |  | 4,538 |  | 5,583 |
| Home equity |  | 369 |  | 365 |  | 398 |  | 167 |  | 181 |  | 340 |
| Other consumer |  | 245 |  | 59 |  | 21 |  | 112 |  | 164 |  | 41 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 173 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total consumer loans |  | 787 |  | 424 |  | 419 |  | 279 |  | 345 |  | 381 |
| Total nonaccruing loans |  | 1,723 |  | 1,535 |  | 1,915 |  | 3,257 |  | 4,883 |  | 5,964 |
| Real estate owned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family |  | - |  | - |  | 86 |  | - |  | 493 |  | 524 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 72 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,368 |  | 0 |
| Construction and land |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 22 |  | - |  | 220 |
| Total real estate owned |  | 72 |  | - |  | 86 |  | 22 |  | 1,861 |  | 744 |
| Repossessed automobiles and recreational vehicles |  | 52 |  | 23 |  | 18 |  | 59 |  | 53 |  | 66 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ | 1,847 | \$ | 1,558 | \$ | 2,019 | \$ | 3,338 | \$ | 6,797 | \$ | 6,774 |
| TDR loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family | \$ | 2,442 | \$ | 3,341 | \$ | 4,029 | \$ | 4,285 | \$ | 4,923 | \$ | 5,939 |
| Multi-family |  | 110 |  | 115 |  | 118 |  | 122 |  | 629 |  | 728 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 663 |  | 910 |  | 1,397 |  | 1,314 |  | 1,363 |  | 4,456 |
| Total real estate loans |  | 3,215 |  | 4,366 |  | 5,544 |  | 5,721 |  | 6,915 |  | 11,123 |
| Home equity |  | 258 |  | 270 |  | 312 |  | 464 |  | 428 |  | 615 |
| Other consumer |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Commercial business |  | 272 |  | 283 |  | 289 |  | 360 |  | 403 |  | 426 |
| Total restructured loans | \$ | 3,745 | \$ | 4,919 | \$ | 6,145 | \$ | 6,545 | \$ | 7,746 | \$ | 12,164 |
| Nonaccrual and 90 days or more past due loans as a percentage $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { of total loans } & 0.2 \% & 0.2 \% & 0.3 \% & 0.5 \% & 1.0 \% & 1.2 \%\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonperforming TDR loans included in total nonaccruing loans and total restructured loans above | \$ | 84 | \$ | 393 | \$ | 673 | \$ | 944 | \$ | 2,070 | \$ | 3,536 |

For the year ended December 31, 2018, the six month period ended December 31, 2017 and the year ended June 30, 2017, gross interest income which would have been recorded had the nonaccruing loans been current in accordance with their original terms amounted to $\$ 279,000, \$ 277,000$ and $\$ 261,000$, respectively. The amount that was included in interest income on a cash basis on nonaccruing loans was $\$ 99,000, \$ 12,000$ and $\$ 13,000$ for the year ended December 31, 2018, the six month period ended December 31, 2017, and the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, respectively.

Other Loans of Concern. In addition to the nonperforming assets set forth in the table above, as of December 31, 2018 there were 41 loans totaling $\$ 4.1$ million that continue to accrue interest but for which management has elevated concerns about the ability of these borrowers to comply with their loan repayment terms that may result in disclosure of such loans as nonperforming in the future. These loans have been considered in management's determination of our allowance for loan losses.

Real Estate Owned and Repossessed Property. Real estate we acquire as a result of collection efforts is classified as real estate owned. These properties are recorded at the lower of its cost, which is the unpaid principal
balance of the related loan, or the fair market value of the property less selling costs. Other repossessed property, including automobiles, are also recorded at the lower of cost or fair market value less selling costs. As of December 31, 2018, First Federal had no properties in real estate owned and five autos in repossessed personal property owned with a book value of $\$ 52,000$. Real estate owned properties are listed with a real estate broker for sale, included in the multiple listing service, and actively marketed.

Restructured Loans. According to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP"), we are required to account for certain loan modifications or restructurings as a TDR. In general, the modification or restructuring of a debt is considered a TDR if we, for economic or legal reasons related to a borrower's financial difficulties, grant a concession to the borrower under more favorable terms and conditions than we would grant to an ordinary bank customer under the normal course of business.

General loan restructures and modifications not considered as TDR loans may include lowering interest rates, extending the maturity date, deferring or re-amortizing monthly payments or other concessions. These general loan restructures and modifications are made on a case-by-case basis provided that such concessions are not below market rates nor considered material and outside of the terms and conditions granted to other borrowers under normal course of business standards.

Adversely classified loans which are subsequently modified and placed in nonaccrual status must remain in nonaccrual status for a period of not less than six months with consecutive satisfactory payment performance and be further supported by current financial information and analysis which demonstrates the borrowers have the financial capacity to meet future debt service before being returned to accrual status.

As of December 31, 2018, we had 33 loans with an aggregate principal balance of $\$ 3.7$ million that were identified as TDR loans, of which $\$ 3.7$ million were performing in accordance with their revised payment terms and on accrual status. As of December 31, 2018, there were $\$ 84,000$ of TDR loans on nonaccrual and whose accrual status continues to be evaluated by management. Included in the allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2018 was a reserve of $\$ 43,000$ related to TDR loans. Nonaccruing TDR loans are classified as substandard, and accruing TDR loans may be classified at any level in our loan grading system depending upon verified repayment sources, collateral values and repayment history.

Classified Assets. Federal regulations provide for the classification of lower quality loans and other assets as substandard, doubtful or loss. An asset is considered substandard when material conditions are identified which raise issues about the financial capacity, collateral or other conditions which may compromise the borrower's ability to satisfactorily perform under the terms of the loan. Substandard assets include those characterized by the distinct possibility that we will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Assets classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make near term collection or liquidation highly questionable and improbable. Assets classified as loss are those considered uncollectible or of no material value. Assets that do not currently expose us to sufficient risk to warrant classification as substandard or doubtful but possess identified weaknesses are classified by us as either watch or special mention assets.

In accordance with Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 310 and ASC 450, when we classify problem assets as substandard, doubtful, and loss, we may review the borrower and collateral to establish a specific loan loss allowance in an amount we deem prudent. Our credit administration department, management, and the Board of Directors review the analysis and approve the specific loan loss allowance for these loans.

General reserve loan loss allowances represent loss allowances which have been established to recognize the inherent risk associated with lending activities, but which, unlike specific allowances on impaired loans, have not been specifically allocated to particular problem assets. When an institution identifies a problem asset as an unavoidable and imminent loss, it is required to partially or fully charge-off such assets in the period in which they are deemed uncollectible. Our determination as to the classification of our assets and the amount of our valuation allowances is subject to review by the DFI and the FDIC, who can order specific charge-offs or the establishment of additional loan loss allowances.

We review, at least quarterly, the problem assets in our portfolio to determine whether any assets require reclassification. On the basis of our review, as of December 31, 2018, December 31, 2017 and June 30, 2017, we had classified loans of $\$ 3.4$ million, $\$ 6.7$ million, and $\$ 3.3$ million, respectively. We had no other classified assets at these dates. In addition, at December 31, 2018 we had $\$ 2.5$ million of special mention loans. At December 31, 2018, classified assets represented $2.0 \%$ of equity capital and $0.3 \%$ of total assets. The decrease in classified assets during
the year ended December 31, 2018 was mainly attributable to a commercial real estate and business loan relationship for $\$ 2.7$ million that was upgraded from substandard.

Classified loans, consisting solely of substandard loans, were as follows at the dates indicated:

|  | December 31, |  |  |  | June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2017 |  | 2017 |  | 2016 |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-to-four family | \$ | 978 | \$ | 1,404 | \$ | 1,814 | \$ | 3,163 |
| Multi-family |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Commercial real estate |  | 1,372 |  | 3,848 |  | 607 |  | 558 |
| Construction and land |  | 44 |  | 83 |  | 97 |  | 162 |
| Total real estate loans |  | 2,394 |  | 5,335 |  | 2,518 |  | 3,883 |
| Consumer loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home equity |  | 482 |  | 555 |  | 684 |  | 538 |
| Other consumer |  | 317 |  | 112 |  | 35 |  | 118 |
| Total consumer loans |  | 799 |  | 667 |  | 719 |  | 656 |
| Commercial business loans |  | 173 |  | 648 |  | 15 |  | 30 |
| Total loans | \$ | 3,366 | \$ | 6,650 | \$ | 3,252 | \$ | 4,569 |

The following table shows at December 31, 2018, the geographic distribution of our classified loans in dollar amounts and percentages.

|  | North Olympic Peninsula (1) |  |  | Puget Sound Region (2) |  |  | Other Washington |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount |  | \% of Total in Category | Amount |  | $\%$ of Total in Category | Amount |  | $\%$ of Total in Category | Amount |  | $\%$ of Total in Category |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (Dollars | th | ds) |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family | \$ | 704 | 0.4\% | \$ | 274 | 0.2\% | \$ | - | -\% | \$ | 978 | 0.3\% |
| Commercial real estate |  | 197 | 0.4 |  | 1,175 | 0.6 |  | - | - |  | 1,372 | 0.5 |
| Construction and land |  | 44 | 0.3 |  | - | - |  | - | - |  | 44 | 0.1 |
| Total real estate loans |  | 945 | 0.4 |  | 1,449 | 0.3 |  | - | - |  | 2,394 | 0.3 |
| Consumer loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home equity |  | 216 | 0.6 |  | 266 | 7.2 |  | - | - |  | 482 | 1.3 |
| Other consumer |  | 99 | 0.5 |  | 171 | 0.7 |  | 47 | 5.1 |  | 317 | 0.4 |
| Total consumer loans |  | 315 | 0.6 |  | 437 | 1.5 |  | 47 | 5.0 |  | 799 | 0.6 |
| Commercial business loans |  | - | - |  | 173 | 1.7 |  | - | - |  | 173 | 0.9 |
| Total loans | \$ | 1,260 | 0.4\% | \$ | 2,059 | 0.4\% | \$ | 47 | 0.2\% | \$ | 3,366 | 0.4\% |

(1) Includes Clallam and Jefferson counties.
(2) Includes Kitsap, Mason, Thurston, Pierce, King, Snohomish, Skagit, Whatcom, and Island counties.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses was $\$ 9.5$ million, or $1.1 \%$ of total loans, at December 31, 2018, compared to $\$ 8.8$ million, or $1.1 \%$, at December 31, 2017. Management recognizes that loan losses may occur over the life of a loan and the allowance for loan losses must be maintained at a level necessary to absorb specific losses on impaired loans and probable losses inherent in the total loan portfolio. Monthly, our chief credit officer prepares a report of the allowance for loan losses and establishes the provision for credit losses based on the risk composition of our loan portfolio, delinquency levels, loss experience, economic conditions, regulatory examination results, seasoning of the loan portfolios, and other factors related to the collectability of the loan portfolio. This allowance for loan losses report is reviewed monthly by management.

Quantitative analysis is necessary to calculate accounting estimates for loan loss reserves, and we also recognize that qualitative factors such as economic, market, industry and political changes can adversely affect loan quality. These qualitative factors are updated and approved by management on a quarterly basis. Each quarter, a report on the allowance for loan losses, including the application and discussion of quantitative and qualitative factors established during the quarter, is reviewed by the Board of Director's loan/asset quality committee and presented for approval to the full Board. The allowance is increased by the provision for loan losses, which is charged against current period operating results, and decreased by the amount of actual loan charge-offs, net of recoveries, and improvements in asset quality.

Our methodology for analyzing the allowance for loan losses consists of two components: general and specific allowances. The formula for the general loan loss reserve allowance is determined by applying an estimated quantified loss percentage, as well as qualitative factors, to various groups of loans. First Federal uses a three year loss history including loss percentages based on various historical measures such as the amount and type of classified loans, past due ratios, loss experience, and economic conditions, which could affect the collectability of the respective loan types. Qualitative factors and adjustments to the loan loss reserve calculations are largely subjective but also include objective variables such as unemployment rates, falling or rising real estate values, real estate and retail sales, demographics and other known material economic indicators. A general allowance is then established, based upon the analysis of the above conditions, to recognize the inherent risk associated with the entire loan portfolio. A specific allowance is established when management believes the borrower's financial and/or collateral condition has materially deteriorated to a point of impairment and loss is highly probable for that specific loan.

We define a loan as being impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable we will be unable to collect amounts due under the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as residential mortgage loans and consumer loans, are grouped together for impairment analysis and reserve calculation. All other loans are evaluated for impairment on an individual basis. In the process of identifying loans as impaired, management takes into consideration factors which include payment history, collateral value, financial condition of the borrower, and the probability of collecting scheduled payments in the future. Minor payment delays and insignificant payment shortfalls typically do not result in a loan being classified as impaired. The significance of payment delays and shortfalls is considered by management on a case-by-case basis, after taking into consideration the totality of circumstances surrounding the loans and borrowers, including payment history and amounts of any payment shortfall, length and reason for delay, and likelihood of return to stable performance. As of December 31, 2018, we had impaired loans of $\$ 6.6$ million, compared to $\$ 6.1$ million at December 31, 2017.

In determining specific reserves for those loans evaluated for impairment on an individual basis, management utilizes the valuation shown in the most recent appraisal of the collateral and may make adjustments to that valuation as additional information becomes available. Generally, appraisals or evaluations are updated subsequent to the time of origination, whenever management identifies a loan as impaired or potentially being impaired. Events which may trigger an updated appraisal or evaluation include, but are not limited to, borrower delinquency, material technical defaults, annual review of borrower's financial condition, property tax and/or assessment delinquency, deferred maintenance or other information known or discovered by us.

Impaired collateral dependent loans require a current appraisal and analysis to determine the net value of the collateral for loan loss reserve purposes. Our policy is to update these appraisals every 12 months as long as the loan and collateral remains impaired, except for smaller balance, homogeneous loans, which are applied a reserve according to their risk weighting and loan class. Certain types of collateral, depending on market conditions, may require more frequent appraisals, updates or evaluations. When the results of the impairment analysis indicate a potential loss, the loan is classified as substandard and is analyzed to determine if a specific reserve amount is to be established or adjusted to reflect any further deterioration in the value of the collateral that may occur prior to
liquidation or reinstatement. The impairment analysis takes into consideration the primary, secondary, and tertiary sources of repayment, whether impairment is likely to be temporary in nature or liquidation is anticipated.

Management believes that our allowance for loan losses as of December 31, 2018 was adequate to absorb the known and inherent risks of loss in the loan portfolio at that date. While management believes the estimates and assumptions used in its determination of the adequacy of the allowance are reasonable, there can be no assurance that such estimates and assumptions will not be proven incorrect in the future, or that the actual amount of future provisions will not exceed the amount of past provisions or that any increased provision that may be required will not adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, the determination of the amount of our allowance for loan losses is subject to review by bank regulators, as part of the routine examination process, which may result in the establishment of additional reserves based upon their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examination.
The following table summarizes the distribution of our allowance for loan losses at the dates indicated.

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  |  | 2017 |  |  | 2017 |  |  | 2016 |  |  | 2015 |  |  | 2014 |  |  |
|  |  | Amount | Percent of loans in each category to total |  | Amount | Percent of loans in each category to total |  | mount | Percent of loans in each category to total |  | mount | Percent of loans in each category to total |  | mount | Percent of loans in each category to total |  | mount | Percent of loans in each category to total |
| Allocated at end of period to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family | \$ | \$ 3,297 | 38.7\% | \$ | 3,061 | 45.2\% | \$ | 3,071 | 44.7\% | \$ | 2,992 | 49.3\% | \$ | 3,143 | 52.0\% | \$ | 3,408 | 48.1\% |
| Multi-family |  | 762 | 9.5 |  | 648 | 9.4 |  | 511 | 7.9 |  | 341 | 7.4 |  | 251 | 6.7 |  | 475 | 8.9 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 2,289 | 29.1 |  | 1,847 | 25.8 |  | 1,735 | 27.5 |  | 1,268 | 25.7 |  | 998 | 25.4 |  | 1,491 | 25.4 |
| Construction and land |  | 585 | 6.2 |  | 648 | 9.0 |  | 683 | 9.8 |  | 599 | 8.0 |  | 336 | 3.8 |  | 397 | 4.1 |
| Home equity |  | 480 | 4.3 |  | 787 | 4.9 |  | 818 | 4.9 |  | 833 | 5.4 |  | 1,052 | 7.4 |  | 1,289 | 7.9 |
| Other consumer |  | 1,611 | 10.0 |  | 712 | 3.6 |  | 523 | 2.9 |  | 310 | 1.5 |  | 321 | 1.7 |  | 389 | 2.1 |
| Commercial business |  | 334 | 2.2 |  | 265 | 2.1 |  | 1,168 | 2.3 |  | 335 | 2.7 |  | 251 | 3.0 |  | 388 | 3.5 |
| Unallocated |  | 175 | - |  | 792 | - |  | 14 | - |  | 561 | - |  | 759 | - |  | 235 | - |
| Total | \$ | \$ 9,533 | 100.0\% | \$ | 8,760 | 100.0\% | \$ | 8,523 | 100.0\% | \$ | 7,239 | 100.0\% | \$ | 7,111 | 100.0\% | \$ | 8,072 | 100.0\% |

The following table sets forth an analysis of our allowance for loan losses:

|  | Year Ended <br> December 31, <br> 2018 |  | Six Months Ended December 31, 2017 |  | Years Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\frac{2017}{\text { Dollars in thousands) }}$ |  |  |  | 2015 |  | 2014 |  |
|  | \$ 8,760 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 8,523 |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance at beginning of period |  |  | \$ | 7,239 | \$ | 7,111 |  |  | \$ | 8,072 | \$ | 7,974 |
| Charge-offs: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family |  | (18) |  | - |  | - |  | (75) |  | (430) |  | (662) |
| Commercial real estate |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | (18) |  | - |  | (125) |
| Construction and land |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | (17) |  | (49) |  | (35) |
| Home equity |  | - |  | (47) |  | (81) |  | (77) |  | (325) |  | (434) |
| Other consumer |  | (638) |  | (159) |  | (252) |  | (172) |  | (178) |  | (181) |
| Commercial business |  | - |  | - |  | (5) |  | (7) |  | (177) |  | (10) |
| Total charge-offs |  | (656) |  | (206) |  | (338) |  | (366) |  | $(1,159)$ |  | $(1,447)$ |
| Recoveries: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family |  | 5 |  | 102 |  | 113 |  | 64 |  | 84 |  | 92 |
| Commercial real estate |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Construction and land |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 33 |  | 17 |  | 2 |
| Home equity |  | 25 |  | 22 |  | 156 |  | 63 |  | 48 |  | 86 |
| Other consumer |  | 222 |  | 117 |  | 89 |  | 59 |  | 46 |  | 42 |
| Commercial business |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 42 |  | 3 |  | 16 |
| Total recoveries |  | 255 |  | 243 |  | 362 |  | 261 |  | 198 |  | 238 |
| Net (charge-offs) recoveries |  | (401) |  | 37 |  | 24 |  | (105) |  | (961) |  | $(1,209)$ |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 1,174 |  | 200 |  | 1,260 |  | 233 |  | 0 |  | 1,307 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ | 9,533 | \$ | 8,760 | \$ | 8,523 | \$ | 7,239 | \$ | 7,111 | \$ | 8,072 |
| Net recoveries as a percentage of average loans outstanding |  | -\% |  | - \% |  | -\% |  | - \% |  | 0.2 \% |  | 0.3 \% |
| Net recoveries (chargeoffs) as a percentage of average nonperforming assets |  | $\underline{(23.91) \%}$ |  | 4.4 \% |  | 0.9 \% |  | $\underline{ }$ |  | (14.0)\% |  | (13.0)\% |
| Allowance as a percentage of nonperforming loans |  | 553.3 \% |  | 570.7 \% |  | 445.1 \% |  | 222.3 \% |  | 145.6 \% |  | 135.3 \% |
| Allowance as a percentage of total loans |  | 1.1 \% |  | 1.1 \% |  | 1.2 \% |  | 1.2 \% |  | 1.4 \% |  | 1.6 \% |
| Average loans receivable, net | \$ | 819,372 | \$ | 731,949 |  | 82,957 |  | 36,706 |  | 91,497 |  | 74,222 |
| Average total loans |  | 826,055 | \$ | 739,263 |  | 89,704 |  | 42,855 |  | 98,227 |  | 82,276 |

## Investment Activities

General. Under Washington law, savings banks are permitted to invest in various types of liquid assets, including U.S. Treasury obligations, securities of various federal agencies, certain certificates of deposit of insured banks and savings institutions, banker's acceptances, repurchase agreements, federal funds, commercial paper, investment grade corporate debt, and obligations of states and their political subdivisions.

Our chief financial officer has the responsibility for the management of our investment portfolio. Various factors are considered when making investment decisions, including the marketability, maturity and tax consequences of the proposed investment. The maturity structure of investments will be affected by various market conditions, including the current and anticipated slope of the yield curve, the level of interest rates, the trend of deposit inflows, and the anticipated demand for funds from deposit withdrawals and loan originations and purchases.

The general objective of our investment portfolio is to provide liquidity, maintain earnings, and manage risk, including credit, reinvestment, liquidity and interest rate risk.

Securities. Total investment securities decreased $\$ 33.9$ million, or $10.0 \%$, to $\$ 306.5$ million at December 31, 2018, from $\$ 340.4$ million at December 31, 2017, mainly a result of sales and principal payments partially offset by purchases. At December 31, 2018, U.S. government agency issued mortgage-backed securities ("MBS agency") still comprised the largest portion of our investment portfolio at $57.0 \%$, followed by corporate issued asset-backed securities ("ABS corporate") at $12.0 \%$, U.S. Small Business Administration securities ("SBA") at $11.7 \%$, U.S. government agency issued asset-backed securities ("ABS agency") at $8.4 \%$, municipal bonds at $4.2 \%$, corporate issued mortgage-backed securities ("MBS corporate") at $3.5 \%$, and corporate issued debt securities ("Corporate Debt") at $3.2 \%$. The decrease in investment securities was the result of decreases in municipal bonds of $\$ 14.6$ million, SBA securities of $\$ 11.7$ million, corporate debt of $\$ 10.0$ million, MBS Corporate of $\$ 9.9$ million, and MBS Agency of $\$ 5.6$ million, partially offset by increases in ABS Corporate of $\$ 14.0$ million and ABS Agency of $\$ 4.0$ million. The estimated average time for rates to reset on our investment portfolio was 3.7 years at December 31, 2018 as compared to 3.5 years at December 31, 2017. The estimated average life of the total investment securities portfolio was 5.0 years at December 31, 2018 and 5.3 years at December 31, 2017.

The issuers of MBS agency securities held in our portfolio, which include Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and Government National Mortgage Association ("Ginnie Mae"), and certain issuers of agency bonds held in our portfolio, which include FHLB, Fannie Mae, and the U.S. Small Business Administration, guarantee the timely principal and interest payments in the event of default. ABS agency bonds held in our portfolio include securities issued by CIT Education Loan Trust, which are backed by student loans in a subordinate tranche, and Sallie Mae Student Loan Trust and ATCLO Loan Trust in a non-subordinated tranche, where payment is not guaranteed by the issuer. The underlying student loans are reinsured by the U.S. Department of Education, which mitigates a significant portion of their risk of loss. Municipal bonds consist of a mix of non-taxable, revenue and general obligation bonds issued by various local and state government entities that use their revenue-generating and taxing authority as a source of repayment of their debt. Our municipal bonds are considered investment grade, and we monitor their credit quality on an ongoing basis.

ABS and MBS corporate securities have no guarantees in the event of default and therefore warrant continued monitoring for credit quality. Our MBS corporate securities consist of fixed and variable rate mortgages issued by various corporations, and our ABS corporate securities consist of a mix of variable rate collateralized loan obligations in managed funds, which we believe have sufficient subordination to mitigate the risk of loss on these investments, and certain debt securities issued by Citigroup. Monitoring of these securities may include, but is not limited to, reviewing credit quality standards such as delinquency, subordination, and credit ratings. Our corporate securities are considered investment grade.

As a member of the FHLB, we had an average balance of $\$ 6.8$ million in stock of the FHLB for the twelve months ended December 31, 2018. We received $\$ 311,000, \$ 81,000$, and $\$ 126,000$ in dividends from the FHLB during the year ended December 31, 2018, the six month transition period ended December 31, 2017, and the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, respectively.
The table below sets forth information regarding the composition of our securities portfolio and other investments at the dates indicated. At December 31, 2018, our securities portfolio did not contain securities of any issuer with an aggregate book value in excess of $10 \%$ of our equity capital, excluding those issued by the United States Government or its agencies.

| December 31, |  |  |  | June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 |  | 2017 |  | 2017 |  | 2016 |  |
| Book Value | Fair Value | Book Value | Fair Value | Book Value | Fair Value | Book Value | Fair Value |
| (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ 882 | \$ 869 | \$ 13,058 | \$ 13,434 | \$ 21,540 | \$ 22,223 | \$ 21,609 | \$ 23,179 |
| - | - | - | - | 5,050 | 4,926 | 15,036 | 15,048 |
| 26,125 | 25,752 | 21,972 | 21,770 | 7,883 | 7,648 | 8,751 | 7,935 |
| 37,897 | 36,723 | 22,823 | 22,768 | 9,921 | 9,813 | 29,690 | 29,381 |
| 9,986 | 9,888 | 19,835 | 19,908 | - | - | - | - |
| 35,936 | 35,670 | 47,325 | 47,274 | 14,195 | 14,178 | 9,335 | 9,501 |
| 147,205 | 143,455 | 146,532 | 144,542 | 144,380 | 143,436 | 139,449 | 141,649 |
| 10,953 | 10,610 | 20,721 | 20,546 | 26,324 | 26,369 | 41,164 | 41,164 |
| 268,984 | 262,967 | 292,266 | 290,242 | 229,293 | 228,593 | 265,034 | 267,857 |
| 11,919 | 11,962 | 13,963 | 14,119 | 14,120 | 14,426 | 14,425 | 15,058 |
| 302 | 301 | 399 | 395 | 443 | 442 | 497 | 498 |
| 31,282 | 30,727 | 35,764 | 35,752 | 37,309 | 37,753 | 41,116 | 43,372 |
| 43,503 | 42,990 | 50,126 | 50,266 | 51,872 | 52,621 | 56,038 | 58,928 |
| 6,927 | 6,927 | 7,023 | 7,023 | 4,368 | 4,368 | 4,403 | 4,403 |
| \$319,414 | $\underline{\$ 312,884}$ | \$ 349,415 | \$ 347,531 | \$ 285,533 | \$ 285,582 | \$ 325,475 | \$ 331,188 |

Securities available for sale: Municipal bonds
U.S. Treasury and government agency issued bonds
(Agency bonds)
U.S. government agency issued asset-backed securities
(ABS agency)
Corporate issued asset-backed securities (ABS corporate) Corporate issued debt securities (Corporate debt) U.S. Small Business Administration securities (SBA) Mortgage-backed:
U.S. government agency issued mortgage-backed
securities
(MBS agency)
Corporate issued mortgage-backed securities (MBS
corporate)
Total available for sale
Securities held to maturity: Municipal bonds
SBA
Mortgage-backed:
MBS agency
Total held to maturity
FHLB stock
Total securities
Maturity of Securities. The composition and contractual maturities of our investment portfolio at December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, excluding FHLB stock, are indicated in the following table. The yields on municipal bonds have not been computed on a tax equivalent basis.
Securities available for sale:
Municipal bonds
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Agency bonds } \\ & \text { ABS agency }\end{aligned}$
ABS corporate
Corporate debt
SBA
Mortgage-backed:
$\begin{aligned} & \text { MBS agency } \\ & \text { MBS corporat }\end{aligned}$
Total available for sale
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Securities held to maturity: } \\ & \text { Municipal bonds }\end{aligned}$
SBA
Mortgage-backed:
MBS agency
December 31, 2017

|  | 1 year or less |  |  | Over 1 year to 5 years |  |  | Over 5 to 10 years |  |  | Over 10 years |  |  | Total Securities |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost |  | Weighted Average Yield | Amortized Cost |  | Weighted Average Yield | Amortized Cost |  | Weighted Average Yield | Amortized Cost |  | Weighted Average Yield | Amortized Cost |  | Weighted Average Yield | Fair Value |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Dollar | thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities available for sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Municipal bonds | \$ | - | -\% | \$ | 4,388 | 2.28\% | \$ | 3,011 | 4.25\% | \$ | 5,659 | 2.91\% | \$ | 13,058 | 3.01\% | \$ | 13,434 |
| ABS agency |  | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  | 21,972 | 2.36 |  | 21,972 | 2.36 |  | 21,770 |
| ABS corporate |  | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  | 22,823 | 3.91 |  | 22,823 | 3.91 |  | 22,768 |
| Corporate debt |  | - | - |  | - | - |  | 19,835 | 3.56 |  | - | - |  | 19,835 | 3.56 |  | 19,908 |
| SBA |  | - | - |  | - | - |  | 6,636 | 2.30 |  | 40,689 | 2.28 |  | 47,325 | 2.28 |  | 47,274 |
| Mortgage-backed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MBS agency |  | - | - |  | 7,363 | 2.27 |  | 13,337 | 2.11 |  | 125,832 | 2.42 |  | 146,532 | 2.38 |  | 144,542 |
| MBS corporate |  | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  | 20,721 | 3.90 |  | 20,721 | 3.90 |  | 20,546 |
| Total available for sale |  | - | - |  | 11,751 | - |  | 42,819 | - |  | 237,696 | 3.90 |  | 292,266 | 2.70 |  | 290,242 |
| Securities held to maturity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Municipal bonds |  | - | - |  | - | - |  | 9,092 | 2.24 |  | 4,871 | 2.75 |  | 13,963 | 2.42 |  | 14,119 |
| SBA |  | - | - |  | - | - |  | 399 | 1.75 |  | - | - |  | 399 | 1.75 |  | 395 |
| Mortgage-backed: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| MBS agency |  | - | - |  | 1,957 | 2.01 |  | 2,835 | 1.70 |  | 30,972 | 3.30 |  | 35,764 | 3.11 |  | 35,752 |
| Total held to maturity |  | - | - |  | 1,957 | 2.01 |  | 12,326 | 2.10 |  | 35,843 | 3.23 |  | 50,126 | 2.90 |  | 50,266 |
| Total securities | \$ | - | -\% | \$ | 13,708 | 2.24\% | \$ | 55,145 | 2.77\% | \$ | 273,539 | 2.75\% | \$ | 342,392 | 2.73\% | \$ | 340,508 |

The Company may hold certain investment securities in an unrealized loss position that are not considered other than temporarily impaired ("OTTI"). At December 31, 2018, there were 69 investment securities with $\$ 6.7$ million of unrealized losses and a fair value of approximately $\$ 268.5$ million. At December 31, 2017, there were 63 investment securities with $\$ 3.2$ million of unrealized losses and a fair value of approximately $\$ 233.2$ million. We had no OTTI on investment securities at either December 31, 2018 or December 31, 2017.

## Deposit Activities and Other Sources of Funds

General. Deposits, borrowings and loan repayments are the major sources of our funds for lending and other investment purposes. Scheduled loan repayments are a relatively stable source of funds, while deposit inflows and outflows and loan prepayments are influenced significantly by general interest rates and market conditions. Borrowings from the FHLB are used to supplement the availability of funds from other sources and also as a source of term funds to assist in the management of interest rate risk.

Our deposit composition consists of certificates of deposit accounting for $27.8 \%$ of the total deposits at December 31, 2018, and interest and noninterest-bearing checking, savings and money market accounts comprising the remaining balance of total deposits. We rely on marketing activities, convenience, customer service and the availability of a broad range of deposit products and services to attract and retain customer deposits. We did not have any brokered deposits at December 31, 2018.

Deposits. Deposits are attracted from within our market area through the offering of a broad selection of deposit instruments, including checking accounts, money market deposit accounts, savings accounts and certificates of deposit with a variety of rates. Deposit account terms vary according to the minimum balance required, the time periods the funds must remain on deposit, and the interest rate, among other factors. In determining the terms of our deposit accounts, we consider the development of long-term profitable customer relationships, current market interest rates, current maturity structure and deposit mix, our customer preferences, and the profitability of acquiring customer deposits compared to alternative sources.

Deposit Activity. The following table sets forth our total deposit activities for the periods indicated.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year Ended } \\ \text { December 31, } \\ 2018 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Six Months Ended December 31, 2017 |  | Year Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2017 |  | 2016 |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning balance | \$ | 885,032 |  |  | \$ | 823,760 | \$ | 723,287 | \$ | 647,164 |
| Net deposits |  | 49,878 |  | 59,391 |  | 97,614 |  | 73,954 |
| Interest credited |  | 5,350 |  | 1,881 |  | 2,859 |  | 2,169 |
| Ending balance | \$ | 940,260 | \$ | 885,032 | \$ | 823,760 | \$ | 723,287 |
| Net increase | \$ | 55,228 | \$ | 61,272 | \$ | 100,473 | \$ | 76,123 |
| Percent increase |  | 6.2\% |  | 7.4\% |  | 13.9\% |  | 11.8\% |

Types of Deposits. The following table sets forth the dollar amount of deposits in the various types of deposits programs we offered at the dates indicated.

| December 31, |  |  |  |  | June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 |  | 2017 |  |  | 2017 |  | 2016 |  |
| Amount | Percent <br> of Total |  | Amount | Percent of Total | Amount | Percent of Total | Amount | Percent of Total |
| (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ 114,737 | 12.2\% | \$ | 118,193 | 13.4\% | \$ 112,177 | 13.6\% | \$ 103,456 | 14.3\% |
| 147,415 | 15.6 |  | 154,291 | 17.4 | 133,712 | 16.2 | 109,986 | 15.2 |
| 143,412 | 15.3 |  | 103,243 | 11.7 | 98,894 | 12.0 | 91,656 | 12.7 |
| 273,344 | 29.1 |  | 270,052 | 30.5 | 267,503 | 32.5 | 259,076 | 35.8 |
| 678,908 | 72.2 |  | 645,779 | 73.0 | 612,286 | 74.3 | 564,174 | 78.0 |
| 18,378 | 2.0 |  | 37,147 | 4.2 | 53,304 | 6.5 | 60,778 | 8.4 |
| 113,093 | 12.0 |  | 198,506 | 22.4 | 158,170 | 19.2 | 97,700 | 13.5 |
| 129,881 | 13.8 |  | 3,600 | 0.4 | - | - | 635 | 0.1 |
| - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 261,352 | 27.8 |  | 239,253 | 27.0 | 211,474 | 25.7 | 159,113 | 22.0 |
| \$ 940,260 | 100.0\% | \$ | 885,032 | 100.0\% | \$823,760 | 100.0\% | \$723,287 | 100.0\% | Transactions and Savings

Deposits:
Interest-bearing transaction Noninterest-bearing transaction Savings accounts Money market accounts Total transaction and savings deposits $\qquad$ $1.00-1.99 \%$ $2.00-2.99 \%$ $3.00-3.99 \%$ $4.00-4.99 \%$ 5.00 and over Total certificates
Total deposits
Deposit Flow. The following table sets forth the balances of deposits in the various types of deposit programs offered by First Federal at the dates indicated.

|  | December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  |  |  | 2017 |  |  |  | 2017 |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | Increase/ (Decrease) |  | Amount | Percent of Total | Increase/ <br> (Decrease) |  | $\frac{\text { Amount }}{\text { housands) }}$ | Percent of Total | Increase/ (Decrease) |  | Amount | Percent of Total | Increase/ <br> (Decrease) |  |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings accounts | \$ 143,412 | 15.3\% | \$ | 40,169 | \$ 103,243 | 11.7\% | \$ | 4,349 |  | \$ 98,894 | 12.0\% | \$ | 7,238 | \$ 91,656 | 12.7\% | \$ | 3,527 |
| Transaction accounts | 262,152 | 27.8 |  | $(10,332)$ | 272,484 | 30.7 |  | 26,595 | 245,889 | 29.8 |  | 32,447 | 213,442 | 29.5 |  | 29,552 |
| Money-market accounts | 273,344 | 29.1 |  | 3,292 | 270,052 | 30.5 |  | 2,549 | 267,503 | 32.5 |  | 8,427 | 259,076 | 35.8 |  | 31,859 |
| Fixed-rate certificates which mature in the year ending: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Within 1 year | 148,119 | 15.8 |  | 8,506 | 139,613 | 15.8 |  | 33,165 | 106,448 | 12.9 |  | 44,545 | 61,903 | 8.5 |  | $(9,571)$ |
| After 1 year but within 2 years | 78,966 | 8.4 |  | 17,060 | 61,906 | 7.0 |  | 2,769 | 59,137 | 7.2 |  | 13,769 | 45,368 | 6.3 |  | 12,032 |
| After 2 years but within 5 years | 34,267 | 3.6 |  | $(3,440)$ | 37,707 | 4.3 |  | $(8,127)$ | 45,834 | 5.6 |  | $(5,919)$ | 51,753 | 7.2 |  | 8,841 |
| Certificates maturing thereafter | - | - |  | (27) | 27 | - |  | (28) | 55 | - |  | (34) | 89 | - |  | (117) |
| Total | \$ 940,260 | 100.0\% | \$ | 55,228 | \$ 885,032 | 100.0\% | \$ | 61,272 | \$ 823,760 | 100.0\% | \$ | 100,473 | \$ 723,287 | 100.0\% | \$ | 76,123 |

Deposit Maturities. The following table sets forth the rate and maturity information of our time deposit certificates at December 31, 2018.

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00- \\ & 0.99 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00- \\ & 1.99 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2.00- \\ 2.99 \% \end{gathered}$ |  | Total | Percent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Certificate accounts maturing in quarter ending: | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| March 31, 2019 | \$ | 7,463 | \$ | 32,888 | \$ | 15,868 | \$ | 56,219 | 21.5\% |
| June 30, 2019 |  | 3,478 |  | 20,927 |  | 29,129 |  | 53,534 | 20.5 |
| September 30, 2019 |  | 1,825 |  | 10,190 |  | 11,181 |  | 23,196 | 8.9 |
| December 31, 2019 |  | 890 |  | 6,426 |  | 7,854 |  | 15,170 | 5.8 |
| March 31, 2020 |  | 2,640 |  | 13,367 |  | 8,367 |  | 24,374 | 9.3 |
| June 30, 2020 |  | 655 |  | 2,907 |  | 27,504 |  | 31,066 | 11.9 |
| September 30, 2020 |  | 120 |  | 3,820 |  | 14,227 |  | 18,167 | 7.0 |
| December 31, 2020 |  | 169 |  | 4,497 |  | 693 |  | 5,359 | 2.0 |
| March 31, 2021 |  | 1,020 |  | 2,892 |  | 5,061 |  | 8,973 | 3.4 |
| June 30, 2021 |  | 118 |  | 1,859 |  | 2,187 |  | 4,164 | 1.6 |
| September 30, 2021 |  | - |  | 3,592 |  | 2,606 |  | 6,198 | 2.4 |
| December 31, 2021 |  | - |  | 1,237 |  | 362 |  | 1,599 | 0.6 |
| Thereafter |  | - |  | 8,491 |  | 4,842 |  | 13,333 | 5.1 |
| Total | \$ | 18,378 | \$ | 113,093 | \$ | 129,881 | \$ | 261,352 | 100.0\% |
| Percent of total |  | 7.0\% |  | 43.3\% |  | 49.7\% |  | 100.0\% |  |

Jumbo Certificates. The following table indicates the amount of our jumbo certificates of deposit by time remaining until maturity as of December 31, 2018. Jumbo certificates of deposit are certificates in amounts of $\$ 100,000$ or more.

|  | Maturity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 Months or Less |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Over } \\ 3 \text { to } 6 \\ \text { Months } \end{gathered}$ |  | Over 6 to 12 Months |  | Over 12 <br> Months |  | Total |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Certificates of deposit less than \$100,000 | \$ | 15,328 | \$ | 9,914 | \$ | 14,372 | \$ | 37,723 | \$ | 77,337 |
| Certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or more |  | 40,891 |  | 43,620 |  | 23,994 |  | 75,510 |  | 184,015 |
| Total certificates | \$ | 56,219 | S | 53,534 |  | 38,366 |  | 113,233 | \$ | 261,352 |

The Federal Reserve requires First Federal to maintain reserves on transaction accounts or non-personal time deposits. These reserves may be in the form of cash or noninterest-bearing deposits with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco. Negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) accounts and other types of accounts that permit payments or transfers to third parties fall within the definition of transaction accounts and are subject to the reserve requirements, as are any non-personal time deposits at a savings bank. As of December 31, 2018, our deposit with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco and vault cash exceeded our reserve requirements.

Borrowings. We use advances from the FHLB, including short-term overnight to less than one year advances and longer term advances maturing in one year or more, to supplement our supply of lendable funds, to meet short-term liquidity needs, and to mitigate interest rate risk.

As a member of the FHLB, we are required to own capital stock in the FHLB and are authorized to apply for advances on the security of that stock and certain pledged assets including mortgage loans and investment securities. Advances are made under various terms pursuant to several different credit programs, each with its own interest rate and range of maturities. Depending on the program, limitations on the amount of advances are based on the financial condition of the member institution and the adequacy of collateral pledged to secure the credit. We maintain a committed credit facility with the FHLB and at December 31, 2018 had pledged loan and security collateral to support a borrowing capacity of $\$ 236.1$ million. At that date outstanding advances from the FHLB totaled $\$ 136.6$ million leaving a remaining borrowing capacity of $\$ 99.5$ million.

The following tables set forth information regarding our borrowings at the end of and during the periods indicated. The tables include both long- and short-term borrowings.

|  | December 31, |  |  |  | June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2017 |  | 2017 |  | 2016 |  |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum balance: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FHLB long-term advances | \$ | 60,000 | \$ | 60,000 | \$ | 60,000 | \$ | 89,924 |
| FHLB short-term advances |  | 72,600 |  | 84,100 |  | - |  | - |
| FHLB overnight borrowings |  | 110,723 |  | 62,960 |  | 47,338 |  | 50,233 |
| Average balances: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FHLB long-term advances | \$ | 60,000 | \$ | 60,000 | \$ | 60,000 | \$ | 75,808 |
| FHLB short-term advances |  | 27,658 |  | 14,017 |  | - |  | - |
| FHLB overnight borrowings |  | 47,049 |  | 42,329 |  | 24,208 |  | 11,200 |
| Weighted average interest rate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FHLB long-term advances |  | 3.52\% |  | 3.52\% |  | 3.52\% |  | 3.35\% |
| FHLB short-term advances |  | 1.76 |  | 0.26 |  | - |  | - |
| FHLB overnight borrowings |  | 2.10 |  | 1.38 |  | 0.79 |  | 0.35 |
| Balance outstanding at end of period: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FHLB long-term advances | \$ | 60,000 | \$ | 60,000 | \$ | 60,000 | \$ | 60,000 |
| FHLB short-term advances |  | 25,000 |  | 84,100 |  | - |  | - |
| FHLB overnight borrowings |  | 51,552 |  | - |  | 17,427 |  | 20,672 |
| Total borrowings | \$ | 136,552 | \$ | 144,100 | \$ | 77,427 | \$ | 80,672 |
| Weighted average interest rate at end of period: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FHLB long-term advances |  | 3.52\% |  | 3.52\% |  | 3.52\% |  | 3.52\% |
| FHLB short-term advances |  | 2.48 |  | 1.54 |  | - |  | - |
| FHLB overnight borrowings |  | 2.58 |  | 1.54 |  | 1.28 |  | 0.42 |

## Subsidiary and Other Activities

First Federal has one active subsidiary, 202 Master Tenant, LLC, which was formed in August 2016 in partnership with the Peninsula College Foundation in order to receive a historic tax credit. This entity meets the criteria for reporting under the equity method of accounting.

## Competition

We face competition in originating loans from other savings institutions, commercial banks, credit unions, life insurance companies, and mortgage bankers. We offer competitive terms and conditions and also compete by delivering high-quality, personal service to our customers.

Competition for deposits is primarily from other savings institutions, commercial banks, credit unions, mutual funds, and other alternative investments, which may be offered locally or via the Internet. We compete for these deposits by offering excellent service and a variety of deposit accounts at competitive rates. Based on the most recent branch data provided by the FDIC, as of June 30, 2018, First Federal's share of bank, savings bank and savings and loan association deposits in Clallam and Jefferson counties was $36.2 \%$ and $22.2 \%$, respectively, and was less than $2 \%$ in Whatcom and Kitsap counties.

## Employees

At December 31, 2018, we had 201 full-time equivalent employees. Our employees are not represented by any collective bargaining group. We consider our employee relations to be good.

## Executive Officers of the Registrant

The following is a description of the principal occupation and employment of the executive officers of the Company and the Bank as of December 31, 2018:

Laurence J. Hueth, age 56, was elected President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and First Federal on March 26, 2013, and has been a director since 2010. Mr. Hueth joined First Federal in 2008 and was promoted to Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer in March 2009. He assumed responsibility for operational and risk areas, serving as Chief Operating Officer from 2011 to 2012. Mr. Hueth has over 32 years of progressive responsibility in finance and risk management areas within the banking industry. Prior to joining First Federal, Mr. Hueth was employed for 15 years at PFF Bank \& Trust located in Pomona, California where he held positions in finance, treasury and risk management, including serving as Vice President, Operational Risk Manager and Bank Treasurer from 2005 until November 2008. Mr. Hueth is active with numerous charitable and civic organizations in Clallam and Jefferson counties.

Regina M. Wood, age 48, is Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company and First Federal, positions she has held since March 2013. Prior to that, she served as interim Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of First Federal from December 2012 through March 2013 and Vice President, Controller of First Federal from August 2006 to December 2012. Ms. Wood was the Controller of the Central Washington Grain Growers, Inc. from 2002 to 2006 and Assistant Controller from 1999 to 2002. Ms. Wood is a certified public accountant licensed in the state of Washington.

Christopher J. Riffle, age 43, is Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer (COO), General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of the Company and First Federal. Mr. Riffle has held the COO position since October 2018, and has served as General Counsel and Corporate Secretary since September 2017. Prior to joining First Federal, Mr. Riffle was a partner at the Platt Irwin Law Firm in Port Angeles, Washington, where he managed a civil legal practice representing clients in a variety of contexts. Mr. Riffle was at Platt Irwin Law Firm from 2008 to 2017, and served as outside general counsel for First Federal starting in 2009.

Terry Anderson, age 50, is Executive Vice President and Chief Credit Officer of First Federal, a position he has held since 2018. Mr. Anderson has more than two decades of management experience in credit administration, sales, commercial banking and strategic planning. He most recently served as Executive Vice President and Chief Credit Officer for South Sound Bank for more than six years and has previously worked in a variety of positions with West Coast Bank, US Bank and Bank of America.

Kelly A. Liske, age 42, is Executive Vice President and Chief Banking Officer of First Federal, a position she has held since July 2013. Ms. Liske served as a Commercial Relationship Manager and Vice President for First Federal from July 2011 to July 2013. Prior to that she served as the Branch Manager, Assistant Vice President for First Federal's Port Townsend Branch from 2006 until 2011. Prior to joining First Federal, Ms. Liske was employed for 11 years at Washington Mutual where she held various positions in the Retail Banking Division.

## How We Are Regulated

First Northwest Bancorp and First Federal are subject to federal, state, and local laws which may change from time to time. This section provides a general overview of the federal and state regulatory framework applicable to First Northwest Bancorp and First Federal. The descriptions of laws and regulations included herein do not purport to be complete and are qualified in their entirety by reference to the actual laws and regulations.

These statutes and regulations, as well as related policies, continue to be subject to change by Congress, state legislatures, and federal and state regulators. Changes in statutes, regulations, or regulatory policies applicable to First Northwest Bancorp and First Federal (including their interpretation or implementation) cannot be predicted and could have a material effect on First Northwest Bancorp's and First Federal's business and operations. Numerous changes to the statutes, regulations, and regulatory policies applicable to First Northwest Bancorp and First Federal have been made or proposed in recent years. Any such legislation or regulatory changes in the future by the FDIC, DFI, Federal Reserve and the CFPB could adversely affect our operations and financial condition.

## Regulation of First Federal

General. First Federal, as a state-chartered savings bank, is subject to applicable provisions of Washington law and to regulations and examinations of the DFI. It also is subject to examination and regulation by the FDIC, which insures the deposits of First Federal to the maximum permitted by law. During these state or federal regulatory examinations, the examiners may, among other things, require First Federal to provide for higher general or specific loan loss reserves, which can impact our capital and earnings. This regulation of First Federal is intended for the protection of depositors and the deposit insurance fund ("DIF") of the FDIC and not for the purpose of protecting shareholders of First Federal or First Northwest Bancorp. First Federal is required to maintain minimum levels of regulatory capital and is subject to some limitations on the payment of dividends to First Northwest Bancorp. See "- Capital Requirements" and "- Dividends."

Federal and State Enforcement Authority and Actions. As part of its supervisory authority over Washington-chartered savings banks, the DFI may initiate enforcement proceedings to obtain a cease-and-desist order against an institution believed to have engaged in unsafe and unsound practices or to have violated a law, regulation, or other regulatory limit, including a written agreement. The FDIC also has the authority to initiate enforcement actions against insured institutions for similar reasons and may terminate the deposit insurance if it determines that an institution has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices or is in an unsafe or unsound condition. Both these agencies may utilize less formal supervisory tools to address their concerns about the condition, operations or compliance status of a savings bank.

Regulation by the Washington Department of Financial Institutions. State law and regulations govern First Federal's ability to take deposits and pay interest, to make loans on or invest in residential and other real estate, to make consumer loans, to invest in securities, to offer various banking services to its customers, and to establish branch offices. As a state savings bank, First Federal must pay semi-annual assessments, examination costs and certain other charges to the DFI.

Washington law generally provides the same powers for Washington savings banks as federally and otherstate chartered savings institutions and banks with branches in Washington, subject to the approval of the DFI. Washington savings banks are permitted to charge the maximum interest rates on loans and other extensions of credit to Washington residents which are allowable for a national bank in another state if higher than Washington limits. In addition, the DFI may approve applications by Washington savings banks to engage in an otherwise unauthorized activity if the DFI determines that the activity is closely related to banking and First Federal is otherwise qualified under the statute. This additional authority, however, is subject to review and approval by the FDIC if the activity is not permissible for national banks.

Regulation of Management. Federal law (1) sets forth circumstances under which officers or directors of a bank may be removed by the bank's federal supervisory agency; (2) as discussed below, places restraints on lending by a bank to its executive officers, directors, principal shareholders, and their related interests; and (3) generally prohibits management personnel of a bank from serving as directors or in other management positions of another financial institution whose assets exceed a specified amount or which has an office within a specified geographic area.

Insider Credit Transactions. Banks are subject to certain restrictions on extensions of credit to executive officers, directors, principal shareholders, and their related interests. Extensions of credit (1) must be made on substantially the same terms (including interest rates and collateral) and follow credit underwriting procedures that are at least as stringent as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with persons not related to the lending bank; and (2) must not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other unfavorable features. Banks are also subject to certain lending limits and restrictions on overdrafts to insiders. A violation of these restrictions may result in the assessment of substantial civil monetary penalties, regulatory enforcement actions, and other regulatory sanctions. The Dodd-Frank Act and federal regulations place additional restrictions on loans to insiders and generally prohibit loans to senior officers other than for certain specified purposes.

Insurance of Accounts and Regulation by the FDIC. The DIF of the FDIC insures deposit accounts in First Federal up to $\$ 250,000$ per separately insured depositor. As insurer, the FDIC imposes deposit insurance premiums and is authorized to conduct examinations of, and to require reporting by, FDIC-insured institutions. Our deposit insurance premiums for the year ended December 31, 2018, were $\$ 307,000$. No institution may pay a dividend if it is in default on its federal deposit insurance assessment.

The FDIC calculates assessments for small institutions (those with less than $\$ 10$ billion in assets) based on an institution's weighted average CAMELS component ratings and certain financial ratios. Currently, assessment rates range from 3 to 16 basis points for institutions with CAMELS composite ratings of 1 or 2, 6 to 30 basis points for those with a CAMELS composite score of 3 , and 16 to 30 basis points for those with CAMELS Composite scores of 4 or 5 , subject to certain adjustments. Assessment rates are scheduled to decrease in the future as the reserve ratio increases. The reserve ratio is the ratio of the net worth of the deposit insurance fund to aggregate insured deposits.

As required by the Dodd Frank Act, the FDIC has adopted a rule to offset the effect of the increase in the minimum reserve ratio of the DIF on small institutions by imposing a surcharge on institutions with assets of $\$ 10$ billion or more commencing on July 1, 2016 and ending when the reserve ratio reaches $1.35 \%$. On September 30, 2018, the DIF reached $1.36 \%$, ahead of Dodd-Frank's 2020 deadline to meet the $1.35 \%$ reserve ratio. As a result, small institutions will receive credits for the portions of their regular assessments that contributed to growth in the reserve ratio between $1.15 \%$ and $1.35 \%$, to be applied when the reserve ratio is at or above $1.38 \%$.

FDIC-insured institutions are required to pay an additional quarterly assessment called the FICO assessment in order to fund the interest on bonds issued to resolve thrift failures in the 1980s. This assessment rate is adjusted quarterly to reflect changes in the assessment base, which is average assets less tangible equity, and is the same base as used for the deposit insurance assessment. These assessments are expected to continue until the bonds mature in the years 2017 through 2019.

The FDIC has authority to increase insurance assessments, and any significant increases would have an adverse effect on the operating expenses and results of operations of First Federal. Management cannot predict what assessment rates will be in the future.

Insurance of deposits may be terminated by the FDIC upon a finding that an institution has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices, is in an unsafe or unsound condition to continue operations or has violated any applicable law, regulation, rule, order or condition imposed by the FDIC. The FDIC may also prohibit any insured institution from engaging in any activity determined by regulation or order to pose a serious risk to the DIF. We do not currently know of any practice, condition, or violation that may lead to termination of our deposit insurance.

Prompt Corrective Action. Federal statutes establish a supervisory framework, designed to place restrictions on an insured depository institution if its capital levels begin to show signs of weakness, based on five capital categories: "well capitalized," "adequately capitalized," "undercapitalized," "significantly undercapitalized" and "critically undercapitalized." An institution's category depends upon where its capital levels are in relation to relevant capital measures, which include risk-based capital measures, Tier 1 and common equity Tier 1 capital measures, a leverage ratio capital measure and certain other factors. The federal banking agencies have adopted
regulations that implement this statutory framework. Under these regulations, an institution is treated as well capitalized if it has a ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets of $10.0 \%$ or more (the total risk-based capital ratio); a ratio of common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets (the Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio) of $8.0 \%$ or more; a ratio of Tier 1 common equity capital to risk-weighted assets of $6.5 \%$ or more (the common equity Tier 1 capital ratio); a ratio of Tier 1 capital to average consolidated assets (the leverage ratio) of $5.0 \%$ or more; and the institution is not subject to a federal order, agreement or directive to meet a specific capital level. An institution is considered adequately capitalized if it is not well capitalized but it has a total risk-based capital ratio of $8.0 \%$ or more; a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of $6.0 \%$ or more; a common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of $4.5 \%$ or more; and a leverage ratio of $4.0 \%$ or more and a leverage ratio of not less than $4 \%$. The classifications for "undercapitalized," "significantly undercapitalized" and "critically undercapitalized" institutions are also set forth in the regulations. An institution that is not well capitalized is subject to certain restrictions on brokered deposits, including restrictions on the rates it can offer on its deposits generally. Any institution which is neither well capitalized nor adequately capitalized is considered undercapitalized. Further, an institution may be downgraded to a category lower than indicated by its capital ratios if it is determined to be in an unsafe or unsound condition, or if the institution receives an unsatisfactory examination rating.

Undercapitalized institutions are subject to certain prompt corrective action requirements, regulatory controls and restrictions which become more extensive as an institution becomes more severely undercapitalized. Failure by First Federal to comply with applicable capital requirements would, if not remedied, result in restrictions on its activities and lead to enforcement actions, including, but not limited to, the issuance of a capital directive to ensure the maintenance of required capital levels and, ultimately, the appointment of the FDIC as receiver or conservator. Banking regulators will take prompt corrective action with respect to depository institutions that do not meet minimum capital requirements. Additionally, approval of any regulatory application filed for their review may be dependent on compliance with capital requirements. At December 31, 2018, First Federal was categorized as "well capitalized" under the regulatory capital requirements described below. For additional information, see Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data," of this Form 10-K.

Capital Requirements. Federal regulations require insured depository institutions and bank holding companies to meet several minimum capital standards. The minimum capital level requirements applicable to First Northwest Bancorp and First Federal are: (i) a common equity Tier 1 ("CET1") capital to risk-based assets ratio of $4.5 \%$; (ii) a Tier 1 capital to risk-based assets ratio of $6 \%$; (iii) a total capital to risk-based assets ratio of $8 \%$; and (iv) a Tier 1 capital to total assets leverage ratio of $4 \%$. These minimum capital requirements became effective in January 2015 and were the result of final rules implementing certain regulatory amendments based on the recommendation of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and certain requirements of the Dodd-Frank Act.

In addition to the minimum risk-based capital ratios, the capital regulations require a capital conservation buffer, designed to absorb losses during periods of economic stress, consisting of additional CET1 capital of more than $2.5 \%$ of risk-weighted assets above the required minimum risk-based ratios in order to avoid limitations on paying dividends, engaging in share repurchases, and paying discretionary bonuses. The phase-in of the capital conservation buffer requirement began on January 1, 2016, when a buffer greater than $0.625 \%$ of risk-weighted assets was required, and increased each year until the buffer requirement was fully implemented on January 1, 2019.

As of December 31, 2018, First Northwest Bancorp and First Federal each met the requirements to be "well capitalized" and met the fully phased-in capital conservation buffer requirement. Management monitors the capital levels of First Northwest Bancorp and First Federal to provide for current and future business opportunities and to meet regulatory guidelines for "well capitalized" institutions. For additional information regarding First Northwest Bancorp's and First Federal's required and actual capital levels at December 31, 2018, see Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data," of this Form 10-K.

The Federal Reserve and the FDIC have authority to establish individual minimum capital requirements in appropriate cases upon a determination that an institution's capital level is or may become inadequate in light of particular risks or circumstances. Management believes that, under the current regulations, First Northwest Bancorp and First Federal will continue to meet their minimum capital requirements in the foreseeable future.

Standards for Safety and Soundness. The federal banking regulatory agencies have prescribed, by regulation, guidelines for all insured depository institutions relating to internal controls, information systems and internal audit systems; loan documentation; credit underwriting; interest rate risk exposure; asset growth; asset quality; earnings; and compensation, fees and benefits. The guidelines set forth the safety and soundness standards
that the federal banking agencies use to identify and address problems at insured depository institutions before capital becomes impaired. Each insured depository institution must implement a comprehensive written information security program that includes administrative, technical, and physical safeguards appropriate to the institution's size and complexity and the nature and scope of its activities. The information security program must be designed to ensure the security and confidentiality of customer information, protect against any unanticipated threats or hazards to the security or integrity of such information, protect against unauthorized access to or use of such information that could result in substantial harm or inconvenience to any customer, and ensure the proper disposal of customer and consumer information. Each insured depository institution must also develop and implement a risk-based response program to address incidents of unauthorized access to customer information in customer information systems. If the FDIC determines that an institution fails to meet any of these guidelines, it may require an institution to submit to the FDIC an acceptable plan to achieve compliance. First Federal has established comprehensive policies and risk management procedures to ensure the safety and soundness of First Federal.

Federal Home Loan Bank System. First Federal is a member of the FHLB of Des Moines. As a member, First Federal is required to purchase and maintain stock in the FHLB. At December 31, 2018, First Federal held $\$ 6.9$ million in FHLB stock, which was in compliance with this requirement. Each FHLB serves as a reserve or central bank for its members within its assigned region, and it is funded primarily from proceeds derived from the sale of consolidated obligations of the Federal Home Loan Bank System. Each FHLB makes loans or advances to members in accordance with policies and procedures, established by its Board of Directors, subject to the oversight of the Federal Housing Finance Agency. All advances from the FHLB are required to be fully secured by sufficient collateral as determined by the FHLB, and all long-term advances are required to provide funds for residential home financing. At December 31, 2018, First Federal had $\$ 136.6$ million of outstanding advances from the FHLB of Des Moines. See Item 1, "Business - Deposit Activities and Other Sources of Funds - Borrowings."

The FHLBs continue to contribute to low- and moderately-priced housing programs through direct loans or interest subsidies on advances targeted for community investment and low- and moderate-income housing projects. These contributions have affected adversely the level of FHLB dividends paid and could continue to do so in the future. These contributions could also have an adverse effect on the value of FHLB stock in the future. A reduction in value of First Federal's FHLB of Des Moines stock may result in a corresponding reduction in its capital.

Activities and Investments of Insured State-Chartered Financial Institutions. Federal law generally limits the activities and equity investments of FDIC insured, state-chartered banks to those that are permissible for national banks. An insured state bank is not prohibited from, among other things, (1) acquiring or retaining a majority interest in a subsidiary, (2) investing as a limited partner in a partnership, the sole purpose of which is direct or indirect investment in the acquisition, rehabilitation or new construction of a qualified housing project, provided that such limited partnership investments may not exceed $2 \%$ of the bank's total assets, (3) acquiring up to $10 \%$ of the voting stock of a company that solely provides or reinsures directors' and officers' liability insurance coverage or bankers' blanket bond group insurance coverage for insured depository institutions, and (4) acquiring or retaining the voting shares of a depository institution if certain requirements are met.

Dividends. Dividends from First Federal, which are subject to regulation and limitation, constitute a major source of funds for dividends paid by First Northwest Bancorp to shareholders. As a general rule, regulatory authorities may prohibit banks and bank holding companies from paying dividends in a manner that would constitute an unsafe or unsound banking practice. For example, regulators have stated that paying dividends that deplete an institution's capital base to an inadequate level would be an unsafe and unsound banking practice and that an institution should generally pay dividends only out of current operating earnings. In addition, a bank may not pay cash dividends if that payment could reduce the amount of its capital below that necessary to meet minimum applicable regulatory capital requirements. According to Washington law, First Federal may not declare or pay a cash dividend on its capital stock if it would cause its net worth to be reduced below (1) the amount required for liquidation accounts or (2) the net worth requirements, if any, imposed by the Director of the DFI. Dividends on First Federal's capital stock may not be paid in an aggregate amount greater than the aggregate retained earnings of First Federal without the approval of the Director of the DFI.

Affiliate Transactions. Federal laws strictly limit the ability of banks to engage in certain transactions with their affiliates, including their bank holding companies. The Dodd-Frank Act further extended the definition of an "affiliate" and treats credit exposure arising from derivative transactions, securities lending, and borrowing transactions as covered transactions under the regulations. Transactions deemed to be a "covered transaction" under Section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act and between a subsidiary bank and its parent company or the nonbank subsidiaries of the bank holding company are limited to $10 \%$ of the bank subsidiary's capital and surplus and, with respect to the parent company and all such nonbank subsidiaries, to an aggregate of $20 \%$ of the bank subsidiary's
capital and surplus. Further, covered transactions that are loans and extensions of credit generally are required to be secured by eligible collateral in specified amounts. Federal law also requires that covered transactions and certain other transactions listed in Section 23B of the Federal Reserve Act between a bank and its affiliates be on terms as favorable to the bank as transactions with non-affiliates.

Community Reinvestment Act. First Federal is subject to the provisions of the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 (CRA), which requires the appropriate federal bank regulatory agency to assess a bank's performance under the CRA in meeting the credit needs of the community serviced by the bank, including low-and moderate income neighborhoods. The regulatory agency's assessment of a bank's record is made available to the public. Further, a bank's CRA performance rating must be considered in connection with a bank's application, among other things, to establish a new branch office that will accept deposits; to relocate an existing office; or to merge or consolidate with, or acquire the assets or assume the liabilities of, a federally regulated financial institution. In some cases, a bank's failure to comply with the CRA or CRA protests filed by interested parties during applicable comment periods can result in the denial or delay of such transactions. First Federal received a "satisfactory" rating during its most recent CRA examination.

Commercial Real Estate Ratios. The federal banking regulators recently issued guidance reminding financial institutions to reexamine the existing regulations regarding concentrations in commercial real estate lending. The purpose of the guidance is to guide banks in developing risk management practices and capital levels commensurate with the level and nature of real estate concentrations. The banking regulators are directed to examine each bank's exposure to commercial real estate loans that are dependent on cash flow from the real estate held as collateral and to focus their supervisory resources on institutions that may have significant commercial real estate loan concentration risk. The guidance provides that the strength of an institution's lending and risk management practices with respect to such concentrations will be taken into account in evaluating capital adequacy and does not specifically limit a bank's commercial real estate lending to a specified concentration level.

Privacy Standards. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Financial Services Modernization Act of 1999 (GLBA) modernized the financial services industry by establishing a comprehensive framework to permit affiliations among commercial banks, insurance companies, securities firms and other financial service providers. First Federal is subject to FDIC regulations implementing the privacy protection provisions of the GLBA. These regulations require First Federal to disclose its privacy policy, including informing consumers of its information sharing practices and informing consumers of its rights to opt out of certain practices.

Environmental Issues Associated with Real Estate Lending. The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA") is a federal statute that generally imposes strict liability on all prior and present "owners and operators" of sites containing hazardous waste. However, the term "owner and operator" excludes a person whose ownership is limited to protecting its security interest in the site. Since the enactment of the CERCLA, this "secured creditor exemption" has been the subject of judicial interpretations which have left open the possibility that lenders could be liable for cleanup costs on contaminated property that they hold as collateral for a loan. To the extent that legal uncertainty exists in this area, all creditors, including First Federal, that have made loans secured by properties with potential hazardous waste contamination (such as petroleum contamination) could be subject to liability for cleanup costs, which costs often substantially exceed the value of the collateral property.

Federal Reserve System. The Federal Reserve Board requires that all depository institutions maintain reserves on transaction accounts or non-personal time deposits. These reserves may be in the form of cash or noninterest-bearing deposits with the regional Federal Reserve Bank. Negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) accounts and other types of accounts that permit payments or transfers to third parties fall within the definition of transaction accounts and are subject to the reserve requirements, as are any non-personal time deposits at a savings bank. As of December 31, 2018, First Federal's deposit with the Federal Reserve Bank and vault cash exceeded its reserve requirements.

Anti-Money Laundering and Anti-Terrorism. The Bank Secrecy Act ("BSA") requires all financial institutions to establish a risk-based system of internal controls reasonably designed to prevent money laundering and the financing of terrorism. The BSA also sets forth various recordkeeping and reporting requirements (such as reporting suspicious activities that might signal criminal activity) and certain due diligence and "know your customer" documentation requirements.

The Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 ("Patriot Act"), intended to combat terrorism, was renewed with certain amendments in 2006.

In relevant part, the Patriot Act (1) prohibits banks from providing correspondent accounts directly to foreign shell banks; (2) imposes due diligence requirements on banks opening or holding accounts for foreign financial institutions or wealthy foreign individuals; (3) requires financial institutions to establish an anti-money laundering compliance program; and (4) eliminates civil liability for persons who file suspicious activity reports. The Patriot Act also includes provisions providing the government with power to investigate terrorism, including expanded government access to bank account records. Regulators are directed to consider a bank holding company's and a bank's effectiveness in combating money laundering when reviewing and ruling on applications under the BHCA and the Bank Merger Act. First Northwest Bancorp and First Federal have established comprehensive compliance programs designed to comply with the requirements of the BSA and Patriot Act.

Other Consumer Protection Laws and Regulations. The Dodd-Frank Act, among other things, established the CFPB as an independent bureau of the Federal Reserve Board. The CFPB assumed responsibility for the implementation of the federal financial consumer protection and fair lending laws and regulations and has authority to impose new requirements. First Federal is subject to consumer protection regulations issued by the CFPB, but as a smaller financial institution, it is generally subject to supervision and enforcement by the FDIC and the DFI with respect to our compliance with consumer financial protection laws and CFPB regulations.

First Federal is subject to a broad array of federal and state consumer protection laws and regulations that govern almost every aspect of its business relationships with consumers. While the list set forth below is not exhaustive, some of these laws and regulations include the Truth-in-Lending Act, the Truth in Savings Act, the Electronic Fund Transfer Act, the Expedited Funds Availability Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Fair Housing Act, the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the Right to Financial Privacy Act, the Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act, the Consumer Leasing Act, the Fair Credit Billing Act, the Homeowners Protection Act, the Check Clearing for the 21st Century Act, laws governing flood insurance, laws governing consumer protections in connection with the sale of insurance, federal and state laws prohibiting unfair and deceptive business practices, and various regulations that implement some or all of the foregoing. These laws and regulations mandate certain disclosure requirements and regulate the manner in which financial institutions must deal with customers when taking deposits, making loans, collecting loans, and providing other services. In recent years, examination and enforcement by federal and state banking agencies for non-compliance with consumer protection laws and regulations have increased and become more intense. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations can subject First Federal to various penalties including, but not limited to, enforcement actions, injunctions, fines, civil liability, criminal penalties, punitive damages, and the loss of certain contractual rights. First Federal has established a comprehensive compliance system to ensure consumer protection.

## Regulation and Supervision of First Northwest Bancorp

General. First Northwest Bancorp is a bank holding company registered with the Federal Reserve and the sole shareholder of First Federal. Bank holding companies are subject to comprehensive regulation by the Federal Reserve under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended ("BHCA"), and the regulations promulgated thereunder. This regulation and oversight is generally intended to ensure that First Northwest Bancorp limits its activities to those allowed by law and that it operates in a safe and sound manner without endangering the financial health of First Federal.

As a bank holding company, First Northwest Bancorp is required to file semi-annual and annual reports with the Federal Reserve and any additional information required by the Federal Reserve and is subject to regular examinations by the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve also has extensive enforcement authority over bank holding companies, including the ability to assess civil money penalties, to issue cease and desist or removal orders and to require that a holding company divest subsidiaries (including its bank subsidiaries). In general, enforcement actions may be initiated for violations of law and regulations and unsafe or unsound practices.

The Bank Holding Company Act. Under the BHCA, First Northwest Bancorp is supervised by the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve has a policy that a bank holding company is required to serve as a source of financial and managerial strength to its subsidiary banks and may not conduct its operations in an unsafe or unsound manner. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act and earlier Federal Reserve policy provide that bank holding companies should serve as a source of strength to its subsidiary banks by being prepared to use available resources to provide adequate capital funds to its subsidiary banks during periods of financial stress or adversity (including at times when a bank holding company may not be in a financial position to provide such resources or when it may not be in the bank holding company's or its shareholders' best interests to do so), and should maintain the financial flexibility and capital raising capacity to obtain additional resources for assisting its subsidiary banks. Any capital loans a bank
holding company makes to its bank subsidiaries are subordinate to deposits and to certain other indebtedness of the bank subsidiaries. A bank holding company's failure to meet its obligation to serve as a source of strength to its subsidiary banks will generally be considered by the Federal Reserve to be an unsafe and unsound banking practice or a violation of the Federal Reserve's regulations, or both.

Under the BHCA, the Federal Reserve may approve the ownership of shares by a bank holding company in any company the activities of which the Federal Reserve has determined to be so closely related to the business of banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident thereto. These activities generally include, among others, operating a savings institution, mortgage company, finance company, credit card company or factoring company; performing certain data processing operations; providing certain investment and financial advice; underwriting and acting as an insurance agent for certain types of credit-related insurance; leasing property on a full-payout, non-operating basis; selling money orders, travelers' checks and U.S. Savings Bonds; real estate and personal property appraising; providing tax planning and preparation services; and, subject to certain limitations, providing securities brokerage services for customers.

Acquisitions. The BHCA prohibits a bank holding company, with certain exceptions, from acquiring ownership or control of more than $5 \%$ of the voting shares of any company that is not a bank or bank holding company and from engaging in activities other than those of banking, managing or controlling banks, or providing services for its subsidiaries. A bank holding company that meets certain supervisory and financial standards and elects to be designed as a financial holding company may also engage in certain securities, insurance and merchant banking activities and other activities determined to be financial in nature or incidental to financial activities.

Regulatory Capital Requirements. The Federal Reserve has adopted capital rules pursuant to which it assesses the adequacy of capital in examining and supervising a bank holding company and in analyzing applications under the BHCA. These rules apply on a consolidated basis to bank holding companies with $\$ 3.0$ billion (which was increased from $\$ 1.0$ billion in conjunction with the Crapo Bill, discussed below) or more in assets, or with fewer assets but certain risky activities, and on a bank-only basis to other companies. When applicable, the bank holding company capital adequacy and conservation buffer rules are the same as those imposed by the FDIC. For additional information, see the section above entitled "- Regulation of First Federal - Capital Regulation" and Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8., "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data," of this Form 10-K.

Interstate Banking. The Dodd-Frank Act eliminated interstate branching restrictions that were implemented as part of the Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act of 1994 ("Interstate Act"), and removed many restrictions on de novo interstate branching by state and federally chartered banks. The Federal Reserve may approve an application of a bank holding company to acquire control of, or acquire all or substantially all of the assets of, a bank located in a state other than the bank holding company's home state, without regard to whether the transaction is prohibited by the laws of any state. The Federal Reserve may not approve the acquisition of a bank that has not been in existence for the minimum time period of five years, or longer if specified by the law of the host state. In addition, the Federal Reserve generally may not approve an application for an interstate merger transaction if the applicant controls or would control more than $10 \%$ of the insured deposits in the United States or $30 \%$ or more of the deposits in the target bank's home state or in any state in which the target bank maintains a branch. Federal law does not affect the authority of states to limit the percentage of total insured deposits in the state that may be held or controlled by a bank holding company to the extent such limitation does not discriminate against out-of-state banks or bank holding companies. Individual states may also waive the $30 \%$ state-wide concentration limit contained in the federal law. Banks may establish de novo branches in any state, subject to regulatory approval.

The federal banking agencies are authorized to approve interstate merger transactions without regard to whether the transaction is prohibited by the law of any state, unless the home state of one of the banks adopted a law prior to June 1, 1997, which applies equally to all out-of-state banks and expressly prohibits merger transactions involving out-of-state banks. Interstate acquisitions of branches are permitted only if the law of the state in which the branch is located permits such acquisitions. Interstate mergers and branch acquisitions are also subject to the nationwide and statewide insured deposit concentration amounts described above. Federal bank regulations prohibit banks from using their interstate branches primarily for deposit production and federal bank regulatory agencies have implemented a loan-to-deposit ratio screen to ensure compliance with this prohibition.

Interchange Fees. Under the Durbin Amendment to the Dodd-Frank Act, the Federal Reserve adopted rules establishing standards for assessing whether the interchange fees that may be charged with respect to certain electronic transactions are "reasonable and proportional" to the costs incurred by issuers for processing such transactions. Notably, the Federal Reserve's rules set a maximum permissible interchange fee, among other
requirements. As of December 31, 2018, First Northwest Bancorp and First Federal qualified for the small issuer exemption from the Federal Reserve's interchange fee cap, which applies to any debit card issuer that has total consolidated assets of less than $\$ 10$ billion as of the end of the previous calendar year.

Restrictions on Dividends. First Northwest Bancorp's ability to declare and pay dividends is subject to the Federal Reserve limits and Washington law, and it may depend on its ability to receive dividends from First Federal (as discussed above).

The Federal Reserve has issued a policy statement on the payment of cash dividends by bank holding companies. In particular, the policy limits the payment of a cash dividend by a bank holding company if the holding company's net income for the past year is not sufficient to cover both the cash dividend and a rate of earnings retention that is consistent with capital needs, asset quality and overall financial condition. A bank holding company that does not meet any applicable capital standard would not be able to pay any cash dividends under this policy. A bank holding company not subject to consolidated capital requirements is expected not to pay dividends unless its debt-to-equity ratio is less than $1: 1$, and it meets certain additional criteria. The Federal Reserve also has indicated that it would be inappropriate for a company experiencing serious financial problems to borrow funds to pay dividends. The capital conservation buffer requirements may limit First Northwest Bancorp's ability to pay dividends.

Except for a company that meets the well-capitalized standard for bank holding companies, is well managed, and is not subject to any unresolved supervisory issues, a bank holding company is required to give the Federal Reserve prior written notice of any purchase or redemption of its outstanding equity securities if the gross consideration for the purchase or redemption, when combined with the net consideration paid for all such purchases or redemptions during the preceding 12 months, is equal to $10.0 \%$ or more of the company's consolidated net worth. The Federal Reserve may disapprove such a purchase or redemption if it determines that the proposal would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice or would violate any law, regulation or regulatory order, condition, or written agreement.

Under Washington corporate law, First Northwest Bancorp generally may not pay dividends if after that payment it would not be able to pay its liabilities as they become due in the usual course of business, or its total assets would be less than the sum of its total liabilities. These various laws and regulatory policies may affect First Northwest Bancorp's ability to pay dividends or otherwise engage in capital distributions.

Tying Arrangements. First Northwest Bancorp and First Federal are prohibited from engaging in certain tie-in arrangements in connection with any extension of credit, sale or lease of property, or furnishing of services. For example, with certain exceptions, neither First Northwest Bancorp nor First Federal may condition an extension of credit to a customer on either (1) a requirement that the customer obtain additional services provided by First Northwest Bancorp or First Federal; or (2) an agreement by the customer to refrain from obtaining other services from a competitor.

The Dodd-Frank Act. The Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law in July 2010 and imposes restrictions and an expanded framework of regulatory oversight for financial institutions, including depository institutions, and required new capital regulations that are discussed above under "- Regulation of First Federal - Capital Regulations." In addition, among other changes, the Dodd-Frank Act requires public companies, like First Northwest Bancorp, to (i) provide their shareholders with a non-binding vote (a) at least once every three years on the compensation paid to executive officers and (b) at least once every six years on whether they should have a "say on pay" vote every one, two or three years; (ii) have a separate, non-binding shareholder vote regarding golden parachutes for named executive officers when a shareholder vote takes place on mergers, acquisitions, dispositions or other transactions that would trigger the parachute payments; and (iii) provide disclosure in annual proxy materials concerning the relationship between the executive compensation paid and the financial performance of the issuer. In August 2015, the SEC adopted a rule mandated by the Dodd-Frank Act that requires a public company to disclose the ratio of the Chief Executive Officer's annual total compensation to the median annual total compensation of all other employees. The rule is intended to provide shareholders with information that they can use to evaluate a Chief Executive Officer's compensation.

Federal Securities Law. The stock of First Northwest Bancorp is registered with the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. As a result, First Northwest Bancorp is subject to the information, proxy solicitation, insider trading restrictions and other requirements under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

First Northwest Bancorp stock held by persons who are affiliates of First Northwest Bancorp may not be resold without registration unless sold in accordance with certain resale restrictions. Affiliates are generally considered to be officers, directors and principal shareholders. If First Northwest Bancorp meets specified current public information requirements, each affiliate of First Northwest Bancorp will be able to sell in the public market, without registration, a limited number of shares in any three-month period.

The SEC has adopted regulations and policies under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 that apply to First Northwest Bancorp as a registered company under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The stated goals of these Sarbanes-Oxley requirements are to increase corporate responsibility, provide for enhanced penalties for accounting and auditing improprieties at publicly traded companies and to protect investors by improving the accuracy and reliability of corporate disclosures pursuant to the securities laws. The SEC and Sarbanes-Oxley-related regulations and policies include very specific additional disclosure requirements and new corporate governance rules. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act represents significant federal involvement in matters traditionally left to state regulatory systems, such as the regulation of the accounting profession, and to state corporate law, such as the relationship between a board of directors and management and between a board of directors and its committees.

Recent and Proposed Legislation. The economic and political environment of the past several years has led to a number of proposed legislative, governmental, and regulatory initiatives that may significantly impact the banking industry. Other regulatory initiatives by federal and state agencies may also significantly impact First Northwest Bancorp's and First Federal's business. First Northwest Bancorp and First Federal cannot predict whether these or any other proposals will be enacted or the ultimate impact of any such initiatives on its operations, competitive situation, financial conditions, or results of operations. While recent history has demonstrated that new legislation or changes to existing laws or regulations typically result in a greater compliance burden (and therefore increase the general costs of doing business), the current administration has expressed an attempt to reduce these regulatory burdens. For instance, in May 2018, President Trump signed into law the Economic Growth, Regulatory Relief, and Consumer Protection Act (the "Crapo Bill"), which is bipartisan legislation that rolls back certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act to provide regulatory relief to certain financial institutions.

Effects of Federal Government Monetary Policy. First Northwest Bancorp's earnings and growth are affected not only by general economic conditions, but also by the fiscal and monetary policies of the federal government, particularly the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve implements national monetary policy to promote maximum employment, stable prices, and moderate long-term interest rates. Through its open market operations in U.S. government securities, control of the discount rate applicable to borrowings, establishment of reserve requirements against certain deposits, and control of the interest rate applicable to excess reserve balances and reverse repurchase agreements, the Federal Reserve influences the availability and cost of money and credit and, ultimately, a range of economic variables including employment, output, and the prices of goods and services. The nature and impact of future changes in monetary policies and their impact on First Northwest Bancorp and First Federal cannot be predicted with certainty.

## Taxation

## Federal Taxation

General. First Northwest Bancorp and First Federal are subject to federal income taxation in the same general manner as other corporations, with some exceptions discussed below. The following discussion of federal taxation is intended only to summarize certain pertinent federal income tax matters and is not a comprehensive description of the tax rules applicable to First Northwest Bancorp or First Federal. First Federal is no longer subject to U.S. federal income tax examinations by tax authorities for years ended before June 30, 2015. See Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data," of this Form 10-K.

First Northwest Bancorp will file a consolidated federal income tax return with First Federal. Accordingly, any cash distributions made by First Northwest Bancorp to its shareholders would be considered to be taxable dividends and not as a non-taxable return of capital to shareholders for federal and state tax purposes.

Method of Accounting. For federal income tax purposes, First Federal currently reports its income and expenses on the accrual method of accounting. Beginning with the six months ended December 31, 2017, federal income tax returns are filed using a December 31 year end. Prior periods, through June 30, 2017, used a fiscal year ending on June 30 for filing its federal income tax return.

Corporate Dividends-Received Deduction. First Northwest Bancorp may eliminate from its income dividends received from First Federal as a wholly owned subsidiary of First Northwest Bancorp if it elects to file a consolidated return with First Federal. The corporate dividends-received deduction is $100 \%$, or $65 \%$, in the case of dividends received from corporations with which a corporate recipient does not file a consolidated tax return, depending on the level of stock ownership of the payor of the dividend. Corporations which own less than $20 \%$ of the stock of a corporation distributing a dividend may deduct $50 \%$ of dividends received or accrued on their behalf.

Charitable Contribution Carryovers. The Company may carryforward charitable contributions to the succeeding five taxable years. The utilization of the charitable contribution carryforward may not exceed $10 \%$ of taxable income as defined by the federal taxation laws. At December 31, 2018, the Company had a charitable contribution carryforward for federal income tax purposes of $\$ 7.2$ million. This carryforward was generated from the Company's creation of the First Federal Community Foundation to which it contributed 933,360 shares of its common stock and $\$ 400,000$ in cash in connection with the mutual to stock conversion. Management does not fully expect to utilize the benefit over the five year carryforward period and has recorded a reserve on the portion of the related deferred tax asset estimated to expire unused.

## Washington Taxation

First Federal is subject to a business and occupation tax imposed under Washington law at the rate of $1.5 \%$ of gross receipts. Interest received on loans secured by mortgages or deeds of trust on residential properties and certain investment securities are exempt from this tax.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors.

## Our increased emphasis on commercial real estate lending subjects us to various risks that could adversely impact our results of operations and financial condition.

We have increased the amount of our commercial real estate and multi-family loans to $\$ 335.6$ million, or $38.6 \%$ of our total loan portfolio, at December 31, 2018, from $\$ 173.1$ million, or $34.3 \%$, of our total loan portfolio at June 30, 2014. We intend to continue to increase, subject to market demand, our origination and purchase of commercial real estate loans.

Our increased focus on this type of lending has increased our risk profile. Commercial real estate loans are intended to enhance the average yield of our earning assets; however, they do involve a different level of risk of delinquency or collection than one- to four-family loans. The repayment of commercial real estate loans typically is dependent on the successful operation and income stream of the borrowers' business, or the ability to lease the property at sufficient rates, and the value of the real estate securing the loan as collateral, which can be significantly affected by economic conditions. These loans also involve larger balances to a single borrower or groups of related borrowers. Some of our commercial borrowers have more than one loan outstanding with us. Consequently, an adverse development with respect to one loan or one credit relationship can expose us to a significantly greater risk of loss compared to an adverse development with respect to a single one- to four-family residential mortgage loan. Since commercial real estate loans generally have large balances, deterioration in the quality of commercial loans may result in the need to significantly increase our provision for loan losses and charge-offs will likely be larger on a per loan basis compared to consumer loans. As a result, deterioration of this portfolio could materially adversely affect our future earnings. Collateral evaluation and financial statement analysis in these types of loans also requires a more detailed analysis at the time of loan underwriting and on an ongoing basis. Finally, if we foreclose on a commercial real estate loan, our holding period for the collateral is typically longer than for a one- to four-family residence because the market for most types of commercial real estate is not readily liquid, which results in less opportunity to mitigate credit risk by selling part or all of our interest in these assets. At December 31, 2018, we had $\$ 133,000$ of nonperforming commercial real estate loans and no nonperforming multi-family loans in our portfolio.

As an institution's concentration in commercial real estate lending increases, it becomes subject to more scrutiny by the FDIC under its policies applicable to management of its portfolio of commercial loans, considering the risk management, Board of Directors and management oversight, portfolio management, management information systems, credit underwriting standards, portfolio stress testing and sensitivity analysis, and credit risk review function applied to the commercial loan portfolio, as well as the institution's capital adequacy.

The significant growth in our loan portfolio and our rapid expansion into new markets may increase our credit risk.

Since the completion of our initial public offering in January 2015, we have grown substantially in terms of total assets, total loans, total deposits, employees, and locations, expanding our business activities throughout the Puget Sound region. We have significantly increased the amount of loans located outside of the counties where we have branch locations from $\$ 144.5$ million, or $29.3 \%$ of our total loan portfolio, at June 30, 2014, to $\$ 436.2$ million, or $50.2 \%$ of our total loan portfolio, at December 31, 2018, which includes $\$ 34.3$ million of purchased one- to fourfamily loans secured by properties located primarily in California and Ohio. In addition, our commercial loan portfolio, which includes loans secured by commercial and multi-family real estate as well as business assets, has increased to $\$ 354.5$ million, or $40.8 \%$ of total loans, at December 31, 2018, from $\$ 190.7$ million, or $35.1 \%$ of total loans, at June 30, 2014. Included in our commercial loan portfolio at December 31, 2018, were $\$ 30.3$ million of additional loans purchased and loan participations. Rapidly growing loan portfolios are, by their nature, less seasoned, meaning they were originated recently. Combined with the geographic expansion of our lending area, our experience with these loans may not provide us with a significant payment history pattern making estimating loan loss allowances more difficult, and more susceptible to changes in estimates, and to losses exceeding estimates, than our more seasoned portfolio of loans in our traditional lending area. Further, First Federal has not experienced a downturn in economic conditions with these loans. As a result, it is difficult to predict the future performance of these parts of our loan portfolio. These loans may develop delinquency or charge-off levels above our historical experience, which could adversely affect our future performance.

We plan to continue both strategic and opportunistic growth, understanding that we may see a slowing of growth as we mature and manage capital down to more efficient levels. Continued growth can present substantial demands on management personnel, line employees, and other aspects of our operations, especially if our growth occurs rapidly. We may face difficulties in managing that growth effectively, which could damage our reputation, limit our growth, and negatively affect our operating results. Also see "Our branching strategy will cause our expenses to increase and may negatively affect our earnings."

## We have a concentration of large loans outstanding to a limited number of borrowers that increases our risk

 of loss.First Federal has extended significant amounts of credit to a limited number of borrowers, largely in connection with high-end residential real estate and commercial and multi-family real estate loans. At December 31, 2018, the aggregate amount of loans, including unused commitments, to First Federal's five largest borrowers (including related entities) amounted to approximately $\$ 76.7$ million. Outstanding loan balances for the ten largest borrowing relationships at December 31, 2018 totaled $\$ 105.4$ million, or $12.1 \%$ of total loans. At such date, none of the loans to First Federal's 20 largest borrowers were nonperforming loans.

Concentration of credit to a limited number of borrowers increases the risk in First Federal's loan portfolio. In the event that one or more of these borrowers is not able to service the contractual repayment, the potential loss to First Federal is more likely to have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

## Our construction and land loans are based upon estimates of costs and the value of the completed project.

During the year ended December 31, 2018, our construction and land loans decreased $\$ 17.0$ million, or $23.9 \%$, to $\$ 54.1$ million, or $6.2 \%$, of the total loan portfolio at December 31, 2018 and consisted of properties secured by one- to four-family residential of $\$ 17.3$ million, multi-family of $\$ 17.3$ million, commercial real estate of $\$ 11.0$ million, and land of $\$ 8.4$ million. Land loans include raw land and land acquisition and development loans.

Construction and land development lending generally involves additional risks when compared with permanent residential lending because funds are advanced upon estimates of costs in relation to values associated with the completed project that will produce a future value at completion. Because of the uncertainties inherent in estimating construction costs, the market value of the completed project, the effects of governmental regulation on real property, and changes in demand, it is relatively difficult to evaluate accurately the total funds required to complete a project and the completed project loan-to-value ratio, which may cause actual results to vary significantly from those estimated. For these reasons, this type of lending also typically involves higher loan principal amounts and is often concentrated with a small number of builders. A downturn in housing, or the real estate market, could increase loan delinquencies, defaults and foreclosures, and significantly impair the value of our collateral and our ability to sell the collateral upon foreclosure. Some of our builders have more than one loan
outstanding with us, and an adverse development with respect to one loan or one credit relationship can expose us to a significantly greater risk of loss.

In addition, during the term of most of our construction loans, no payment from the borrower is required since the accumulated interest is added to the principal of the loan through an interest reserve. As a result, these loans often involve the disbursement of funds with repayment substantially dependent on the successful outcome of the project and the ability of the borrower to sell or lease the property or obtain permanent take-out financing, rather than the ability of the borrower or guarantor to repay principal and interest. If our appraisal of the value of a completed project proves to be overstated, we may have inadequate security for the repayment of the loan upon completion of construction of the project and may incur a loss. Because construction loans require active monitoring of the building process, including cost comparisons and on-site inspections, these loans are more difficult and costly to monitor. Increases in market rates of interest may have a more pronounced effect on construction loans by rapidly increasing the end-purchasers' borrowing costs, thereby reducing the overall demand for the project. Properties under construction are often difficult to sell and typically must be completed in order to be successfully sold which also complicates the process of working out problem construction loans. This may require us to advance additional funds and/or contract with another builder to complete construction and assume the market risk of selling the project at a future market price, which may or may not enable us to fully recover unpaid loan funds and associated construction and liquidation costs. Furthermore, in the case of speculative construction loans, there is the added risk associated with identifying an end-purchaser for the finished project. At December 31, 2018, $\$ 2.0$ million of our construction and land loans were for speculative construction.

## We occasionally purchase loans in bulk or "pools." We may experience lower yields or losses on loan "pools" because the assumptions we use when purchasing loans in bulk may not prove correct.

In order to achieve our loan growth objectives and/or improve earnings, we may purchase loans, either individually, through participations, or in bulk. When we determine the purchase price we are willing to pay to purchase loans in bulk, management makes certain assumptions about, among other things, how fast borrowers will prepay their loans, the real estate market, our ability to collect loans successfully and, if necessary, our ability to dispose of any real estate that may be acquired through foreclosure. When we purchase loans in bulk, we perform certain due diligence procedures and typically require customary limited indemnities. To the extent that our underlying assumptions prove to be inaccurate or the basis for those assumptions change, the purchase price paid for "pools" of loans may prove to have been excessive, resulting in a lower yield or a loss of some or all of the loan principal. Our success in growing through purchases of loan "pools" depends on our ability to price loan "pools" properly and on the general economic conditions within the geographic areas where the underlying properties of our loans are located.

For loans purchased outside of the state of Washington where management may not have substantial prior experience, the Bank typically relies on the seller or its assignee to service these loans. We may be exposed to greater risk of loss due to the inability of the Bank to directly negotiate with a delinquent borrower to recover principal and interest due in the event of default.

## Adverse economic conditions in the market areas we serve could adversely impact our earnings and could increase the credit risk associated with our loan portfolio.

Substantially all of our loans are to businesses and individuals in the state of Washington. An economic decline could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, and prospects. Weakness in the global economy has adversely affected many businesses operating in our markets that are dependent upon international trade and it is not known how the withdrawal by the United States from the TransPacific Partnership trade agreement or the current trade dispute with China may also affect these businesses.

While real estate values and unemployment rates have recently improved, deterioration in economic conditions in the market areas we serve, in particular the North Olympic Peninsula and Puget Sound area of Washington State, could result in the following consequences, any of which could have a materially adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations:

- loan delinquencies, problem assets and foreclosures may increase;
- demand for our products and services may decline, possibly resulting in a decrease in our total loans or assets;
- collateral for loans made may decline further in value, exposing us to increased risk of loss on existing loans and reducing customers' borrowing power;
- the net worth and liquidity of loan guarantors may decline, impairing their ability to honor commitments to us; and
- the amount of our deposits may decrease and the composition of our deposits may be adversely affected.

A decline in local economic conditions may have a greater effect on our earnings and capital than on the earnings and capital of larger financial institutions whose real estate loan portfolios are geographically diverse. If we are required to liquidate a significant amount of collateral during a period of reduced real estate values, our financial condition and profitability could be adversely affected. Adverse changes in the regional and general economy could reduce our growth rate, impair our ability to collect loans, and generally have a negative effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

## Our branching strategy will cause our expenses to increase and may negatively affect our earnings.

Over the past five years, we have opened three new full-service branches in Silverdale and Bellingham, Washington, an HLC in Seattle, Washington, and another full-service branch on Bainbridge Island, Washington. We may continue to open or purchase new branches and HLCs, and the success of our expansion strategy into new markets is contingent upon numerous factors, such as our ability to select suitable locations, assess each market's competitive environment, secure managerial resources, hire and retain qualified personnel and implement effective marketing strategies. The opening of new offices may not increase the volume of our loans and deposits as quickly or to the degree that we hope, and opening new offices will increase our operating expenses. On average, de novo branches do not become profitable until three to four years after opening. We currently expect to lease rather than own additional de novo branches and HLCs, and projected time lines and estimated dollar amounts involved in opening new offices could differ significantly from actual results. In addition, we may not successfully manage the costs and implementation risks associated with our branching strategy. Accordingly, any new branch or HLC may negatively impact our earnings for some period of time until the office reaches certain economies of scale, and there is a risk that our new offices will not be successful even after they have been established.

## Our business may be adversely affected by credit risk associated with residential property.

At December 31, 2018, $\$ 373.8$ million, or $43.0 \%$ of our total loan portfolio, consisted of one- to fourfamily mortgage loans and home equity loans secured by residential properties, including $\$ 27.7$ million or $3.2 \%$ of our total loan portfolio secured by residential properties located in California and Ohio. Lending on residential property is generally sensitive to regional and local economic conditions that significantly impact the ability of borrowers to meet their loan payment obligations, making loss levels difficult to predict. Declines in residential real estate values securing these types of loans may increase the level of borrower defaults and losses above the recent charge-off experience on these loans. Jumbo one- to four-family residential loans which do not conform to secondary market mortgage requirements for our market areas would not be immediately saleable to Freddie Mac and may expose us to increased risk because of their larger balances. Further, a significant amount of our home equity lines of credit consist of second mortgage loans. For those home equity lines secured by a second mortgage, it is unlikely that we will be successful in recovering all or a portion of our loan balances in the event of default unless we are prepared to repay the first mortgage loan and such repayment and the costs associated with a foreclosure are justified by the value of the property. For these reasons we may experience higher rates of delinquencies, default and losses on loans secured by junior liens.

## Our non-owner-occupied residential real estate loans may expose us to increased credit risk.

At December 31, 2018, $\$ 20.8$ million, or $2.4 \%$ of our total loan portfolio, was secured by non-owneroccupied residential properties consisting of one- to four-family and home equity loans. Loans secured by non-owner-occupied properties generally expose a lender to greater risk of nonpayment and loss than loans secured by owner-occupied properties because repayment of such loans depends primarily on the tenant's continuing ability to pay rent to the property owner, who is our borrower, or, if the property owner is unable to find a tenant, the property owner's ability to repay the loan without the benefit of a rental income stream. In addition, the physical condition of non-owner-occupied properties is often below that of owner-occupied properties due to lax property maintenance standards, which has a negative impact on the value of the collateral properties. Furthermore, some of our non-owner-occupied residential loan borrowers have more than one loan outstanding with us, which may expose us to a greater risk of loss compared to an adverse development with respect to an owner-occupied residential mortgage loan.

## Repayment of our commercial business loans is often dependent on the cash flows of the borrower, which may be unpredictable, and the collateral securing these loans may fluctuate in value.

At December 31, 2018, we had $\$ 18.9$ million, or $2.2 \%$ of total loans, in commercial business loans. Commercial business lending involves risks that are different from those associated with residential and commercial real estate lending. Real estate lending is generally considered to be collateral based lending with loan amounts based on predetermined loan to collateral values, with liquidation of the underlying real estate collateral being viewed as the primary source of repayment in the event of borrower default. Our commercial business loans are primarily made based on the cash flow of the borrower and secondarily on the underlying collateral provided by the borrower. The borrowers' cash flow may be unpredictable, and collateral securing these loans may fluctuate in value. Although commercial business loans are often collateralized by equipment, inventory, accounts receivable, or other business assets, the liquidation of collateral in the event of default is often an insufficient source of repayment because accounts receivable may be uncollectible and inventories may be obsolete or of limited use, among other things.

## A portion of our loan portfolio is serviced by third parties, which may limit our ability to foreclose on such loans.

At December 31, 2018, $\$ 44.2$ million of our one- to four-family and $\$ 7.4$ million of our commercial real estate loan portfolios were serviced by third parties. When a loan goes into default, it is the responsibility of the third-party servicer to enforce the borrower's obligation to repay the outstanding indebtedness. We are reliant on the servicer to bring the loan current, enter into a satisfactory loan modification or foreclose on the property on behalf of First Federal. We must comply with any loan modification entered into by the servicer even if we would not otherwise agree to the modified terms, which may result in a reduction in our interest income due to the loan modification. Delays in foreclosing on property, whether caused by restrictions under state or federal law or the failure of a third- party servicer to timely pursue foreclosure action, can increase our potential loss on such property, due to factors such as lack of maintenance, unpaid property taxes and adverse changes in market conditions. These delays may adversely affect our ability to limit our credit losses.

## Our lending limit may restrict our growth.

Washington law provides that Washington chartered savings banks, such as First Federal, are subject to the same loans to one borrower restrictions as Washington chartered commercial banks, which generally restrict total loans and extensions of credit by a bank to $20 \%$ of its unimpaired capital and surplus. As a result, under Washington law, First Federal would be limited to loans to one borrower of $\$ 30.4$ million at December 31, 2018. Under its current policy, First Federal has elected to restrict its loans to one borrower to no more than $20 \%$ of its unimpaired capital plus surplus or $\$ 18.0$ million, whichever is less, unless specifically approved by the Board of Directors' Loan/Asset Quality Committee as an exception to policy. At December 31, 2018, 20\% of First Federal's unimpaired capital was $\$ 24.6$ million, and under this policy, our loans to one borrower limit would have been $\$ 18.0$ million. This amount is significantly less than that of many of our competitors and may discourage potential commercial borrowers who have credit needs in excess of our loans to one borrower lending limit from doing business with us. Our loans to one borrower restriction also impacts the efficiency of our commercial lending operation because it lowers our average loan size, which means we have to generate a higher number of transactions to achieve the same portfolio volume. We can accommodate larger loans by selling participations in those loans to other financial partners, but this strategy is not the most efficient or always available. We may not be able to attract or maintain clients seeking larger loans or may not be able to sell participations in these loans on terms we consider favorable.

## Our allowance for loan losses may prove to be insufficient to absorb losses in our loan portfolio.

We make various assumptions and judgments about the collectability of our loan portfolio, including the creditworthiness of our borrowers and the value of the real estate and other assets serving as collateral for the repayment of many of our loans. In determining the amount of the allowance for loan losses, we review our loans and our loss and delinquency experience, and we evaluate economic conditions. If our assumptions are incorrect, our allowance for loan losses may not be sufficient to cover probable incurred losses in our loan portfolio, resulting in additions to our allowance for loan losses through the provision for losses on loans which is charged against income.

Additionally, pursuant to our growth strategy, management recognizes that significant new loan growth, new loan products, and the refinancing of existing loans, resulting in portfolios comprised of unseasoned loans that may not perform in a historical or projected manner, may increase the risk that our allowance may be insufficient to absorb losses without significant additional provisions. Material additions to our allowance could materially
decrease our net income. In addition, bank regulatory agencies periodically review our allowance for loan losses and may require an increase in the provision for possible loan losses or the recognition of further loan charge-offs, based on judgments different than those of management. In addition, if charge-offs in future periods exceed the allowance for loan losses we will need additional provisions to replenish the allowance for loan losses. Any additional provisions will result in a decrease in net income and possibly capital, and may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, the Financial Accounting Standards Board has adopted new accounting standard update ("ASU") 2016-13 that will be effective for our first fiscal year after December 15, 2019. This standard, referred to as Current Expected Credit Loss, or CECL, will require financial institutions to determine periodic estimates of lifetime expected credit losses on loans, and recognize the expected credit losses as allowances for credit losses. This will change the current method of providing allowances for credit losses that are probable, which may require us to increase our allowance for loan losses, and may greatly increase the types of data we would need to collect and review to determine the appropriate level of the allowance for credit losses. For more on this ASU, see Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements contained in Item 8 of this report.

## If our nonperforming assets increase, our earnings will be adversely affected.

At December 31, 2018, our nonperforming assets, which consist of nonaccruing loans, real estate owned and repossessed assets were $\$ 1.8$ million, or $0.1 \%$ of total assets. Our nonperforming assets adversely affect our net income in various ways:

- we record interest income on a cash basis only for nonaccrual loans and any nonperforming investment securities and we do not record interest income for real estate owned;
- we must provide for probable loan losses through a current period charge to the provision for loan losses;
- noninterest expense increases when we write down the value of properties in our real estate owned portfolio to reflect changing market values or recognize other-than-temporary impairment on nonperforming investment securities;
- there are legal fees associated with the resolution of problem assets, as well as carrying costs, such as taxes, insurance, and maintenance fees related to our real estate owned; and
- the resolution of nonperforming assets requires the active involvement of management, which can distract them from more profitable activity.

If additional borrowers become delinquent and do not pay their loans and we are unable to successfully manage our nonperforming assets, our losses and troubled assets could increase significantly, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

## Our securities portfolio may be negatively impacted by fluctuations in market value and interest rates.

Factors beyond our control can significantly influence the fair value of securities in our portfolio and can cause potential adverse changes to the fair value of these securities. These factors include, but are not limited to, ratings agency actions, defaults or other adverse events affecting the issuer or the underlying collateral, if any, of the security, changes in market interest rates, and continued instability in the capital markets. These factors, among others, could cause OTTI, realized and/or unrealized losses in future periods, and declines in other comprehensive income, which could materially affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations. Determining OTTI requires complex, subjective judgments about the future financial performance and liquidity of the security's issuer and underlying collateral, if any, to assess the probability of receiving all contractual principal and interest payments due, and these estimates may differ significantly from actual future performance of the security.

## If our real estate owned is not properly valued or declines further in value, our earnings could be reduced.

We obtain updated valuations in the form of appraisals and tax assessed values when a loan has been foreclosed and the property taken in as real estate owned and at certain other times during the asset's holding period. Our net book value of the loan at the time of foreclosure and thereafter is compared to the updated market value of the foreclosed property less estimated selling costs (fair value). A charge-off is recorded for any excess in the asset's net book value over its fair value. If our valuation process is incorrect, or if property values decline, the fair value of our real estate owned may not be sufficient to recover our carrying value in such assets, resulting in the need for additional charge-offs. In addition, bank regulators periodically review our real estate owned and may require us to
recognize further charge-offs. Significant charge-offs to our real estate owned could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

## Conditions in the financial markets may limit our access to additional funding to meet our liquidity needs which could adversely affect our earnings and capital levels.

Liquidity is essential to our business. We rely on a number of different sources in order to meet our potential liquidity demands. We require sufficient liquidity to meet customer loan requests, customer deposit maturities and withdrawals, payments on our debt obligations as they come due and other cash commitments under both normal operating conditions and other unpredictable circumstances, including events causing industry or general financial market stress. A tightening of the credit markets and the inability to obtain adequate funding may negatively affect our liquidity, asset growth and, consequently, our earnings capability and capital levels. In addition to any deposit growth, and the sale of loans or investment securities, maturity of investment securities and loan payments, we rely from time to time on advances from the FHLB, and certain other wholesale funding sources to meet liquidity demands. Our liquidity position could be significantly constrained if we were unable to access funds from the FHLB or other wholesale funding sources. Factors that could detrimentally impact our access to liquidity sources include a decrease in the level of our business activity as a result of a downturn in the markets in which our loans are concentrated, negative operating results, or adverse regulatory action against us. Our ability to borrow could also be impaired by factors that are not specific to us, such as a disruption in the financial markets or negative views and expectations about the prospects for the financial services industry or deterioration in credit markets. Any decline in available funding could adversely impact our ability to originate loans, invest in securities, meet our expenses, or fulfill obligations such as repaying our borrowings or meeting deposit withdrawal demands, any of which could, in turn, have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Additionally, collateralized public funds are bank deposits of state and local municipalities. These deposits are required to be secured by certain investment grade securities to ensure repayment, which on the one hand tends to reduce our contingent liquidity risk by making these funds somewhat less credit sensitive, but on the other hand reduces standby liquidity by restricting the potential liquidity of the pledged collateral. Although these funds historically have been a relatively stable source of funds for us, availability depends on the individual municipality's fiscal policies and cash flow needs.

## We are subject to interest rate risk.

Our earnings and cash flows are largely dependent upon our net interest income. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond our control, including general economic conditions and policies of various governmental and regulatory agencies, particularly the Federal Reserve. In an attempt to help the overall economy, the Federal Reserve Board has kept interest rates low through its targeted Fed Funds rate. Beginning in December 2015, the Federal Reserve Board has increased the Fed Funds rate by 225 basis points and indicated a likelihood for further increases during 2019 subject to economic conditions. As the Federal Reserve Board increases the Fed Funds rate, overall interest rates will likely rise, which may negatively impact housing markets by reducing refinancing activity and new home purchases and the U.S. economic recovery. Changes in monetary policy, including changes in interest rates, could influence not only the interest we receive on loans and investments and the amount of interest we pay on deposits and borrowings, but these changes could also affect (i) our ability to originate and/or sell loans (ii) the fair value of our financial assets and liabilities, which could negatively impact shareholders' equity, and our ability to realize gains from sales of such assets; (iii) our ability to obtain and retain deposits in competition with other available investment alternatives; (iv) the ability of our borrowers to repay adjustable or variable rate loans; and (v) the average duration of our mortgage-backed securities portfolio and other interest-earning assets. If the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings increase at a faster rate than the interest rates received on loans and other investments, our net interest income, and therefore earnings, could be adversely affected. Earnings could also be adversely affected if the interest rates received on loans and other investments fall more quickly than the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings.

Changes in interest rates could also have a negative impact on our results of operations by reducing the ability of borrowers to repay their current loan obligations or by reducing our margins and profitability. Our net interest margin is the difference between the yield we earn on our assets and the interest rate we pay for deposits and our other sources of funding. Changes in interest rates-up or down-could adversely affect our net interest margin and, as a result, our net interest income. Although the yield we earn on our assets and our funding costs tend to move in the same direction in response to changes in interest rates, one can rise or fall faster than the other, causing our net interest margin to expand or contract. Our liabilities tend to be shorter in duration than our assets, so they may adjust faster in response to changes in interest rates. As a result, when interest rates rise, our funding costs may rise faster
than the yield we earn on our assets, causing our net interest margin to contract until the yields on interest-earning assets catch up. Changes in the slope of the "yield curve", or the spread between short-term and long-term interest rates-could also reduce our net interest margin. Normally, the yield curve is upward sloping, meaning short-term rates are lower than long-term rates. Because our liabilities tend to be shorter in duration than our assets, when the yield curve flattens or even inverts, we could experience pressure on our net interest margin as our cost of funds increases relative to the yield we can earn on our assets. Also, interest rate decreases can lead to increased prepayments of loans and mortgage-backed securities as borrowers refinance their loans to reduce borrowing costs. Under these circumstances, we are subject to reinvestment risk as we may have to redeploy such repayment proceeds into lower yielding investments, which would likely hurt our income.

A sustained increase in market interest rates could adversely affect our earnings. As a result of the exceptionally low interest rate environment, an increasing percentage of our deposits have been comprised of deposits bearing no or a relatively low rate of interest and having a shorter duration than our assets. We would incur a higher cost of funds to retain these deposits in a rising interest rate environment. If the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings increase at a faster rate than the interest rates received on loans and other investments, our net interest income, and therefore earnings, could be adversely affected.

Changes in interest rates also affect the value of our interest-earning assets, including our securities portfolio. Generally, the fair value of fixed-rate securities fluctuates inversely with changes in interest rates. Unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale are reported as a separate component of equity, net of tax. Decreases in the fair value of securities available for sale resulting from increases in interest rates could have an adverse effect on shareholders' equity.

Although management believes it has implemented effective asset and liability management strategies to reduce the potential effects of changes in interest rates on our results of operations, any substantial, unexpected or prolonged change in market interest rates could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Also, our interest rate risk modeling techniques and assumptions likely may not fully predict or capture the impact of actual interest rate changes on our balance sheet. See Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Asset and Liability Management and Market Risk," of this Form 10-K.

Changes in the method of determining the LIBOR or other reference rates may adversely impact the value of loans receivable and other financial instruments we hold that are linked to LIBOR or other reference rates in ways that are difficult to predict and could adversely impact our financial condition or results of operations.

In recent years, concerns have been raised about the accuracy of the calculation of LIBOR. Aspects of the method for determining how LIBOR is formulated and its use in the market have changed and may continue to change. Recent changes to LIBOR administration have included the introduction of statutory regulation of LIBOR by U.K. regulatory authorities; reducing the currencies for which LIBOR is calculated to five; reducing the tenors for which LIBOR is calculated to seven; delaying the publication of individual banks' LIBOR submissions for three months from submission; requiring banks to provide LIBOR submissions based on an effective methodology on the basis of relevant criteria and information, including observable market transactions where possible; and during July 2017, the Financial Conduct Authority, the financial regulatory body in the United Kingdom which oversees the LIBOR benchmark rate, announced that LIBOR will be replaced at the end of 2021 and that they will work towards developing an alternative benchmark. Each such change and any future changes could impact the availability and volatility of LIBOR. Similar changes have occurred or may occur with respect to other reference rates. It is not currently possible to determine whether, or to what extent, any such changes would impact the value of any loans, and other financial obligations or extensions of credit we hold or that are due to us, that are linked to LIBOR or other reference rates, or whether, or to what extent, such changes would impact our financial condition or results of operations.

## Decreased volumes and lower gains on sales of loans could adversely impact our noninterest income.

We originate and sell one- to four-family mortgage loans. Our mortgage banking income is a significant portion of our noninterest income. We generate gains on the sale of one- to four-family mortgage loans pursuant to programs currently offered by Freddie Mac and other secondary market purchasers. Any future changes in their purchase programs, our eligibility to participate in such programs, the criteria for loans to be accepted or laws that significantly affect the activity of such entities could, in turn, materially adversely affect our results of operations.

Further, in a rising or higher interest rate environment, our originations of mortgage loans may decrease, resulting in fewer loans that are available to be sold to investors. This would result in a decrease in mortgage banking revenues and a corresponding decrease in noninterest income. In addition, our results of operations are affected by the amount of noninterest expense associated with mortgage banking activities, such as salaries and employee benefits, occupancy, equipment and data processing expense and other operating costs. During periods of reduced loan demand, our results of operations may be adversely affected to the extent that we are unable to reduce expenses commensurate with the decline in loan originations. In addition, although we sell loans into the secondary market without recourse, we are required to give customary representations and warranties about the loans to the buyers. If we breach those representations and warranties, the buyers may require us to repurchase the loans and we may incur a loss on the repurchase.

## We are dependent on key personnel and the loss of one or more of those key persons may materially and adversely affect our prospects.

We rely heavily on the efforts and abilities of our executive officers, and certain other key management personnel, which make up our management team. The loss of the services of any of our current management team could have a material adverse impact on our operations because we would most likely have to search outside of First Federal for qualified replacements. The ability to attract, retain and season replacements to our management team presents risks to executing our business plan. The search for new management may be prolonged as our current market area is considered remote. This characteristic may make it more difficult for us to find qualified replacements willing to relocate to a smaller community like ours. Changes in our current management team and their responsibilities may be disruptive to our business and operations and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations. While we believe that our relationship with our management team is good, we cannot guarantee that all members of our management team will remain with our organization.

## If we are unable to effectively integrate new personnel hired to carry out our business plan our business may be adversely affected.

We have recently hired a number of experienced bankers, and we expect to hire additional personnel in order to successfully implement our business plan. The difficulties in hiring and training new personnel include integrating personnel with different business backgrounds and combining different corporate cultures, while retaining other key employees. The process of integrating personnel could cause an interruption of, or loss of momentum in, our operations and the loss of customers and key personnel. In addition, we may not realize expected revenue increases and other projected benefits from the increased emphasis in these areas. Any delays or difficulties encountered in connection with integrating and growing this portion of our operations could have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations or otherwise adversely affect our ability to achieve anticipated results.

## Our consideration of whole bank or branch acquisitions may expose us to financial, execution and operational risks that could adversely affect us.

We may evaluate supplementing organic growth by acquiring other financial institutions or their businesses that we believe will help us fulfill our strategic objectives and enhance our earnings. There are risks associated with this strategy, however, including the following:

- We may be exposed to potential asset quality issues or unknown or contingent liabilities of the financial institutions, businesses, assets and liabilities we acquire. If these issues or liabilities exceed our estimates, our results of operations and financial condition may be materially negatively affected;
- Our growth initiatives may require us to recruit experienced personnel to assist in such initiatives, which will increase our compensation costs. The failure to identify, hire and retain such personnel would place significant limitations on our ability to execute our growth strategy;
- Our strategic efforts may divert resources or management's attention from ongoing business operations and may subject us to additional regulatory scrutiny;
- The acquisition of other entities generally requires integration of systems, procedures and personnel of the acquired entity into our company to make the transaction economically successful. This integration process is complicated and time consuming and can also be disruptive to the customers of the acquired business. If the integration process is not conducted successfully and with minimal effect on the acquired business and its customers, we may not realize the anticipated economic benefits of particular acquisitions within the expected time frame, and we may lose customers or employees of the acquired business. We may also experience greater than anticipated customer losses even if the integration process is successful;
- To finance a future acquisition, we may borrow funds, thereby increasing our leverage and diminishing our liquidity, or raise additional capital, which could dilute the interests of our existing shareholders; and
- We expect our income will increase following our acquisitions; however, we also expect our general and administrative expenses to increase.


## We operate in a highly competitive industry.

We face substantial competition in all areas of our operations from a variety of different competitors, many of which are larger and may have more financial resources. These competitors primarily include national, regional and Internet banks within the various markets in which we operate. We also face competition from many other types of financial institutions, including savings and loans, credit unions, mortgage banking finance companies, brokerage firms, insurance companies and other financial intermediaries. The financial services industry could become even more competitive as a result of legislative, regulatory and technological changes and continued consolidation. Also, technology has lowered barriers to entry and made it possible for nonbanks to offer products and services traditionally provided by banks, such as automatic transfer and automatic payment systems. Competitors in these nonbank sectors may have fewer regulatory constraints and may have lower cost structures. Additionally, due to their size, many competitors may be able to achieve economies of scale and, as a result, may offer a broader range of products and services as well as better pricing for those products and services than we can.

Failure to perform in any of these areas could significantly weaken our competitive position, which could adversely affect our growth and profitability and result in a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We participate in a multiple employer defined benefit pension plan for the benefit of our employees. If we were to withdraw from this plan, or if the plan sponsor requires us to make additional contributions, we could incur a substantial expense which would negatively impact our earnings.

We participate in the Pentegra Defined Benefit Plan for Financial Institutions, a multiple employer pension plan for the benefit of our employees. Effective February 1, 2006, we did not allow additional employees to participate in this plan. On January 31, 2010, we froze the future accrual of benefits under this plan with respect to participating employees. Pentegra, as sponsor of the plan, may request that we make additional contributions to the plan in excess of the contributions that we are regularly required to make, or obtain a letter of credit in favor of the plan, if our financial condition declines to the point that it triggers certain criteria contained in the plan. If we fail to make the contribution or obtain the requested letter of credit, then we may be forced to withdraw from the plan and establish a separate, single employer defined benefit plan at a substantial expense to us and that we anticipate would be underfunded to a similar extent as under the multiple employer plan.

## Non-compliance with the USA PATRIOT Act, Bank Secrecy Act, or other laws and regulations could result in fines or sanctions and limit our ability to get regulatory approval of acquisitions and new branches.

The USA PATRIOT and Bank Secrecy Acts (the "BSA") require financial institutions to develop a riskbased system of internal controls reasonably designed to prevent money laundering and the financing of terrorist activities. If such activities are detected, financial institutions are obligated to file suspicious activity reports with the U.S. Treasury's Office of Financial Crimes Enforcement Network. These rules also require financial institutions to establish procedures for identifying and verifying the identity of customers seeking to open new financial accounts. Regulators are directed to consider a bank holding company's and a bank's effectiveness in combating money laundering when reviewing and ruling on applications under the BHCA and the Bank Merger Act. Failure to comply with these regulations could result in fines or sanctions and limit our ability to get regulatory approval of acquisitions and new branch locations. Several banking institutions have received large fines for non-compliance with these laws and regulations. While we have developed policies and procedures designed to assist in compliance with these laws and regulations, no assurance can be given that these policies and procedures will be effective in preventing violations of these laws and regulations. If our policies and procedures are deemed deficient, we would be subject to liability, including fines and regulatory actions, which may include restrictions on our ability to pay dividends and the denial of regulatory approvals to proceed with certain aspects of our business plan.

Failure to maintain and implement adequate programs to combat money laundering and terrorist financing could also have serious reputational consequences for us. Any of these results could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and growth prospects.

## We operate in a highly regulated environment and may be adversely affected by changes in laws and regulations.

We are subject to extensive examination, supervision and comprehensive regulation by the Federal Reserve, the FDIC as insurer of our deposits, and by the DFI. First Northwest Bancorp is subject to regulation and supervision by the Federal Reserve (as a bank holding company) and regulation by the State of Washington (as a Washington corporation). The Bank is subject to regulation and supervision by the FDIC and the DFI. Such regulation and supervision govern the activities in which we may engage, primarily for the protection of depositors and the Deposit Insurance Fund. These regulatory authorities have extensive discretion in connection with their supervisory and enforcement activities, including the ability to impose restrictions on an institution's operations, require additional capital, reclassify assets, determine the adequacy of an institution's allowance for loan losses and determine the level of deposit insurance premiums assessed. Any future changes to the laws, rules and regulations applicable to us could make compliance more difficult and expensive, or otherwise adversely affect our business, financial condition or prospects.

We are also subject to tax, accounting, securities, insurance, monetary laws and regulations, rules, standards, policies, and interpretations that control the methods by which financial institutions conduct business. These may change significantly over time, which could materially impact our business and have a significant adverse effect on our cost of regulatory compliance and results of operations. Further, changes in accounting standards and their interpretation may materially impact how we report, potentially retroactively, our financial condition and results of operations.

Changes in federal policy and at regulatory agencies are expected to occur over time through policy and personnel changes, which could lead to changes involving the level of oversight and focus on the financial services industry. The nature, timing, and economic and political effects of potential changes to the current legal and regulatory framework affecting financial institutions remain highly uncertain. If changes to laws, rules and/or regulations applicable to us are made, such changes could offset the otherwise anticipated increase in operating and compliance costs (included in noninterest expense); however, no assurance can be given as to whether such changes will occur or what may result from such changes.

The CFPB, which was created under the Dodd-Frank Act, has issued, and continues to issue, rules related to consumer protection, including The Truth in Lending Act and the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act Integrated Disclosure (TRID), which combines certain disclosures that consumers receive in connection with applying for and closing a mortgage loan. These CFPB rules, including rules generally prohibiting creditors from extending mortgage loans without regard for the consumer's ability to repay, may adversely affect the volume of mortgage loans that we underwrite and subject us to increased potential liabilities related to such residential loan origination activities. The CFPB has adopted a number of additional requirements and issued additional guidance, including with respect to indirect auto lending, appraisals, escrow accounts and servicing, each of which may entail increased compliance costs.

## Our operations rely on numerous external vendors.

We rely on numerous external vendors to provide us with products and services necessary to maintain our day-to-day operations. Accordingly, our operations are exposed to risk that these vendors will not perform in accordance with the contracted arrangements under service level agreements. The failure of an external vendor to perform in accordance with the contracted arrangements under service level agreements because of changes in the vendor's organizational structure, financial condition, support for existing products and services or strategic focus or for any other reason, could be disruptive to our operations, which in turn could have a material negative impact on our financial condition and results of operations. We also could be adversely affected to the extent such an agreement is not renewed by the third party vendor or is renewed on terms less favorable to us.

## We are subject to certain risks in connection with our use of technology.

Our security measures may not be sufficient to mitigate the risk of a cyber-attack. Communications and information systems are essential to the conduct of our business, as we use such systems to manage our customer relationships, our general ledger and virtually all other aspects of our business. Our operations rely on the secure processing, storage, and transmission of confidential and other information in our computer systems and networks. Although we take protective measures and endeavor to modify them as circumstances warrant, the security of our computer systems, software, and networks may be vulnerable to breaches, unauthorized access, misuse, computer viruses, or other malicious code and cyber-attacks that could have a security impact. If one or more of these events
occur, this could jeopardize our or our customers' confidential and other information processed and stored in, and transmitted through, our computer systems and networks, or otherwise cause interruptions or malfunctions in our operations or the operations of our customers or counterparties. We may be required to expend significant additional resources to modify our protective measures or to investigate and remediate vulnerabilities or other exposures, and we may be subject to litigation and financial losses that are either not insured against or not fully covered through any insurance maintained by us. We could also suffer significant reputational damage.

We support the ability of our customers to transact business through multiple automated methods. As such, we may be susceptible to fraud performed through these technologies.

Security breaches in our Internet banking activities could further expose us to possible liability and damage our reputation. Any compromise of our security also could deter customers from using our Internet banking services that involve the transmission of confidential information. We rely on standard Internet security systems to provide the security and authentication necessary to effect secure transmission of data. These precautions may not protect our systems from compromises or breaches of our security measures, and could result in significant legal liability and significant damage to our reputation and our business.

Our security measures may not protect us from systems failures or interruptions. While we have established policies and procedures to prevent or limit the impact of systems failures and interruptions, there can be no assurance that such events will not occur or that they will be adequately addressed if they do. In addition, we outsource certain aspects of our data processing and other operational functions to certain third-party providers. If our third-party providers encounter difficulties, or if we have difficulty in communicating with them, our ability to adequately process and account for transactions could be affected, and our business operations could be adversely impacted. Threats to information security also exist in the processing of customer information through various other vendors and their personnel.

The occurrence of any failures or interruptions may require us to identify alternative sources of such services, and we cannot assure that we could negotiate terms that are as favorable to us, or could obtain services with similar functionality as found in our existing systems without the need to expend substantial resources, if at all. Further, the occurrence of any systems failure or interruption could damage our reputation and result in a loss of customers and business, could subject us to additional regulatory scrutiny, or could expose us to legal liability. Any of these occurrences could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

## If our enterprise risk management framework is not effective at mitigating risk and loss to us, we could suffer unexpected losses and our results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Our enterprise risk management framework seeks to achieve an appropriate balance between risk and return, which is critical to optimizing stockholder value. We have established processes and procedures intended to identify, measure, monitor, report, analyze and control the types of risk to which we are subject. These risks include liquidity risk, credit risk, market risk, interest rate risk, operational risk, legal and compliance risk, and reputational risk, among others. We also maintain a compliance program to identify, measure, assess, and report on our adherence to applicable laws, policies and procedures. While we assess and improve these programs on an ongoing basis, there can be no assurance that our risk management or compliance programs, along with other related controls, will effectively mitigate all risk and limit losses in our business. However, as with any risk management framework, there are inherent limitations to our risk management strategies as there may exist, or develop in the future, risks that we have not appropriately anticipated or identified. If our risk management framework proves ineffective, we could suffer unexpected losses and our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

## We are subject to certain risks in connection with our data management or aggregation.

We are reliant on our ability to manage data and our ability to aggregate data in an accurate and timely manner to ensure effective risk reporting and management. Our ability to manage data and aggregate data may be limited by the effectiveness of our policies, programs, processes and practices that govern how data is acquired, validated, stored, protected and processed. While we continuously update our policies, programs, processes and practices, many of our data management and aggregation processes are manual and subject to human error or system failure. Failure to manage data effectively and to aggregate data in an accurate and timely manner may limit our ability to manage current and emerging risks, as well as to manage changing business needs.

## Our business may be adversely affected by an increasing prevalence of fraud and other financial crimes.

Our loans to businesses and individuals and our deposit relationships and related transactions are subject to exposure to the risk of loss due to fraud and other financial crimes. Nationally, reported incidents of fraud and other financial crimes have increased, and while we have policies and procedures designed to prevent such losses, there can be no assurance that we will not incur such losses.

## Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

## Item 2. Properties

At December 31, 2018, we had our main administrative office and twelve additional banking locations, for a total of thirteen banking locations, with an aggregate net book value of $\$ 12.8$ million. The following table sets forth certain information concerning our offices at December 31, 2018. In the opinion of management, the facilities are adequate and suitable for our needs.
$\left.\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Location } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Full } \\ \text { Service }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Leased } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { owned }\end{array} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Lease } \\ \text { expiration } \\ \text { date }\end{array} & \end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Square } \\ \text { footage }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Net book value at } \\ \text { December 31 (1) }\end{array}\right]$

## BANKING AND OFFICE LOCATIONS

Downtown Port Angeles
141 W. First Street
Port Angeles, Washington 98362

Eastside
1603 E. First Street
Port Angeles, Washington 98362
Sixth Street
227 E. Sixth Street
Port Angeles, Washington 98362
Sequim Avenue
X Owned
333 N. Sequim Avenue
Sequim, Washington 98382
Sequim Village Marketplace
1201 W. Washington Street
X Owned
Sequim Village Marketplace
1201 W. Washington Street
Sequim, Washington 98382
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { X } & \text { Owned } & \text {-- } & 2,159 & 315\end{array}$
131 Calawah Way
Forks, Washington 98331

Port Townsend
X Owned
Owned -- 4,637
845
1321 Sims Way
Port Townsend, Washington 98368
Bucklin Hill (2)
3035 Bucklin Hill Road
Silverdale, Washington 98383
Owned
-- $\quad 6,912$
693


Owned
-- 3,322
1,638

X Owned
-- 2,382429
own

Owned

$$
--\quad 9,376
$$

$$
1,369
$$

## Forks

, Washing 98331

| Location | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Full } \\ & \text { Service } \end{aligned}$ | Leased or owned | Lease expiration date | Square footage | Net book value at December 31 (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | (In thousands) |
| Barkley Village (3) | X | Leased | 12/31/2035 | 3,300 | 871 |

1270 Barkley Blvd
Bellingham, Washington 98226

## Fairhaven (4)

960 Harris Avenue, Suite 101
Bellingham, Washington 98225
Seattle Home Loan Center (5)
1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2601
Seattle, Washington 98101
Bainbridge Island (6)
323 NE High School Rd, Suite E-3
Bainbridge Island, Washington 98110

Bellingham Business Center (7)

| $X$ | Leased | $11 / 19 / 2027$ | 2,175 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Bellingham, Washington 98226
(1) Net book value includes investment in premises and leaseholds.
(2) The lease agreement was extended for five years beginning January 2019 with one five-year renewal option thereafter.
(3) The lease agreement is for twenty years beginning January 2015 with four five-year renewal options thereafter.
(4) The lease agreement was extended for two years beginning July 2018 with three two-year renewal options thereafter.
(5) The lease agreement is for five years beginning September 2016.
(6) The lease agreement is for ten years beginning November 2017.
(7) The lease agreement is for ten years beginning May 2018 with one five-year renewal option thereafter.

We maintain depositor and borrower customer files on an online basis, utilizing a telecommunications network, portions of which are leased. The book value of all data processing and computer equipment utilized by First Federal at December 31, 2018, was $\$ 471,000$. Management has a business continuity plan in place with respect to the data processing system, as well as First Federal's operations.

## Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The Company and First Federal are involved from time to time in various claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. There are currently no matters that, in the opinion of management, would have material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operation, or liquidity.

## Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable

## PART II

## Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market, Holder and Dividend Information. Our common stock is listed on The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC's Global Market, under the symbol "FNWB." The common stock was issued at a price of $\$ 10.00$ per share on January 29, 2015, and the Company's common stock commenced trading on The Nasdaq Global Market on January 30,2015 . As of the close of business on March 5, 2019, there were $11,040,981$ shares of common stock issued and outstanding and we had approximately 576 shareholders of record, excluding persons or entities who hold stock in nominee or "street name" accounts with brokers.

The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices of, and dividends paid on, the Company's common stock, provided by the Nasdaq Stock Market, for each quarter during the year ended December 31, 2018, the six month period ended December 31, 2017, and the year ended June 30, 2017, in which the common stock was outstanding. The Company intends to continue paying comparable cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

| Year Ended December 31, 2018 | High |  | Low |  | Cash Dividends Declared and Paid |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First Quarter | \$ | 17.09 | \$ | 16.59 | \$ | - |
| Second Quarter |  | 16.30 |  | 15.93 |  | - |
| Third Quarter |  | 15.57 |  | 15.28 |  | - |
| Fourth Quarter |  | 15.37 |  | 14.70 |  | 0.03 |

Six Months Ended December 31, 2017

| First Quarter | $\$$ | 17.28 | $\$$ | 16.30 | $\$$ | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Second Quarter |  | 16.50 | 16.20 |  | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year Ended June 30, 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First Quarter | $\$$ | 13.57 | $\$$ | 13.40 | $\$$ | - |
| Second Quarter |  | 15.70 | 15.34 | - |  |  |
| Third Quarter | 15.62 | 15.27 | - |  |  |  |
| Fourth Quarter | 16.00 | 15.75 | - |  |  |  |

Stock Repurchases. On February 4, 2016, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had authorized the repurchase of up to 523,014 shares of the Company's common stock, representing approximately $4.0 \%$ of total shares we issued in our initial stock offering and in conjunction with our transition from a mutual to stock form of ownership, to be used to fund grants of restricted stock under the Company's 2015 Equity Incentive Plan. In addition, on September 27, 2016 and September 26, 2017, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had authorized the repurchase and retirement of up to $1,300,756$ and $1,166,659$ shares of its common stock, respectively. Both announcements represented a repurchase of approximately $10.0 \%$ of total shares outstanding at the time of the announcement. The repurchase programs permit shares to be repurchased in the open market or private transactions, through block trades, and pursuant to any trading plan that may be adopted in accordance with the SEC's Rule 10b5-1. As of December 31, 2018, 523,014 shares had been repurchased at an average cost of $\$ 13.07$ per share representing all of the shares authorized for repurchase under the Company's 2015 Equity Incentive Plan. In addition, $1,162,100$ shares at an average cost of $\$ 14.41$ per share had been repurchased and retired, representing all of the shares authorized for repurchase pursuant to the September 27, 2016 stock repurchase plan, and 663,613 shares at an average cost of $\$ 16.10$ per share had been repurchased and retired pursuant to the September 26, 2017 stock repurchase plan. The following table provides information regarding repurchases of the Company's common stock during the quarter ended December 31, 2018.

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share |  | Total Number of Shares Repurchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Repurchased Under the Plan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October 1, 2018 - October 31, 2018 | 13,600 | \$ | 14.70 | 13,600 | 690,046 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { November 1, } 2018 \text { - November 30, } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | 138,600 |  | 15.41 | 138,600 | 551,446 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { December 1, } 2018 \text { - December 31, } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | 48,400 |  | 15.24 | 48,400 | 503,046 |
| Total | 200,600 | \$ | 15.32 | 200,600 |  |

Equity Compensation Plan Information. The equity compensation plan information presented under subparagraph (d) in Part III, Item 12 of this report is incorporated herein by reference.

Performance Graph. Our shares of common stock began trading on the Nasdaq Stock Market LLC's Global Market on January 30, 2015. Accordingly, no comparative stock performance information is available for periods ending prior to this date. The following performance graph compares the Company's cumulative total shareholder return on the Company's Common Stock since the beginning of trading on January 30, 2015, with the cumulative total return on the NASDAQ Composite Index and a peer group of the SNL Thrift Index for all periods indicated. Total return assumes the reinvestment of all dividends and that the value of Common Stock and each index was $\$ 100$ on January 30, 2015, and is the base amount used in the graph. The closing price of First Northwest Bancorp's common stock on December 31, 2018 was $\$ 14.83$. Historical stock price performance is not necessarily indicative of future stock price performance.


Period Ended


## Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth certain information concerning our consolidated financial position and results of operations at and for the dates indicated and have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. The information below is qualified in its entirety by the detailed information included elsewhere herein and should be read along with Item 7., "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and Item 8., "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" included in this Form 10-K.

|  | December 31, |  |  | June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2017 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |  | 2014 |  |
| Selected Financial Condition Data: | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ | 1,258,758 | \$1,215,659 | \$1,087,676 | \$1,010,102 | \$ | 936,802 | \$ | 795,292 |
| Cash and cash equivalents |  | 26,323 | 36,801 | 24,292 | 22,650 |  | 45,030 |  | 18,960 |
| Loans receivable, net ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 863,852 | 779,111 | 726,786 | 619,844 |  | 487,887 |  | 496,184 |
| Investment securities available for sale |  | 262,967 | 290,242 | 228,593 | 267,857 |  | 299,040 |  | 178,972 |
| Investment securities held to maturity |  | 43,503 | 50,126 | 51,872 | 56,038 |  | 61,524 |  | 53,244 |
| Real estate owned and repossessed assets |  | 124 | 23 | 104 | 81 |  | 1,914 |  | 810 |
| Deposits |  | 940,260 | 885,032 | 823,760 | 723,287 |  | 647,164 |  | 600,399 |
| Borrowings |  | 136,552 | 144,100 | 77,427 | 80,672 |  | 90,033 |  | 105,133 |
| Total shareholders' equity |  | 172,264 | 177,045 | 177,721 | 189,741 |  | 190,681 |  | 80,995 |


|  | Year Ended <br> December 31, 2018 |  | Six Months Ended <br> December 31, 2017 |  | Year Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 016 |  | 015 |  | 2015 |  | 2014 |
|  | (In thousands, except per share data) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Selected Operations Data: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total interest income | \$ | 45,805 |  |  | \$ | 20,286 | \$ | 36,804 | \$ | 32,172 | \$ | 27,487 | \$ | 26,559 |
| Total interest expense |  | 9,013 |  | 3,293 |  | 5,159 |  | 4,770 |  | 4,592 |  | 4,729 |
| Net interest income |  | 36,792 |  | 16,993 |  | 31,645 |  | 27,402 |  | 22,895 |  | 21,830 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 1,174 |  | 200 |  | 1,260 |  | 233 |  | 0 |  | 1,307 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses |  | 35,618 |  | 16,793 |  | 30,385 |  | 27,169 |  | 22,895 |  | 20,523 |
| Net gain on sale of loans |  | 577 |  | 499 |  | 757 |  | 234 |  | 548 |  | 762 |
| Net gain on sale of investment securities |  | 77 |  | 229 |  | - |  | 1,567 |  | - |  | 112 |
| Impairment losses on investment securities, net |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Other noninterest income |  | 5,265 |  | 2,327 |  | 5,417 |  | 4,376 |  | 4,159 |  | 4,116 |
| Total noninterest income |  | 5,919 |  | 3,055 |  | 6,174 |  | 6,177 |  | 4,707 |  | 4,990 |
| Total noninterest expense |  | 32,857 |  | 16,147 |  | 29,779 |  | 27,897 |  | 33,046 |  | 22,105 |
| Income (loss) before provision (benefit) for income taxes |  | 8,680 |  | 3,701 |  | 6,780 |  | 5,449 |  | $(5,444)$ |  | 3,408 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes |  | 1,575 |  | 2,042 |  | 1,662 |  | 1,457 |  | (354) |  | 740 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 7,105 | \$ | 1,659 | \$ | 5,118 | \$ | 3,992 | \$ | (5,090) | \$ | 2,668 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ | 0.69 | \$ | 0.16 | \$ | 0.46 | \$ | 0.33 | \$ | (0.42) | \$ | - |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ | 0.68 | \$ | 0.16 | \$ | 0.46 | \$ | 0.33 | \$ | (0.42) | \$ | - |

[^0]| At or for the Year Ended December 31, 2018 | At or for the Six Months Ended December 31, 2017 | At or For the Year Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |

## Selected Financial Ratios and

## Other Data:

## Performance ratios:

| Return (loss) on average assets ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 0.58\% |  | 0.29\% | 0.48\% | 0.41\% | (0.58)\% | 0.34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Return (loss) on average equity ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 4.09 |  | 1.86 | 2.81 | 2.09 | (3.92) | 3.33 |
| Dividend payout ratio |  | 4.71 |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| Average interest rate spread |  | 2.98 |  | 2.96 | 3.00 | 2.78 | 2.65 | 2.84 |
| Net interest margin ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 3.20 |  | 3.15 | 3.18 | 2.98 | 2.79 | 2.94 |
| Efficiency ratio ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | 76.9 |  | 80.5 | 78.7 | 83.1 | 119.7 | 82.4 |
| Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities |  | 129.3 |  | 132.1 | 134.3 | 138.0 | 125.3 | 116.4 |
| Book value per common share | \$ | 15.42 | \$ | 15.02 | \$ 14.93 | \$ 14.97 | \$14.56 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

## Asset quality ratios:

| Nonperforming assets to total assets at end of period ${ }^{(4)}$ | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.8 \% | 0.9\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonperforming loans to total loans ${ }^{(4)}$ | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans ${ }^{(5)}$ | 553.3 | 570.7 | 445.1 | 222.3 | 145.6 | 135.3 |
| Allowance for loan losses to total loans | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| Net charge-offs to average outstanding loans | - | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0.3 |

## Capital ratios:

| Equity to total assets at end of |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| period | $13.7 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ |
| Average equity to average assets | 14.3 | 15.6 | 17.3 | 19.7 | 14.9 | 10.1 |

## Other data:

| Number of full service offices ${ }^{(6)}$ | 10 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Full-time equivalent employees | 201 | 204 | 204 | 178 | 157 | 169 |

(1) Net income was annualized for the six months ended December 31, 2017.
(2) Net interest income, annualized for the six months ended December 31, 2017, divided by average interest-earning assets.
(3) Total noninterest expense as a percentage of net interest income and total other noninterest income.
(4) Nonperforming assets consists of nonperforming loans (which include nonaccruing loans and accruing loans more than 90 days past due), foreclosed real estate and repossessed assets.
(5) Nonperforming loans consists of nonaccruing loans and accruing loans more than 90 days past due.
(6) Effective July 1, 2015, our branch in Poulsbo was closed and all accounts were moved to the new location in Silverdale.

## Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

## General

First Northwest is a bank holding company which primarily engages in the business activity of its subsidiary, First Federal. First Federal is a community-oriented financial institution serving Clallam, Jefferson, Kitsap, Whatcom, and King counties in Washington, through its thirteen banking locations. We offer a wide range of
products and services focused on the lending and depository needs of the communities we serve. While we have a large concentration of first lien one- to four-family mortgage loans, we have increased our origination of commercial real estate, multi-family real estate, and construction loans, and have increased our indirect auto lending and purchased auto loan programs, in order to diversify our portfolio and increase interest income. We continue to originate one- to four-family residential mortgage loans and may sell conforming loans into the secondary market to increase noninterest income and improve our interest rate risk or retain select loans in our portfolio to enhance interest income. We offer traditional consumer and business deposit products, including transaction accounts, savings and money market accounts and certificates of deposit for individuals, businesses and nonprofit organizations. Deposits are our primary source of funds for our lending and investing activities.

First Federal is significantly affected by prevailing economic conditions as well as government policies and regulations concerning, among other things, monetary and fiscal affairs, housing and financial institutions. Deposit flows are influenced by a number of factors, including interest rates paid on competing time deposits, available alternative investments, account maturities, and the overall level of personal income and savings. Lending activities are influenced by the demand for funds, the number and quality of lenders, and regional economic cycles.

Our primary source of pre-tax income is net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between interest income earned on our loans and investments and interest expense paid on our deposits and borrowings. Changes in levels of interest rates affect our net interest income. A secondary source of income is noninterest income, which includes revenue we receive from providing products and services, including service charges on deposit accounts, mortgage banking income, earnings from bank-owned life insurance, and gains and losses from sales of securities.

An offset to net interest income is the provision for loan losses, which represents the periodic charge to operations which is required to adequately provide for probable losses inherent in our loan portfolio. As a loan's risk rating improves, property values increase, or recoveries of amounts previously charged off are received, a recapture of previously recognized provision for loan losses may be added to net interest income.

The noninterest expenses we incur in operating our business consist of salaries and employee benefits and expenses, occupancy and equipment expenses, federal deposit insurance premiums and regulatory assessments, data processing expenses, expenses related to real estate and personal property owned and other miscellaneous expenses.

## Our Business and Operating Strategy

Our operating strategy is focused on diversifying our loan portfolio, expanding our deposit product offerings, and enhancing our infrastructure. Certain highlights of our operations in recent years are as follows:

- Expanding our footprint. We have opened four new full-service branches in Silverdale, Bellingham, and Bainbridge Island, Washington and a Home Lending Center ("HLC") in Seattle, Washington. Through these new locations, we have realized growth in deposits and expanded our ability to secure customer relationships and lending opportunities outside of our historic market areas in the North Olympic Peninsula. We utilize interactive teller machines, and we continue to explore the use of technology as a way to expand our footprint and provide meaningful services to our customers.
- Repositioning the loan portfolio. We have significantly increased the origination of commercial real estate, multi-family real estate, and construction and land loans as well as increased our portfolio of auto loans through our indirect auto lending program and our purchased auto loan program. This has been done to increase the yield on our loan portfolio, reduce our exposure to interest rate risk, and shorten the maturity of the loan portfolio.
- Adding new deposit capabilities. In addition to traditional consumer and business deposit products, we offer remote deposit capture, consumer and business on-line banking, consumer and business mobile banking, and have recently upgraded our commercial on-line banking capabilities in order to attract more business deposit customers. At our new branch locations in Silverdale, Bainbridge Island, and Bellingham, Washington, and at our main administrative building and downtown locations in Port Angeles, Washington, we have implemented interactive teller machines, allowing our customers to conduct business with a teller through a video monitor. We remain committed to maintaining competitive deposit products and services.
- Enhancing our infrastructure. We have focused on upgrading our infrastructure, both in terms of equipment and personnel, in order to support our changing lending and deposit capabilities and position ourselves for growth.

Our objective is to develop First Federal into an independent, high performing bank focused on meeting the needs of individuals, small businesses and community organizations throughout our market areas with our exceptional service and competitive products. We intend to implement these strategies to achieve our objective:

- Increasing our portfolio of higher yielding commercial loans. Through increased loan originations and purchases, we intend to increase our loan to deposit ratio and the percentage of our loan portfolio consisting of higher-yielding commercial real estate and commercial business loans. These loan categories offer higher risk-adjusted returns, shorter maturities and more sensitivity to interest rate fluctuations than traditional fixed-rate, one- to four-family residential loans. Our commercial and multifamily real estate and commercial business loans have increased from $\$ 190.7$ million, or $37.8 \%$ of total loans, at June 30,2014 , to $\$ 354.5$ million, or $40.8 \%$ of total loans, at December 31, 2018. The increase resulted in part from developing relationships with new loan referral sources, including our Board of Directors and loan brokers, pursuing loan purchase and participation opportunities, competing successfully in new and existing markets, and benefiting from the improvement of the economy in northwestern Washington. We have also increased our lending for construction and land loans, consisting primarily of commercial real estate and multi-family construction. Our construction and land loans have increased to $\$ 54.1$ million at December 31, 2018 compared to $\$ 20.5$ million at June 30, 2014.
- Increasing our portfolio of auto and other loans. Over the past four years, we have actively participated and grown an indirect lending program with auto dealerships within the markets where we have branch locations. In the past year, we also began purchasing auto loans from a company that underwrites high-end and classic auto loans for borrowers with exemplary credit. These loans are typically longer duration but have had historically low loss rates. We believe the combination of these two sources of auto lending programs will help to increase interest income, shorten maturities, and manage interest rate risk.
- Maintaining our focus on asset quality. We believe that strong asset quality is a key to our long-term financial success. We are focused on monitoring existing performing loans, resolving nonperforming loans, and selling foreclosed assets. Nonperforming assets have decreased from $\$ 6.8$ million at June 30, 2014, to $\$ 1.8$ million at December 31, 2018. The level of our nonperforming assets has been reduced through writedowns, collections, modifications, and sales of real estate owned and repossessed assets. We have taken proactive steps to resolve our nonperforming loans, including negotiating repayment plans, forbearances, loan modifications and loan extensions with our borrowers when appropriate. We have also accepted short payoffs on delinquent loans, particularly when such payoffs result in a smaller loss to us than foreclosure. We also retain the services of independent firms to periodically review segments of our loan portfolio and provide comments regarding our loan policies and procedures.
- Attracting core deposits and other deposit products. Our strategy is to emphasize relationship banking with our customers to obtain a greater share of their deposits, with specific emphasis on their core transaction accounts. We believe this emphasis will help to increase our level of core deposits and locallybased retail certificates of deposit. In addition to our retail branches, we maintain state-of-the-art technology-based products, such as on-line personal financial management, business online banking, business remote deposit products, mobile remote deposit services through smartphones and tablets, account-to-account transfer services between First Federal and other banks, and person to person funds transfer through smartphones and tablets that enable us to compete effectively with banks of all sizes. We enhanced our integrated mobile banking platform by introducing applications for both smartphones and tablets, upgraded our business on-line banking platform, and extended banking hours through the use of interactive teller machines.
- Expanding our market presence and capturing business opportunities resulting from changes in the competitive environment. By delivering high quality, customer-focused products and services, we believe we can attract additional borrowers and depositors and thus increase our market share and revenue generation in our primary market area. We intend to continue our franchise growth by opening new branch locations, and we also expect that community bank consolidation will continue to take place and may consider acquiring individual branches or other banks. We do not, however, currently have any understandings or agreements regarding any specific acquisitions and will be disciplined when evaluating and deciding on future acquisitions, recognizing that there may also be opportunity for increasing our market share as a result of customer dissatisfaction from other transactions or changes in strategy of market competitors. Our primary focus for expansion will be in northwestern Washington, although we may consider opportunities that arise in other parts of Western Washington.
- Hiring experienced employees with a customer sales and service focus. Our goal is to compete by relying on the strength of our customer service and relationship building. We believe that our ability to continue to attract and retain banking professionals who have a significant knowledge of existing and new
market areas, possess strong business banking sales and service skills, and maintain a focus on community relationships will enhance our success. We intend to hire additional lenders and business development officers who are established in their communities to enhance our market position and add profitable growth opportunities.


## Critical Accounting Policies

We have certain accounting policies that are important to the assessment of our financial condition, since they require management to make difficult, complex or subjective judgments, some of which may relate to matters that are inherently uncertain. Estimates associated with these policies are susceptible to material changes as a result of changes in facts and circumstances. Facts and circumstances which could affect these judgments include, but are not limited to, changes in interest rates, changes in the performance of the economy and changes in the financial condition of borrowers. Our accounting policies are discussed in detail in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

The following represent our critical accounting policies:

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses is the amount estimated by management as necessary to cover losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of balance sheet date. The allowance is established through the provision for loan losses, which is charged to income. Determining the amount of the allowance for loan losses necessarily involves a high degree of judgment. Among the material estimates required to establish the allowance are: the likelihood of default; the loss exposure at default; the amount and timing of future cash flows on impaired loans; the value of collateral; and the determination of loss factors to be applied to the various elements of the portfolio. All of these estimates are susceptible to significant change. Management reviews, and the Board of Directors approves, at least quarterly, the level of the allowance and the provision for loan losses based on past loss experience, current economic conditions and other factors related to the collectability of the loan portfolio. Although we believe that we use the best information available to establish the allowance for loan losses, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary if economic or other conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used in making the evaluation. In addition, the FDIC and the DFI, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review our allowance for loan losses and may require us to recognize adjustments to the allowance based on their judgment about information available at the time of their examination. A large loss could deplete the allowance and require increased provisions for loan losses to replenish the allowance, which would adversely affect earnings. See Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

Mortgage Servicing Rights. We record mortgage servicing rights on loans originated and subsequently sold into the secondary market. We stratify our capitalized mortgage servicing rights based on the type, term and interest rates of the underlying loans. Mortgage servicing rights are initially recognized at fair value. The value is determined through a discounted cash flow analysis, which uses interest rates, prepayment speeds and delinquency rate assumptions as inputs. All of these assumptions require a significant degree of management judgment. If our assumptions prove to be incorrect, the value of our mortgage servicing rights could be negatively affected. See Notes 1 and 6 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

Income Taxes. Management makes estimates and judgments to calculate certain tax liabilities and to determine the recoverability of certain deferred tax assets, which arise from temporary differences between the tax and financial statement recognition of revenues and expenses. We also estimate a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets if, based on the available evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the recorded deferred tax assets will not be realized in future periods. These estimates and judgments are inherently subjective. In evaluating the recoverability of deferred tax assets, management considers all available positive and negative evidence, including past operating results, recent cumulative losses - both capital and operating - and the forecast of future taxable income, both capital gains and operating. In determining future taxable income, management makes assumptions for the amount of taxable income, the reversal of temporary differences and the implementation of feasible and prudent tax planning strategies. These assumptions require judgments about future taxable income and are consistent with the plans and estimates to manage our business. Any reduction in estimated future taxable income may require us to record a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets. An increase in the valuation allowance would result in additional income tax expense in the period and could have a significant impact on future earnings.

Fair Value. Fair values of financial instruments are estimated using relevant market information and other assumptions. Fair value estimates involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment regarding interest rates, credit risk, prepayments, and other factors, especially in the absence of broad markets for particular items. Changes in assumptions or in market conditions could significantly affect these estimates.

## New Accounting Pronouncements

For a discussion of new accounting pronouncements and their impact on the Company, see Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

## Comparison of Financial Condition at December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017

Assets. Total assets increased $\$ 43.1$ million, or $3.5 \%$, to $\$ 1.3$ billion at December 31, 2018, from $\$ 1.2$ billion at December 31, 2017, primarily due to an increase in net loans receivable of $\$ 84.8$ million, or $10.9 \%$, to $\$ 863.9$ million at December 31, 2018 from $\$ 779.1$ million at December 31, 2017, partially offset by a decrease of $\$ 33.9$ million, or $10.0 \%$, in total investment securities to $\$ 306.5$ million at December 31, 2018 from $\$ 340.4$ million at December 31, 2017.

Total loans, excluding loans held for sale, increased $\$ 83.6$ million, or $10.6 \%$, during the year ended December 31, 2018.

During the year ended December 31, 2018, auto and other consumer loans increased $\$ 59.3$ million, or $211.0 \%$, primarily as a result of auto loans originated through our indirect auto lending program and purchased through our purchased auto loan program. The balance of multi-family and commercial real estate loans increased $\$ 58.9$ million, or $21.3 \%$, consisting mainly of an increase in commercial real estate loans of $\$ 50.2$ million. During this period, commercial business loans and home equity loans increased $\$ 2.6$ million and $\$ 844,000$, respectively.

One- to four-family residential loans decreased $\$ 19.2$ million, or $5.4 \%$, as sales and normal repayment and amortization activity outpaced origination activity during the year. During the year ended December 31, 2018, we sold $\$ 21.2$ million of residential loans in the secondary market. We continue to strive for origination growth from our HLC with the intention of retaining in our portfolio originations of one- to four-family residential loans in order to meet our loan growth objectives while selling off excess production into the secondary market; however, the growth expectations of our HLC have not been as robust as originally anticipated. We continue to strive to change the mix of earning assets away from one- to four-family residential loans but intend to continue to focus on the origination of these types of loans in order expand our noninterest income through gain on sales.

Construction and land loans decreased $\$ 17.0$ million, or $23.9 \%$, to $\$ 54.1$ million at December 31, 2018 from $\$ 71.1$ million at December 31, 2017. There were $\$ 57.0$ million in undisbursed construction commitments at December 31, 2018 compared to $\$ 59.4$ million at December 31, 2017. Undisbursed construction commitments at December 31, 2018 included $\$ 18.0$ million of mainly custom one- to four-family residential construction located primarily in the North Olympic Peninsula; $\$ 28.0$ million multi-family construction located in the Puget Sound region; and $\$ 11.0$ million commercial real estate construction located in the Puget Sound Region consisting of $\$ 1.9$ million of speculative construction, and $\$ 837,000$ of other commercial real estate. Our construction loans are geographically disbursed throughout the state of Washington, and we manage our construction lending by utilizing the assistance of a licensed third party vendor.

During the year ended December 31, 2018, the Company originated $\$ 253.4$ million of loans, of which $\$ 163.4$ million, or $64.5 \%$, were originated in the Puget Sound region, $\$ 85.6$ million, or $33.8 \%$, in the North Olympic Peninsula region, and $\$ 4.3$ million, or $1.7 \%$, in other areas in Washington.

Our allowance for loan losses increased $\$ 773,000$, or $8.8 \%$, to $\$ 9.5$ million at December 31, 2018 from $\$ 8.8$ million at December 31, 2017, and the allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans was $1.1 \%$ at both December 31, 2018 and 2017. There was no material change in our allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans during the year ended December 31, 2018 as our asset quality has remained stable. We believe our allowance for loan losses is adequate, with normal fluctuations in the balance of nonperforming assets and other credit quality measures expected as we increase the balance of our loan portfolio.

Loans receivable, excluding loans held for sale, consisted of the following at the dates indicated:
December 31, 2018 December 31, 2017
(In thousands)

## Real Estate:

| One- to four-family | \$ | 336,178 | \$ | 355,391 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multi-family |  | 82,331 |  | 73,767 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 253,235 |  | 202,956 |
| Construction and land |  | 54,102 |  | 71,145 |
| Total real estate loans |  | 725,846 |  | 703,259 |
| Consumer: |  |  |  |  |
| Home equity |  | 37,629 |  | 38,473 |
| Auto and other consumer |  | 87,357 |  | 28,106 |
| Total consumer loans |  | 124,986 |  | 66,579 |
| Commercial business loans |  | 18,898 |  | 16,303 |
| Total loans |  | 869,730 |  | 786,141 |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |
| Net deferred loan fees |  | 292 |  | 724 |
| Premium on purchased loans, net |  | $(3,947)$ |  | $(2,454)$ |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | 9,533 |  | 8,760 |
| Total loans receivable, net | \$ | 863,852 | \$ | 779,111 |

Nonperforming loans increased $\$ 188,000$, or $12.2 \%$, during the year ended December 31, 2018. This increase was mainly the result of increases in nonperforming auto and other consumer loans of $\$ 186,000$ and commercial business loans of $\$ 173,000$, partially offset by a decrease in nonperforming commercial real estate loans of $\$ 245,000$. Increased nonperforming loans in auto and other consumer loans is mainly attributable to growth in loans originated as part of our indirect auto lending program, which has resulted in a higher number of loan defaults as compared to the prior year. We had a nonperforming commercial business loan at December 31, 2018 that has been subsequently paid down during the first quarter of 2019. Nonperforming loans to total loans was $0.2 \%$ at both December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017. Real estate owned and repossessed assets increased $\$ 101,000$, or $439.1 \%$, to $\$ 124,000$ at December 31, 2018, from $\$ 23,000$ at December 31, 2017. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of nonperforming loans decreased to 553.3\% at December 31, 2017 from 570.7\% at December 31, 2017 as result of the increase in nonperforming loans.

At December 31, 2018, there were $\$ 3.7$ million in restructured loans, of which substantially all were performing in accordance with their modified payment terms and returned to accrual status. Classified loans, consisting solely of substandard loans, decreased by $\$ 3.3$ million, or $49.3 \%$, to $\$ 3.4$ million at December 31, 2018, from $\$ 6.7$ million at December 31, 2017. The change in classified loans was mainly the result of a decrease in substandard commercial real estate loans as the Bank continued to work with its borrowers to assure satisfactory repayment.

The following table represents nonperforming assets and troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs") at the dates indicated.
$\frac{\text { December 31, } 2018}{\text { (In thousands) }} \frac{\text { December 31, } 2017}{}$

Nonaccruing loans:
Real estate loans:

| One- to four-family | \$ | 759 | \$ | 681 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial real estate |  | 133 |  | 378 |
| Construction and land |  | 44 |  | 52 |
| Total real estate loans |  | 936 |  | 1,111 |
| Commercial business loans: |  | 173 |  | - |
| Consumer loans: |  |  |  |  |
| Home equity |  | 369 |  | 365 |
| Other |  | 245 |  | 59 |
| Total consumer loans |  | 614 |  | 424 |
| Total nonaccruing loans |  | 1,723 |  | 1,535 |
| Real estate owned: |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family |  | - |  | - |
| Commercial real estate |  | 72 |  | - |
| Construction and land |  | - |  | - |
| Total real estate owned |  | 72 |  | - |
| Repossessed automobiles and recreational vehicles |  | 52 |  | 23 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ | 1,847 | \$ | 1,558 |
| TDR loans: |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family | \$ | 2,442 | \$ | 3,341 |
| Multi-family |  | 110 |  | 115 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 663 |  | 910 |
| Total real estate loans |  | 3,215 |  | 4,366 |
| Home equity |  | 258 |  | 270 |
| Commercial business |  | 272 |  | 283 |
| Total restructured loans | \$ | 3,745 | \$ | 4,919 |
| Nonaccrual and 90 days or more past due loans as a percentage of total loans |  | 0.2\% |  | 0.2\% |
| Nonperforming TDRs included in total nonaccruing loans and total restructured loans above | \$ | 84 | \$ | 393 |

Total investment securities decreased $\$ 33.9$ million, or $10.0 \%$, to $\$ 306.5$ million at December 31, 2018, from $\$ 340.4$ million at December 31, 2017, primarily as a result of sales, prepayment activity, and normal amortization, partially offset by new investment purchases during the year. The average repricing term of our investment securities portfolio was estimated at 3.7 years as of December 31, 2018, as compared to 3.5 years as of December 31, 2017. We anticipate the investment portfolio will continue to provide additional interest income, as well as a source of liquidity to fund loan growth and a means with which to manage interest rate risk.

Mortgage-backed securities represent the largest portion of our investment securities portfolio and totaled $\$ 185.3$ million at December 31, 2018, a decrease of $\$ 15.6$ million, or $7.8 \%$, from $\$ 200.9$ million at December 31, 2017. Other investment securities, including municipal bonds and other asset-backed securities, were $\$ 121.1$ million at December 31, 2018, a decrease of $\$ 18.4$ million, or $13.2 \%$ from $\$ 139.5$ million at December 31, 2017. The investment portfolio, including mortgage-backed securities, had an estimated projected average life of 5.0 years and
5.3 years as of December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively, based on the interest rate environment at those times. At December 31, 2018, the investment portfolio contained $91.5 \%$ of amortizing securities, compared to $85.0 \%$ at December 31, 2017, and the projected average life of our securities may vary due to prepayment activity, which, particularly in the mortgage-backed securities portfolio, is generally affected by changing interest rates. We continue to focus on growing our loan portfolio and improving our earning asset mix over the long term, as evidenced by the decrease in investment securities and increase in net loans receivable during the year; however, we may purchase investment securities as a source of additional interest income and also in lieu of carrying higher cash balances at nominal interest rates. For additional information, see Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Liabilities. Total liabilities increased $\$ 47.9$ million, or $4.6 \%$, to $\$ 1.1$ billion at December 31, 2018, from $\$ 1.0$ billion at December 31, 2017, primarily due to increases in deposits. Borrowings decreased $\$ 7.5$ million or $5.2 \%$, to $\$ 136.6$ million at December 31, 2018 from $\$ 144.1$ million at December 31, 2017. At December 31, 2018, we had $\$ 60.0$ million of long term FHLB advances and $\$ 76.6$ million in short term advances maturing in three months or less.

Deposit account balances increased $\$ 55.3$ million, or $6.2 \%$, to $\$ 940.3$ million at December 31, 2018, from $\$ 885.0$ million at December 31, 2017. Transaction, savings, and money market account deposits increased $\$ 33.1$ million, or $5.1 \%$, to $\$ 678.9$ million at December 31, 2018 from $\$ 645.8$ million at December 31, 2017. Certificates of deposit increased $\$ 22.1$ million, or $9.2 \%$, during this period. Deposit account increases were the result of increases in consumer and commercial deposit account balances of $\$ 32.9$ million and $\$ 22.3$ million, respectively, during the year, as we continued to develop customer relationships throughout all our market areas.

Equity. Total shareholders' equity decreased $\$ 4.7$ million, or $2.7 \%$, to $\$ 172.3$ million at December 31, 2018, from $\$ 177.0$ million at December 31, 2017. This decrease during the year resulted from a decrease of $\$ 10.0$ million related to our repurchase of shares, a decrease of $\$ 3.2$ million due to the change in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to the change in unrealized market value of available for sale securities, net of tax, and dividends paid of $\$ 335,000$. These decreases were partially offset by net income of $\$ 7.1$ million and an increase of $\$ 1.6$ million related to our stock-based compensation plans. During the year ended December 31, 2018, we repurchased 623,813 shares of common stock at an average cost of $\$ 16.03$ per share, pursuant to the Company's stock repurchase plan.

## Comparison of Results of Operations for the Year Ended December 31, 2018 and Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2017

General. The Company changed its fiscal year end from June 30 to December 31, resulting in a six-month transition period from July 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017. Management's Discussion and Analysis for the Comparison of Results of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2018, uses the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, unaudited, for comparison purposes. Management believes this comparison of like periods is most useful to investors and other readers of this Form 10-K document, rather than a comparison of the six months ended December 31, 2017 and 2018 or a comparison of the year ended June 30, 2017 to the year ended December 31, 2018.

The following table shows the Results of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2018 and the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, as well as the six-month periods ended on those same dates.


As of or For the Twelve Months Ended December 31,
$2018 \frac{2017}{\text { (Unaudited) }}$

As of or For the Six Months Ended December 31,
$\frac{2018}{\text { (Unaudited) }}-2017$

## Selected Performance Ratios:

| Return on average assets | $0.58 \%$ | $0.44 \%$ | $0.66 \%$ | $0.29 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Return on average equity | 4.09 | 2.76 | 4.70 | 1.86 |
| Average interest rate spread | 2.98 | 3.02 | 2.97 | 2.96 |
| Net interest margin $^{(1)}$ | 3.20 | 3.21 | 3.22 | 3.15 |
| Efficiency ratio $^{(2)}$ | 76.9 | 78.8 | 75.1 | 80.5 |
| Average interest-earning assets to average <br> $\quad$ interest-bearing liabilities | 129.3 | 132.6 | 129.8 | 132.1 |

(1) Net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.
(2) Total noninterest expense as a percentage of net interest income and total other noninterest income.

The Company had net income for the year ended December 31, 2018 of $\$ 7.1$ million, compared to net income of $\$ 4.9$ million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, an increase of $\$ 2.2$ million, or $44.9 \%$. The increase in net income was primarily due to an increase in net interest income and a decrease in the provision for income taxes, partially offset by an increase in noninterest expense. We earned $\$ 0.69$ per common and $\$ 0.68$ per diluted share for year ended December 31, 2018 as compared to $\$ 0.46$ per common and diluted share for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017. The increase in earnings per share year over year was the result of an increase in net income combined with lower weighted-average common shares outstanding of $10,331,902$ basic and 10,434,437 diluted shares in 2018, compared to $10,701,020$ basic and $10,792,607$ diluted shares for the same period in 2017. The decrease in average shares year over year is due to our share repurchase program coupled with changes to our share-based compensation plans.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income increased $\$ 3.2$ million to $\$ 36.8$ million for the year ended December 31, 2018, from $\$ 33.6$ million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, mainly as the result of an increase in interest income related to the increase in the average balance of loans receivable.

The net interest margin was $3.20 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2018, and $3.21 \%$ for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017. The net interest margin remained relatively the same during the year mainly because the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities increased slightly more than the yield on interest-earning assets in 2018 as compared to 2017. The increase in the average balance of loans receivable of $\$ 97.5$ million coupled with the decrease in the average balance of investment securities of $\$ 22.9$ million, changed the mix of interest-earning assets favorably in 2018 as compared to 2017, which helped to mitigate our rising cost of funds during the year.

Of the $\$ 3.2$ million increase in net interest income during the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, $\$ 2.9$ million was the result of an increase in volume and $\$ 230,000$ was due to changes in rates. As noted above, loans receivable was the main contributor to the increase in net interest income with $\$ 4.2$ million due to an increase in average volumes and $\$ 885,000$ due to increases in rates.

The cost of average interest-bearing liabilities increased to $1.01 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2018, compared to $0.76 \%$ for the same period last year, due primarily to higher average balances and rates paid on certificates of deposit and FHLB borrowings compared to the prior period.

Interest Income. Interest income increased $\$ 6.2$ million, or $15.7 \%$, to $\$ 45.8$ million for the year ended December 31, 2018 from $\$ 39.6$ million for the comparable period in 2017, primarily due to an increase in the average balance of loans receivable. Interest and fees on loans receivable increased $\$ 5.1$ million, to $\$ 36.4$ million for the year ended December 31, 2018 from $\$ 31.3$ million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017. Average loan yields increased 11 basis points compared to the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, as we continued to increase our balance of higher yielding loans, such as commercial real estate and business loans. We also benefited from increases in short-term interest rates on our adjustable rate loans, such as construction, commercial business, and home equity lines of credit.

Interest income on investment securities increased $\$ 936,000$ to $\$ 3.8$ million for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to $\$ 2.9$ million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, due to a $\$ 22.9$ million increase in the average balance of investment securities to $\$ 125.3$ million for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to $\$ 102.4$ million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, and an increase in average yield of 23 basis points compared to the same period in 2017. The change in average yields on investment securities does not include the benefit of nontaxable income from municipal bonds. Interest income on mortgage-backed and related securities decreased $\$ 97,000$ to $\$ 5.0$ million for the year ended December 31, 2018 from $\$ 5.1$ million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, commensurate with a decline in the average balance of 21.4 million and an increase in average yield of 23 basis points.

The following table compares average earning asset balances, associated yields, and resulting changes in interest income for the periods shown:

|  | Twelve Months Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  |  | 2017 |  |  | Increase/ (Decrease) in Interest Income |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Balance } \\ \text { Outstanding } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Yield | Average Balance Outstanding |  | Yield |  |  |
|  |  |  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans receivable, net | \$ | 819,372 | 4.45\% | \$ | 721,871 | 4.34\% | \$ | 5,101 |
| Investment securities |  | 125,259 | 3.06 |  | 102,390 | 2.83 | \$ | 936 |
| Mortgage-backed securities |  | 186,933 | 2.69 |  | 208,325 | 2.46 | \$ | (97) |
| FHLB stock |  | 6,824 | 4.56 |  | 5,234 | 2.77 | \$ | 166 |
| Interest-bearing deposits in banks |  | 10,081 | 1.85 |  | 10,743 | 1.08 | \$ | 70 |
| Total interest-earning assets | \$ | 1,148,469 | 3.99\% | \$ | 1,048,563 | 3.78\% | \$ | 6,176 |

Interest Expense. Total interest expense increased $\$ 3.0$ million, or $49.9 \%$, to $\$ 9.0$ million for the year ended December 31, 2018, compared to $\$ 6.0$ million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, due to an increase in deposit costs of $\$ 2.0$ million, or $58.9 \%$ and an increase in borrowing costs of $\$ 1.0$ million. Deposit costs increased for the year ended December 31, 2018 mainly due to increasing interest rates and more customers placing deposit dollars into higher-yielding certificates of deposit. The average balance of interest-bearing deposits increased $\$ 62.1$ million, or $9.0 \%$, to $\$ 753.0$ million for the year ended December 31, 2018 from $\$ 690.9$ million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, as we continued to target growth in deposits in new and existing market areas. During the year ended December 31, 2018, the cost of certificates of deposit increased $\$ 1.3$ million due to an increase in average balance of $\$ 41.2$ million and an increase in the average rate paid of 33 basis points while the cost of money market accounts increased $\$ 287,000$ due to an increase in the average rate paid of 10 basis points, compared to the twelve months ended December 31, 2017. During the year ended December 31, 2018, there was an increase in the average balance of savings accounts of $\$ 16.6$ million and an increase in the average rate paid of $0.27 \%$, as we offered higher priced savings accounts in expansion markets during the year. The average balance of transaction accounts increased $\$ 1.5$ million compared to the prior year. The average cost of all deposit products increased to $0.71 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2018 from $0.49 \%$ for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, as we paid higher rates to attract new and retain existing deposit balances and customer relationships during the year. Borrowing costs increased $40.1 \%$, due to an increase in the average balance of borrowings of $\$ 35.4$ million, or $35.5 \%$, to fund our growth coupled with an increase in the average rate paid of 9 basis points.

The following table details average balances, cost of funds and the change in interest expense for the periods shown:

|  | Twelve Months Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | Increase/ (Decrease) in Interest Expense |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  |  | 2017 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average <br> Balance <br> Outstanding |  | Rate | Average Balance Outstanding |  | Rate |  |  |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings accounts | \$ | 116,386 | 0.32\% | \$ | 99,768 | 0.05\% | \$ | 317 |
| Transaction accounts |  | 113,208 | 0.07 |  | 111,715 | 0.02 |  | 56 |
| Money market accounts |  | 276,573 | 0.41 |  | 273,811 | 0.31 |  | 287 |
| Certificates of deposit |  | 246,789 | 1.53 |  | 205,594 | 1.20 |  | 1,293 |
| Borrowings |  | 135,157 | 2.71 |  | 99,788 | 2.62 |  | 1,049 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | \$ | 888,113 | 1.01\% | \$ | 790,676 | 0.76\% | \$ | 3,002 |

Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses was $\$ 1.2$ million during the year ended December 31, 2018, compared to $\$ 700,000$ for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, primarily due to the increase in the balance of net loans receivable.

The following table details activity and information related to the allowance for loan losses for the periods shown:

|  | Twelve Months Ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2017 |  |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses | \$ | 1,174 | \$ | 700 |
| Charge offs net of recoveries |  | (401) |  | (1) |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | 9,533 |  | 8,760 |
| Allowance for losses as a percentage of total gross loans receivable at the end of this period |  | 1.1\% |  | 1.1\% |
| Total nonaccruing loans |  | 1,723 |  | 1,535 |
| Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of nonaccrual loans at end of period |  | 553.3\% |  | 570.7\% |
| Nonaccrual and 90 days or more past due loans as a percentage of total loans |  | 0.2\% |  | 0.2\% |
| Total loans | \$ | 869,730 | \$ | 786,141 |

Noninterest Income. Noninterest income decreased $\$ 536,000$, or $8.3 \%$, to $\$ 5.9$ million for the year ended December 31, 2018 from $\$ 6.5$ million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, primarily due to income received from the death benefit on bank-owned life insurance in 2017 that was not present in 2018. We did see an increase in loan and deposit service fees in 2018 as compared to 2017 as we implemented new deposit account offerings to our customers and charged related service fees on those accounts, and we collected prepayment fees on certain commercial loans that paid off early during the year.

The following table provides a detailed analysis of the changes in the components of noninterest income for the periods shown:

|  | Twelve Months Ended December 31, |  |  |  | Increase (Decrease) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2017 |  | Amount |  | Percent |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loan and deposit service fees | \$ | 4,167 | \$ | 3,509 | \$ | 658 | 18.8 \% |
| Mortgage servicing fees, net of amortization |  | 188 |  | 283 |  | (95) | (33.6) |
| Net gain on sale of loans |  | 577 |  | 827 |  | (250) | (30.2) |
| Net gain on sale of investment securities |  | 77 |  | 229 |  | (152) | (66.4) |
| Increase in cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance |  | 595 |  | 648 |  | (53) | (8.2) |
| Income from death benefit on bank-owned life insurance, net |  | - |  | 768 |  | (768) | (100.0) |
| Other income |  | 315 |  | 191 |  | 124 | 64.9 |
| Total noninterest income | \$ | 5,919 | \$ | 6,455 | \$ | (536) | (8.3)\% |

Noninterest Expense. Noninterest expense increased $\$ 1.3$ million, or $4.1 \%$, to $\$ 32.9$ million for the year ended December 31, 2018, compared to $\$ 31.6$ million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017. Compensation and benefits increased as we continued to reward our staff and management for performance through incentive programs and sales commissions as well as provide merit and other wage increases to assure we are attracting and retaining qualified management and staff. The opening of our newest branch on Bainbridge Island, Washington, has also contributed to our increased compensation and benefits expenses as well as occupancy and equipment and other operating expenses during the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to the same period in 2017. Professional fees decreased compared to last year mainly because of the additional expense for the integrated audit performed for the six month transition period ended December 31, 2017 as a result of the Company's election to change its fiscal year from June 30 to December 31.

Other noninterest expense increased primarily as a result of increased expenses related to loan and deposit products and other organizational expenses. We expect increased noninterest expenses as we continue to grow and expand our business, in addition to ongoing increases in the cost of products and services we rely on to run our business.

The following table provides an analysis of the changes in the components of noninterest expense for the periods shown:

|  | Twelve Months Ended December 31, |  |  |  | Increase (Decrease) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018 |  | 2017 |  | Amount |  | Percent |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compensation and benefits | \$ | 18,946 | \$ | 18,325 | \$ | 621 | 3.4\% |
| Real estate owned and repossessed assets expense, net |  | 52 |  | 1 |  | 51 | 5,100.0 |
| Data processing |  | 2,645 |  | 2,458 |  | 187 | 7.6 |
| Occupancy and equipment |  | 4,473 |  | 4,170 |  | 303 | 7.3 |
| Supplies, postage, and telephone |  | 890 |  | 826 |  | 64 | 7.7 |
| Regulatory assessments and state taxes |  | 625 |  | 529 |  | 96 | 18.1 |
| Advertising |  | 1,002 |  | 792 |  | 210 | 26.5 |
| Professional fees |  | 1,410 |  | 1,631 |  | (221) | (13.5) |
| FDIC insurance premium |  | 307 |  | 268 |  | 39 | 14.6 |
| Other |  | 2,507 |  | 2,584 |  | (77) | (3.0) |
| Total | \$ | 32,857 | \$ | 31,584 | \$ | 1,273 | 4.0\% |

Provision for Income Tax. An income tax expense of $\$ 1.6$ million was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to an income tax expense of $\$ 2.9$ million for the twelve months ended December 31,
2017. The decrease was mainly due to the net DTA revaluation as result of the passage of the Tax Act in December of 2017, which resulted in a $\$ 1.1$ million increase to the Company's income tax expense for the twelve months ended December 31, 2017. During the year ended December 31, 2018, the Company benefited from the new lower tax rate of $21 \%$, which also contributed to the decrease in the provision for income tax as compared to the same period in 2017.

## Comparison of Financial Condition at December 31, 2017 and June 30, 2017

Assets. Total assets increased $\$ 128.0$ million, or $11.8 \%$, to $\$ 1.2$ billion at December 31, 2017, from $\$ 1.1$ billion at June 30, 2017, primarily due to an increase of $\$ 59.9$ million, or $21.4 \%$, in total investment securities to $\$ 340.4$ million at December 31, 2017 from $\$ 280.5$ million at June 30, 2017 and an increase in net loans receivable of $\$ 52.3$ million, or $7.2 \%$, to $\$ 779.1$ million at December 31, 2017 from $\$ 726.8$ million at June 30, 2017.

Total loans, excluding loans held for sale, increased $\$ 52.1$ million, or $7.1 \%$, during the six months ended December 31, 2017. One- to four-family residential loans increased $\$ 27.2$ million, or $8.3 \%$, the result of originations of $\$ 36.3$ million and a purchased loan pool of $\$ 28.0$ million, consisting of jumbo loans secured by residential properties located in Washington State, partially offset by normal repayment and amortization activity. During the six months ended December 31, 2017, we sold $\$ 10.2$ million of residential loans in the secondary market. We continue to strive for origination growth from our HLC with the intention of retaining in our portfolio originations of one- to four-family residential loans in order to meet our loan growth objectives while selling off excess production into the secondary market; however, we also continue to rely on the purchase of one- to four-family residential loans to supplement organic originations.

During the six months ended December 31, 2017, the balance of multi-family and commercial real estate loans increased $\$ 16.6$ million, or $6.4 \%$, consisting mainly of an increase in multi-family loans of $\$ 15.7$ million. During this period home equity loans increased $\$ 2.6$ million, or $7.2 \%$, and other consumer loans increased $\$ 7.1$ million, or $33.7 \%$, primarily as a result of increased originations of auto loans through our indirect auto lending program. These loan increases were partially offset by modest decreases in commercial business loans of $\$ 770,000$ and construction and land loans of $\$ 485,000$.

There were $\$ 59.4$ million in undisbursed construction commitments at December 31, 2017 compared to $\$ 32.0$ million at June 30, 2017. Undisbursed construction commitments at December 31, 2017 included $\$ 16.8$ million of mainly custom one- to four-family residential construction located primarily in the North Olympic Peninsula; $\$ 39.7$ million multi-family construction located in the Puget Sound region; and $\$ 2.9$ million commercial real estate construction located in the Puget Sound Region consisting of $\$ 2.4$ million of speculative construction, and $\$ 522,000$ of other commercial real estate. Our construction loans are geographically disbursed throughout the state of Washington, and we manage our construction lending by utilizing the assistance of a licensed third party vendor.

During the six months ended December 31, 2017, the Company originated $\$ 174.4$ million of loans, of which $\$ 130.2$ million, or $74.6 \%$, were originated in the Puget Sound region, $\$ 41.1$ million, or $23.6 \%$, in the North Olympic Peninsula region, and $\$ 2.8$ million, or $1.6 \%$, in other areas in Washington.

Our allowance for loan losses increased $\$ 237,000$, or $2.8 \%$, to $\$ 8.8$ million at December 31, 2017 from $\$ 8.5$ million at June 30, 2017, and the allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans was $1.1 \%$ at December 31, 2017 and $1.2 \%$ at June 30, 2017. There was no material change in our allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans during the six month ended December 31, 2017 as our asset quality has remained stable. We believe our allowance for loan losses is adequate, with normal fluctuations in the balance of nonperforming assets and other credit quality measures expected as we increase the balance of our loan portfolio.

Loans receivable, excluding loans held for sale, consisted of the following at the dates indicated:
December 31, 2017
June 30, 2017

Real Estate:

| One- to four-family | $\$$ | 355,391 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Multi-family | 73,767 | 328,243 |
| Commercial real estate | 202,956 | 58,101 |
| Construction and land | 71,145 | 202,038 |
| Total real estate loans | 703,259 | 71,630 |
|  |  | 660,012 |

Consumer:
Home equity
Other consumer
Total consumer loans
Commercial business loans

Total loans
Less:
Net deferred loan fees

| 38,473 |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 28,106 |  |
| 66,579 | 35,869 |
| 16,303 |  |
| 786,141 | 56,912 |
| 17,073 |  |


| Premium on purchased loans, net | $(2,454)$ | $(2,216)$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | 8,760 | 8,523 |
|  |  |  | 779,111 |

Nonperforming loans decreased $\$ 380,000$, or $19.8 \%$, during the six months ended December 31, 2017, which included decreases in nonperforming one- to four-family residential loans of $\$ 361,000$, commercial real estate loans of $\$ 48,000$, and home equity loans of $\$ 33,000$. These decreases were partially offset by increases in nonperforming other consumer loans of $\$ 38,000$ and construction and land loans of $\$ 24,000$. Nonperforming loans to total loans decreased to $0.2 \%$ at December 31, 2017 from $0.3 \%$ at June 30, 2017. Real estate owned and repossessed assets decreased $\$ 81,000$, or $77.9 \%$, to $\$ 23,000$ at December 31, 2017, from $\$ 104,000$ at June 30, 2017. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of nonperforming loans increased to $570.7 \%$ at December 31, 2017 from 445.1\% at June 30, 2017.

At December 31, 2017, there were $\$ 4.9$ million in restructured loans, of which $\$ 4.5$ million were performing in accordance with their modified payment terms and returned to accrual status. Classified loans, consisting solely of substandard loans, increased by $\$ 3.4$ million, or $103.0 \%$, to $\$ 6.7$ million at December 31, 2017, from $\$ 3.3$ million at June 30, 2017. The change in classified loans was mainly the result of a downgraded commercial real estate loan of $\$ 2.7$ million to substandard status. The Bank continues to work with the borrower towards a satisfactory repayment of this loan.

The following table represents nonperforming assets and troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs") at the dates indicated.
$\frac{\text { December 31, } 2017}{(\text { In thousands) }} \frac{\text { June 30, } 2017}{}$

## Nonaccruing loans:

Real estate loans:

| One- to four-family | \$ | 681 | \$ | 1,042 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial real estate |  | 378 |  | 426 |
| Construction and land |  | 52 |  | 28 |
| Total real estate loans |  | 1,111 |  | 1,496 |
| Commercial business loans: |  | - |  | - |
| Consumer loans: |  |  |  |  |
| Home equity |  | 365 |  | 398 |
| Other |  | 59 |  | 21 |
| Total consumer loans |  | 424 |  | 419 |
| Total nonaccruing loans |  | 1,535 |  | 1,915 |
| Real estate owned: |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family |  | - |  | 86 |
| Commercial real estate |  | - |  | - |
| Construction and land |  | - |  | - |
| Total real estate owned |  | - |  | 86 |
| Repossessed automobiles and recreational vehicles |  | 23 |  | 18 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ | 1,558 | \$ | 2,019 |
| TDR loans: |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family | \$ | 3,341 | \$ | 4,029 |
| Multi-family |  | 115 |  | 118 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 910 |  | 1,397 |
| Total real estate loans |  | 4,366 |  | 5,544 |
| Home equity |  | 270 |  | 312 |
| Commercial business |  | 283 |  | 289 |
| Total restructured loans | \$ | 4,919 | \$ | 6,145 |
| Nonaccrual and 90 days or more past due loans as a percentage of total loans |  | 0.2\% |  | 0.3\% |
| Nonperforming TDRs included in total nonaccruing loans and total restructured loans above | \$ | 393 | \$ | 673 |

Total investment securities increased $\$ 59.9$ million, or $21.4 \%$, to $\$ 340.4$ million at December 31, 2017, from $\$ 280.5$ million at June 30, 2017, primarily as a result of new investments purchased as part of our strategy to leverage our capital, partially offset by sales, prepayment activity, and normal amortization. Our management made a strategic decision to leverage our capital using a combination of cash received from our growth in customer deposits and additional borrowings from the FHLB to purchase various investment securities to generate additional net interest income. The majority of investments purchased have variable rates, generally resetting quarterly based on a specified index and margin, and are expected to closely match changes in short-term borrowing rates. The average repricing term of our investment securities portfolio was estimated at 3.5 years as of December 31, 2017, as compared to 4.1 years as of June 30, 2017. We anticipate the variable rate securities purchased as part of this strategy will help to mitigate our interest rate risk and manage price volatility in our investment portfolio. While we expect the results of this strategy will improve earnings and help us to leverage a portion of the capital we hold in
excess of well-capitalized levels at this time, we continue to focus on growing our loan portfolio and improving our earning asset mix over the long term.

Mortgage-backed securities represent the largest portion of our investment securities portfolio and totaled $\$ 200.9$ million at December 31, 2017, a decrease of $\$ 6.2$ million, or $3.0 \%$, from $\$ 207.1$ million at June 30, 2017. Other investment securities, including municipal bonds and other asset-backed securities, were $\$ 139.5$ million at December 31, 2017, an increase of $\$ 66.1$ million, or $90.1 \%$ from $\$ 73.4$ million at June 30,2017 . As of December 31, 2017, the investment portfolio, including mortgage-backed securities, had an estimated projected average life of 5.3 years and 4.7 years as of June 30, 2017, based on the interest rate environment at those times. At December 31, 2017, the investment portfolio contained $85.0 \%$ of amortizing securities, compared to $84.5 \%$ at June 30, 2017, and the projected average life of our securities may vary due to prepayment activity, which, particularly in the mortgage-backed securities portfolio, is generally affected by changing interest rates. Management continues to focus on improving the mix of earning assets by originating loans and decreasing securities as a percentage of earning assets; however, we may purchase investment securities as a source of additional interest income and also in lieu of carrying higher cash balances at nominal interest rates. For additional information, see Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Liabilities. Total liabilities increased $\$ 128.6$ million, or $14.1 \%$, to $\$ 1.0$ billion at December 31, 2017, from $\$ 910.0$ million at June 30, 2017, primarily due to increases in FHLB borrowings and deposits. Borrowings increased $\$ 66.7$ million or $86.1 \%$, to $\$ 144.1$ million at December 31, 2017 from $\$ 77.4$ million at June 30, 2017. At December 31,2017 we had $\$ 60.0$ million of long term FHLB advances and $\$ 84.1$ million in short term advances maturing in three months or less, which supported our purchase of additional investments for our leverage strategy as well as other cash flow needs to fund our operations, including loan originations and purchases.

Deposit account balances increased $\$ 61.2$ million, or $7.4 \%$, to $\$ 885.0$ million at December 31, 2017, from $\$ 823.8$ million at June 30, 2017. Transaction, savings, and money market account deposits increased $\$ 33.5$ million, or $5.5 \%$, to $\$ 645.8$ million at December 31, 2017 from $\$ 612.3$ million at June 30, 2017, including an increase in personal and business transaction accounts of $\$ 10.7$ million and $\$ 15.9$ million, respectively. Certificates of deposit increased $\$ 27.8$ million, or $13.1 \%$, during this period. Deposit account increases were primarily the result of our continuing efforts to expand commercial and consumer deposit relationships in Silverdale and Bellingham, Washington, as well as within our historic Clallam and Jefferson County, Washington locations.

Equity. Total shareholders' equity decreased $\$ 676,000$, or $0.4 \%$, to $\$ 177.0$ million at December 31, 2017, from $\$ 177.7$ million at June 30, 2017. This decrease during the six months ended December 31, 2017 resulted from a decrease of $\$ 2.2$ million related to our repurchase of shares and a decrease of $\$ 867,000$ due to the change in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to the change in unrealized market value of available for sale securities, net of tax. These decreases were partially offset by net income of $\$ 1.7$ million and an increase of $\$ 1.0$ million related to our stock-based compensation plans. During the six months ended December 31, 2017, we repurchased 136,700 shares of common stock at an average cost of $\$ 16.18$ per share, pursuant to the Company's stock repurchase plans.

## Comparison of Results of Operations for the Six Months Ended December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016

General. The Company had net income for the six months ended December 31, 2017 of $\$ 1.7$ million, compared to a net income of $\$ 1.8$ million for the six months ended December 31, 2016, a decrease of $\$ 180,000$, or $5.6 \%$. The decrease in net income was primarily due to the net deferred tax asset revaluation ("DTA revaluation") of $\$ 1.1$ million resulting from the passage of the Tax Act and an increase in non-interest expenses of $\$ 1.8$ million. While earnings were lower in 2017, we earned $\$ 0.16$ per common and diluted share for both periods, a result of lower average common shares outstanding in 2017 due to our share repurchase programs, as compared to the same period in 2016. The decreases were partially offset by an increase in net interest income of $\$ 2.0$ million, a decrease in the provision for loan losses of $\$ 560,000$ and an increase in non-interest income of $\$ 282,000$ for the six months ended December 31, 2017 as compared to the same period one year prior. Condensed financial information for the six months ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 is included in Note 1 to our audited consolidated financial statements in Item 8 of this Form $10-\mathrm{K}$.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income increased $\$ 2.0$ million to $\$ 17.0$ million for the six months ended December 31, 2017, from $\$ 15.0$ million for the six months ended December 31, 2016, mainly as the result of an increase in interest income related to the increase in the average balance of loans receivable during the six months ended December 31, 2017, supplemented by an increase in both the average balance and interest earned on investment securities.

The net interest margin increased six basis points to $3.15 \%$ for the six months ended December 31, 2017, from $3.09 \%$ for the six months ended December 31, 2016. The net interest margin increased due primarily to a change in the mix of interest-earning assets, with the average balance of loans receivable increasing $\$ 77.8$ million and the average balance of investment securities increasing $\$ 32.6$ million.

Of the $\$ 2.0$ million increase in net interest income during the six months ended December 31, 2017 compared to the six months ended December 31, 2016, $\$ 1.2$ million was the result of an increase in volume, and $\$ 794,000$ was due to changes in rates. Loans receivable was the main contributor to the increase in net interest income with $\$ 1.6$ million due to an increase in average volumes and $\$ 439,000$ due to increases in rates.

The cost of average interest-bearing liabilities increased to $0.81 \%$ for the six months ended December 31, 2017, compared to $0.68 \%$ for the same period last year, due primarily to higher average balances and rates paid on certificates of deposit, partially offset by the lower by the lower average rate paid on borrowings as we increased our utilization of short-term FHLB borrowings as compared to the prior period.

Interest Income. Interest income increased $\$ 2.8$ million, or $16.0 \%$, to $\$ 20.3$ million for the six months ended December 31, 2017 from $\$ 17.5$ million for the comparable period in 2016, primarily due to an increase in the average balance of loans receivable. Interest and fees on loans receivable increased $\$ 2.1$ million, to $\$ 16.0$ million for the six months ended December 31, 2017 from $\$ 13.9$ million for the six months ended December 31, 2016, due to an increase in the average balance of net loans receivable of $\$ 77.8$ million as compared to the prior year. Average loan yields increased 12 basis points compared to the six months ended December 31, 2016, as we continued to increase our balance of higher yielding loans, such as construction and commercial real estate loans. We also benefited from increases in short-term interest rates on our adjustable rate loans, such as construction, commercial business, and home equity lines of credit.

Interest income on investment securities increased $\$ 340,000$ to $\$ 1.6$ million for the six months ended December 31, 2017 compared to $\$ 1.3$ million for the six months ended December 31, 2016, due to a $\$ 32.6$ million increase in the average balance of investment securities to $\$ 124.9$ million for the six months ended December 31, 2017 compared to $\$ 92.3$ million for the six months ended December 31, 2016, partially offset by a decrease in average yield of 17 basis points as compared to the same period in 2016. The change in average yields on investment securities does not include the benefit of nontaxable income from municipal bonds. Interest income on mortgage-backed and related securities increased $\$ 349,000$ to $\$ 2.5$ million for the six months ended December 31, 2017 from $\$ 2.2$ million for the six months ended December 31, 2016.

The following table compares average earning asset balances, associated yields, and resulting changes in interest income for the periods shown:

|  | Six Months Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 |  |  | 2016 |  |  | Increase/ (Decrease) in Interest Income |  |
|  | Average Balance Outstanding |  | Yield | AverageBalanceOutstanding |  | Yield |  |  |
|  |  |  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans receivable, net | \$ | 731,949 | 4.37\% | \$ | 654,120 | 4.25\% | \$ | 2,071 |
| Investment securities |  | 124,854 | 2.57 |  | 92,300 | 2.74 | \$ | 340 |
| Mortgage-backed securities |  | 203,386 | 2.50 |  | 207,604 | 2.12 | \$ | 349 |
| FHLB stock |  | 5,626 | 2.88 |  | 4,068 | 3.05 | \$ | 19 |
| Interest-bearing deposits in banks |  | 11,663 | 1.22 |  | 13,474 | 0.36 | \$ | 47 |
| Total interest-earning assets | \$ | 1,077,478 | 3.77\% | \$ | 971,566 | 3.59\% | \$ | 2,826 |

Interest Expense. Total interest expense increased $\$ 852,000$, or $34.9 \%$, to $\$ 3.3$ million for the six months ended December 31, 2017, compared to $\$ 2.4$ million for the six months ended December 31, 2016, due to an increase in deposit costs of $\$ 538,000$, or $40.1 \%$ and an increase in borrowing costs. Deposit costs increased for the six months ended December 31, 2017 due to increasing interest rates and customers transferring deposit accounts into higher-yielding certificates of deposit. The average balance of interest-bearing deposits of $\$ 62.6$ million, or $9.7 \%$, to $\$ 706.8$ million for the six months ended December 31,2017 from $\$ 644.2$ million for the six months ended December 31, 2016, as we continued to target growth in deposits in new and existing market areas. During the six
months ended December 31, 2017, the cost of certificates of deposit increased $\$ 501,000$ due to an increase in average balance of $\$ 61.5$ million and an increase in the average rate paid of 14 basis points, and the cost of money market accounts increased $\$ 27,000$ due to an increase in the average rate paid of four basis points, as compared to the six month ended 2016. During the six months ended December 31, 2017, there was an increase in the average balance of savings accounts of $\$ 6.5$ million and transaction accounts of $\$ 5.6$ million as compared to the prior year. The average cost of all deposit products increased to $0.53 \%$ for the six months ended December 31, 2017 from $0.42 \%$ for the six months ended December 31, 2016, as we paid higher rates to attract new and retain existing deposit balances and customer relationships during the year. Borrowing costs increased $\$ 314,000$, or $28.6 \%$, due primarily to an increase in the average balance of borrowings of $\$ 36.7$ million, or $50.7 \%$, as we utilized borrowings to fund our operations and to purchase loans and investment securities.

The following table details average balances, cost of funds and the change in interest expense for the periods shown:

|  | Six Months Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  | Increase/ (Decrease) in Interest Expense |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Balance } \\ \text { Outstanding } \end{gathered}$ |  | Rate | Average Balance Outstanding |  | Rate |  |  |
|  |  |  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  | \$ 9 |  |
| Savings accounts | \$ | 101,612 | 0.06\% | \$ | 95,129 | 0.04\% |  |  |
| Transaction accounts |  | 113,129 | 0.02 |  | 107,513 | 0.01 |  | 1 |
| Money market accounts |  | 263,435 | 0.32 |  | 274,409 | 0.28 |  | 27 |
| Certificates of deposit |  | 228,665 | 1.25 |  | 167,151 | 1.11 |  | 501 |
| Borrowings |  | 109,091 | 2.59 |  | 72,391 | 3.03 |  | 314 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | \$ | 815,932 | 0.81\% | \$ | 716,593 | 0.68\% | \$ | 852 |

Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses was $\$ 200,000$ during the six months ended December 31, 2017, compared to $\$ 760,000$ for the six months ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to decreases in nonaccruing loans during the six months end 2017, partially offset by the additional provision taken due to the increase in the balance of net loans receivable.

The following table details activity and information related to the allowance for loan losses for the periods shown:

|  | Six Months Ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017(Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses | \$ | 200 | \$ | 760 |
| Net recoveries |  | 37 |  | 61 |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | 8,760 |  | 8,060 |
| Allowance for losses as a percentage of total gross loans receivable at the end of this period |  | 1.1\% |  | 1.2\% |
| Total nonaccruing loans |  | 1,535 |  | 2,498 |
| Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of nonaccrual loans at end of period |  | 570.7\% |  | 322.7\% |
| Nonaccrual and 90 days or more past due loans as a percentage of total loans |  | 0.2\% |  | 0.4\% |
| Total loans | \$ | 786,141 | \$ | 697,305 |

Noninterest Income. Noninterest income increased $\$ 282,000$, or $10.2 \%$, to $\$ 3.1$ million for the six months ended December 31, 2017 from $\$ 2.8$ million for the six months ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to a $\$ 229,000$ net gain on sale of investment securities. We also had a $\$ 70,000$ increase in the net gain on sales of loans due to an increase the sale of one- to four family residential loans during the period.

The following table provides a detailed analysis of the changes in the components of noninterest income for the periods shown:

|  | Six Months Ended December 31, |  |  |  | Increase (Decrease) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 |  | 2016 |  | Amount |  | Percent |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loan and deposit service fees | \$ | 1,800 | \$ | 1,802 | \$ | (2) | (0.1)\% |
| Mortgage servicing fees, net of amortization |  | 170 |  | 119 |  | 51 | 42.9 |
| Net gain on sale of loans |  | 499 |  | 429 |  | 70 | 16.3 |
| Net gain on sale of investment securities |  | 229 |  | - |  | 229 | 100.0 |
| Increase in cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance |  | 311 |  | 363 |  | (52) | (14.3) |
| Other income |  | 46 |  | 60 |  | (14) | (23.3) |
| Total noninterest income | \$ | 3,055 | \$ | 2,773 | \$ | 282 | 10.2 \% |

Noninterest Expense. Noninterest expense increased $\$ 1.8$ million, or $12.6 \%$, to $\$ 16.1$ million for the six months ended December 31, 2017, compared to $\$ 14.3$ million for the six months ended December 31, 2016, primarily as a result of a $\$ 1.1$ million increase in compensation and benefits, as we added more staff to manage our operations and growth, reward our staff and management for performance through incentive programs and sales commissions, and have implemented retention tools such as our stock awards program. The opening of our newest branches in Bellingham, Washington and Bainbridge Island, Washington, have significantly contributed to our increased compensation and benefits and occupancy and equipment expense during the six months ended December 31,2017 as compared to the same period in 2016. Professional fees increased as compared to the same six month period last year as we continued to utilize consulting and professional services to assist with operating our business. Other noninterest expense increased primarily as a result of increased expenses related to loan and deposit products and other organizational expenses. We expect increased noninterest expenses as we continue to grow and expand into new markets.

The following table provides an analysis of the changes in the components of noninterest expense for the periods shown:

|  | Six Months Ended December 31, |  |  |  | Increase (Decrease) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 |  | 2016 |  | Amount |  | Percent |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compensation and benefits | \$ | 9,042 | \$ | 7,962 | \$ | 1,080 | 13.6\% |
| Real estate owned and repossessed assets expense (income), net |  | 37 |  | 52 |  | (15) | (28.8) |
| Data processing |  | 1,244 |  | 1,451 |  | (207) | (14.3) |
| Occupancy and equipment |  | 2,190 |  | 1,899 |  | 291 | 15.3 |
| Supplies, postage, and telephone |  | 432 |  | 320 |  | 112 | 35.0 |
| Regulatory assessments and state taxes |  | 259 |  | 234 |  | 25 | 10.7 |
| Advertising |  | 396 |  | 289 |  | 107 | 37.0 |
| Professional fees |  | 897 |  | 681 |  | 216 | 31.7 |
| FDIC insurance premium |  | 144 |  | 126 |  | 18 | 14.3 |
| Other |  | 1,506 |  | 1,326 |  | 180 | 13.6 |
| Total | \$ | 16,147 | \$ | 14,340 | \$ | 1,807 | 12.6\% |

Provision for Income Tax. An income tax expense of $\$ 2.0$ million was recorded for the six months ended December 31, 2017 compared to an income tax expense of $\$ 853,000$ for the six months ended December 31, 2016. The increase was mainly due to the net DTA revaluation expensed through the provision for income taxes as result of the passage of the Tax Act. The legislation has resulted in a $\$ 1.8$ million decrease in the value of our deferred tax asset and a $\$ 725,000$ decrease to the deferred tax asset valuation allowance, which resulted in a $\$ 1.1$ million increase to the Company's income tax expense.
Average Balances, Interest and Average Yields/Cost
The following tables set forth, for the periods indicated, information regarding average balances of assets and liabilities as well as the total dollar amounts of
interest income from average interest-earning assets and interest expense on average interest-bearing liabilities, resultant yields, interest rate spread, net interest margin (otherwise known as net yield on interest-earning assets), and the ratio of average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities. Also presented is the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets, rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities and the resultant spread at December 31, 2018 and 2017. Income and all average balances are monthly average balances, which management deems to be not materially different than daily averages. Nonaccruing loans have been included in the table as loans carrying a zero yield.

|  | Year Ended December 31, 2018 |  |  | Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2017 |  |  |  | Year Ended June 30, 2017 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Balance utstanding | Interest Earned Paid | Yield/ Rate |  | Average Balance Outstanding | Interest <br> Earned/ <br> Paid | Yield/ Rate |  | Average Balance utstanding | Interest Earned Paid | Yield/ Rate |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | 819,372 | \$ 36,446 | 4.45\% | \$ | 721,871 | \$ 31,345 | 4.34\% | S | 682,957 | \$29,274 | 4.29\% |
|  | 125,259 | 3,831 | 3.06 |  | 102,390 | 2,895 | 2.83 |  | 86,113 | 2,555 | 2.97 |
|  | 186,933 | 5,031 | 2.69 |  | 208,325 | 5,128 | 2.46 |  | 210,434 | 4,779 | 2.27 |
|  | 6,824 | 311 | 4.56 |  | 5,234 | 145 | 2.77 |  | 4,455 | 126 | 2.83 |
|  | 10,081 | 186 | 1.85 |  | 10,743 | 116 | 1.08 |  | 11,648 | 70 | 0.60 |
|  | 1,148,469 | 45,805 | 3.99\% |  | 1,048,563 | 39,629 | 3.78 |  | 995,607 | 36,804 | 3.70 |
| \$ | 116,386 | \$ 369 | 0.32\% | \$ | 99,768 | \$ 52 | 0.05\% | \$ | 96,526 | \$ 42 | 0.04\% |
|  | 113,208 | 74 | 0.07 |  | 111,715 | 18 | 0.02 |  | 109,310 | 17 | 0.02 |
|  | 276,573 | 1,142 | 0.41 |  | 273,811 | 855 | 0.31 |  | 279,295 | 828 | 0.30 |
|  | 246,789 | 3,765 | 1.53 |  | 205,594 | 2,472 | 1.20 |  | 174,838 | 1,972 | 1.13 |
|  | 752,956 | 5,350 | 0.71 |  | 690,888 | 3,397 | 0.49 |  | 659,969 | 2,859 | 0.43 |
|  | 135,157 | 3,663 | 2.71 |  | 99,788 | 2,614 | 2.62 |  | 81,438 | 2,300 | 2.82 |
| 888,113 |  | 9,013 | 1.01\% |  | 790,676 | 6,011 | 0.76 |  | 741,407 | 5,159 | 0.70 |
|  |  | \$36,792 |  |  |  | \$33,618 |  |  |  | \$31,645 |  |
|  |  |  | 2.98 |  |  |  | 3.02 |  |  |  | 3.00 |
| \$ | 260,356 |  |  | \$ | 257,887 |  |  | \$ | 254,200 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3.20 |  |  |  | 3.21 |  |  |  | 3.18 |
|  | 129.3\% |  |  |  | 132.6\% |  |  |  | 134.3\% |  |  |


| At December <br> 31,2018 |
| :---: |
| Yield/ |
| Rate |

$4.47 \%$
4.30
2.73
4.30
0.75
4.15

0.74
0.05
0.43
1.86
0.77
2.97
1.05

3.10

n/a

Average interest-earning assets to average
interest-bearing liabilities Net interest income Net interest rate spread Net earning assets Net interest margin ${ }^{(3)}$ Total interest-bearing liabilities Interest-bearing deposits in banks Total interest-earning assets ${ }^{(2)}$ Interest-bearing liabilities: Savings accounts Transaction accounts Money market accounts Certificates of deposit Total deposits Borrowings Mortgage-backed securities
FHLB dividends Interest-earning assets:
Loans receivable, net ${ }^{(1)}$ Investment securities (1) The average loans receivable, net balances include nonaccruing loans.
(2) Includes interest-bearing deposits (cash) at other financial institutions. (3) Net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

|  | At December <br> 31,2017 <br> Yield/ <br> Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2017 |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  | 2015 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Average Balance Outstanding | Interest Earned Paid | Yield/ Rate |  | Average Balance atstanding | Interest Earned Paid | Yield/ Rate |  | verage alance standing | Interest Earned/ Paid | Yield/ Rate |
| Interest-earning assets: |  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans receivable, net (1) | 4.12\% | \$ | 731,949 | \$ 15,983 | 4.37\% | \$ | 654,120 | \$ 13,912 | 4.25\% | \$ | 501,617 | \$11,268 | 4.49\% |
| Investment securities | 2.32 |  | 124,854 | 1,606 | 2.57 |  | 92,300 | 1,266 | 2.74 |  | 130,709 | 1,565 | 2.39 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 2.67 |  | 203,386 | 2,545 | 2.50 |  | 207,604 | 2,196 | 2.12 |  | 243,681 | 2,553 | 2.10 |
| FHLB dividends | 2.61 |  | 5,626 | 81 | 2.88 |  | 4,068 | 62 | 3.05 |  | 4,630 | 45 | 1.94 |
| Interest-bearing deposits in banks | 0.56 |  | 11,663 | 71 | 1.22 |  | 13,474 | 24 | 0.36 |  | 25,527 | 34 | 0.27 |
| Total interest-earning assets (2) | 3.57 |  | 1,077,478 | 20,286 | 3.77 |  | 971,566 | 17,460 | 3.59 |  | 906,164 | 15,465 | 3.41 |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings accounts | 0.05 | \$ | 101,612 | \$ 28 | 0.06 | \$ | 95,129 | 19 | 0.04 | \$ | 89,558 | 19 | 0.04 |
| Transaction accounts | 0.01 |  | 113,129 | 9 | 0.02 |  | 107,513 | 8 | 0.01 |  | 98,502 | 7 | 0.01 |
| Money market accounts | 0.33 |  | 263,435 | 417 | 0.32 |  | 274,409 | 390 | 0.28 |  | 233,404 | 286 | 0.25 |
| Certificates of deposit | 1.27 |  | 228,665 | 1,427 | 1.25 |  | 167,151 | 926 | 1.11 |  | 146,335 | 699 | 0.96 |
| Total deposits | 0.45 |  | 706,841 | 1,881 | 0.53 |  | 644,202 | 1,343 | 0.42 |  | 567,799 | 1,011 | 0.36 |
| Borrowings | 2.13 |  | 109,091 | 1,412 | 2.59 |  | 72,391 | 1,098 | 3.03 |  | 85,831 | 1,397 | 3.26 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 0.69 |  | 815,932 | 3,293 | 0.81 |  | 716,593 | 2,441 | 0.68 |  | 653,630 | 2,408 | 0.74 |
| Net interest income |  |  |  | \$ 16,993 |  |  |  | \$ 15,019 |  |  |  | \$13,057 |  |
| Net interest rate spread | 2.88 |  |  |  | 2.96 |  |  |  | 2.91 |  |  |  | 2.67 |
| Net earning assets |  | \$ | 261,546 |  |  | \$ | 254,973 |  |  | \$ | 252,534 |  |  |
| Net interest margin (3) | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | 3.15 |  |  |  | 3.09 |  |  |  | 2.88 |
| Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities |  |  | 132.1\% |  |  |  | 135.6\% |  |  |  | 138.6\% |  |  |

(1) The average loans receivable, net balances include nonaccruing loans.
(2) Includes interest-bearing deposits (cash) at other financial institutions. (3) Net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

## Rate/Volume Analysis

The following tables present the dollar amount of changes in interest income and interest expense for major components of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. It distinguishes between the changes related to outstanding balances and due to the changes in interest rates. For each category of interest-earning assets and interestbearing liabilities, information is provided on changes attributable to (i) changes in volume (i.e., changes in volume multiplied by old rate) and (ii) changes in rate (i.e., changes in rate multiplied by old volume). For purposes of this table, changes attributable to both rate and volume, which cannot be segregated, have been allocated proportionately to the change due to volume and the change due to rate.

|  | Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2018 vs. 2017 |  |  |  | Six Months Ended <br> December 31, 2017 vs. 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increase (Decrease) Due to |  | Total Increase (Decrease) |  | Increase (Decrease) Due to |  |  |  | Total Increase (Decrease) |  |
|  | Volume | Rate |  |  |  | Volume |  | ate |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | (In tho | an | nds) |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans receivable | \$ 4,216 | \$ 885 | \$ | 5,101 | \$ | 1,643 | \$ | 428 | \$ | 2,071 |
| Investment and mortgage-backed securities | 121 | 718 |  | 839 |  | 405 |  | 284 |  | 689 |
| FHLB stock | 44 | 122 |  | 166 |  | 24 |  | (5) |  | 19 |
| Other ${ }^{(1)}$ | (7) | 77 |  | 70 |  | (3) |  | 50 |  | 47 |
| Total interest-earning assets | \$ 4,374 | \$ 1,802 | \$ | 6,176 | \$ | 2,069 | \$ | 757 | \$ | 2,826 |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings accounts | \$ 6 | \$ 311 | \$ | 317 | \$ | - | \$ | 9 | \$ | 9 |
| Interest-bearing transaction accounts | - | 56 |  | 56 |  | - |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Money market accounts | 10 | 277 |  | 287 |  | (20) |  | 47 |  | 27 |
| Certificates of deposit | 487 | 806 |  | 1,293 |  | 341 |  | 160 |  | 501 |
| Borrowings | 927 | 122 |  | 1,049 |  | 555 |  | (241) |  | 314 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | \$ 1,430 | \$ 1,572 | \$ | 3,002 | \$ | 876 | \$ | (24) | \$ | 852 |
| Net change in interest income | \$ 2,944 | \$ 230 | \$ | 3,174 | \$ | 1,193 | \$ | 781 | \$ | 1,974 |


|  | Year Ended <br> June 30, 2017 vs. 2016 |  |  |  | Year Ended <br> June 30, 2016 vs. 2015 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Increase (Decrease) Due to |  | Total Increase (Decrease) |  | Increase (Decrease) Due to |  |  | Total Increase (Decrease) |  |
|  | Volume | Rate |  |  | Volume |  | Rate |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | (In thou | ands) |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans receivable | \$ 6,423 | \$ (840) | \$ | 5,583 | \$ 2,030 | \$ | (385) | \$ | 1,645 |
| Investment and mortgage-backed securities | $(1,560)$ | 575 |  | (985) | 1,802 |  | 1,201 |  | 3,003 |
| FHLB stock | (3) | 25 |  | 22 | (6) |  | 98 |  | 92 |
| Other ${ }^{(1)}$ | (19) | 31 |  | 12 | (76) |  | 21 |  | (55) |
| Total interest-earning assets | \$ 4,841 | \$ (209) | \$ | 4,632 | \$ 3,750 | \$ | 935 | \$ | 4,685 |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings accounts | \$ 5 | \$ | \$ | 6 | \$ (2) | \$ | - | \$ | (2) |
| Interest-bearing transaction accounts | 1 | 2 |  | 3 | 4 |  | - |  | 4 |
| Money market accounts | 87 | 132 |  | 219 | 46 |  | 127 |  | 173 |
| Certificates of deposit | 240 | 222 |  | 462 | 105 |  | 220 |  | 325 |
| Borrowings | (115) | (186) |  | (301) | (177) |  | (145) |  | (322) |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | \$ 218 | \$ 171 | \$ | 389 | \$ (24) | \$ | 202 | \$ | 178 |
| Net change in interest income | \$ 4,623 | \$ (380) | \$ | 4,243 | \$ 3,774 | \$ | 733 | \$ | 4,507 |

(1) Includes interest-bearing deposits (cash) at other financial institutions.

## Asset and Liability Management and Market Risk

Risk Management Overview. Managing risk is an essential part of successfully managing a financial institution. Our Enterprise Risk Management Committee reports key risk indicators to the Board of Directors through the Audit Committee. The most prominent risk exposures management monitors are: strategic, credit, interest rate, liquidity, operational, compliance, reputational and legal risk. We utilize the services of outside firms to assist us in our asset and liability management and our analysis of market risk.

Interest Rate Risk Management. We manage the interest rate sensitivity of interest-bearing liabilities and interest-earning assets in an effort to minimize the adverse effects of changes in the interest rate environment. Except for certain adjustable-rate investment securities, home equity lines of credit, and commercial real estate loans that are tied to the prime rate, the twelve month constant maturity treasury, or the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR"), deposit accounts typically reprice more quickly in response to changes in market interest rates because of their shorter maturities. Sharp increases in interest rates may adversely affect earnings when deposit and borrowing costs change more quickly than cash flows from fixed-rate investments and loans can be reinvested at higher rates. Typically, decreases in interest rates beneficially affect our earnings in the short term when fixed-rate interest-earning assets stay at higher interest rates longer than it takes for deposit and borrowing costs to reset lower. However, decreases in interest rates adversely affect earnings due to prepayments and refinancing associated with loans and investment securities, particularly consumer and one- to four-family residential loans and MBS securities with no prepayment restrictions, which are then reinvested into lower yielding assets, reducing interest income. In contrast, First Federal has little or no long-term ability to reduce funding costs associated with deposits and borrowings.

We currently do not participate in hedging programs, interest rate swaps or other activities involving the use of derivative financial instruments to manage interest rate risk.

Interest Rate Sensitivity Analysis. Management uses an interest rate sensitivity analysis to review our level of interest rate risk. This analysis measures interest rate risk by computing changes in the present value of our cash flows from assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items in the event of a range of assumed changes in market
interest rates. The present value of equity is equal to the market value of assets minus the market value of liabilities, with adjustments made for off-balance sheet items. This analysis assesses the risk of loss in market risk sensitive instruments in the event of a sudden and sustained 100 to 300 basis point increase or a 100 to 200 basis point decrease in market interest rates with no effect given to any future steps that management might take to counter the impact of that interest rate movement. The following table presents the change in the present value of First Federal's equity at December 31, 2018, that would occur in the event of an immediate change in interest rates based on management's assumptions.

December 31, 2018

| Basis Point Change in Interest Rates | Economic Value of Equity |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EVE } \\ \text { Ratio \% } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ Amount |  | \$ Change |  | \% Change |  |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $+300$ | \$ | 178,726 | \$ | $(16,092)$ | (8.3)\% | 16.0\% |
| $+200$ |  | 185,752 |  | $(9,066)$ | (4.7) | 16.1 |
| $+100$ |  | 191,494 |  | $(3,324)$ | (1.7) | 16.2 |
| 0 |  | 194,818 |  | - | - | 16.0 |
| -100 |  | 178,755 |  | $(16,063)$ | (8.2) | 14.3 |
| - 200 |  | 145,991 |  | $(48,827)$ | (25.1) | 11.5\% |

Using the same assumptions as above, the sensitivity of our projected net interest income for the year ended December 31, 2018, is as follows:

December 31, 2018

| Basis Point Change in Interest Rates | Projected Net Interest Income |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ Amount |  | \$ Change |  | \% Change |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| $+300$ | \$ | 33,039 | \$ | $(6,654)$ | (16.8)\% |
| + 200 |  | 35,277 |  | $(4,416)$ | (11.1) |
| + 100 |  | 37,454 |  | $(2,239)$ | (5.6) |
| 0 |  | 39,693 |  | - | - |
| -100 |  | 39,835 |  | 142 | 0.4 |
| - 200 |  | 38,609 |  | $(1,084)$ | (2.7) |

Assumptions made by management relate to interest rates, loan prepayment rates, deposit decay rates, and the market values of certain assets under differing interest rate scenarios, among others. As with any method of measuring interest rate risk, certain shortcomings are inherent in the method of analysis presented in the foregoing tables. For example, although certain assets and liabilities may have similar maturities or periods to repricing, they may react in different degrees to changes in market interest rates. Also, the interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities may fluctuate in advance of changes in market interest rates, while interest rates on other types may lag behind changes in market rates. Additionally, certain assets have features, such as rate caps or floors, which restrict changes in interest rates on a short-term basis and over the life of the asset. Further, in the event of a change in interest rates, expected rates of prepayments on loans and early withdrawals from certificates could deviate significantly from those assumed in calculating the table.

## Liquidity Management

Liquidity is the ability to meet current and future financial obligations of a short-term and long-term nature. Our primary sources of funds consist of deposit inflows, loan repayments, maturities and sales of securities and borrowings from the FHLB. While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans and securities are usually predictable sources of funds, deposit flows, calls of investment securities and borrowed funds, and prepayments on
loans and investment securities are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions and competition, which can cause those sources of funds to fluctuate.

Management regularly adjusts our investments in liquid assets based upon an assessment of expected loan demand, expected deposit flows, yields available on interest-earning deposits and securities, and objectives of our interest-rate risk and investment policies.

Our most liquid assets are cash and cash equivalents followed by available for sale securities. The levels of these assets depend on our operating, financing, lending and investing activities during any given period. At December 31, 2018, cash and cash equivalents totaled $\$ 26.3$ million, and securities classified as available-for-sale provide additional sources of liquidity with a market value of $\$ 263.0$ million. We have pledged collateral to support borrowings from the FHLB of $\$ 136.6$ million, and have established a borrowing arrangement with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, for which no collateral has been pledged as of December 31, 2018.

At December 31, 2018, we had $\$ 625,000$ in loan commitments outstanding and an additional $\$ 99.1$ million in undisbursed loans, including undisbursed construction commitments, and standby letters of credit.

Certificates of deposit due within one year of December 31, 2018 totaled $\$ 148.1$ million, or $56.6 \%$ of certificates of deposit. The large percentage of certificates of deposit that mature within one year reflects customers' hesitancy to invest their funds for longer periods as interest rates have begun to rise, and the flattening of the yield curve has meant insufficient returns to lock in rates for longer terms. Management believes, based on past experience, that a significant portion of our certificates of deposit will be renewed or rolled into new certificates of deposit given the current rate environment; however, should rates fall and remain at lower levels, there will likely be a shift back to more liquid money market accounts over time. If these maturing deposits are not renewed or rolled into other deposit products, however, we will be required to seek other sources of funds, which may include borrowings and brokered deposits. We also have the ability to attract and retain deposits by adjusting the interest rates offered, including the offering of promotional rates on certificates of deposit to encourage the renewal or rollover of maturing certificates of deposit and mitigate the risk of loss of these deposits to our competitors. Depending on market conditions, we may also be required to pay higher rates on borrowings or brokered deposits than we currently pay on standard certificates of deposit or promotional rate offerings. In addition, we believe that our branch network, and the general cash flows from our existing lending and investment activities, will afford us sufficient foreseeable long-term liquidity. For additional information, see the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

The Company is a separate legal entity from the Bank and relies on dividends from its sole subsidiary, First Federal, and cash flows and sales of its investment portfolio for liquidity to pay its operating expenses and other financial obligations. At December 31, 2018, the Company (on an unconsolidated basis) had liquid assets of $\$ 22.7$ million.

## Off-Balance Sheet Activities

In the normal course of operations, First Federal engages in a variety of financial transactions that are not recorded in the financial statements. These transactions involve varying degrees of off-balance sheet credit, interest rate and liquidity risks. These transactions are used primarily to manage customers' requests for funding and take the form of loan commitments and lines of credit. For the year ended December 31, 2018, we engaged in no off-balance sheet transactions likely to have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

## Contractual Obligations

At December 31, 2018, our scheduled maturities of contractual obligations were as follows:

|  | Within 1 Year |  | After 1 Year Through 3 Years |  | After 3 Years Through 5 Years |  | Beyond <br> 5 Years |  | Total Balance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ousands) |  |  |  |  |
| Certificates of deposit | \$ | 148,119 | \$ | 99,900 | \$ | 13,333 | \$ | - | \$ | 261,352 |
| FHLB advances |  | 91,552 |  | 45,000 |  | - |  | - |  | 136,552 |
| Operating leases |  | 315 |  | 597 |  | 432 |  | 1,927 |  | 3,271 |
| Borrower taxes and insurance |  | 1,090 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,090 |
| Deferred compensation |  | 38 |  | 158 |  | 46 |  | 542 |  | 784 |
| Total contractual obligations | \$ | 241,114 | \$ | 145,655 | \$ | 13,811 | \$ | 2,469 | \$ | 403,049 |

## Commitments and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The following table summarizes our commitments and contingent liabilities with off-balance sheet risks as of December 31, 2018:

|  | Amount of Commitment Expiration - Per Period |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Amounts Committed |  | Due in One Year |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |
| Commitments to originate loans: |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed-rate loans | \$ | 625 | \$ | 625 |
| Unfunded commitments under lines of credit or existing loans |  | 98,847 |  | 98,847 |
| Standby letters of credit |  | 223 |  | 223 |
| Total | \$ | 99,695 | \$ | 99,695 |

## Capital Resources

First Northwest Bancorp is a bank holding company subject to regulation by the Federal Reserve. As a bank holding company, we are subject to capital adequacy requirements of the Federal Reserve under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, and the regulations of the Federal Reserve. Our subsidiary, First Federal, is subject to minimum capital requirements imposed by the FDIC. Capital adequacy requirements are quantitative measures established by regulation that require us to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of capital.

First Federal is subject to meeting minimum capital adequacy requirements for common equity Tier 1 ("CET1") capital, Tier 1 risk-based capital, total risk-based capital, and tier 1 capital ("leverage"). Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by bank regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company's financial statements.

First Federal is subject to capital requirements adopted by the Federal Reserve and the FDIC. See Item 1, "Business-How We Are Regulated," and Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 8 of this Form 10-K for additional information regarding First Northwest Bancorp and First Federal's regulatory capital requirements.

In order to avoid limitations on paying dividends, engaging in share repurchases, and paying discretionary bonuses based on percentages of eligible retained income that could be utilized for such actions, First Northwest Bancorp and First Federal must maintain CET1 capital at an amount greater than the required minimum levels plus a capital conservation buffer. This new capital conservation buffer requirement began to be phased in starting in January 2016 requiring a buffer of $0.625 \%$ of risk-weighted assets and will increase each year until fully
implemented to an amount of $2.5 \%$ of risk-weighted assets in January 2019. As of December 31, 2018, the conservation buffer was $1.875 \%$.

Consistent with our goals to operate a sound and profitable organization, our policy for First Federal is to maintain its "well-capitalized" status in accordance with regulatory standards. At December 31, 2018, the Bank and consolidated Company exceeded all regulatory capital requirements, and the Bank was considered "well capitalized" under FDIC regulatory capital guidelines.

The following table provides the capital requirements and actual results at December 31, 2018.

|  | Actual |  |  | Minimum Capital Requirements |  |  | Minimum Required to be Well-Capitalized |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount |  | Ratio | Amount |  | Ratio | Amount |  | Ratio |
|  |  |  |  |  | ars in th | ands) |  |  |  |
| Tier I leverage capital (to average assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank only | \$ | 142,018 | 11.5\% | \$ | 49,509 | 4.0\% | \$ | 61,887 | 5.0\% |
| Common equity tier I (to riskweighted assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank only |  | 142,018 | 17.0 |  | 37,501 | 4.5 |  | 54,169 | 6.5 |
| Tier I risk-based capital (to riskweighted assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank only |  | 142,018 | 17.0 |  | 50,002 | 6.0 |  | 66,669 | 8.0 |
| Total risk-based capital (to riskweighted assets) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank only |  | 151,781 | 18.2 |  | 66,669 | 8.0 |  | 83,336 | 10.0 |

## Effect of Inflation and Changing Prices

The consolidated financial statements and related financial data presented in this report have been prepared according to generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, which require the measurement of financial and operating results in terms of historical dollars without considering the change in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. The primary impact of inflation on our operations is reflected in increased operating costs and the effect that general inflation may have on both short-term and long-term interest rates. Unlike most industrial companies, virtually all the assets and liabilities of a financial institution are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates generally have a more significant impact on a financial institution's performance than do general levels of inflation. Although inflation expectations do affect interest rates, interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or to the same extent as the prices of goods and services.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

## Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our market risk arises principally from interest rate risk inherent in our lending, investing, deposit and borrowing activities. Management actively monitors and manages its interest rate risk exposure. In addition to other risks that we manage in the normal course of business, such as credit quality and liquidity, management considers interest rate risk to be a significant market risk that could potentially have a material effect on our financial condition and result of operations. The information contained under Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Asset and Liability Management and Market Risk" of this Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ is incorporated herein by reference.
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# Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm 

To the Board of Directors<br>First Northwest Bancorp and Subsidiary<br>Port Angeles, Washington

## Opinions on the Financial Statements and Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of First Northwest Bancorp and Subsidiary (the "Company") as of December 31, 2018 and 2017 and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2018, the six months ended December 31, 2017, and each of the two years in the period ended June 30, 2017, and related notes (collectively referred to as the "consolidated financial statements"). We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2018, the six months ended December 31, 2017, and each of the two years in the period ended June 30, 2017, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by COSO.

## Basis for Opinions

The Company's management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting included in Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's consolidated financial statements and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) ("PCAOB") and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud, and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects.

Our audits of the consolidated financial statements included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures to respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

## Definition and Limitations of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

## Mos8 Alams Lup

Everett, Washington
March 14, 2019
We have served as the Company's auditor since 2002.

# FIRST NORTHWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY 

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In thousands, except share data)

## ASSETS

Cash and due from banks
Interest-bearing deposits in banks
Investment securities available for sale, at fair value
Investment securities held to maturity, at amortized cost
Loans held for sale
Loans receivable (net of allowance for loan losses of \$9,533, $\$ 8,760$, and $\$ 8,523$ )
Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock, at cost
Accrued interest receivable
Premises and equipment, net
Mortgage servicing rights, net
Bank-owned life insurance, net
Prepaid expenses and other assets
Total assets

## LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

## Deposits <br> Borrowings <br> Accrued interest payable <br> Accrued expenses and other liabilities <br> Advances from borrowers for taxes and insurance <br> Total liabilities <br> Commitments and Contingencies (Note 13)

Shareholders' Equity
Preferred stock, $\$ 0.01$ par value, authorized 5,000,000 shares, no shares issued or outstanding
Common stock, $\$ 0.01$ par value, authorized $75,000,000$ shares; issued and outstanding 11,170,018 at December 31, 2018; issued and outstanding 11,785,507 at December 31, 2017; and issued and outstanding 11,902,146 at June 30, 2017

Additional paid-in capital
Retained earnings
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax
Unearned employee stock ownership plan (ESOP) shares
Total shareholders' equity
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity

| $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2018 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2017 \end{gathered}$ |  | June 30, 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 15,430 | \$ | 13,777 | \$ | 14,510 |
|  | 10,893 |  | 23,024 |  | 9,782 |
|  | 262,967 |  | 290,242 |  | 228,593 |
|  | 43,503 |  | 50,126 |  | 51,872 |
|  | - |  | 788 |  | - |
|  | 863,852 |  | 779,111 |  | 726,786 |
|  | 6,927 |  | 7,023 |  | 4,368 |
|  | 4,048 |  | 3,745 |  | 3,020 |
|  | 15,255 |  | 13,739 |  | 13,236 |
|  | 1,044 |  | 1,095 |  | 986 |
|  | 29,319 |  | 28,724 |  | 28,413 |
|  | 5,520 |  | 4,265 |  | 6,110 |
| \$ | 1,258,758 | \$ | 1,215,659 | \$ | 1,087,676 |


| \$ | 940,260 | \$ | 885,032 | \$ | 823,760 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 136,552 |  | 144,100 |  | 77,427 |
|  | 521 |  | 325 |  | 208 |
|  | 8,071 |  | 7,929 |  | 7,417 |
|  | 1,090 |  | 1,228 |  | 1,143 |
|  | 1,086,494 |  | 1,038,614 |  | 909,955 |


|  | 112 |  | 118 |  | 119 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 105,825 |  | 111,106 |  | 112,058 |
|  | 81,607 |  | 78,602 |  | 77,515 |
|  | $(4,731)$ |  | $(1,573)$ |  | (434) |
|  | $(10,549)$ |  | $(11,208)$ |  | $(11,537)$ |
|  | 172,264 |  | 177,045 |  | 177,721 |
| \$ | 1,258,758 | \$ | 1,215,659 | \$ | 1,087,676 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

FIRST NORTHWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(In thousands, except per share data)

|  | For the Year Ended <br> December 31, 2018 |  | For the Six Months Ended December 31, 2017 |  | For the Years Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2017 | 2016 |  |
| INTEREST INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and fees on loans receivable | \$ | 36,446 |  |  | \$ | 15,983 | \$ | 29,274 | \$ | 23,691 |
| Interest on mortgage-backed and related securities |  | 5,031 |  | 2,545 |  | 4,779 |  | 5,223 |
| Interest on investment securities |  | 3,831 |  | 1,606 |  | 2,555 |  | 3,096 |
| Interest-bearing deposits and other |  | 186 |  | 71 |  | 70 |  | 58 |
| FHLB dividends |  | 311 |  | 81 |  | 126 |  | 104 |
| Total interest income |  | 45,805 |  | 20,286 |  | 36,804 |  | 32,172 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  | 5,350 |  | 1,881 |  | 2,859 |  | 2,169 |
| Borrowings |  | 3,663 |  | 1,412 |  | 2,300 |  | 2,601 |
| Total interest expense |  | 9,013 |  | 3,293 |  | 5,159 |  | 4,770 |
| Net interest income |  | 36,792 |  | 16,993 |  | 31,645 |  | 27,402 |
| PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES |  | 1,174 |  | 200 |  | 1,260 |  | 233 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses |  | 35,618 |  | 16,793 |  | 30,385 |  | 27,169 |
| NONINTEREST INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loan and deposit service fees |  | 4,167 |  | 1,800 |  | 3,511 |  | 3,570 |
| Mortgage servicing fees, net |  | 188 |  | 170 |  | 232 |  | 255 |
| Net gain on sale of loans |  | 577 |  | 499 |  | 757 |  | 234 |
| Net gain on sale of investment securities |  | 77 |  | 229 |  | - |  | 1,567 |
| Increase in cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance, net |  | 595 |  | 311 |  | 701 |  | 114 |
| Income from death benefit on bank-owned life insurance, net |  | - |  | - |  | 768 |  | - |
| Other income |  | 315 |  | 46 |  | 205 |  | 437 |
| Total noninterest income |  | 5,919 |  | 3,055 |  | 6,174 |  | 6,177 |
| NONINTEREST EXPENSE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compensation and benefits |  | 18,946 |  | 9,042 |  | 17,245 |  | 14,523 |
| Real estate owned and repossessed assets, net |  | 52 |  | 37 |  | 17 |  | (307) |
| Data processing |  | 2,645 |  | 1,244 |  | 2,665 |  | 2,704 |
| Occupancy and equipment |  | 4,473 |  | 2,190 |  | 3,879 |  | 3,492 |
| Supplies, postage, and telephone |  | 890 |  | 432 |  | 714 |  | 668 |
| Regulatory assessments and state taxes |  | 625 |  | 259 |  | 504 |  | 485 |
| Advertising |  | 1,002 |  | 396 |  | 685 |  | 797 |
| Professional fees |  | 1,410 |  | 897 |  | 1,415 |  | 1,757 |
| FDIC insurance premium |  | 307 |  | 144 |  | 251 |  | 424 |
| FHLB prepayment penalty |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,193 |
| Other |  | 2,507 |  | 1,506 |  | 2,404 |  | 2,161 |
| Total noninterest expense |  | 32,857 |  | 16,147 |  | 29,779 |  | 27,897 |
| INCOME BEFORE PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES |  | 8,680 |  | 3,701 |  | 6,780 |  | 5,449 |
| PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES |  | 1,575 |  | 2,042 |  | 1,662 |  | 1,457 |
| NET INCOME | \$ | 7,105 | \$ | 1,659 | \$ | 5,118 | \$ | 3,992 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ | 0.69 | \$ | 0.16 | \$ | 0.46 | \$ | 0.33 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ | 0.68 | \$ | 0.16 | \$ | 0.46 | \$ | 0.33 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

## NET INCOME

Other comprehensive loss, net of tax
Unrealized (loss) gain on securities:
Unrealized holding (loss) gain, net of tax (benefit) provision of \$(824), \$(379), $\$(1,194)$, and $\$ 1,128$, respectively
Reclassification adjustment for net gains on sales of securities realized in income, net of taxes of \$(11), \$(78), \$0, and \$(533), respectively

Other comprehensive loss, net of tax
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| For the Year Ended <br> December 31, <br> 2018 |
| :---: |


|  | $(3,119)$ |  | (716) |  | $(2,329)$ |  | 2,179 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (39) |  | (151) |  | - |  | $(1,034)$ |
|  | $(3,158)$ |  | (867) |  | $(2,329)$ |  | 1,145 |
| \$ | 3,947 | \$ | 792 | \$ | 2,789 | \$ | 5,137 |

FIRST NORTHWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
(In thousands, except share data)


See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.
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## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

(continued on following page)
See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.
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CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands)

Purchase of bank-owned life insurance
Proceeds from sale of real estate owned and repossessed assets

Net increase in loans receivable
Purchase of premises and equipment, net
Net cash from investing activities
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES
Net increase in deposits
Proceeds from FHLB advances
Repayment of FHLB advances
Repayment of notes payable
Net (decrease) increase in advances from borrowers for
taxes and insurance
Purchase of ESOP shares
Net share settlement of stock awards
Repurchase of common stock
Dividends paid
$\quad$ Net cash from financing activities

## NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period

## SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Cash paid during the period for:
Interest on deposits and borrowings

Income taxes

## NONCASH INVESTING ACTIVITIES

Unrealized loss on securities available for sale

Loans transferred to real estate owned and repossessed assets, net of deferred loan fees and allowance for loan losses


|  | 8,817 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

## Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of operations - First Northwest Bancorp, a Washington corporation ("First Northwest"), became the holding company of First Federal Savings and Loan Association of Port Angeles ("First Federal" or the "Bank") on January 29, 2015, upon completion of the Bank's conversion from a mutual to stock form of organization (the "Conversion"). First Northwest and the Bank are collectively referred to as the "Company." In connection with the Conversion, the Company issued an aggregate of $12,167,000$ shares of common stock at an offering price of $\$ 10.00$ per share for gross proceeds of $\$ 121.7$ million. An additional 933,360 shares of Company common stock and $\$ 400,000$ in cash were contributed to the First Federal Community Foundation ("Foundation"), a charitable foundation that was established in connection with the conversion, resulting in the issuance of a total of $13,100,360$ shares. The Company received $\$ 117.6$ million in net proceeds from the stock offering of which $\$ 58.4$ million were contributed to the Bank upon Conversion.

At the time of Conversion, the Bank established a liquidation account in an amount equal to its total net worth, approximately $\$ 79.7$ million, as of June 30, 2014, the latest statement of financial condition appearing in First Northwest's prospectus. The liquidation account is maintained for the benefit of eligible depositors who continue to maintain their accounts at the Bank after the conversion. The liquidation account is reduced annually to the extent that eligible depositors have reduced their qualifying deposits. Subsequent increases will not restore an eligible holder's interest in the liquidation account. In the event of a complete liquidation, each eligible depositor will be entitled to receive a distribution from the liquidation account in an amount proportionate to the current adjusted qualifying balances for accounts then held. The liquidation account balance is not available for payment of dividends, and the Bank may not pay dividends if those dividends would reduce equity capital below the required liquidation account amount.

Pursuant to the Plan of Conversion, the Bank's Board of Directors adopted an ESOP which purchased in the open market $8 \%$ of the common stock originally issued for a total of $1,048,029$ shares. As of December $15,2015,1,048,029$ shares, or $100.0 \%$ of the total, had been purchased. As of December 31, 2018, First Northwest had allocated 201,026 shares from the total shares purchased to participants.

First Northwest's business activities generally are limited to passive investment activities and oversight of its investment in First Federal. Accordingly, the information set forth in this report, including the consolidated financial statements and related data, relates primarily to the Bank.

The Bank is a community-oriented financial institution providing commercial and consumer banking services to individuals and businesses in Western Washington State with offices in Clallam, Jefferson, Kitsap, and Whatcom counties. These services include deposit and lending transactions that are supplemented with borrowing and investing activities.

Change in Fiscal Year - The Company's Board of Directors approved a change in the Company's fiscal year end from June 30 to December 31, effective December 31, 2017. As a result of this change, the consolidated financial statements include the Company's financial results for the six month transition period from July 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017. The following tables present certain comparative transition period condensed financial information for both the twelve months ended and six months ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

|  | For the Six Months Ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 |  | 2016 |  |
|  |  |  | (Unaudited) |  |
| Interest income | \$ | 20,286 | \$ | 17,460 |
| Interest expense |  | 3,293 |  | 2,441 |
| Net interest income |  | 16,993 |  | 15,019 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 200 |  | 760 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses |  | 16,793 |  | 14,259 |
| Noninterest income |  | 3,055 |  | 2,773 |
| Noninterest expense |  | 16,147 |  | 14,340 |
| Income before provision for income taxes |  | 3,701 |  | 2,692 |
| Provision for income taxes |  | 2,042 |  | 853 |
| Net income | \$ | 1,659 | \$ | 1,839 |
| Basic and diluted earnings per share | \$ | 0.16 | \$ | 0.16 |
| Basic weighted average common shares outstanding |  | 10,606,798 |  | 11,409,649 |
| Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding |  | 10,703,081 |  | 11,452,017 |

For the Six Months Ended December 31,

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 |  | 2016 |  |
|  |  |  | (Unaudited) |  |
| Net cash from operating activities | \$ | 4,959 | \$ | $(4,338)$ |
| Net cash from investing activities |  | $(117,987)$ |  | $(39,392)$ |
| Net cash from financing activities |  | 125,537 |  | 43,729 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents |  | 12,509 |  | (1) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period |  | 24,292 |  | 22,650 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ | 36,801 | \$ | 22,649 |


| Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cash paid during the period for: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest on deposits and borrowings | $\$$ | 3,176 | $\$$ | 2,426 |
| Income taxes |  | 661 | 1,661 |  |

Use of estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make assumptions. These assumptions result in estimates that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to a determination of the allowance for loan losses, fair value of financial instruments, deferred tax assets and liabilities, and the valuation of impaired loans.
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Principles of consolidation - The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of First Northwest Bancorp and its wholly owned subsidiary, First Federal. All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Subsequent events - The Company has evaluated subsequent events for potential recognition and disclosure and determined there are no such events or transactions requiring recognition or disclosure.

Cash and cash equivalents - Cash and cash equivalents consist of currency on hand, due from banks, and interest-bearing deposits with financial institutions with an original maturity of three months or less. The amounts on deposit fluctuate and, at times, exceed the insured limit by the FDIC, which potentially subjects First Federal to credit risk. First Federal has not experienced any losses due to balances exceeding FDIC insurance limits.

Restricted assets - Federal Reserve Board regulations require maintenance of certain minimum reserve balances on deposit with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco. The amount required to be on deposit was approximately $\$ 9.1$ million, $\$ 10.1$ million and $\$ 8.8$ million at December 31, 2018, December 31, 2017 and June 30, 2017, respectively. First Federal was in compliance with its reserve requirements at December 31, 2018, December 31, 2017 and June 30, 2017.

Investment securities - Investment securities are classified into one of three categories: (1) held-to-maturity, (2) available-for-sale, or (3) trading. First Federal had no trading securities at December 31, 2018, December 31, 2017 and June 30, 2017. Investment securities are categorized as held-to-maturity when First Federal has the positive intent and ability to hold those securities to maturity.

Securities that are held-to-maturity are stated at cost and adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts, which are recognized as adjustments to interest income.

Investment securities categorized as available for sale are generally held for investment purposes (to maturity), although unanticipated future events may result in the sale of some securities. Available-for-sale securities are recorded at fair value, with the unrealized holding gain or loss reported in other comprehensive income (OCI), net of tax, as a separate component of shareholders' equity. Realized gains or losses are determined using the amortized cost basis of securities sold using the specific identification method and are included in earnings. Dividend and interest income on investments are recognized when earned. Premiums and discounts are recognized in interest income using the level yield method over the period to maturity.

The Company reviews investment securities for other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) on a quarterly basis. For debt securities, the Company considers whether management intends to sell a security or if it is likely that the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery of the amortized cost basis of the investment, which may be maturity. For debt securities, if management intends to sell the security or it is likely that the Company will be required to sell the security before recovering its cost basis, the entire impairment loss would be recognized as OTTI and charged against earnings. If management does not intend to sell the security and it is not likely that the Company will be required to sell the security, but management does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security, only the portion of the impairment loss representing credit losses would be recognized in earnings. The credit loss on a security is measured as the difference between the amortized cost basis and the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected. Projected cash flows are discounted by the original or current effective interest rate depending on the nature of the security being measured for potential OTTI. The remaining impairment related to all other factors, i.e. the difference between the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected and fair value, is recognized as a charge to OCI. Impairment losses related to all other factors are presented as separate categories within OCI. If there is an indication of additional credit losses, the security is reevaluated according to the procedures described above.

Federal Home Loan Bank stock - First Federal's investment in Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines (FHLB) stock is carried at cost, which approximates fair value. As a member of the FHLB system, First Federal is required to maintain a
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minimum investment in FHLB stock based on specific percentages of its outstanding mortgages, total assets, or FHLB advances. At December 31, 2018 and 2017, First Federal's minimum investment requirement was approximately $\$ 6.9$ million and $\$ 7.0$ million, respectively. First Federal was in compliance with the FHLB minimum investment requirement at December 31, 2018 and 2017. First Federal may request redemption at par value of any stock in excess of the amount First Federal is required to hold. Stock redemptions are granted at the discretion of the FHLB.

Management evaluates FHLB stock for impairment based on its assessment of the ultimate recoverability of cost rather than by recognizing temporary declines in value. The determination of whether a decline affects the ultimate recoverability of cost is influenced by criteria such as (1) the significance of any decline in net assets of the FHLB compared with the capital stock amount for the FHLB and the length of time this situation has persisted, (2) commitments by the FHLB to make payments required by law or regulation and the level of such payments in relation to the operating performance of the FHLB, (3) the impact of legislative and regulatory changes on institutions and, accordingly, the customer base of the FHLB, and (4) the liquidity position of the FHLB. Based on its evaluation, First Federal did not recognize an OTTI loss on its FHLB stock at December 31, 2018 and 2017.

Loans held for sale - Mortgage loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or fair value. Fair value is determined based upon market prices from third-party purchasers and brokers. Net unrealized losses, if any, are recognized through a valuation allowance by charges to earnings. Gains or losses on the sale of loans are recognized at the time of sale and determined by the difference between net sale proceeds and the net book value of the loan less the estimated fair value of any retained mortgage servicing rights.

Loans receivable - Loans are stated at the amount of unpaid principal, net of charge-offs, unearned income, allowance for loan loss (ALLL) and any deferred fees or costs. Interest on loans is calculated using the simple interest method based on the month end balance of the principal amount outstanding and is credited to income as earned. The estimated life is adjusted for prepayments.

Each loan segment and class inherently contains differing credit risk profiles depending on the unique aspects of that segment or class of loans. For example, borrowers tend to consider their primary residence and access to transportation for employment-related purposes as basic requirements; accordingly, many consumers prioritize making payments on real estate first-mortgage loans and vehicle loans. Conversely, second-mortgage real estate loans or unsecured loans may not be supported by sufficient collateral; thus, in the event of financial hardship, borrowers may tend to place less importance on maintaining these loans as current and the Bank may not have adequate collateral to provide a secondary source of repayment in the event of default. Notwithstanding the various risk profiles unique to each class of loan, management believes that the credit risk for all loans is similarly dependent on essentially the same factors, including the financial strength of the borrower, the cash flow available to service maturing debt obligations, the condition and value of underlying collateral, the financial strength of any guarantors, and other factors.

Loans are classified as impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that First Federal will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal and interest when due, in accordance with the terms of the original loan agreement. The carrying value of impaired loans is based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at each loan's effective interest rate or, for collateral dependent loans, at fair value of the collateral, less selling costs. If the measurement of each impaired loan's value is less than the recorded investment in the loan, First Federal recognizes this impairment and adjusts the carrying value of the loan to fair value through the allowance for loan losses. This can be accomplished by charging off the impaired portion of the loan or establishing a specific component to be provided for in the allowance for loan losses.

The accrual of interest on loans is discontinued at the time the loan is 90 days delinquent, unless the credit is well secured and in process of collection. In all cases, loans are placed on nonaccrual or charged off at an earlier date if collection of principal or interest is considered doubtful.
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All interest accrued but not collected for loans that are placed on nonaccrual or charged off is reversed against interest income. The interest on these loans is accounted for on the cash basis or cost recovery method until qualifying for return to accrual. Loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured. For those loans placed on non-accrual status due to payment delinquency, return to accrual status will generally not occur until the borrower demonstrates repayment ability over a period of not less than six months.

Loan fees - Loan origination fees and certain direct origination costs are deferred and amortized as an adjustment to the yield of the loan over the contractual life using the effective interest method. In the event a loan is sold, the remaining deferred loan origination fees and/or costs are recognized as a component of gains or losses on the sale of loans.

Allowance for loan losses - First Federal maintains a general allowance for loan losses based on evaluating known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio, including management's continuing analysis of the factors underlying the quality of the loan portfolio. These factors include changes in the size and composition of the loan portfolio, actual loan loss experience, and current and anticipated economic conditions. The reserve is an estimate based upon factors and trends identified by management at the time the financial statements are prepared.

The ultimate recovery of loans is susceptible to future market factors beyond First Federal's control, which may result in losses or recoveries differing significantly from those provided in the consolidated financial statements. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination processes, periodically review First Federal's allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require First Federal to recognize additional provisions for loan losses based on their judgment using information available to them at the time of their examination.

Allowances for losses on specific problem loans are charged to income when it is determined that the value of these loans and properties, in the judgment of management, is impaired. First Federal accounts for impaired loans in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 310-10-35, Receivables-Overall-Subsequent Measurement. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that First Federal will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement.

When a loan has been identified as being impaired, the amount of the impairment is measured by using discounted cash flows, except when it is determined that the sole source of repayment for the loan is the operation or liquidation of the underlying collateral. In such cases, impairment is measured at current fair value generally based on a current appraisal of the collateral, reduced by estimated selling costs. When the measurement of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan (including collected interest that has been applied to principal, net deferred loan fees or costs, and unamortized premiums or discounts), loan impairment is recognized by establishing or adjusting an allocation of the allowance for loan losses. Uncollected accrued interest is reversed against interest income. If ultimate collection of principal is in doubt, all cash receipts on impaired loans are applied to reduce the principal balance. The impairment amount for small balance homogeneous loans is calculated using the adjusted historical loss rate for the class and risk category related to each loan, unless the loan is subject to a troubled debt restructuring ("TDR").

A TDR is a loan for which First Federal, for reasons related to the borrower's financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that First Federal would not otherwise consider. The loan terms that have been modified or restructured due to the borrower's financial difficulty include, but are not limited to, a reduction in the stated interest rate; an extension of the maturity; an interest rate below market; a reduction in the face amount of the debt; a reduction in the accrued interest; or extension, deferral, renewal, or rewrite of the original loan terms.

The restructured loans may be classified "special mention" or "substandard" depending on the severity of the modification. Loans that were paid current at the time of modification may be upgraded in their classification after a sustained period of repayment performance, usually six months or longer, and there is reasonable assurance that repayment will continue. Loans that are past due at the time of modification are classified "substandard" and placed on nonaccrual status.
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TDR loans may be upgraded in their classification and placed on accrual status once there is a sustained period of repayment performance, usually six months or longer, and there is a reasonable assurance that repayment will continue. First Federal allows reclassification of a troubled debt restructuring back into the general loan pool (as a non-troubled debt restructuring) if the borrower is able to refinance the loan at then-current market rates and meet all of the underwriting criteria of First Federal required of other borrowers. The refinance must be based on the borrower's ability to repay the debt and no special concessions of rate and/or term are granted to the borrower.

Reserve for unfunded commitments - Management maintains a reserve for unfunded commitments to absorb probable losses associated with off-balance sheet commitments to lend funds such as unused lines of credit and the undisbursed portion of construction loans. Management determines the adequacy of the reserve based on reviews of individual exposures, current economic conditions, and other relevant factors. The reserve is based on estimates and ultimate losses may vary from the current estimates. The reserve is evaluated on a regular basis and necessary adjustments are reported in earnings during the period in which they become known. The reserve for unfunded commitments is included in "Accrued expenses and other liabilities" on the consolidated balance sheets.

Real estate owned and repossessed assets - Real estate owned and repossessed assets include real estate and personal property acquired through foreclosure or repossession, and may include in-substance foreclosed properties. In-substance foreclosed properties are those properties for which the Bank has taken physical possession, regardless of whether formal foreclosure proceedings have taken place.

Mortgage servicing rights - Originated servicing rights are recorded when mortgage loans are originated and subsequently sold with the servicing rights retained. Servicing assets are initially recognized at fair value with the income statement effect recorded in gains on sales of loans and amortized into non-interest income in proportion to, and over the period of, the estimated future net servicing income of the underlying financial asset. To determine the fair value of servicing rights, management uses a valuation model that calculates the present value of future cash flows. Assumptions used in the valuation model include market discount rates and anticipated prepayment speeds. In addition, estimates of the cost of servicing per loan, an inflation rate, ancillary income per loan, and default rates are used. The initial fair value relating to the servicing rights is capitalized and amortized into noninterest income in proportion to, and over the period of, estimated future net servicing income.

Management assesses impairment of the mortgage servicing rights based on recalculations of the present value of remaining future cash flows using updated market discount rates and prepayment speeds. Subsequent loan prepayments and changes in prepayment assumptions in excess of those forecasted can adversely impact the carrying value of the servicing rights. Impairment is assessed on a stratified basis with any impairment recognized through a valuation allowance for each impaired stratum. The servicing rights are stratified based on the predominant risk characteristics of the underlying loans: fixed-rate loans and adjustable-rate loans. The effect of changes in market interest rates on estimated rates of loan prepayments is the predominant risk characteristic for mortgage servicing rights. The valuation model incorporates assumptions that market participants would use in estimating future net servicing income, such as the cost to service, the discount rate, the custodial earnings rate, an inflation rate, ancillary income, prepayment speeds, and default rates and losses.

Mortgage servicing income represents fees earned for servicing loans. Fees for servicing mortgage loans are generally based upon a percentage of the principal balance of the loans serviced, as well as related ancillary income such as late charges. Servicing income is recognized as earned, unless collection is doubtful. The caption in the consolidated statement of income "Mortgage servicing fees, net" includes mortgage servicing income, amortization of mortgage servicing rights, the effects of mortgage servicing run-off, and impairment, if applicable.

Income taxes - First Federal accounts for income taxes in accordance with the provisions of ASC 740-10, Income Taxes, which requires the use of the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for their future tax consequences, attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the enacted
tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled.

Premises and equipment - Premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is recognized and computed on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives as follows:

| Buildings | $37.5-50$ years |
| :--- | ---: |
| Furniture, fixtures, and equipment | $3-10$ years |
| Software | 3 years |
| Automobiles | 5 years |

Transfers of financial assets - Transfers of an entire financial asset, a group of financial assets, or a participating interest in an entire financial asset are accounted for as sales when control over the assets has been relinquished. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered when: (1) the assets have been isolated from First Federal, (2) the transferee obtains the right (free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets, and (3) First Federal does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets through an agreement to repurchase them before their maturity. The mortgage loans that are sold with recourse provisions are accounted for as sales until such time as the loan defaults.

Periodically, First Federal sells mortgage loans with "life of the loan" recourse provisions, requiring First Federal to repurchase the loan at any time if it defaults. The remaining balance of such loans at December 31, 2018, December 31, 2017 and June 30, 2017, was approximately $\$ 5.6$ million, $\$ 5.6$ million and $\$ 6.5$ million, respectively. Of these loans, no loans were repurchased during the year ended December 31, 2018 or six months ended December 31, 2017, and one loan was repurchased in the amount of $\$ 100,000$ during the year ended June 30,2017 . There is an associated allowance of $\$ 19,000$, $\$ 25,000$ and $\$ 33,000$ at December 31, 2018, December 31, 2017 and June 30, 2017, respectively, included in "accrued expenses and other liabilities" on the consolidated balance sheets related to these loans.

Bank-owned life insurance - The carrying amount of life insurance approximates fair value. Fair value of life insurance is estimated using the cash surrender value, less applicable surrender charges. The change in cash surrender value is included in noninterest income.

Off-balance-sheet credit-related financial instruments - In the ordinary course of business, First Federal has entered into commitments to extend credit, including commitments under lines of credit, commercial letters of credit, and standby letters of credit. Such financial instruments are recorded when they are funded.

Advertising costs - First Federal expenses advertising costs as they are incurred.

Comprehensive income (loss) - Accounting principles generally require that recognized revenue, expenses, and gains and losses be included in net income (loss). Although certain changes in assets and liabilities, such as unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities, are reported as a separate component of the equity section of the consolidated balance sheets, such items, along with net income (loss), are components of comprehensive income (loss).

Dividend restriction - Banking regulations require maintaining certain capital levels and may limit the dividends paid by the Bank to the Company or by the Company to shareholders.

Fair value measurements - Fair values of financial instruments are estimated using relevant market information and other assumptions (Note 14). Fair value estimates involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment regarding interest rates, credit risk, prepayments, and other factors, especially in the absence of broad markets for particular items. Changes in assumptions or in market conditions could significantly affect these estimates.
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Segment information - First Federal is engaged in the business of attracting deposits and providing lending services. Substantially all income is derived from a diverse base of commercial, mortgage, and consumer lending activities and investments. The Company's activities are considered to be a single industry segment for financial reporting purposes.

Employee Stock Ownership Plan - The cost of shares issued to the ESOP but not yet allocated to participants is shown as a reduction of shareholders' equity. Compensation expense is based on the market price of shares as they are committed to be released to participants' accounts. Dividends on allocated ESOP shares reduce retained earnings while dividends on unearned ESOP shares reduce debt and accrued interest.

Earnings (loss) per Share - Basic earnings (loss) per share ("EPS") is computed by dividing net income or (loss), reduced by earnings allocated to participating shares of restricted stock, by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. As ESOP shares are committed to be released they become outstanding for EPS calculation purposes. ESOP shares not committed to be released are not considered outstanding for basic or diluted EPS calculations. The basic EPS calculation excludes the dilutive effect of all common stock equivalents. Diluted earnings per share reflects the weighted-average potential dilution that could occur if all potentially dilutive securities or other commitments to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock using the treasury stock method.

According to the provisions of ASC 260, Earnings per Share, nonvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents are participating securities and are included in the computation of EPS pursuant to the two-class method. The two-class method is an earnings allocation formula that determines earnings per share for each class of common stock and participating security according to dividends declared or accumulated and participation rights in undistributed earnings. At this time the Company has no share-based payment awards nor paid a dividend.

Recently adopted accounting pronouncements - In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). In August 2015, FASB issued ASU No. 2015-14, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date, which postponed the effective date of 2014-09. Subsequently, in March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-08, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Principal versus Agent Considerations. This amendment clarifies that an entity should determine if it is the principal or the agent for each specified good or service promised in a contract with a customer. In April 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-10, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing. The core principle of Topic 606 is that an entity must recognize revenue when it has satisfied a performance obligation of transferring promised goods or services to a customer. In general, the new guidance requires companies to use more judgment and make more estimates than under current guidance, including identifying performance obligations in the contract, estimating the amount of variable consideration to include in the transaction price and allocating the transaction price to each separate performance obligation. These standards were effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017. The Company has analyzed its revenue sources of noninterest income to determine when the satisfaction of the performance obligation occurs and the appropriate recognition of revenue. The adoption of these ASUs did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements as the Company did not identify any significant changes in the timing of revenue recognition when considering the amended accounting guidance since it is consistent with the Company's current accounting policy for contracts.

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-01, Financial Instruments - Overall: Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities. ASU 2016-01 requires equity investments (except those accounted for under the equity method of accounting) to be measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in net income. In addition, the amendments in this ASU require an entity to disclose the fair value of financial instruments using the exit price notion. Exit price is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The amendments in this ASU were effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company has used the exit price notion in the fair value disclosure of financial instruments in Note 14 of this report. The adoption of ASU 2016-01 did not have a material
impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements or disclosures in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments. The ASU provides specific guidance on eight classification issues in order to achieve more consistent reporting. The amendments in this ASU were effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company does not currently have items on its cash flow statement that were impacted by adoption of this ASU and therefore adoption of ASU 2016-15 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In March 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-08, Receivables-Nonrefundable Fees and Other Costs (Subtopic 310-20): Premium Amortization on Purchased Callable Debt Securities. The ASU shortens the amortization period for certain callable debt securities held at a premium using the earliest call date. The amendments do not require an accounting change for securities held at a discount; the discount continues to be amortized to maturity. The amendments in this ASU are effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2019. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of ASU 2017-08 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-09, Compensation-Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Scope of Modification Accounting. This ASU provides clarity on the guidance related to stock compensation when there have been changes to the terms or conditions of a share-based payment award to which an entity would be required to apply modification accounting under ASC 718. The ASU provides the three following criteria must be met in order to not account for the effect of the modification of terms or conditions: the fair value, the vesting conditions and the classification as an equity or liability instrument of the modified award is the same as the original award immediately before the original award is modified. The amendments in this ASU were effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2017. The Company has not had any modifications on share-based payment awards and therefore the adoption of ASU 2017-09 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In March 2018, FASB issued ASU No. 2018-05, Income Taxes (Topic 740). This ASU was issued to provide guidance on the income tax accounting implications of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, and allows for entities to report provisional amounts for specific income tax effects of the Act for which the accounting under Topic 740 was not yet complete but a reasonable estimate could be determined. A measurement period of one-year is allowed to complete the accounting effects under Topic 740 and revise any previous estimates reported. Any provisional amounts or subsequent adjustments included in an entity's financial statements during the measurement period should be included in income from continuing operations as an adjustment to tax expense in the reporting period the amounts are determined. The Company adopted this ASU with the provisional adjustments as reported in the Consolidated Financial Statements on Form 10-KT as of December 31, 2017. As of December 31, 2018, the Company did not incur any adjustments to the provisional recognition.

## Recently issued accounting pronouncements

## Lease Accounting

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, Leases. ASU 2016-02 is intended to increase transparency and comparability among organizations by requiring the recognition of lease assets and lease liabilities on the balance sheet and disclosure of key information about leasing arrangements. The principal change required by this ASU relates to lessee accounting, and is that for operating leases, a lessee is required to (1) recognize a right-of-use asset and a lease liability, initially measured at the present value of the lease payments, in the statement of financial position, (2) recognize a single lease cost, calculated so that the cost of the lease is allocated over the lease term on a generally straight-line basis, and (3) classify all cash payments within operating activities in the statement of cash flows. For leases with a term of 12 months or less, a lessee is permitted to make an accounting policy election by class of underlying asset not to recognize lease assets and lease liabilities. If a lessee makes this election, it should recognize lease expense for such leases generally on a straight-line basis over the lease term. ASU 2016-02 also changes disclosure requirements related to leasing activities, and requires certain qualitative disclosures along with specific quantitative disclosures. The amendments in ASU 2016-02 are effective for annual
periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2018. Early application of the amendments in ASU 2016-02 is permitted. Once adopted, we expect to report higher assets and liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheets as a result of including right-of-use assets and lease liabilities related to certain banking offices and certain equipment under noncancelable operating lease agreements, which currently are not reflected in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. We do not expect the guidance to have a material impact on the Consolidated Statements of Income or Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity. ASU 2016-02 initially provided for one retrospective transition method; however a second transition method was later added with ASU 2018-11 which permits companies to utilize certain practical expedients.

In July 2018, FASB issued ASU No. 2018-10, Codification Improvements to Topic 842, Leases. These amendments provide minor clarifications and corrections to ASU 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842).

In July 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-11, Leases (Topic 842): Targeted Improvements. The amendments in this ASU provide entities with an additional optional transition method to adopt ASU 2016-02. Under this new transition method, an entity initially applies the new leases standard at the adoption date and recognizes a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings in the period of adoption. Consequently, an entity's reporting under this additional transition method for the comparative periods presented in the financial statements in which it adopts the new leases standard would continue to be in accordance with current GAAP (Topic 840, Leases).

The Company adopted ASU 2016-02 (as amended by subsequent ASUs) effective January 1, 2019 utilizing the new transition method described in ASU 2018-11 and availed itself of practical expedients. As a lessee, the Company is party to several office leases for which the Company recorded right-of-use assets and lease liabilities at the present value of the remaining minimum rental payments of $\$ 3.9$ million upon adoption of ASU 2016-02. As lessee, the Company applied the following practical expedients in the implementation ASU 2016-02: (i) to not separate non-lease components from the associated lease component as described above and (ii) to not apply the right-of-use recognition requirements to short-term leases.

## Other Accounting Topics

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-13, Financial Instruments - Credit Loss, which updates the guidance on recognition and measurement of credit losses for financial assets. The new requirements, known as the current expected credit loss model (CECL) will require entities to adopt an impairment model based on expected losses rather than incurred losses. ASU No. 2016-13 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Upon adoption, the Company will change processes and procedures to calculate the allowance for loan losses, including changes in assumptions and estimates to consider expected credit losses over the life of the loan versus the current accounting practice that utilizes the incurred loss model. In addition, the current accounting policy and procedures for other-than-temporary impairment on investment securities available for sale will be replaced with an allowance approach. At this time, we cannot reasonably estimate the impact the implementation of this ASU will have on the Company's consolidated financial statements. The Company's internal project management team continues to review models, work with our thirdparty vendor, and discuss changes to processes and procedures to ensure the Company is fully compliant with the amendments at the adoption date.

In August 2017, FASB issued ASU No. 2017-12, Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815). This ASU was issued to provide investors better insight to an entity's risk management hedging strategies by permitting companies to recognize the economic results of its hedging strategies in its financial statements. The amendments in this ASU permit hedge accounting for hedging relationships involving non-financial risk and interest rate risk by removing certain limitations in cash flow and fair value hedging relationships. In addition, the ASU requires an entity to present the earnings effect of the hedging instrument in the same income statement line item in which the earnings effect of the hedged item is reported. This ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and early adoption is permitted. Adoption of ASU 2017-12 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2018, FASB issued ASU No. 2018-07, Compensation—Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Nonemployee Share-Based Payment Accounting. These amendments provide specific guidance for transactions for acquiring
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goods and services from nonemployees and specify that Topic 718 applies to all share-based payment transactions in which a grantor acquires goods or services to be used or consumed in a grantor's own operations by issuing share-based payment awards. The amendments also clarify that Topic 718 does not apply to share-based payments used to effectively provide (i) financing to the issuer or (ii) awards granted in conjunction with selling goods or services to customers as part of a contract accounted for under Topic 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2020. Early adoption is permitted but not earlier than the adoption of Topic 606. The Company does not believe that this guidance will have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements as it has not historically issued share-based payments in exchange for goods or services to be consumed within its operations.

In July 2018, FASB issued ASU No. 2018-09, Codification Improvements. These amendments provide clarifications and corrections to certain ASC subtopics including the following: 220-10 (Income Statement - Reporting Comprehensive Income - Overall), 470-50 (Debt - Modifications and Extinguishments), 480-10 (Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity - Overall), 718-740 (Compensation - Stock Compensation - Income Taxes), 805-740 (Business Combinations - Income Taxes), 815-10 (Derivatives and Hedging - Overall), and 820-10 (Fair Value Measurement - Overall). Some of the amendments in ASU 2018-09 do not require transition guidance and will be effective upon issuance; however, many of the amendments do have transition guidance with effective dates for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2018. The Company is currently assessing the impact this guidance will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2018, FASB issued ASU No. 2018-13, Disclosure Framework - Changes to the Disclosure Requirements for Fair Value Measurement which removes, modifies, and adds certain disclosure requirements related to fair value measurements in ASC 820. This guidance is effective for public companies in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019 with early adoption permitted. The Company is currently assessing the impact this guidance will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2018, FASB issued ASU No. 2018-15 Customer's Accounting for Implementation Costs Incurred in a Cloud Computing Arrangement That Is a Service Contract to provide guidance on implementation costs incurred in a cloud computing arrangement that is a service contract. The ASU aligns the accounting for such costs with the guidance on capitalizing costs associated with developing or obtaining internal-use software. Specifically, the ASU amends ASC 350 to include in its scope implementation costs of such arrangements that are service contracts and clarifies that a customer should apply ASC 350-40 to determine which implementation costs should be capitalized. This ASU, which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In October 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-16 Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815), Inclusion of the Secured Overnight Financing Rate (SOFR) Overnight Index Swap (OIS) Rate as a Benchmark Interest Rate for Hedge Accounting Purposes. The amendments in this ASU permit use of the OIS rate based on SOFR as a U.S. benchmark interest rate for hedge accounting purposes under Topic 815 in addition to the interest rates on direct Treasury obligations of the U.S. government, the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) swap rate, the Overnight Index Swap (OIS) Rate based on the Fed Funds Effective Rate and the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association (SIFMA) Municipal Swap Rate. The amendments in this ASU are required to be adopted concurrently with the amendments in ASU 2017-12. For public companies, this would be for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018. The Company is currently assessing the impact this guidance will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2018, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued a final rule that amends certain of its disclosure requirements. The rule simplifies various disclosure requirements for public companies including primarily that it (i) eliminates the requirement for public companies to disclose in their filings a schedule of earnings to fixed charges, (ii) requires an analysis of changes in stockholders' equity for the current and comparative year-to-date interim periods in interim reports, and (iii) reduces the requirements for market price information disclosures in annual reports. These changes are effective for public companies beginning on November 5, 2018. The Company anticipates complying with these new requirements beginning with its March 31, 2019 Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.
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Reclassifications - Certain amounts in the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements for prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current audited financial statement presentation with no effect on net income or shareholders' equity.

## Note 2 - Securities

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, and estimated fair value of securities classified as available-for-sale and held-to-maturity at December 31,2018 , are summarized as follows:

December 31, 2018

|  | December 31, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost |  | GrossUnrealizedGains |  | GrossUnrealizedLosses |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Estimated } \\ \text { Fair } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Available for Sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Municipal bonds | \$ | 882 | \$ | - | \$ | (13) | \$ | 869 |
| U.S. government agency issued asset-backed securities (ABS agency) |  | 26,125 |  | - |  | (373) |  | 25,752 |
| Corporate issued asset-backed securities (ABS corporate) |  | 37,897 |  | - |  | $(1,174)$ |  | 36,723 |
| Corporate issued debt securities (Corporate debt) |  | 9,986 |  | 98 |  | (196) |  | 9,888 |
| U.S. Small Business Administration securities (SBA) |  | 35,936 |  | 23 |  | (289) |  | 35,670 |
| Total | \$ | 110,826 | \$ | 121 | \$ | $(2,045)$ | \$ | 108,902 |
| Mortgage-Backed Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government agency issued mortgage-backed securities (MBS agency) | \$ | 147,205 | \$ | 12 | \$ | $(3,762)$ | \$ | 143,455 |
| Corporate issued mortgage-backed securities <br> (MBS corporate) |  | 10,953 |  | - |  | (343) |  | 10,610 |
| Total | \$ | 158,158 | \$ | 12 | \$ | $(4,105)$ | \$ | 154,065 |
| Total securities available for sale | \$ | 268,984 | \$ | 133 | \$ | $(6,150)$ | \$ | 262,967 |
| Held to Maturity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Municipal bonds | \$ | 11,919 | \$ | 43 | \$ | - | \$ | 11,962 |
| SBA |  | 302 |  | - |  | (1) |  | 301 |
| Total | \$ | 12,221 | \$ | 43 | \$ | (1) | \$ | 12,263 |
| Mortgage-Backed Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MBS agency | \$ | 31,282 | \$ | 40 | \$ | (595) | \$ | 30,727 |
| Total securities held to maturity | \$ | 43,503 | \$ | 83 | \$ | (596) | \$ | 42,990 |

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, and estimated fair value of securities classified as available-for-sale and held-to-maturity at December 31, 2017, are summarized as follows:

| December 31, 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost |  | Gross Unrealized Gains |  | GrossUnrealizedLosses |  | Estimated Fair Value |  |
| (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | 13,058 | \$ | 391 | \$ | (15) | \$ | 13,434 |
|  | 21,972 |  | 36 |  | (238) |  | 21,770 |
|  | 22,823 |  | - |  | (55) |  | 22,768 |
|  | 19,835 |  | 195 |  | (122) |  | 19,908 |
|  | 47,325 |  | 98 |  | (149) |  | 47,274 |
| \$ | 125,013 | \$ | 720 | \$ | (579) | \$ | 125,154 |
| \$ | 146,532 | \$ | 36 | \$ | $(2,026)$ | \$ | 144,542 |
|  | 20,721 |  | 18 |  | (193) |  | 20,546 |
| \$ | 167,253 | \$ | 54 | \$ | $(2,219)$ | \$ | 165,088 |
| \$ | 292,266 | \$ | 774 | \$ | $(2,798)$ | \$ | 290,242 |

## Held to Maturity

Investment Securities
Municipal bonds
SBA
Total
Mortgage-Backed Securities
MBS agency

Total securities held to maturity

| \$ | 13,963 | \$ | 156 | \$ | - | \$ | 14,119 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 399 |  | - |  | (4) |  | 395 |
| \$ | 14,362 | \$ | 156 | \$ | (4) | \$ | 14,514 |
| \$ | 35,764 | \$ | 338 | \$ | (350) | \$ | 35,752 |
| \$ | 50,126 | \$ | 494 | \$ | (354) | \$ | 50,266 |

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, and estimated fair value of securities classified as available-for-sale and held-to-maturity at June 30, 2017, are summarized as follows:

|  | June 30, 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cost |  | GrossUnrealizedGains |  | GrossUnrealizedLosses |  | Estimated Fair Value |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Available for Sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Municipal bonds | \$ | 21,540 | \$ | 686 | \$ | (3) | \$ | 22,223 |
| Agency bonds |  | 5,050 |  | - |  | (124) |  | 4,926 |
| ABS agency |  | 7,883 |  | - |  | (235) |  | 7,648 |
| ABS corporate |  | 9,921 |  | - |  | (108) |  | 9,813 |
| SBA |  | 14,195 |  | 36 |  | (53) |  | 14,178 |
| Total | \$ | 58,589 | \$ | 722 | \$ | (523) | \$ | 58,788 |
| Mortgage-Backed Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MBS agency | \$ | 144,380 | \$ | 110 | \$ | $(1,054)$ | \$ | 143,436 |
| MBS corporate |  | 26,324 |  | 126 |  | (81) |  | 26,369 |
| Total | \$ | 170,704 | \$ | 236 | \$ | $(1,135)$ | \$ | 169,805 |
| Total securities available for sale | \$ | 229,293 | \$ | 958 | \$ | $(1,658)$ | \$ | 228,593 |
| Held to Maturity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Municipal bonds | \$ | 14,120 | \$ | 306 | \$ | - | \$ | 14,426 |
| SBA |  | 443 |  | - |  | (1) |  | 442 |
| Total | \$ | 14,563 | \$ | 306 | \$ | (1) | \$ | 14,868 |
| Mortgage-Backed Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MBS agency | \$ | 37,309 | \$ | 566 | \$ | (122) | \$ | 37,753 |
| Total securities held to maturity | \$ | 51,872 | \$ | 872 | \$ | (123) | \$ | 52,621 |
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The following table shows the unrealized gross losses and fair value of the investment portfolio by length of time that individual securities in each category have been in a continuous loss position as of December 31, 2018:

| Less Than Tw | e Months | Twelve Months or Longer |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross |  | Gross |  | Gross |  |
| Unrealized | Fair | Unrealized | Fair | Unrealized | Fair |
| Losses | Value | Losses | Value | Losses | Value |

## Available for Sale <br> Investment Securities

| Municipal bonds | $\$$ | $(8)$ | $\$$ | 757 | $\$$ | $(5)$ | $\$$ | 110 | $\$$ | $(13)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | | 867 |
| :--- |
| ABS Agency |

Mortgage-Backed Securities

| MBS agency | \$ | (28) | \$ | 17,996 | \$ | $(3,734)$ | \$ | 120,617 | \$ | $(3,762)$ | \$ | 138,613 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MBS corporate |  | - |  | - |  | (343) |  | 10,610 |  | (343) |  | 10,610 |
| Total | \$ | (28) | \$ | 17,996 | \$ | $(4,077)$ | \$ | 131,227 | \$ | $(4,105)$ | \$ | 149,223 |

## Held to Maturity

Investment Securities

| SBA | \$ | (1) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 301 | \$ | (1) | \$ | 301 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mortgage-Backed Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MBS agency | \$ | (70) | \$ | 6,241 | \$ | (525) | \$ | 18,073 | \$ | (595) | \$ | 24,314 |
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The following table shows the unrealized gross losses and fair value of the investment portfolio by length of time that individual securities in each category have been in a continuous loss position as of December 31, 2017:

| Less Than T | Months | Twelve Mon | or Longer | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross |  | Gross |  | Gross |  |
| Unrealized | Fair | Unrealized | Fair | Unrealized | Fair |
| Losses | Value | Losses | Value | Losses | Value |

## Available for Sale

Investment Securities

| Municipal bonds | \$ | (11) | \$ | 4,276 | \$ | (4) | \$ | 114 | \$ | (15) | \$ | 4,390 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABS Agency |  | - |  | - |  | (238) |  | 7,294 |  | (238) |  | 7,294 |
| ABS Corporate |  | (55) |  | 22,768 |  | - |  | - |  | (55) |  | 22,768 |
| Corporate debt |  | (122) |  | 4,864 |  | - |  | - |  | (122) |  | 4,864 |
| SBA |  | (45) |  | 7,421 |  | (104) |  | 8,067 |  | (149) |  | 15,488 |
| Total | \$ | (233) | \$ | 39,329 | \$ | (346) | \$ | 15,475 | \$ | (579) | \$ | 54,804 |


| Mortgage-Backed Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MBS agency | \$ | (394) | \$ | 57,081 | \$ | $(1,632)$ | \$ | 85,421 | \$ | $(2,026)$ | \$ | 142,502 |
| MBS corporate |  | (22) |  | 5,808 |  | (171) |  | 10,172 |  | (193) |  | 15,980 |
| Total | \$ | (416) | \$ | 62,889 | \$ | $(1,803)$ | \$ | 95,593 | \$ | $(2,219)$ | \$ | 158,482 |

Held to Maturity
Investment Securities

| SBA | \$ | (4) | \$ | 395 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | (4) | \$ | 395 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mortgage-Backed Securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MBS agency | \$ | (6) | \$ | 1,001 | \$ | (344) | \$ | 18,494 | \$ | (350) | \$ | 19,495 |
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The following table shows the unrealized gross losses and fair value of the investment portfolio by length of time that individual securities in each category have been in a continuous loss position as of June 30, 2017:

| Less Than T | Months | Twelve Months or Longer |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross |  | Gross |  | Gross |  |
| Unrealized | Fair | Unrealized | Fair | Unrealized | Fair |
| Losses | Value | Losses | Value | Losses | Value |

## Available for Sale Investment Securities

| Municipal bonds | \$ | (3) | \$ | 116 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | (3) | \$ | 116 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency bonds |  | (52) |  | 2,498 |  | (72) |  | 2,428 |  | (124) |  | 4,926 |
| ABS Agency |  | - |  | - |  | (235) |  | 7,647 |  | (235) |  | 7,647 |
| ABS Corporate |  | - |  | - |  | (108) |  | 9,813 |  | (108) |  | 9,813 |
| SBA |  | (53) |  | 8,405 |  | - |  | - |  | (53) |  | 8,405 |
| Total | \$ | (108) | \$ | 11,019 | \$ | (415) | \$ | 19,888 | \$ | (523) | \$ | 30,907 |

Mortgage-Backed Securities

| MBS agency | $\$$ | $(968)$ | $\$$ | 102,738 | $\$$ | $(86)$ | $\$$ | 4,978 | $\$$ | $(1,054)$ | $\$ 107,716$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MBS corporate |  | $(81)$ | 6,894 | - | - | - | $(81)$ | 6,894 |  |  |  |
| Total |  | $(1,049)$ |  | 109,632 | $\$$ | $(86)$ | $\$$ | 4,978 | $\$$ | $(1,135)$ | $\$$ |

## Held to Maturity

Investment Securities
Municipal bonds

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\hline \$ & (1) \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Mortgage-Backed Securities MBS agency

The Company may hold certain investment securities in an unrealized loss position that are not considered OTTI. At December 31, 2018, there were 69 investment securities with $\$ 6.7$ million of unrealized losses and a fair value of approximately $\$ 268.5$ million. At December 31, 2017, there were 63 investment securities with $\$ 3.2$ million of unrealized losses and a fair value of approximately $\$ 233.2$ million. At June 30, 2017, there were 42 investment securities with $\$ 1.8$ million of unrealized losses and a fair value of approximately $\$ 164.9$ million.

Management believes that the unrealized losses on investment securities relate principally to the general change in interest rates and illiquidity, and not credit quality, that has occurred since the initial purchase, and such unrecognized losses or gains will continue to vary with general interest rate level fluctuations in the future. Certain investments in a loss position are guaranteed by government entities or government sponsored entities. The Company does not intend to sell the securities in an unrealized loss position and believes it is not likely it will be required to sell these investments prior to a market price recovery or maturity.

There were no OTTI losses during the year ended December 31, 2018, six months ended December 31, 2017, and years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016.
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The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities by contractual maturity are shown in the following tables at the dates indicated. Expected maturities of mortgage-backed securities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties; therefore, these securities are shown separately.

|  | December 31, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Available for Sale |  |  |  | Held to Maturity |  |  |  |
|  | Amortized Cost |  | Estimated Fair Value |  | Amortized Cost |  | Estimated Fair Value |  |
|  |  |  |  | (In tho |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due within one year | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Due after one through five years |  | 7,204 |  | 7,089 |  | 578 |  | 569 |
| Due after five through ten years |  | 11,862 |  | 11,637 |  | 2,035 |  | 1,978 |
| Due after ten years |  | 139,092 |  | 135,339 |  | 28,669 |  | 28,180 |
| Total mortgage-backed securities |  | 158,158 |  | 154,065 |  | 31,282 |  | 30,727 |
| All other investment securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due within one year |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Due after one through five years |  | - |  | - |  | 734 |  | 741 |
| Due after five through ten years |  | 19,564 |  | 19,362 |  | 6,728 |  | 6,743 |
| Due after ten years |  | 91,262 |  | 89,540 |  | 4,759 |  | 4,779 |
| Total all other investment securities |  | 110,826 |  | 108,902 |  | 12,221 |  | 12,263 |
| Total investment securities | \$ | 268,984 | \$ | 262,967 | \$ | 43,503 | \$ | 42,990 |

December 31, 2017

|  | Available for Sale |  |  |  | Held to Maturity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost |  | Estimated Fair Value |  | Amortized Cost |  | Estimated Fair Value |  |
|  |  |  |  | (In tho |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due within one year | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Due after one through five years |  | 7,363 |  | 7,260 |  | 1,957 |  | 1,973 |
| Due after five through ten years |  | 13,337 |  | 13,127 |  | 2,835 |  | 2,792 |
| Due after ten years |  | 146,553 |  | 144,701 |  | 30,972 |  | 30,987 |
| Total mortgage-backed securities |  | 167,253 |  | 165,088 |  | 35,764 |  | 35,752 |
| All other investment securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due within one year |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Due after one through five years |  | 4,388 |  | 4,380 |  | - |  | - |
| Due after five through ten years |  | 29,482 |  | 29,661 |  | 9,491 |  | 9,574 |
| Due after ten years |  | 91,143 |  | 91,113 |  | 4,871 |  | 4,940 |
| Total all other investment securities |  | 125,013 |  | 125,154 |  | 14,362 |  | 14,514 |
| Total investment securities | \$ | 292,266 | \$ | 290,242 | \$ | 50,126 | \$ | 50,266 |


|  | June 30, 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Available for Sale |  |  |  | Held to Maturity |  |  |  |
|  | Amortized Cost |  | Estimated Fair Value |  | Amortized Cost |  | Estimated Fair Value |  |
|  |  |  |  | (In th |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due within one year | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Due after one through five years |  | - |  | - |  | 2,518 |  | 2,550 |
| Due after five through ten years |  | 19,009 |  | 18,919 |  | 3,260 |  | 3,233 |
| Due after ten years |  | 151,695 |  | 150,886 |  | 31,531 |  | 31,970 |
| Total mortgage-backed securities |  | 170,704 |  | 169,805 |  | 37,309 |  | 37,753 |
| All other investment securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due within one year |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Due after one through five years |  | 6,890 |  | 6,848 |  | - |  | - |
| Due after five through ten years |  | 22,042 |  | 22,124 |  | 9,637 |  | 9,817 |
| Due after ten years |  | 29,657 |  | 29,816 |  | 4,926 |  | 5,051 |
| Total all other investment securities |  | 58,589 |  | 58,788 |  | 14,563 |  | 14,868 |
| Total investment securities | \$ | 229,293 | \$ | 228,593 | \$ | 51,872 | \$ | 52,621 |

Sales of available-for-sale securities were as follows:


During the year ended December 31, 2018, the Bank sold certain held to maturity investments that had substantially reached maturity, allowing us to sell the securities without tainting the remaining held to maturity securities portfolio. The held-tomaturity designation of the remaining securities is unchanged. Gross proceeds on the sale of these securities totaled $\$ 2.7$ million with gross realized gains and losses of $\$ 32,000$ and $\$ 5,000$, respectively.

## Note 3 - Loans Receivable

Loans receivable consist of the following at the dates indicated:

|  | December 31, 2018 |  | December 31, 2017 |  | June 30, 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family | \$ | 336,178 | \$ | 355,391 | \$ | 328,243 |
| Multi-family |  | 82,331 |  | 73,767 |  | 58,101 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 253,235 |  | 202,956 |  | 202,038 |
| Construction and land |  | 54,102 |  | 71,145 |  | 71,630 |
| Total real estate loans |  | 725,846 |  | 703,259 |  | 660,012 |
| Consumer: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home equity |  | 37,629 |  | 38,473 |  | 35,869 |
| Auto and other consumer |  | 87,357 |  | 28,106 |  | 21,043 |
| Total consumer loans |  | 124,986 |  | 66,579 |  | 56,912 |
| Commercial business loans |  | 18,898 |  | 16,303 |  | 17,073 |
| Total loans |  | 869,730 |  | 786,141 |  | 733,997 |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net deferred loan fees |  | 292 |  | 724 |  | 904 |
| Premium on purchased loans, net |  | $(3,947)$ |  | $(2,454)$ |  | $(2,216)$ |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | 9,533 |  | 8,760 |  | 8,523 |
| Total loans receivable, net | \$ | 863,852 | \$ | 779,111 | \$ | 726,786 |

# FIRST NORTHWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY 
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Loans, by the earlier of next repricing date or maturity, at the dates indicated:
$\frac{\text { December 31, } 2018}{} \frac{\text { December 31, } 2017}{\text { (In thousands) }} \xrightarrow{\text { June 30, } 2017}$

Adjustable-rate loans
Due within one year
After one but within five years
After five but within ten years
After ten years

Fixed-rate loans
Due within one year
After one but within five years
After five but within ten years
After ten years

| $\$ 84,284$ | $\$$ | 78,675 | $\$$ | 109,039 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 263,118 |  | 221,664 |  | 213,265 |
| 59,922 | 114,880 | 90,873 |  |  |
| 5,202 | 1,223 | 5,299 |  |  |
|  | 412,526 | 416,442 |  | 418,476 |
|  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 1,698 |  | 9,475 |  | 7,632 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 83,407 |  | 37,838 |  | 34,436 |
|  | 120,094 |  | 87,786 |  | 58,360 |
|  | 252,005 |  | 234,600 |  | 215,093 |
|  | 457,204 |  | 369,699 |  | 315,521 |
| \$ | 869,730 | \$ | 786,141 | \$ | 733,997 |

The adjustable-rate loans have interest rate adjustment limitations and are generally indexed to multiple indices. Future market factors may affect the correlation of adjustable loan interest rates with the rates First Federal pays on the short-term deposits that have been primarily used to fund such loans.

The following tables summarize changes in the ALLL and the loan portfolio by segment and impairment method at or for the periods shown:

|  | At or For the Year Ended December 31, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One-tofour family | Multifamily | Commercial real estate |  | Construction and land |  | Home equity |  | Auto and other consumer |  | Commercial business |  | Unallocated |  | Total |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALLL: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning balance | \$ 3,061 | \$ 648 | \$ | 1,847 | \$ | 648 | \$ | 787 | \$ | 712 | \$ | 265 | \$ | 792 | \$ | 8,760 |
| Provision for loan losses | 249 | 114 |  | 442 |  | (65) |  | (332) |  | 1,315 |  | 68 |  | (617) |  | 1,174 |
| Charge-offs | (18) | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | (638) |  | - |  | - |  | (656) |
| Recoveries | 5 | - |  | - |  | 2 |  | 25 |  | 222 |  | 1 |  | - |  | 255 |
| Ending balance | \$ 3,297 | \$ 762 | \$ | 2,289 | \$ | 585 | \$ |  | \$ | 1,611 | \$ | 334 | \$ | 175 | \$ | 9,533 |


|  | At December 31, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One-tofour family |  | Multifamily | Commercial real estate |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Construction } \\ & \text { and land } \end{aligned}$ |  | Home equity | Auto and other consumer |  | Commercialbusiness |  | Unallocated |  | Total |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ALLL |  | 3,297 | \$ 762 | \$ | 2,289 | \$ | 585 | \$ 480 | \$ | 1,611 | \$ | 334 | \$ | 175 | \$ | 9,533 |
| General reserve |  | 3,262 | 761 |  | 2,281 |  | 584 | 474 |  | 1,552 |  | 168 |  | 175 |  | 9,257 |
| Specific reserve |  | 35 | 1 |  | 8 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 59 |  | 166 |  | - |  | 276 |
| Total loans |  | 336,178 | \$ 82,331 | \$ | 253,235 | \$ | 54,102 | \$ 37,629 |  | 87,357 | \$ | 18,898 | \$ | - | \$ | 869,730 |
| General reserves ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 333,062 | 82,221 |  | 251,263 |  | 54,058 | 37,002 |  | 87,113 |  | 18,453 |  | - |  | 863,172 |
| Specific reserves ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 3,116 | 110 |  | 1,972 |  | 44 | 627 |  | 244 |  | 445 |  | - |  | 6,558 |

At or For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

| One-to- <br> four <br> family | Multi- <br> family | Commercial <br> real estate | Construction <br> and land | Home <br> (In thousands) | Auto and <br> equity <br> consumer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Commercial <br> business |
| :---: |

ALLL:

| Beginning balance | \$ | 3,071 | \$ | 511 | \$ | 1,735 | \$ | 683 | \$ | 818 | \$ | 523 | \$ | 1,168 | \$ | 14 | \$ | 8,523 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Provision for loan losses |  | (112) |  | 137 |  | 112 |  | (36) |  | (6) |  | 231 |  | (904) |  | 778 |  | 200 |
| Charge-offs |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | (47) |  | (159) |  | - |  | - |  | (206) |
| Recoveries |  | 102 |  | - |  | - |  | 1 |  | 22 |  | 117 |  | 1 |  | - |  | 243 |
| Ending balance | \$ | 3,061 | \$ | 648 | \$ | 1,847 | \$ | 648 | \$ | 787 | \$ | 712 | \$ | 265 | \$ | 792 | \$ | 8,760 |

At December 31, 2017


At or For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| One-to- <br> four <br> family | Multi- <br> family | Commercial <br> real estate | Construction <br> and land | Home <br> equity | Auto and <br> other <br> consumer | Commercial <br> business | (In thousands)   |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

ALLL:

| Beginning balance | \$ | 2,992 | \$ | 341 | \$ | 1,268 | \$ | 599 | \$ | 833 | \$ | 310 | \$ | 335 | \$ | 561 | \$ | 7,239 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Provision for loan losses |  | (34) |  | 170 |  | 467 |  | 82 |  | (90) |  | 376 |  | 836 |  | (547) |  | 1,260 |
| Charge-offs |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | (81) |  | (252) |  | (5) |  | - |  | (338) |
| Recoveries |  | 113 |  | - |  | - |  | 2 |  | 156 |  | 89 |  | 2 |  | - |  | 362 |
| Ending balance | \$ | 3,071 | \$ | 511 | \$ | 1,735 | \$ | 683 | \$ | 818 | \$ | 523 | \$ | 1,168 | \$ | 14 | \$ | 8,523 |


|  | At June 30, 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | One-tofour family | Multifamily | Commercial real estate |  | Construction and land |  | Home equity | Auto and other consumer |  | Commercial business |  | Unallocated |  | Total |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ALLL | \$ 3,071 | \$ 511 | \$ | 1,735 | \$ | 683 | \$ 818 | \$ | 523 | \$ | 1,168 | \$ | 14 | \$ | 8,523 |
| General reserve | 2,988 | 510 |  | 1,718 |  | 682 | 797 |  | 501 |  | 961 |  | 14 |  | 8,171 |
| Specific reserve | 83 | 1 |  | 17 |  | 1 | 21 |  | 22 |  | 207 |  | - |  | 352 |
| Total loans | \$ 328,243 | \$ 58,101 | \$ | 202,038 | \$ | 71,630 | \$ 35,869 |  | 1,043 | \$ | 17,073 | \$ | - | \$ | 733,997 |
| General reserves ${ }^{(1)}$ | 323,592 | 57,983 |  | 200,467 |  | 71,602 | 35,160 |  | 1,021 |  | 16,784 |  | - |  | 726,609 |
| Specific reserves ${ }^{(2)}$ | 4,651 | 118 |  | 1,571 |  | 28 | 709 |  | 22 |  | 289 |  | - |  | 7,388 |



ALLL:

| Beginning balance | \$ | 3,143 | \$ | 251 | \$ | 998 | \$ | 336 |  | ,052 | \$ | 321 | \$ | 251 | \$ | 759 | \$ | 7,111 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Provision for loan losses |  | (140) |  | 90 |  | 288 |  | 247 |  | (205) |  | 102 |  | 49 |  | (198) |  | 233 |
| Charge-offs |  | (75) |  | - |  | (18) |  | (17) |  | (77) |  | (172) |  | (7) |  | - |  | (366) |
| Recoveries |  | 64 |  | - |  | - |  | 33 |  | 63 |  | 59 |  | 42 |  | - |  | 261 |
| Ending balance | \$ | 2,992 | \$ | 341 | \$ | 1,268 | \$ | 599 | \$ | 833 | \$ | 310 | \$ | 335 | \$ | 561 | \$ | 7,239 |

# FIRST NORTHWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY 
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The following table presents a summary of loans individually evaluated for impairment by portfolio segment including the average recorded investment in and interest income recognized on impaired loans at or for the periods shown:

|  | December 31, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | Year Ended <br> December 31, 2018 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Recorded Investment |  | Unpaid Principal Balance |  | Related Allowance |  | Average Recorded Investment |  | InterestIncomeRecognized |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With no allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family | \$ | 306 | \$ | 339 | \$ | - | \$ | 381 | \$ | 15 |
| Multi-family |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Commercial real estate |  | 1,308 |  | 1,374 |  | - |  | 1,942 |  | 47 |
| Construction and land |  | - |  | 1 |  | - |  | 1,243 |  | - |
| Home equity |  | 330 |  | 478 |  | - |  | 349 |  | 12 |
| Other consumer |  | - |  | 276 |  | - |  | - |  | 14 |
| Commercial business |  | - |  | 3 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total |  | 1,944 |  | 2,471 |  | - |  | 3,915 |  | 88 |
| With an allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family |  | 2,810 |  | 3,085 |  | 35 |  | 3,016 |  | 181 |
| Multi-family |  | 110 |  | 110 |  | 1 |  | 113 |  | 6 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 664 |  | 663 |  | 8 |  | 738 |  | 35 |
| Construction and land |  | 44 |  | 71 |  | 1 |  | 66 |  | 5 |
| Home equity |  | 297 |  | 364 |  | 6 |  | 275 |  | 22 |
| Other consumer |  | 244 |  | 244 |  | 59 |  | 126 |  | 8 |
| Commercial business |  | 445 |  | 445 |  | 166 |  | 777 |  | 64 |
| Total |  | 4,614 |  | 4,982 |  | 276 |  | 5,111 |  | 321 |
| Total impaired loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family |  | 3,116 |  | 3,424 |  | 35 |  | 3,397 |  | 196 |
| Multi-family |  | 110 |  | 110 |  | 1 |  | 113 |  | 6 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 1,972 |  | 2,037 |  | 8 |  | 2,680 |  | 82 |
| Construction and land |  | 44 |  | 72 |  | 1 |  | 1,309 |  | 5 |
| Home equity |  | 627 |  | 842 |  | 6 |  | 624 |  | 34 |
| Other consumer |  | 244 |  | 520 |  | 59 |  | 126 |  | 22 |
| Commercial business |  | 445 |  | 448 |  | 166 |  | 777 |  | 64 |
| Total | \$ | 6,558 | \$ | 7,453 | \$ | 276 | \$ | 9,026 | \$ | 409 |

# FIRST NORTHWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY 

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents a summary of loans individually evaluated for impairment by portfolio segment including the average recorded investment in and interest income recognized on impaired loans at or for the periods shown:

Six Months Ended


# FIRST NORTHWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY 

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents a summary of loans individually evaluated for impairment by portfolio segment including the average recorded investment in and interest income recognized on impaired loans at or for the periods shown:


With no allowance recorded:

| One- to four-family | \$ | 646 | \$ | 845 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,623 | \$ | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multi-family |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Commercial real estate |  | 297 |  | 406 |  | - |  | 383 |  | - |
| Construction and land |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Home equity |  | 379 |  | 410 |  | - |  | 232 |  | 6 |
| Other consumer |  | - |  | 124 |  | - |  | - |  | 4 |
| Commercial business |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total |  | 1,322 |  | 1,785 |  | - |  | 2,238 |  | 22 |
| With an allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family |  | 4,005 |  | 4,295 |  | 83 |  | 3,897 |  | 213 |
| Multi-family |  | 118 |  | 118 |  | 1 |  | 120 |  | 6 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 1,274 |  | 1,278 |  | 17 |  | 1,229 |  | 68 |
| Construction and land |  | 28 |  | 52 |  | 1 |  | 39 |  | 2 |
| Home equity |  | 330 |  | 398 |  | 21 |  | 353 |  | 23 |
| Other consumer |  | 22 |  | 50 |  | 22 |  | 53 |  | - |
| Commercial business |  | 289 |  | 289 |  | 207 |  | 338 |  | 15 |
| Total |  | 6,066 |  | 6,480 |  | 352 |  | 6,029 |  | 327 |
| Total impaired loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family |  | 4,651 |  | 5,140 |  | 83 |  | 5,520 |  | 225 |
| Multi-family |  | 118 |  | 118 |  | 1 |  | 120 |  | 6 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 1,571 |  | 1,684 |  | 17 |  | 1,612 |  | 68 |
| Construction and land |  | 28 |  | 52 |  | 1 |  | 39 |  | 2 |
| Home equity |  | 709 |  | 808 |  | 21 |  | 585 |  | 29 |
| Other consumer |  | 22 |  | 174 |  | 22 |  | 53 |  | 4 |
| Commercial business |  | 289 |  | 289 |  | 207 |  | 338 |  | 15 |
| Total | \$ | 7,388 | \$ | 8,265 | \$ | 352 | \$ | 8,267 | \$ | 349 |

The following table presents the average recorded investment in loans individually evaluated for impairment and the related interest income recognized for the period shown:

|  | Year Ended June 30, 2016 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Recorded Investment |  | Interest Income Recognized |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |
| With no allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family | \$ | 2,178 | \$ | 69 |
| Multi-family |  | 284 |  | - |
| Commercial real estate |  | 325 |  | 12 |
| Construction and land |  | 14 |  | - |
| Home equity |  | 186 |  | 7 |
| Other consumer |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Commercial business |  | 19 |  | - |
| Total |  | 3,009 |  | 91 |
| With an allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family |  | 3,928 |  | 200 |
| Multi-family |  | 166 |  | 6 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 1,098 |  | 69 |
| Construction and land |  | 141 |  | 9 |
| Home equity |  | 503 |  | 31 |
| Other consumer |  | 149 |  | 9 |
| Commercial business |  | 367 |  | 22 |
| Total |  | 6,352 |  | 346 |
| Total impaired loans: |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family |  | 6,106 |  | 269 |
| Multi-family |  | 450 |  | 6 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 1,423 |  | 81 |
| Construction and land |  | 155 |  | 9 |
| Home equity |  | 689 |  | 38 |
| Other consumer |  | 152 |  | 12 |
| Commercial business |  | 386 |  | 22 |
| Total | \$ | 9,361 | \$ | 437 |

Interest income recognized on a cash basis on impaired loans for the year ended December 31, 2018, the six months ended December 31, 2017, and the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 was $\$ 371,000 \$ 135,000, \$ 313,000$, and $\$ 376,000$, respectively.

The following table presents the recorded investment in nonaccrual loans by class of loan at the dates indicated:

|  | December 31, 2018 |  | December 31, 2017 |  | June 30, 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family | \$ | 759 | \$ | 681 | \$ | 1,042 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 133 |  | 378 |  | 426 |
| Construction and land |  | 44 |  | 52 |  | 28 |
| Home equity |  | 369 |  | 365 |  | 398 |
| Other consumer |  | 245 |  | 59 |  | 21 |
| Commercial business loans |  | 173 |  | - |  | - |
| Total nonaccrual loans | \$ | 1,723 | \$ | 1,535 | \$ | 1,915 |

Past due loans - There were no loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest at December 31, 2018, December 31, 2017, and June 30, 2017.

The following table presents the recorded investment of past due loans, by class, as of December 31, 2018:

| 30-59 | 60-89 | 90 Days |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days | Days | or More | Total |  | Total |
| Past Due | Past Due | Past Due | Past Due | Current | Loans |

Real Estate:

| One- to four-family | \$ | 289 | \$ | 176 | \$ | 164 | \$ | 629 | \$ | 335,549 | \$ | 336,178 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multi-family |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 82,331 |  | 82,331 |
| Commercial real estate |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 253,235 |  | 253,235 |
| Construction and land |  | 35 |  | 14 |  | 31 |  | 80 |  | 54,022 |  | 54,102 |
| Total real estate loans |  | 324 |  | 190 |  | 195 |  | 709 |  | 725,137 |  | 725,846 |
| Consumer: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home equity |  | 97 |  | 30 |  | 9 |  | 136 |  | 37,493 |  | 37,629 |
| Other consumer |  | 471 |  | 92 |  | - |  | 563 |  | 86,794 |  | 87,357 |
| Total consumer loans |  | 568 |  | 122 |  | 9 |  | 699 |  | 124,287 |  | 124,986 |
| Commercial business loans |  | 923 |  | - |  | - |  | 923 |  | 17,975 |  | 18,898 |
| Total loans | \$ | 1,815 | \$ | 312 | \$ | 204 | \$ | 2,331 | \$ | 867,399 | \$ | 869,730 |

The following table presents the recorded investment of past due loans, by class, as of December 31, 2017:

| $\begin{gathered} 30-59 \\ \text { Days } \\ \text { Past Due } \end{gathered}$ | 60-89 | 90 Days or More Past Due | Total <br> Past Due | Current | Total <br> Loans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Days |  |  |  |  |
|  | Past Due |  |  |  |  |
| (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |

Real Estate:

| One- to four-family | $\$$ | 213 | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | 231 | $\$$ | 444 | $\$ 354,947$ | $\$ 355,391$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Multi-family | - | - | - | - | 73,767 | 73,767 |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate |  | 91 |  | - | - | 91 | 202,865 | 202,956 |  |  |
| Construction and land | 1,187 |  | - |  | 19 | 1,206 | 69,939 | 71,145 |  |  |
| Total real estate loans | 1,491 |  | - |  | 250 |  | 1,741 | 701,518 | 703,259 |  |

Consumer:

| Home equity |  | 383 |  | 78 |  | - |  | 461 |  | 38,012 |  | 38,473 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other consumer |  | 77 |  | 30 |  | - |  | 107 |  | 27,999 |  | 28,106 |
| Total consumer loans |  | 460 |  | 108 |  | - |  | 568 |  | 66,011 |  | 66,579 |
| Commercial business loans |  | 648 |  | - |  | - |  | 648 |  | 15,655 |  | 16,303 |
| Total loans | \$ | 2,599 | \$ | 108 | \$ | 250 | \$ | 2,957 | \$ | 783,184 | \$ | 786,141 |

The following table presents the recorded investment of past due loans, by class, as of June 30, 2017:

| $\begin{gathered} 30-59 \\ \text { Days } \\ \text { Past Due } \end{gathered}$ | 60-89 | 90 Days |  |  | Total Loans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Days | or More | Total | Current |  |
|  | Past Due | Past Due | Past Due |  |  |
|  |  | (In | nds) |  |  |

Real Estate:

| One- to four-family | \$ | - | \$ | 206 | \$ | - | \$ | 206 | \$ | 328,037 | \$ | 328,243 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multi-family |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 58,101 |  | 58,101 |
| Commercial real estate |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 202,038 |  | 202,038 |
| Construction and land |  | - |  | 34 |  | 20 |  | 54 |  | 71,576 |  | 71,630 |
| Total real estate loans |  | - |  | 240 |  | 20 |  | 260 |  | 659,752 |  | 660,012 |

Consumer:

| Home equity |  | 21 |  | 294 |  | 10 |  | 325 |  | 35,544 |  | 35,869 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other consumer |  | 28 |  | 73 |  | - |  | 101 |  | 20,942 |  | 21,043 |
| Total consumer loans |  | 49 |  | 367 |  | 10 |  | 426 |  | 56,486 |  | 56,912 |
| Commercial business loans |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 17,073 |  | 17,073 |
| Total loans | \$ | 49 | \$ | 607 | \$ | 30 | \$ | 686 | \$ | 733,311 | \$ | 733,997 |

Credit quality indicator - Federal regulations provide for the classification of lower quality loans and other assets, such as debt and equity securities, as substandard, doubtful, or loss; risk ratings 6,7 , and 8 in our 8-point risk rating system, respectively. An asset is considered substandard if it is inadequately protected by the current net worth and pay capacity of the borrower or of any collateral pledged. Substandard assets include those characterized by the distinct possibility that First Federal will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Assets classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make collection or liquidation in full highly questionable and improbable, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values. Assets
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classified as loss are those considered uncollectible and of such little value that their continuance as assets without the establishment of a specific loss reserve is not warranted.

When First Federal classifies problem assets as either substandard or doubtful, it may establish a specific allowance to address the risk specifically or First Federal may allow the loss to be addressed in the general allowance. General allowances represent loss allowances that have been established to recognize the inherent risk associated with lending activities but that, unlike specific allowances, have not been specifically allocated to particular problem assets. When an insured institution classifies problem assets as a loss, it is required to charge off such assets in the period in which they are deemed uncollectible. Assets that do not currently expose First Federal to sufficient risk to warrant classification as substandard or doubtful but possess identified weaknesses are designated as either watch or special mention assets; risk ratings 4 and 5 in our risk rating system, respectively. Loans not otherwise classified are considered pass graded loans and are rated 1-3 in our risk rating system.

Additionally, First Federal categorizes loans as performing or nonperforming based on payment activity. Loans that are more than 90 days past due and nonaccrual loans are considered nonperforming.

The following table represents the internally assigned grade as of December 31, 2018, by class of loans:


Real Estate:

| One- to four-family | \$ | 330,476 | \$ | 3,767 | \$ | 957 | \$ | 978 | \$ | 336,178 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multi-family |  | 82,221 |  | - |  | 110 |  | - |  | 82,331 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 244,919 |  | 6,281 |  | 663 |  | 1,372 |  | 253,235 |
| Construction and land |  | 51,480 |  | 2,578 |  | - |  | 44 |  | 54,102 |
| Total real estate loans |  | 709,096 |  | 12,626 |  | 1,730 |  | 2,394 |  | 725,846 |

## Consumer:

| Home equity |  | 36,559 |  | 465 |  | 123 |  | 482 |  | 37,629 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other consumer |  | 85,579 |  | 1,310 |  | 151 |  | 317 |  | 87,357 |
| Total consumer loans |  | 122,138 |  | 1,775 |  | 274 |  | 799 |  | 124,986 |
| Commercial business loans |  | 16,520 |  | 1,733 |  | 472 |  | 173 |  | 18,898 |
| Total loans | \$ | 847,754 | \$ | 16,134 | \$ | 2,476 | \$ | 3,366 | \$ | 869,730 |
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The following table represents the internally assigned grade as of December 31, 2017, by class of loans:


The following table represents the internally assigned grade as of June 30 , 2017, by class of loans:


| Real Estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One- to four-family | \$ | 321,596 | \$ | 3,680 | \$ | 1,153 | \$ | 1,814 | \$ | 328,243 |
| Multi-family |  | 56,103 |  | 1,880 |  | 118 |  | - |  | 58,101 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 188,956 |  | 10,243 |  | 2,232 |  | 607 |  | 202,038 |
| Construction and land |  | 65,175 |  | 2,197 |  | 4,161 |  | 97 |  | 71,630 |
| Total real estate loans |  | 631,830 |  | 18,000 |  | 7,664 |  | 2,518 |  | 660,012 |
| Consumer: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home equity |  | 34,913 |  | 215 |  | 57 |  | 684 |  | 35,869 |
| Other consumer |  | 20,676 |  | 159 |  | 173 |  | 35 |  | 21,043 |
| Total consumer loans |  | 55,589 |  | 374 |  | 230 |  | 719 |  | 56,912 |
| Commercial business loans |  | 14,143 |  | 1,464 |  | 1,451 |  | 15 |  | 17,073 |
| Total loans | \$ | 701,562 | \$ | 19,838 | \$ | 9,345 | \$ | 3,252 | \$ | 733,997 |

The following table represents the credit risk profile based on payment activity as of December 31, 2018, by class of loans:


The following table represents the credit risk profile based on payment activity as of December 31, 2017, by class of loans:
$\xrightarrow{\text { Nonperforming }} \frac{\text { Performing }}{(\text { In thousands })} \xrightarrow{\text { Total }}$

Real Estate:

| One- to four-family | \$ | 681 | \$ | 354,710 | \$ | 355,391 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multi-family |  | - |  | 73,767 |  | 73,767 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 378 |  | 202,578 |  | 202,956 |
| Construction and land |  | 52 |  | 71,093 |  | 71,145 |
| Consumer: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home equity |  | 365 |  | 38,108 |  | 38,473 |
| Other consumer |  | 59 |  | 28,047 |  | 28,106 |
| Commercial business loans |  | - |  | 16,303 |  | 16,303 |
| Total loans | \$ | 1,535 | \$ | 784,606 | \$ | 786,141 |
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The following table represents the credit risk profile based on payment activity as of June 30, 2017, by class of loans:

|  | Nonperforming |  | Performing |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family | \$ | 1,042 | \$ | 327,201 | \$ | 328,243 |
| Multi-family |  | - |  | 58,101 |  | 58,101 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 426 |  | 201,612 |  | 202,038 |
| Construction and land |  | 28 |  | 71,602 |  | 71,630 |
| Consumer: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home equity |  | 398 |  | 35,471 |  | 35,869 |
| Other consumer |  | 21 |  | 21,022 |  | 21,043 |
| Commercial business loans |  | - |  | 17,073 |  | 17,073 |
| Total loans | \$ | 1,915 | \$ | 732,082 | \$ | 733,997 |

The following is a summary of information pertaining to TDR loans included in impaired loans at the dates indicated:

|  | December 31, 2018 |  | December 31, 2017 |  | June 30, 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total TDR loans | \$ | 3,745 | \$ | 4,919 | \$ | 6,145 |
| Allowance for loan losses related to TDR loans |  | 43 |  | 182 |  | 315 |
| Total nonaccrual TDR loans |  | 84 |  | 393 |  | 673 |

The following table presents newly restructured and renewals or modifications of existing TDR loans by class that occurred during the year ended December 31, 2018, by type of concession granted:

$\xlongequal{$|  Number  |
| :---: |
|  of Contracts  |$} \frac{$|  Rate  |
| :---: |
|  Modification  |}{}$\frac{$|  Term  |
| :---: |
|  Modification  |}{(Dollars in}$\frac{$|  Combination  |
| :---: |
|  Modification  |}{} | thousands) |
| :---: | | Total <br> Modifications |
| :---: |

Pre-modification outstanding recorded investment
One- to four-family

|  | 3 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 229 | \$ | 229 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 229 | \$ | 229 |
| estment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 228 | \$ | 228 |
|  | 3 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 228 | \$ | 228 |

The following is a summary of TDR loans which incurred a payment default within 12 months of the restructure date during the year ended December 31, 2018.

$$
\frac{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Number } \\
\text { of Contracts }
\end{array}}{\underline{c} \begin{array}{c}
\text { Rate } \\
\text { Modification }
\end{array}} \frac{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Term } \\
\text { Modification }
\end{array}}{\text { (Dollars in }} \frac{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Combination } \\
\text { Modification }
\end{array}}{\text { thousands) }} \frac{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Total } \\
\text { Modifications }
\end{array}}{}
$$

TDR loans that subsequently defaulted
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { One- to four-family } & 2 & \$ & - & \$ & - & 140 & \$\end{array}$
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The following table presents newly restructured and renewals or modifications of existing TDR loans by class that occurred during the six months ended December 31, 2017, by type of concession granted:

| Number | Rate | Term | Combination | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| of Contracts | Modification | Modification | Modification | Modifications |
|  |  | (Dollars | thousands) |  |

Pre-modification outstanding recorded investment
One- to four-family

|  | 1 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 146 | \$ | 146 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 146 | \$ | 146 |
| estment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 131 | \$ | 131 |
|  | 1 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 131 | \$ | 131 |

The following is a summary of TDR loans which incurred a payment default within 12 months of the restructure date during the six months ended December 31, 2017.

$$
\underline{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Number } \\
\text { of Contracts }
\end{array}} \frac{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Rate } \\
\text { Modification }
\end{array}}{} \frac{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Term } \\
\text { Modification }
\end{array}}{\text { (Dollars in }} \frac{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Combination } \\
\text { Modification }
\end{array}}{} \begin{gathered}
\text { Total } \\
\text { thousands) }
\end{gathered} \begin{gathered}
\\
\text { Modifications }
\end{gathered}
$$

TDR loans that subsequently defaulted
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}\text { One- to four-family } & 1 & \$ & - & \$ & 86 & \$ & - & \$ & 86\end{array}$

The following table presents newly restructured and renewals or modifications of existing TDR loans by class that occurred during the year ended June 30, 2017, by type of concession granted:

| Number | Rate | Term | Combination | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| of Contracts | Modification | Modification | Modification | Modifications |
|  |  | (Dollars | thousands) |  |

Pre-modification outstanding recorded investment

| One- to four-family | 3 | \$ | 95 | \$ | 89 | \$ | 244 | \$ | 428 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial real estate | 1 |  | - |  | - |  | 134 |  | 134 |
|  | 3 | \$ | 95 | \$ | 89 | \$ | 378 | \$ | 562 |
| st-modification outstanding recorded investment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family | 3 | \$ | 92 | \$ | 87 | \$ | 236 | \$ | 415 |
| Commercial real estate | 1 |  | - |  | - |  | 129 |  | 129 |
|  | 4 | \$ | 92 | \$ | 87 | \$ | 365 | \$ | 544 |

The following is a summary of TDR loans which incurred a payment default within 12 months of the restructure date during the year ended June 30, 2017.

| Number | Rate | Term | Combination | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| of Contracts | Modification | Modification | Modification | Modifications |
|  |  | (Dollars in | thousands) |  |

TDR loans that subsequently defaulted
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { One- to four-family } & 1 & \$ & - & \$ & - & 5 & \$ & 50\end{array}$

The following table presents newly restructured and renewals or modifications of existing TDR loans by class that occurred during the year ended June 30, 2016, by type of concession granted:

$\xlongequal{$|  Number  |
| :---: |
|  of Contracts  |$}$| Rate <br> Modification |
| :---: |

Pre-modification outstanding recorded investment
One- to four-family

|  | 6 | \$ | 19 | \$ | - | \$ | 481 | \$ | 500 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | \$ | 19 | \$ | - | \$ | 481 | \$ | 500 |
| estment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | \$ | 18 | \$ | - | \$ | 484 | \$ | 502 |
|  | 4 | \$ | 18 | \$ | - | \$ | 484 | \$ | 502 |

The following is a summary of TDR loans which incurred a payment default within 12 months of the restructure date during the year ended June 30, 2016.

$$
\underline{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Number } \\
\text { of Contracts }
\end{array}} \frac{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Rate } \\
\text { Modification }
\end{array}}{} \frac{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Term } \\
\text { Modification }
\end{array}}{\text { (Dollars in }} \begin{gathered}
\begin{array}{c}
\text { Combination } \\
\text { Modification }
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

TDR loans that subsequently defaulted


No additional funds are committed to be advanced in connection with TDR loans at December 31, 2018.
The following table presents TDR loans by class at the dates indicated by accrual and nonaccrual status.

|  | December 31, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | December 31, 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Accrual |  | Nonaccrual |  | Total |  | Accrual |  | Nonaccrual |  | Total |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family | \$ | 2,358 | \$ | 84 | \$ | 2,442 | \$ | 3,165 | \$ | 176 | \$ | 3,341 |
| Multi-family |  | 110 |  | - |  | 110 |  | 115 |  | - |  | 115 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 663 |  | - |  | 663 |  | 693 |  | 217 |  | 910 |
| Home equity |  | 258 |  | - |  | 258 |  | 270 |  | - |  | 270 |
| Commercial business loans |  | 272 |  | - |  | 272 |  | 283 |  | - |  | 283 |
| Total TDR loans | \$ | 3,661 | \$ | 84 | \$ | 3,745 | \$ | 4,526 | \$ | 393 | \$ | 4,919 |


|  | June 30, 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Accrual |  | Nonaccrual |  | Total |  |
| One- to four-family | \$ | 3,608 | \$ | 421 | \$ | 4,029 |
| Multi-family |  | 118 |  | - |  | 118 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 1,145 |  | 252 |  | 1,397 |
| Home equity |  | 312 |  | - |  | 312 |
| Commercial business loans |  | 289 |  | - |  | 289 |
| Total TDR loans | \$ | 5,472 | \$ | 673 | \$ | 6,145 |
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TDR loans may be upgraded in their classification and placed on accrual status once there is a sustained period of repayment performance, usually six months or longer, and there is a reasonable assurance that repayment will continue. First Federal allows reclassification of a troubled debt restructuring back into the general loan pool (as a non-troubled debt restructuring) if the borrower is able to refinance the loan at then-current market rates and meet all of the underwriting criteria of First Federal required of other borrowers. The refinance must be based on the borrower's ability to repay the debt and no special concessions of rate and/or term are granted to the borrower.

## Note 4 - Real Estate Owned and Repossessed Assets

The following table presents the activity in real estate owned and repossessed assets for the periods shown:

|  | For the Year Ended December 31, 2018 |  | For the Six Months Ended December 31, 2017 |  | Year Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2017 | 2016 |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning balance | \$ | 23 |  |  | \$ | 104 | \$ | 81 | \$ | 1,914 |
| Loans transferred to foreclosed assets |  | 276 |  | 45 |  | 222 |  | 1,352 |
| Sales |  | (146) |  | (97) |  | (207) |  | $(3,591)$ |
| Market value adjustments |  | (3) |  | (19) |  | (32) |  | (140) |
| Net (loss) gain on sales |  | (26) |  | (10) |  | 40 |  | 546 |
| Ending balance | \$ | 124 | \$ | 23 | \$ | 104 | \$ | 81 |

The following table presents the breakout of real estate owned and repossessed assets by type as of:


## Note 5 - Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment consist of the following as of:

|  | December 31, 2018 |  | December 31, 2017 |  | June 30, 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land | \$ | 2,560 | \$ | 2,560 | \$ | 2,560 |
| Buildings |  | 6,075 |  | 6,074 |  | 6,074 |
| Building improvements |  | 11,985 |  | 8,971 |  | 8,928 |
| Furniture, fixtures, and equipment |  | 7,446 |  | 7,109 |  | 7,348 |
| Software |  | 1,507 |  | 1,464 |  | 1,447 |
| Automobiles |  | 81 |  | 81 |  | 81 |
| Construction in progress |  | 9 |  | 988 |  | 75 |
|  |  | 29,663 |  | 27,247 |  | 26,513 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization |  | $(14,408)$ |  | $(13,508)$ |  | $(13,277)$ |
|  | \$ | 15,255 | \$ | 13,739 | \$ | 13,236 |

Depreciation expense was $\$ 1.3$ million, $\$ 579,000, \$ 1.2$ million, and $\$ 1.1$ million for the year ended December 31, 2018, six months ended December 31, 2017, and years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Rent expense for buildings were $\$ 476,000, \$ 219,000, \$ 305,000$, and $\$ 144,000$ for the year ended December 31, 2018, six months ended December 31, 2017, and years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Operating lease commitments - The Bank has lease agreements with unaffiliated parties for six locations. The lease terms for our four branches and two loan production offices are not individually material. Lease expirations range from one to twenty years.

All lease agreements require the Bank to pay its pro-rata share of building operating expenses. The minimum annual lease payments under non-cancelable operating leases with initial or remaining terms of one year or more through the initial lease term are as follows:

|  | December 31, |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Twelve-month period ending: | (In thousands) |  |
| 2019 | $\$$ | 315 |
| 2020 |  | 311 |
| 2021 | 286 |  |
| 2022 | 214 |  |
| 2023 | 218 |  |
| Thereafter | 1,927 |  |
| Total minimum payments required | $\$$ | 3,271 |
|  |  |  |

## Note 6 - Mortgage Servicing Rights

Loans serviced for FHLB, Fannie Mae, and Freddie Mac are not included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The unpaid principal balances of serviced loans, primarily mortgage loans, were $\$ 175.5$ million, $\$ 186.1$ million, and $\$ 176.3$ million at December 31, 2018, December 31, 2017, and June 30, 2017, respectively.

Mortgage servicing rights for the periods shown are as follows:

|  | For the Year Ended December 31, 2018 |  | For the Six Months Ended December 31, 2017 |  | Year Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2017 | 2016 |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ | 1,095 |  |  | \$ | 986 | \$ | 998 | \$ | 1,187 |
| Additions |  | 208 |  | 168 |  | 222 |  | 70 |
| Amortization |  | (256) |  | (59) |  | (234) |  | (259) |
| Valuation allowance |  | (3) |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Balance at end of period | \$ | 1,044 | \$ | 1,095 | \$ | 986 | \$ | 998 |

There was no valuation allowance for mortgage servicing rights for the six months ended December 31, 2017, and years ended June 30, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

The key economic assumptions used in determining the fair value of mortgage servicing rights for the periods shown are as follows:

|  | For the Year Ended December 31, 2018 | For the Six Months Ended December 31, 2017 | Year Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2017 | 2016 |
| Constant prepayment rate | 15.4\% | 12.9\% | 12.6\% | 11.0\% |
| Weighted-average life (years) | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 5.8 |
| Yield to maturity discount | 10.5\% | 9.9\% | 9.8\% | 9.3\% |

The fair values of mortgage servicing rights are approximately $\$ 1.5$ million, $\$ 1.7$ million, and $\$ 1.6$ million at December 31, 2018, December 31, 2017, and June 30, 2017, respectively.

The following represents servicing and late fees earned in connection with mortgage servicing rights and is included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements as a component of noninterest income for the periods shown:

| For the Year Ended December 31, 2018 | Months Ended <br> December 31, 2017 | Year Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2017 |  | 2016 |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| \$ 454 | \$ 228 | \$ | 464 | \$ | 502 |
| 15 | 7 |  | 17 |  | 18 |

## Note 7 - Deposits

The aggregate amount of time deposits that meet or exceed the FDIC insured limit, currently $\$ 250,000$, at December 31, 2018, December 31, 2017, and June 30, 2017, was $\$ 107.0$ million, $\$ 82.3$ million, and $\$ 68.0$ million, respectively. Deposits and weighted-average interest rates at the dates indicated are as follows:


Maturities of certificates at the dates indicated are as follows:

|  | December 31, 2018 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In thousands) |  |
| Within one year or less | \$ | 148,119 |
| After one year through two years |  | 78,966 |
| After two years through three years |  | 20,934 |
| After three years through four years |  | 6,759 |
| After four years through five years |  | 6,574 |
| After five years |  | - |
|  | \$ | 261,352 |

Deposits at December 31, 2018, December 31, 2017, and June 30, 2017, include $\$ 80.0$ million, $\$ 56.2$ million, and $\$ 54.5$ million, respectively, in public fund deposits. Investment securities with a carrying value of $\$ 47.6$ million, $\$ 41.0$ million, and $\$ 41.8$ million were pledged as collateral for these deposits at December 31, 2018, December 31, 2017, and June 30, 2017, respectively. This exceeds the minimum collateral requirements established by the Washington Public Deposit Protection Commission.

Interest on deposits by type for the periods shown was as follows:

|  | For the Year Ended December 31, 2018 |  | For the Six Months Ended December 31, 2017 |  | For the Years Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2017 | 2016 |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings | \$ | 369 |  |  | \$ | 28 | \$ | 42 | \$ | 36 |
| Transaction accounts |  | 74 |  | 9 |  | 17 |  | 14 |
| Money market accounts |  | 1,142 |  | 417 |  | 828 |  | 609 |
| Certificates of deposit and jumbo certificates |  | 3,765 |  | 1,427 |  | 1,972 |  | 1,510 |
|  | \$ | 5,350 | \$ | 1,881 | \$ | 2,859 | \$ | 2,169 |
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## Note 8 - Borrowings

First Federal is a member of the FHLB. As a member, First Federal has a committed line of credit of up to $40 \%$ of total assets, subject to the amount of FHLB stock ownership and certain collateral requirements.

First Federal has entered into borrowing arrangements with the FHLB to borrow funds primarily under long-term, fixed-rate advance agreements. First Federal also has overnight borrowings through FHLB which renew daily until paid. First Federal periodically uses fixed-rate advances maturing in less than one year as an alternative source of funds. All borrowings are secured by collateral consisting of single-family, home equity, and multi-family loans receivable in the amounts of \$339.2 million, $\$ 342.0$ million; and $\$ 334.9$ million, and investment securities with a carrying value of $\$ 1.2$ million, $\$ 2.7$ million, and $\$ 3.4$ million, at December 31, 2018, December 31, 2017, and June 30, 2017, respectively, pledged as collateral.

FHLB advances outstanding by type of advance were as follows:

|  | December 31, 2018 |  |  | December 31, 2017 |  | June 30, 2017 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\$ 0,000$ | $\$$ | 60,000 | $\$$ | 60,000 |  |
| Long-term advances | $\$$ | 25,000 | 84,100 | - |  |  |
| Short-term fixed-rate advances | 51,552 | - | 17,427 |  |  |  |

The maximum and average outstanding balances and average interest rates on overnight variable-rate advances were as follows:

|  | For the Year Ended December 31, 2018 |  | For the Six Months Ended December 31, 2017 |  | For the Years Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 017 |  | 016 |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum outstanding at any month-end | \$ | 110,723 |  |  | \$ | 62,960 | \$ | 47,338 | \$ | 50,233 |
| Monthly average outstanding |  | 47,049 |  | 42,329 |  | 24,208 |  | 11,200 |
| Weighted-average daily interest rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual |  | 2.10\% |  | 1.38\% |  | 0.79\% |  | 0.35\% |
| Period End |  | 2.58\% |  | 1.54\% |  | 1.28\% |  | 0.42\% |
| Interest expense during the period |  | 933 |  | 284 |  | 192 |  | 42 |

The maximum and average outstanding balances and average interest rates on short-term, fixed-rate advances were as follows:


The amounts by year of maturity and weighted-average interest rate of FHLB long-term, fixed-rate advances are as follows:

|  | December 31, 2018 |  |  | December 31, 2017 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weighted-Average Interest Rate |  | Amount | Weighted-Average Interest Rate |  | Amount |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Within one year or less | 2.71\% | \$ | 15,000 | -\% | \$ | - |
| After one year through two years | 3.78 |  | 25,000 | 2.71 |  | 15,000 |
| After two years through three years | 3.81 |  | 20,000 | 3.78 |  | 35,000 |
| After three years through four years | - |  | - | 3.82 |  | 10,000 |
| After four years through five years | - |  | - | - |  | - |
| After five years | - |  | - | - |  | - |
|  |  | \$ | 60,000 |  | \$ | 60,000 |


|  | June 30, 2017 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weighted-Average Interest Rate | Amount |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |
| Within one year or less | -\% | \$ | - |
| After one year through two years | - |  | - |
| After two years through three years | 3.24 |  | 30,000 |
| After three years through four years | 3.80 |  | 30,000 |
| After four years through five years | - |  | - |
| After five years | - |  | - |
|  |  | \$ | 60,000 |

The maximum and average outstanding balances and average interest rates on FHLB long-term, fixed-rate advances were as follows:

|  | For the Year Ended December 31, 2018 |  | For the Six Months Ended December 31, 2017 |  | For the Years Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2017 | 2016 |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum outstanding at any month-end | \$ | 60,000 |  |  | \$ | 60,000 | \$ | 60,000 | \$ | 89,924 |
| Monthly average outstanding |  | 60,000 |  | 60,000 |  | 60,000 |  | 75,808 |
| Weighted-average interest rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual |  | 3.52\% |  | 3.52\% |  | 3.52\% |  | 3.35\% |
| Period End |  | 3.52\% |  | 3.52\% |  | 3.52\% |  | 3.52\% |
| Interest expense during the period |  | 2,104 |  | 1,067 |  | 2,108 |  | 2,559 |

## Note 9 - Federal Taxes on Income

The provision (benefit) for income taxes for the periods shown is summarized as follows:

|  | For the Year Ended December 31, 2018 |  | For the Six Months Ended December 31, 2017 |  | For the Years Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2017 | 2016 |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current | \$ | 1,927 |  |  | \$ | 240 | \$ | 2,815 | \$ | 2,364 |
| Deferred |  | (352) |  | 1,802 |  | $(1,153)$ |  | (907) |
|  | \$ | 1,575 | \$ | 2,042 | \$ | 1,662 | \$ | 1,457 |

A reconciliation of the tax provision (benefit) based on statutory corporate tax rates, estimated to be $21 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2018, on pre-tax income and the provision (benefit) shown in the accompanying consolidated statements of income for the periods shown is summarized as follows:

|  | For the Year Ended December 31, 2018 |  | For the Six Months Ended December 31, 2017 |  | For the Years Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2017 | 2016 |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income taxes computed at statutory rates | \$ | 1,823 |  |  | \$ | 1,258 | \$ | 2,305 | \$ | 1,853 |
| Tax credits |  | - |  | (157) |  | (78) |  | - |
| Tax-exempt income |  | (84) |  | (138) |  | (320) |  | (358) |
| Bank-owned life insurance income |  | (125) |  | (106) |  | (499) |  | (39) |
| Deferred tax asset valuation allowance |  | (1) |  | 52 |  | - |  | - |
| Adjustment of deferred tax assets and liabilities for enacted change in tax laws |  | - |  | 1,092 |  | - |  | - |
| Other, net |  | (38) |  | 41 |  | 254 |  | 1 |
|  | \$ | 1,575 | \$ | 2,042 | \$ | 1,662 | \$ | 1,457 |

As a result of the bad debt deductions taken in years prior to 1988, retained earnings include accumulated earnings of approximately $\$ 6.4$ million, on which federal income taxes have not been provided. If, in the future, this portion of retained earnings is used for any purpose other than to absorb losses on loans or on property acquired through foreclosure, federal income taxes may be imposed at the then-prevailing corporate tax rates. The Company does not contemplate that such amounts will be used for any purpose that would create a federal income tax liability; therefore, no provision has been made.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. These calculations are based on many complex factors including estimates of the timing of reversals of temporary differences, the interpretation of federal income tax laws, and a determination of the differences between the tax and the financial reporting basis of assets and liabilities. Actual results could differ significantly from the estimates and interpretations used in determining the current and deferred income tax assets and liabilities.

During the year ended June 30,2015 , the Company contributed $\$ 400,000$ in cash and $\$ 9.3$ million in common stock to the Foundation. Under current Federal income tax regulations, charitable contribution deductions are limited to $10 \%$ of taxable
income. Accordingly, the $\$ 9.7$ million contribution created a carryforward for income tax purposes with a deferred tax asset of $\$ 3.3$ million and related valuation allowance of $\$ 1.9$ million for financial statement reporting purposes. At December 31, 2018 , the balance of the contribution carryforward totaled $\$ 7.2$ million. The contribution carryforward will expire in 2019. A valuation allowance is provided when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The Company evaluates whether its deferred tax assets will be realized and adjusts the amount of its valuation allowance, if necessary. There was a valuation allowance of $\$ 1.2$ million and $\$ 1.2$ million, at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, the reduction of which predominantly relates to the change in corporate tax rate.

The Company applies the provisions of FASB ASC 740 that require the application of a more-likely-than-not recognition criterion for the reporting of uncertain tax positions on its financial statements. The Company had no unrecognized tax assets at December 31, 2018 and 2017. During the year ended December 31, 2018 and six months ended December 31, 2017, the Company recognized no interest and penalties. The Company recognizes interest and penalties in income tax expense. The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and is no longer subject to U.S. federal income tax examinations by tax authorities for years ending before June 30, 2015.

On December 22, 2017, the U.S. Government enacted the Tax Act. The Tax Act amends the Internal Revenue Code to reduce tax rates and modify policies, credits, and deductions for individuals and businesses. For businesses, the Tax Act reduces the corporate federal tax rate from a maximum of $35 \%$ to a flat $21 \%$ rate. The corporate tax rate reduction was effective January 1, 2018. The Tax Act required a revaluation the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities to account for the future impact of lower corporate tax rates and other provisions of the legislation. As a result of the Company's revaluation, the net deferred tax asset was reduced through an increase to the provision for income taxes. The Company has also elected to change its tax year end from June 30 to December 31 beginning with the six months ended December 31, 2017. As a result of changing the tax year, the Company recorded an increase to the deferred tax asset valuation allowance to account for the loss of six months of taxable income.

The components of net deferred tax assets and liabilities at the periods shown are summarized as follows:
$\frac{\text { December 31, } 2018}{\text { (In thousands) }} \xrightarrow{\text { December 31, } 2017} \xrightarrow{\text { June 30, } 2017}$

| Deferred tax assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$ | 2,049 | \$ | 1,888 | \$ | 2,957 |
| Unrealized loss on securities available for sale |  | 1,264 |  | 425 |  | 238 |
| Accrued compensation |  | 397 |  | 284 |  | 952 |
| Nonaccrual loans |  | 4 |  | 4 |  | 6 |
| ESOP timing differences |  | 195 |  | 138 |  | 111 |
| Restricted stock awards |  | 134 |  | 124 |  | 332 |
| Deferred investment loss |  | - |  | 16 |  | - |
| Contribution carryforward |  | 1,515 |  | 1,639 |  | 2,716 |
| Total deferred tax assets |  | 5,558 |  | 4,518 |  | 7,312 |
| Deferred tax liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred loan fees |  | 436 |  | 440 |  | 474 |
| FHLB stock dividends |  | 488 |  | 495 |  | 801 |
| Accumulated depreciation |  | 734 |  | 763 |  | 1,249 |
| Deferred investment gain |  | 14 |  | - |  | 11 |
| Other, net |  | 23 |  | 85 |  | 24 |
| Total deferred tax liabilities |  | 1,695 |  | 1,783 |  | 2,559 |
| Deferred tax asset, net |  | 3,863 |  | 2,735 |  | 4,753 |
| Deferred tax asset valuation allowance |  | $(1,224)$ |  | $(1,225)$ |  | $(1,898)$ |
| Deferred tax asset, net of valuation allowance | \$ | 2,639 | \$ | 1,510 | \$ | 2,855 |

## Note 10 - Benefit Plans

## Multi-employer Pension Plan

The Bank participates in the Pentegra Defined Benefit Plan for Financial Institutions (the Pentegra DB Plan), a tax-qualified defined-benefit pension plan that covered substantially all employees after one year of continuous employment. Pension benefits vested over a period of five years of credited service. The Pentegra DB Plan's Employer Identification Number is 13-5645888 and the Plan Number is 12004. The Pentegra DB Plan operates as a multi-employer plan for accounting purposes and as a multiple-employer plan under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 and the Internal Revenue Code. There are no collective bargaining agreements in place that require contributions to the Pentegra DB Plan. The Pentegra Defined Benefit Plan was frozen and no new benefits were allowed as of February 1, 2010.

The Pentegra DB Plan is a single plan under Internal Revenue Code Section 413(c) and, as a result, all of the assets stand behind all of the liabilities. Accordingly, under the Pentegra DB Plan, contributions made by a participating employer may be used to provide benefits to participants of other participating employers.

The table below presents the funded status (market value of plan assets divided by funding target) of the plan as of July 1 :

|  | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | Valuation Report | Valuation Report | Valuation Report |
| Our plan | 112.5\% | 113.1\% | 106.3\% |

There was no change to the funded status of the plan as of December 31, 2018. First Federal's contributions to the Pentegra DB Plan are not more than $5 \%$ of the total contributions to the Pentegra DB Plan. First Federal's policy is to fund pension costs as accrued.

Total contributions during the periods shown were:

| Year Ended <br> December 31, 2018 |  |  | Six Months Ended <br> December 31, 2017 |  |  | Years Ended |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | December 31, 2017 | June 30, 2017 |  |  |
| Date Paid | Amount |  |  |  |  | Date Paid | Amount |  | Date Paid | Amount |  | Date Paid | Date Paid |  |
| (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/31/2018 | \$ | 386 | 12/13/2017 | \$ | 400 | 10/12/2016 | \$ | 75 | 10/14/2015 | \$ | 74 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 12/19/2016 |  | 524 | 1/4/2016 |  | 425 |
|  | \$ | 386 |  | \$ | 400 |  | \$ | 599 |  | \$ | 499 |

## Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan

First Federal also sponsors a nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan for members of the board of directors and eligible officer-level employees. This plan, approved by the Board on February 1, 2012, allows eligible participants to defer and invest a portion of their earnings in a selection of investment options identified in the plan at no expense to First Federal. All deferrals are remitted to Pentegra, the Plan Administrator, and held in a trust. The aggregate balance held in trust at December 31, 2018, was $\$ 784,000$.

The Company also has agreements with certain key officers that provide for potential payments upon retirement, disability, termination, change in control and death.

## 401(k) Plan

First Federal maintains a single-employer 401(k) plan. Employees may contribute up to $100 \%$ of their pre-tax compensation to the $401(\mathrm{k})$ plan, subject to regulatory limits. First Federal provides matching funds of $50 \%$ limited to the first $6 \%$ of salary contributed. First Federal's contributions were $\$ 245,000, \$ 110,000, \$ 177,000$, and $\$ 159,000$ during the year ended December 31, 2018, six months ended December 31, 2017, and years ended June 30, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

## Employee Stock Ownership Plan

In connection with the mutual to stock conversion, the Company established an ESOP for eligible employees of the Company and the Bank. Employees of the Company who have been credited with at least 1,000 hours of service during a 12-month period are eligible to participate in the ESOP.

Pursuant to the Plan, the ESOP purchased in the open market $8 \%$ of the common stock originally issued in the mutual to stock conversion. As of December 31, 2018, 1,048, 029 shares, or $100 \%$ of the total, have been purchased in the open market at an average price of $\$ 12.45$ per share with funds borrowed from First Northwest. The Bank will make contributions to the ESOP in amounts necessary to amortize the ESOP loan payable to First Northwest over a period of 20 years, bearing estimated interest at $2.46 \%$.

Shares purchased by the ESOP with the loan proceeds are held in a suspense account and allocated to ESOP participants on a pro rata basis as principal and interest payments are made by the ESOP to the Company. The loan is secured by shares purchased with the loan proceeds and will be repaid by the ESOP with funds from the Bank's discretionary contributions to the ESOP and earnings on the ESOP assets. Annual principal and interest payments of $\$ 835,000, \$ 835,000$, and $\$ 810,000$, were made by the ESOP during the years ended December 31, 2018, and June 30, 2017, and 2016, respectively. No payment was made during the six months ended December 31, 2017.

As shares are committed to be released from collateral, the Company reports compensation expense equal to the average daily market prices of the shares and the shares become outstanding for EPS computations. The compensation expense is accrued monthly throughout the year. Dividends on allocated ESOP shares will be recorded as a reduction of retained earnings; dividends on unallocated ESOP shares will be recorded as a reduction of debt and accrued interest.

Compensation expense related to the ESOP for the year ended December 31, 2018, six months ended December 31, 2017, and years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, was $\$ 851,000, \$ 436,000$, and $\$ 763,000$ and $\$ 677,000$, respectively.

Shares issued to the ESOP as of the dates indicated are as follows:

|  | December 31, 2018 |  | December 31, 2017 |  | June 30, 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | thousands) |  |  |
| Allocated shares |  | 201,026 |  | 148,137 |  | 121,695 |
| Unallocated shares |  | 847,003 |  | 899,892 |  | 926,334 |
| Total ESOP shares issued |  | 1,048,029 |  | 1,048,029 |  | 1,048,029 |
| Fair value of unallocated shares | \$ | 12,561 | \$ | 14,668 | \$ | 14,608 |

## Stock-based Compensation

On November 16, 2015, the Company's shareholders approved the First Northwest Bancorp 2015 Equity Incentive Plan (the "EIP"), which provides for the grant of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units to eligible participants. The cost of awards under the EIP generally is based on the fair value of the awards on their grant date. The maximum number of shares that may be utilized for awards under the EIP is $1,834,050$. Under the EIP stock options may be granted that, upon exercise, result in the issuance of up to $1,310,036$ shares of common stock and up to 524,014 shares of restricted stock may be awarded. Shares of common stock issued under the EIP may be authorized but unissued shares or repurchased shares. During the year ended June 30, 2017, the Company purchased and retired 523,014 shares of common stock to be used for future stock awards.

During the year ended December $31,2018,65,000$ shares of restricted stock were awarded and no stock options were granted. There were 50,000 shares of restricted stock awarded during the six months ended December 31, 2017, and no stock options were granted. There were 402,500 shares awards during the year ended June 30, 2017, and no stock options were granted. Awarded shares of restricted stock vest over five years from the date of grant as long as the eligible participant remains in service to the Company. The Company recognizes compensation expense for the restricted stock awards based on the fair value of the shares at the award date.

For the year ended December 31, 2018, six months ended December 31, 2017, and years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, total compensation expense for the EIP was $\$ 1.1$ million, $\$ 589,000, \$ 977,000$, and $\$ 0$, respectively.

Included in the above compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2018, six months ended December 31, 2017, and years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, was directors' compensation of $\$ 343,000, \$ 174,000, \$ 383,000$, and $\$ 0$, respectively.

The following tables provide a summary of changes in non-vested restricted stock awards for the periods shown:

|  | For the Year Ended December 31, 2018 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shares | Weighted-Average Grant Date |  |
| Non-vested at January 1, 2018 | 347,600 | \$ | 13.18 |
| Granted | 65,000 |  | 15.38 |
| Vested | $(65,324)$ |  | 13.06 |
| Canceled (1) | $(18,076)$ |  | 13.06 |
| Forfeited | $(38,600)$ |  | 13.14 |
| Non-vested at December 31, 2018 | 290,600 |  | 13.72 |

(1) A surrender of vested stock awards by a participant surrendering the number of shares valued at the current stock price at the vesting date to cover the total cost of the vested shares. The surrendered shares are canceled and are unavailable for reissue.

As of December 31, 2018, there was $\$ 3.5$ million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested shares granted as restricted stock awards. The cost is expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted-average vesting period of approximately 3.3 years.

## Note 11 - Regulatory Capital Requirements

Under Federal regulations, pre-conversion retained earnings are restricted for the protection of pre-conversion depositors. The Company is a bank holding company under the supervision of the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco. Bank holding companies are subject to capital adequacy requirements of the Federal Reserve Board under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, and the regulations of the Federal Reserve Board. The Bank is a federally insured institution and thereby is subject to the capital requirements established by the FDIC. The Federal Reserve Board capital requirements generally parallel the FDIC requirements. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Bank's assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Bank's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors. Prompt corrective action provisions are not applicable to bank holding companies.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table that follows) of total and Tier I capital to risk-weighted assets (as defined in the regulations) and of Tier 1 capital to average assets.

Effective January 1, 2015 (with some changes transitioned into full effectiveness over two to four years), First Northwest Bancorp and First Federal became subject to capital requirements which created a required ratio for common equity Tier 1 ("CET1") capital, increased the leverage and Tier 1 capital ratios, changed the risk-weightings of certain assets for purposes of the risk-based capital ratios, created an additional capital conservation buffer over the required capital ratios and changed what qualifies as capital for purposes of meeting these various capital requirements. First Northwest Bancorp and First Federal are required to maintain additional levels of Tier 1 common equity over the minimum risk-based capital levels to avoid limitations on dividends, repurchase shares and paying discretionary bonuses.

The minimum requirements are a ratio of common equity Tier 1 capital ("CET1 capital") to total risk-weighted assets the ("CET1 risk-based ratio") of $4.5 \%$, a Tier 1 capital ratio of $6.0 \%$, a total capital ratio of $8.0 \%$, and a leverage ratio of $4.0 \%$.

In addition to the capital requirements, there were a number of changes in what constitutes regulatory capital, subject to a certain transition period. These changes include the phasing-out of certain instruments as qualifying capital. The Bank does not have any of these instruments. Mortgage servicing and deferred tax assets over designated percentages of CET1 are deducted from capital, subject to a transition period ending December 31, 2017. CET1 consists of Tier 1 capital less all capital components that are not considered common equity. In addition, Tier 1 capital includes accumulated other comprehensive income, which includes all unrealized gains and losses on available for sale debt and equity securities, subject to a transition period ending December 31, 2017. Because of the Bank's asset size, the Bank is not considered an advanced approaches banking organization and has elected to permanently opt-out of the inclusion of unrealized gains and losses on available for sale debt and equity securities in its capital calculations.

The requirements also include changes in the risk-weighting of assets to better reflect credit risk and other risk exposure. These include a $150 \%$ risk weight (up from $100 \%$ ) for certain high volatility commercial real estate acquisition, development and construction loans and for non-residential mortgage loans that are 90 days past due or otherwise in nonaccrual status; a $20 \%$ (up from $0 \%$ ) credit conversion factor for the unused portion of a commitment with an original maturity of one year or less that is not unconditionally cancellable; and a $250 \%$ risk weight (up from $100 \%$ ) for mortgage servicing and deferred tax assets that are not deducted from capital.

In order to avoid limitations on paying dividends, engaging in share repurchases, and paying discretionary bonuses based on percentages of eligible retained income that could be utilized for such actions, First Northwest Bancorp and First Federal must maintain CET1 capital at an amount greater than the required minimum levels plus a capital conservation buffer. This new capital conservation buffer requirement was phased in starting in January 2016 requiring a buffer of $0.625 \%$ of riskweighted assets and will increase each year until fully implemented to an amount of $2.5 \%$ of risk-weighted assets in January 2019. As of December 31, 2018, the conservation buffer was $1.875 \%$.

Under the new standards, in order to be considered well-capitalized, the Bank must maintain a CET1 risk-based ratio of 6.5\% (new), a Tier 1 risk-based ratio of $8 \%$ (increased from 6\%), a total risk-based capital ratio of $10 \%$ (unchanged) and a leverage ratio of $5 \%$ (unchanged).

As of December 31, 2018, the most recent regulatory notifications categorized First Federal as "well capitalized" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as "well capitalized," the Bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, CET1 risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based, and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the following table. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed First Federal's category.

At periodic intervals, banking regulators routinely examine First Northwest and First Federal as part of their legally prescribed oversight of the banking industry. A future examination could include a review of certain transactions or other amounts reported in the Company's consolidated financial statements. Based on these examinations, the regulators can direct that the Company's consolidated financial statements be adjusted in accordance with their findings. In view of the uncertain regulatory environment in which First Northwest and First Federal operate, the extent, if any, to which a forthcoming regulatory examination may ultimately result in adjustments to the accompanying consolidated financial statements cannot presently be determined.

At December 31, 2018, First Federal exceeded all regulatory capital requirements. Effective with the September 30, 2018 as-of-date, First Northwest Bancorp was transitioned back to the small bank holding company filing requirements which do not require capital ratios to be reported.

Actual and required capital amounts and ratios are presented in the following table:

$\frac{\text { Amount }}{\text { Actual }} \frac{\text { Ratio }}{} \frac{$|  For Capital  |
| :---: |
|  Adequacy Purposes  |}{Amount}$\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\text { (Dollars in thousands) }} \frac{$|  To Be Categorized  |
| :---: |
|  As Well Capitalized  |
|  Under Prompt Corrective  |
|  Action Provision  |}{Amount}$\frac{\text { Ratio }}{}$

As of December 31, 2018

| Common equity tier 1 capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank only | \$ | 142,018 | 17.04\% | \$ | 37,501 | 4.50\% | \$ | 54,169 | 6.50\% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank only |  | 142,018 | 17.04 |  | 50,002 | 6.00 |  | 66,669 | 8.00 |
| Total risk-based capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank only |  | 151,781 | 18.21 |  | 66,669 | 8.00 |  | 83,336 | 10.00 |
| Tier 1 leverage capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank only |  | 142,018 | 11.47 |  | 49,509 | 4.00 |  | 61,887 | 5.00 |
| As of December 31, 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common equity tier 1 capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank only | \$ | 142,756 | 17.95\% | \$ | 35,779 | 4.50\% | \$ | 51,681 | 6.50\% |
| Consolidated company |  | 178,578 | 22.38 |  | 35,905 | 4.50 |  | 51,863 | 6.50 |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank only |  | 142,756 | 17.95 |  | 47,705 | 6.00 |  | 63,607 | 8.00 |
| Consolidated company |  | 178,578 | 22.38 |  | 47,873 | 6.00 |  | 63,831 | 8.00 |
| Total risk-based capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank only |  | 151,752 | 19.09 |  | 63,607 | 8.00 |  | 79,509 | 10.00 |
| Consolidated company |  | 187,574 | 23.51 |  | 63,831 | 8.00 |  | 79,789 | 10.00 |
| Tier 1 leverage capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank only |  | 142,756 | 12.53 |  | 45,558 | 4.00 |  | 56,948 | 5.00 |
| Consolidated company |  | 178,578 | 15.36 |  | 46,495 | 4.00 |  | 58,119 | 5.00 |


$\frac{\text { Actual }}{\text { Amount }} \frac{\text { Ratio }}{\text { Amount }} \frac{$|  For Capital Purposes  |
| :---: |}{Ratio}$\frac{$|  To Be Categorized  |
| :---: |
|  As Well Capitalized  |
|  Under Prompt Corrective  |
|  Action Provision  |}{Amount}$\frac{\text { Ratio }}{\text { (Dollars in thousands) }} \xlongequal{ }$

## As of June 30, 2017

Common equity tier 1 capital

| Bank only | $\$$ | 139,466 | $19.23 \%$ | $\$$ | 32,632 | $4.50 \%$ | $\$$ | 47,135 | $6.50 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Consolidated company |  | 177,982 | 24.40 |  | 32,823 | 4.50 |  | 47,411 | 6.50 |


| Tier 1 risk-based capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bank only | 139,466 | 19.23 | 43,509 | 6.00 | 58,013 | 8.00 |
| Consolidated company | 177,982 | 24.40 | 43,764 | 6.00 | 58,352 | 8.00 |
| Total risk-based capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank only | 148,167 | 20.43 | 58,013 | 8.00 | 72,516 | 10.00 |
| Consolidated company | 186,683 | 25.59 | 58,352 | 8.00 | 72,939 | 10.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tier 1 leverage capital | 139,466 | 13.22 | 42,204 | 4.00 | 52,755 | 5.00 |
| Bank only | 177,982 | 16.46 | 43,257 | 4.00 | 54,071 | 5.00 |

## Note 12 - Related Party Transactions

Certain directors and executive officers are also customers who transact business with First Federal. All loans and commitments included in such transactions were made in compliance with applicable laws on substantially the same terms (including interest rates and collateral) as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other persons and do not involve more than the normal risk of collectability or present any other unfavorable features.

The following table presents the activity in loans to directors and executive officers for the periods shown:

|  | For the Year Ended December 31, 2018 |  | For the Six Months Ended December 31, 2017 |  | For the Years Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2017 | 2016 |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning balance | \$ | 1,042 |  |  | \$ | 1,103 | \$ | 1,456 | \$ | 817 |
| Loan advances |  | 3 |  | 143 |  | 73 |  | 715 |
| Loan repayments |  | (122) |  | (202) |  | (282) |  | (76) |
| Reclassifications ${ }^{1}$ |  | - |  | (2) |  | (144) |  | - |
| Ending balance | \$ | 923 | \$ | 1,042 | \$ | 1,103 | \$ | 1,456 |

[^1]Deposits and certificates from related parties totaled $\$ 2.9$ million, $\$ 2.0$ million, and $\$ 1.9$ million at December 31, 2018, December 31, 2017 and June 30, 2017, respectively.

## Note 13-Commitments and Contingencies

First Federal is a party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments generally represent a commitment to extend credit in the form of loans. The instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheets.

First Federal's exposure to credit loss, in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit, is represented by the contractual notional amount of those instruments. First Federal uses the same credit policies in making commitments as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments. Management does not anticipate any material loss as a result of these transactions.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established by the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of these commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. First Federal evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. First Federal did not incur any significant losses on its commitments for the year ended December 31, 2018, six months ended December 31, 2017, and year ended June 30, 2017.

The following financial instruments were outstanding whose contract amounts represent credit risk at:

|  | December 31, 2018 |  | December 31, 2017 |  | June 30, 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commitments to grant loans | \$ | 625 | \$ | 543 | \$ | 670 |
| Standby letters of credit |  | 223 |  | 183 |  | 183 |
| Unfunded commitments under lines of credit or existing loans |  | 98,847 |  | 99,416 |  | 67,800 |

Legal contingencies - Various legal claims may arise from time to time in the normal course of business, which, in the opinion of management, have no current material effect on First Federal's consolidated financial statements.

Significant group concentrations of credit risk - Concentration of credit risk is the risk associated with a lack of diversification, such as having substantial loan concentrations in a specific type of loan within First Federal's loan portfolio, thereby exposing First Federal to greater risks resulting from adverse economic, political, regulatory, geographic, industrial, or credit developments. Loans to one borrower are subject to the state banking regulations general limitation of 20 percent of First Federal's equity, excluding accumulated other comprehensive income. At December 31, 2018, December 31, 2017 and June 30, 2017 First Federal's most significant concentration of credit risk was in loans secured by real estate. These loans totaled approximately $\$ 767.6$ million, $\$ 742.9$ million and $\$ 697.5$ million, or $88.3 \%, 94.5 \%$, and $95.0 \%$, of First Federal's total loan portfolio at December 31, 2018, December 31, 2017 and June 30, 2017, respectively. Real estate construction, including land acquisition and land development, commercial real estate, multi-family, home equity, and one- to four-family residential loans are included in the total loans secured by real estate for purposes of this calculation. After a period of decline the real estate market has begun to recover, which has helped stabilize nonperforming loans and the allowance for loan losses.

At December 31, 2018, December 31, 2017 and June 30, 2017, First Federal's most significant investment concentration of credit risk was with the U.S. Government, its agencies, and Government-Sponsored Enterprises (GSEs). First Federal's exposure, which results from positions in securities issued by the U.S. Government, its agencies, and securities guaranteed by

GSEs, was $\$ 243.4$ million, $\$ 256.8$ million, and $\$ 238.7$ million or $77.7 \%, 73.9 \%$, and $83.8 \%$, of First Federal's total investment portfolio (including FHLB stock) at December 31, 2018, December 31, 2017, and June 30, 2017, respectively.

## Note 14 - Fair Value Accounting and Measurement

Fair value is the price to sell an asset or transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants in the Company's principal market. The Company has established and documented its process for determining the fair values of its assets and liabilities, where applicable. Fair value is based on quoted market prices, when available, for identical or similar assets or liabilities. In the absence of quoted market prices, management determines the fair value of the Company's assets and liabilities using valuation models or third-party pricing services, both of which rely on market-based parameters when available, such as interest rate yield curves, option volatilities and credit spreads, or unobservable inputs. Unobservable inputs may be based on management's judgment, assumptions, and estimates related to credit quality, liquidity, interest rates, and other relevant inputs.

Any changes to valuation methodologies are reviewed by management to ensure they are relevant and justified. Valuation methodologies are refined as more market-based data becomes available.

A three-level valuation hierarchy is used in determining fair value that is based on the transparency of the inputs used in the valuation process. The inputs used in determining fair value in each of the three levels of the hierarchy are as follows:

Level 1 - Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 - Either: (i) quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; (ii) observable inputs, such as interest rates or yield curves; or (iii) inputs derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs.

The hierarchy gives the highest ranking to Level 1 inputs and the lowest ranking to Level 3 inputs. The level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the overall fair value measurement.

Qualitative disclosures of valuation techniques - Securities available for sale: where quoted prices are available in an active market, securities are classified as Level 1 . Level 1 instruments include highly liquid government bonds, securities issued by the U.S. Treasury, and exchange-traded equity securities.

If quoted prices are not available, management determines fair value using pricing models, quoted prices of similar securities, which are considered Level 2 , or discounted cash flows. In certain cases, where there is limited activity in the market for a particular instrument, assumptions must be made to determine their fair value. Such instruments are classified as Level 3.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis - Assets and liabilities are considered to be fair valued on a recurring basis if fair value is measured regularly (i.e., daily, weekly, monthly, or quarterly). The following tables show the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at the dates indicated:

December 31, 2018

|  | December 31, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets or Liabilities (Level 1) |  | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) |  | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |  | Total |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities available for sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Municipal bonds | \$ | - | \$ | 869 | \$ | - | \$ | 869 |
| ABS agency |  | - |  | 25,752 |  | - |  | 25,752 |
| ABS corporate |  | - |  | 36,723 |  | - |  | 36,723 |
| SBA |  | - |  | 9,888 |  | - |  | 9,888 |
| Corporate debt |  | - |  | 35,670 |  | - |  | 35,670 |
| MBS agency |  | - |  | 143,455 |  | - |  | 143,455 |
| MBS corporate |  | - |  | 10,610 |  | - |  | 10,610 |
|  | \$ | - | \$ | 262,967 | \$ | - | \$ | 262,967 |

December 31, 2017

|  | December 31, 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets or Liabilities (Level 1) | Significant <br> Other <br> Observable Inputs (Level 2) |  | Significant Unobservable Inputs <br> (Level 3) |  | Total |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities available for sale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Municipal bonds | \$ | \$ | 13,434 | \$ | - | \$ | 13,434 |
| ABS agency | - |  | 21,770 |  | - |  | 21,770 |
| ABS corporate | - |  | 22,768 |  | - |  | 22,768 |
| SBA | - |  | 19,908 |  | - |  | 19,908 |
| Corporate debt | - |  | 47,274 |  | - |  | 47,274 |
| MBS agency | - |  | 144,542 |  | - |  | 144,542 |
| MBS corporate | - |  | 20,546 |  | - |  | 20,546 |
|  | \$ | \$ | 290,242 | \$ | - | \$ | 290,242 |

June 30, 2017

| Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets or Liabilities | Significant |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Other | Significant |  |
|  | Observable Inputs Inputs | Unobservable Inputs |  |
|  | (Level 2) | (Level 3) |  |

Securities available for sale

| Municipal bonds | \$ | - | \$ | 22,223 | \$ | - | \$ | 22,223 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency bonds |  | - |  | 4,926 |  | - |  | 4,926 |
| ABS agency |  | - |  | 7,648 |  | - |  | 7,648 |
| ABS corporate |  | - |  | 9,813 |  | - |  | 9,813 |
| SBA |  | - |  | 14,178 |  | - |  | 14,178 |
| MBS agency |  | - |  | 143,436 |  | - |  | 143,436 |
| MBS corporate |  | - |  | 26,369 |  | - |  | 26,369 |
|  | \$ | - | \$ | 228,593 | \$ | - | \$ | 228,593 |

Assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis - Assets are considered to be fair valued on a nonrecurring basis if the fair value measurement of the instrument does not necessarily result in a change in the amount recorded on the consolidated balance sheets. Generally, nonrecurring valuation is the result of the application of other accounting pronouncements that require assets or liabilities to be assessed for impairment or recorded at the lower of cost or fair value.

The following tables present the Company's assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at the dates indicated:
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During the year ended December 31, 2018, there were no impaired loans with discounts to appraisal disposition value. The following tables present the techniques used to value assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at the dates indicated:

December 31, 2018

|  | December 31, 2018 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair Value | Valuation Technique | Unobservable Input | Range (Weighted-Average) $^{1}$ |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |
| Real estate owned and repossessed assets | \$ 124 | Market comparable | Discount to appraisal | 0\%-10\% (5\%) |
| ${ }^{1}$ Discount to appr | ion value. |  |  |  |

December 31, 2017

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair Value | Valuation Technique | Unobservable Input | Range $\left(\right.$ Weighted-Average) ${ }^{1}$ |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |
| Real estate owned and repossessed assets | 23 | Market comparable | Discount to appraisal | 0\%-10\% (5\%) |
| ${ }^{1}$ Discount to appr | on value. |  |  |  |

June 30, 2017

| Fair Value |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (In thousands) | Valuation <br> Technique |  | | Range |
| :---: |
| Unobservable Input |$\quad$| (Weighted-Average) ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: |

Real estate owned and repossessed assets

104 Market comparable Discount to appraisal 0\%-10\% (5\%)
${ }^{1}$ Discount to appraisal disposition value.
The following tables present the carrying value and estimated fair value of financial instruments at the dates indicated:

|  | December 31, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying Amount |  | Estimated Fair Value |  | Fair Value Measurements Using: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Level 1 | Level 2 |  | Level 3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | housands) |  |  |  |  |
| Financial assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 26,323 | \$ | 26,323 | \$ | 26,323 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Investment securities available for sale |  | 262,967 |  | 262,967 |  | - |  | 262,967 |  | - |
| Investment securities held to maturity |  | 43,503 |  | 42,990 |  | - |  | 42,990 |  | - |
| Loans receivable, net |  | 863,852 |  | 840,861 |  | - |  | - |  | 840,861 |
| FHLB stock |  | 6,927 |  | 6,927 |  | - |  | 6,927 |  | - |
| Accrued interest receivable |  | 4,048 |  | 4,048 |  | - |  | 4,048 |  | - |
| Mortgage servicing rights, net |  | 1,044 |  | 1,479 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,479 |
| Financial liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits | \$ | 678,908 | \$ | 678,908 | \$ | 678,908 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Time deposits |  | 261,352 |  | 259,549 |  | - |  | 259,549 |  | - |
| Borrowings |  | 136,552 |  | 137,153 |  | - |  | 137,153 |  | - |
| Accrued interest payable |  | 521 |  | 521 |  | - |  | 521 |  | - |

December 31, 2017


| Financial assets |  | 36,801 | $\$$ | 36,801 | $\$$ | 36,801 | $\$$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\quad$ Cash and cash equivalents | - | $\$$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| Investment securities available for sale | 290,242 | 290,242 |  | - | 290,242 | - |  |
| Investment securities held to maturity | 50,126 | 50,266 | - | 50,266 | - |  |  |
| Loans held for sale | 788 | 788 | - | 788 | - |  |  |
| Loans receivable, net | 779,111 | 768,181 | - | - | 768,181 |  |  |
| FHLB stock | 7,023 | 7,023 | - | 7,023 | - |  |  |
| Accrued interest receivable | 3,745 | 3,745 | - | 3,745 | - |  |  |
| Mortgage servicing rights, net | 1,095 | 1,669 | - | - | 1,669 |  |  |

Financial liabilities

| Demand deposits | $\$ 645,779$ | $\$$ | 645,779 | $\$$ | 645,779 | $\$$ | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Time deposits | 239,253 |  | 237,841 |  | - | 237,841 | - |
| Borrowings | 144,100 | 145,892 | - | 145,892 | - |  |  |
| Accrued interest payable | 325 | 325 | - | 325 | - |  |  |

June 30, 2017

| Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value | Fair Value Measurements Using: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |


| Financial assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\quad$ Cash and cash equivalents | 24,292 | $\$$ | 24,292 | $\$$ | 24,292 | $\$$ | - |
| Investment securities available for sale | 228,593 | 228,593 | - | 228,593 | - |  |  |
| Investment securities held to maturity | 51,872 | 52,621 | - | 52,621 | - |  |  |
| Loans receivable, net | 726,786 | 723,848 | - | - | 723,848 |  |  |
| FHLB stock | 4,368 | 4,368 | - | 4,368 | - |  |  |
| Accrued interest receivable | 3,020 | 3,020 | - | 3,020 | - |  |  |
| Mortgage servicing rights, net | 986 | 1,600 | - | - | 1,600 |  |  |

Financial liabilities

| Demand deposits | $\$ 612,286$ | $\$$ | 612,286 | $\$$ | 612,286 | $\$$ | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Time deposits | 211,474 | 211,072 |  | - | 211,072 | - |  |
| Borrowings | 77,427 | 80,338 | - | 80,338 | - |  |  |
| Accrued interest payable | 208 | 208 | - | 208 | - |  |  |
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## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## Note 15 - Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per share are computed by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share reflect the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock that then shared in the earnings of the entity.

The following table presents a reconciliation of the components used to compute basic and diluted earnings per share for the periods shown.

| For the Year Ended <br> December 31, 2018 |
| :--- | | For the Six Months Ended |
| :---: |
| December 31, 2017 |$\quad$| For the Years Ended June 30, |
| :--- |
| (In thousands, except share data) |


| Numerator: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income | \$ | 7,105 | \$ | 1,659 | \$ | 5,118 | \$ | \$ 3,992 |
| Denominator: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic weighted average common shares outstanding |  | 10,331,902 |  | 10,606,798 |  | 4,726 |  | ,621 |
| Dilutive restricted stock grants |  | 102,535 |  | 96,283 |  | 5,314 |  | - |
| Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding |  | 10,434,437 |  | 10,703,081 |  | 0,040 |  | 9,621 |
| Basic earnings | \$ | 0.69 | \$ | 0.16 | \$ | 0.46 | \$ | 0.33 |
| Diluted earnings | \$ | 0.68 | \$ | 0.16 | \$ | 0.46 | \$ | 0.33 |

Potential dilutive shares are excluded from the computation of EPS if their effect is anti-dilutive. For the year ended December 31, 2018, anti-dilutive shares outstanding related to restricted stock awards totaled 48,040 because the incremental shares under the treasury stock method of calculation resulted in them being anti-dilutive. For the six months ended December 31, 2017, and the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, there were no anti-dilutive shares outstanding related to restricted stock awards.

As of December 15, 2015, the ESOP had purchased 1,048,029 shares of First Northwest Bancorp in the open market. Unallocated ESOP shares are not included as outstanding shares for basic or diluted earnings per share calculations.

# FIRST NORTHWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARY 

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## Note 16 - Noninterest Income

On January 1, 2018, the Company adopted the amendments of ASU 2014-09 Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) and all subsequent ASUs that modified Topic 606. The Company has included the following table regarding the Company's noninterest income for the periods presented.

|  | Year Ended December 31, 2018 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Noninterest income: |  |  |
| Loan fees (1) | \$ | 807 |
| Deposit fees |  | 1,671 |
| Debit interchange income |  | 137 |
| Credit card interchange income |  | 1,740 |
| Gain on loan sales, net (1) |  | 577 |
| Investment securities gain (loss), net (1) |  | 77 |
| Increase in cash surrender value of BOLI (1) |  | 595 |
| Other income: |  |  |
| Investment services revenue |  | 226 |
| Gain or loss on subsidiary (1) |  | 68 |
| Remaining other income |  | 21 |
| Total other income |  | 315 |
| Total noninterest income | \$ | 5,919 |

(1) Not within scope of Topic 606

The Company recognizes revenue as it is earned and noted no impact to its revenue recognition policies as a result of the adoption of ASU 2014-09. The following is a discussion of key revenues within the scope of the new revenue guidance.

Deposit fees - The Company earns fees from its deposit customers for account maintenance, transaction-based activity and overdraft services. Account maintenance fees consist primarily of account fees and analyzed account fees charged on deposit accounts on a monthly basis. The performance obligation is satisfied and the fees are recognized on a monthly basis as the service period is completed. Transaction-based fees on deposit accounts are charged to deposit customers for specific services provided to the customer, such as non-sufficient funds fees, overdraft fees, and wire fees. The performance obligation is completed as the transaction occurs and the fees are recognized at the time each specific service is provided to the customer.

Debit interchange income - Debit and Automated Teller Machine ("ATM") interchange income represent fees earned when a debit card issued by the Company is used. The Company earns interchange fees from debit cardholder transactions through card networks. In addition, the Company earns interchange fees for use of its ATM by customers of other banking institutions. Interchange fees are based on purchase volumes and other factors and are recognized as transactions occur. The performance obligation is satisfied and the fees are earned when the cost of the transaction is charged to the cardholder's debit card. Certain expenses directly associated with the credit and debit card are netted against interchange income.

Credit card interchange income- Credit card interchange income represents fees earned when a credit card issued by the Bank through a third-party vendor is used. Similar to the debit card interchange, the Bank earns an interchange fee for each transaction made with a Bank-branded credit card. The performance obligation is satisfied and the fees are earned when the cost of the transaction is charged to the cardholder's credit card. Certain expenses directly related to the credit card interchange contract are netted against interchange income.

Investment services revenue - Commissions received on the sale of investment related products is determined by a percentage of underlying instruments sold and is recognized when the sale is finalized.

Gains/losses on the sale of other real estate owned are included in non-interest expense and are generally recognized when the performance obligation is complete. This is typically at delivery of control over the property to the buyer at time of each real estate closing.

## Note 17 - Parent Company Only Financial Statements

Presented below are the condensed balance sheet, statement of operations, and statement of cash flows for First Northwest Bancorp.

## FIRST NORTHWEST BANCORP

Condensed Balance Sheets
(In thousands)

| ASSETS | December 31, 2018 |  | December 31, 2017 |  | June 30, 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 8,508 | \$ | 3,541 | \$ | 1,560 |
| Investment securities available for sale, at fair value |  | 14,189 |  | 19,611 |  | 24,260 |
| Investment in bank |  | 137,657 |  | 141,486 |  | 139,206 |
| ESOP loan receivable |  | 11,300 |  | 11,846 |  | 11,846 |
| Accrued interest receivable |  | 212 |  | 240 |  | 104 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets |  | 534 |  | 416 |  | 947 |
| Total assets | \$ | 172,400 | \$ | 177,140 | \$ | 177,923 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payable to subsidiary | \$ | 96 | \$ | 57 | \$ | 45 |
| Other liabilities |  | 40 |  | 38 |  | 157 |
| Total liabilities |  | 136 |  | 95 |  | 202 |
| Shareholders' equity |  | 172,264 |  | 177,045 |  | 177,721 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ | 172,400 | \$ | 177,140 | \$ | 177,923 |

## FIRST NORTHWEST BANCORP

Condensed Statements of Income
(In thousands)

Operating income:
Interest and fees on loans receivable
Interest on mortgage-backed and related securities

Interest on investment securities
(Loss) gain on sale of securities
Dividend from subsidiary
Total operating income
Operating expenses:

## Other expenses

Total operating expenses
Income (loss) before (benefit) provision for income taxes and equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiary
(Benefit) provision for income taxes
Income (loss) before equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiary

| For the Year Ended December 31, 2018 |  | For the Six Months Ended December 31, 2017 |  | For the Years Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2017 | 2016 |  |
| \$ | 282 |  |  | \$ | 147 | \$ | 302 | \$ | 305 |
|  | 209 |  | 139 |  | 322 |  | 251 |
|  | 163 |  | 95 |  | 225 |  | 418 |
|  | (59) |  | (39) |  | - |  | 4 |
|  | 10,000 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 10,595 |  | 342 |  | 849 |  | 978 |
|  | 922 |  | 406 |  | 587 |  | 607 |
|  | 922 |  | 406 |  | 587 |  | 607 |
|  | 9,673 |  | (64) |  | 262 |  | 371 |
|  | (89) |  | 376 |  | 70 |  | 128 |
|  | 9,762 |  | (440) |  | 192 |  | 243 |
|  | 7,343 |  | 2,099 |  | 4,926 |  | 3,749 |
| \$ | 17,105 | \$ | 1,659 | \$ | 5,118 | \$ | 3,992 |

## FIRST NORTHWEST BANCORP

Condensed Statement of Cash Flows
(In thousands)


## Note 18 - Summarized Consolidated Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

Unaudited condensed financial data by quarter is as follows for the periods shown (dollars in thousands, except per share data):

| Year Ended December 31, 2018 | Quarter Ended |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mar 31, 2018 |  | Jun 30, 2018 |  | Sep 30, 2018 |  | Dec 31, 2018 |  |
| Total interest income | \$ | 10,846 | \$ | 11,281 | \$ | 11,555 | \$ | 12,123 |
| Total interest expense |  | 1,874 |  | 2,122 |  | 2,290 |  | 2,727 |
| Net interest income |  | 8,972 |  | 9,159 |  | 9,265 |  | 9,396 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 310 |  | 395 |  | 197 |  | 272 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses |  | 8,662 |  | 8,764 |  | 9,068 |  | 9,124 |
| Total noninterest income |  | 1,482 |  | 1,405 |  | 1,420 |  | 1,612 |
| Total noninterest expense |  | 8,275 |  | 8,298 |  | 8,119 |  | 8,165 |
| Income before provision for federal income tax expense |  | 1,869 |  | 1,871 |  | 2,369 |  | 2,571 |
| Provision for federal income tax expense |  | 346 |  | 345 |  | 443 |  | 441 |
| Net income | \$ | 1,523 | \$ | 1,526 | \$ | 1,926 | \$ | 2,130 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ | 0.15 | \$ | 0.15 | \$ | 0.19 | \$ | 0.21 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ | 0.14 | \$ | 0.15 | \$ | 0.19 | \$ | 0.21 |
|  | Quarter Ended |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Six Months Ended December 31, 2017 | Sep 30, 2017 |  | Dec 31, 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total interest income | \$ | 10,043 | \$ | 10,243 |  |  |  |  |
| Total interest expense |  | 1,580 |  | 1,713 |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income |  | 8,463 |  | 8,530 |  |  |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses |  | - |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses |  | 8,463 |  | 8,330 |  |  |  |  |
| Total noninterest income |  | 1,698 |  | 1,357 |  |  |  |  |
| Total noninterest expense |  | 7,807 |  | 8,340 |  |  |  |  |
| Income before provision for federal income tax expense |  | 2,354 |  | 1,347 |  |  |  |  |
| Provision for federal income tax expense |  | 581 |  | 1,461 |  |  |  |  |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 1,773 | \$ | (114) |  |  |  |  |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share | \$ | 0.17 | \$ | (0.01) |  |  |  |  |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share | \$ | 0.17 | \$ | (0.01) |  |  |  |  |


| Year Ended June 30, 2017 |
| :--- |
| Total interest income |
| Total interest expense |
| Net interest income |
| Provision for loan losses |
| Net interest income after provision for loan |
| $\quad$ losses |
| Total noninterest income |
| Total noninterest expense |
| Income before provision for federal income |tax expense

Provision for federal income tax expense Net income

Basic earnings per share
Diluted earnings per share

| Year Ended June 30, 2016 |
| :--- |
| Total interest income |
| Total interest expense |
| Net interest income |
| Provision for loan losses |
| Net interest income after provision for loan |
| losses |
| Total noninterest income |
| Total noninterest expense |
| Income before provision for federal income |
| tax expense |
| Provision for federal income tax expense |
| Net income |
| Basic earnings per share |
| Diluted earnings per share |

Quarter Ended

| Sep 30, 2015 |  | Dec 31, 2015 |  | Mar 31, 2016 |  | Jun 30, 2016 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 7,524 | \$ | 7,941 | \$ | 8,161 | \$ | 8,546 |
|  | 1,227 |  | 1,181 |  | 1,155 |  | 1,207 |
|  | 6,297 |  | 6,760 |  | 7,006 |  | 7,339 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 233 |
|  | 6,297 |  | 6,760 |  | 7,006 |  | 7,106 |
|  | 1,263 |  | 1,878 |  | 1,051 |  | 1,985 |
|  | 5,915 |  | 7,683 |  | 6,862 |  | 7,437 |
|  | 1,645 |  | 955 |  | 1,195 |  | 1,654 |


|  | 417 |  | 242 |  | 298 |  | 500 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 1,228 | \$ | 713 | \$ | 897 | \$ | 1,154 |
| \$ | 0.10 | \$ | 0.06 | \$ | 0.07 | \$ | 0.10 |
| \$ | 0.10 | \$ | 0.06 | \$ | 0.07 | \$ | 0.10 |

None.

## Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

## Disclosure controls and procedures

An evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Section 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act") was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and several other members of the Company's senior management as of the end of the period covered by this report. The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures in effect as of December 31, 2018 were effective in ensuring that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Act was (i) accumulated and communicated to the Company's management (including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer) in a timely manner, and (ii) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

Management's report on internal control over financial reporting. First Northwest Bancorp's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Act. The Company's internal control system is designed to provide reasonable assurance to our management and the board of directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

This process includes policies and procedures that: (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions of the Company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements. A control procedure, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Also, because of the inherent limitations in all control procedures, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. Additionally, in designing disclosure controls and procedures, our management was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost -benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures. The design of any disclosure controls and procedures is also based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. As a result of these inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Furthermore, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (2013 Framework). Based on that assessment, the Company's management believes that, as of December 31, 2018, First Northwest Bancorp's internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

Moss Adams LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited the Company's consolidated financial statements and the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, which is included in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Attestation report of the registered public accounting firm. Moss Adams LLP has issued an attestation report that expresses an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting for the year ended December 31, 2018, included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Controls. There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting for the year ended December 31, 2018 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## Item 9B. Other Information

Not applicable.

## PART III

## Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information regarding the Company's directors contained under the section captioned "Proposal 1 Election of Directors" in the Company's proxy statement, a copy of which will be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after December 31, 2018 (the "Proxy Statement"), is incorporated herein by reference.

For information regarding the executive officers of the Company and the Bank, see the information contained herein under the section captioned "Item 1. Business - Executive Officers of Registrant," which is incorporated by reference.

The Company has an audit committee. The members of the Audit Committee are directors Jennifer Zaccardo (Chairperson), David Blake, Steven Oliver, Norman Tonina, and Dana Behar. Each member of the Audit Committee is "independent" as defined in the Nasdaq Stock Market listing standards. The Board of Directors has determined that Ms. Zaccardo meets the definition of "audit committee financial expert," as defined by the SEC.

The Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Ethics for the Company's officers (including its principal executive officer and senior financial officers), directors and employees. The Company's Code of Ethics is posted on the Investor Relations section of our website at www.ourfirstfed.com.

The information regarding compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is contained in the section captioned "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance," is included in the Proxy Statement, and incorporated herein by reference.

There have been no material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may recommend nominees to the Company's Board of Directors.

## Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information contained in the section captioned "Executive Compensation" and "Directors' Compensation" in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

## Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information contained in the section captioned "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

The following table summarizes share and exercise price information about First Northwest Bancorp's equity compensation plan as of December 31, 2018.

| Plan category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants, and rights | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants, and rights | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) | (b) | ) |
| Equity compensation plans (stock options) approved by security holders: |  |  |  |
| First Northwest Bancorp 2015 Equity Incentive Plan |  | N/A | 1,382,050 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total | - | - | 1,382,050 |
| ${ }^{(1)}$ As of December 31, 2018, 452,000 shares of restricted stock awards had been granted under the First Northwest Bancorp 2015 Equity Incentive plan (the "EIP"). The restricted shares will vest in equal installments of $20 \%$ per year over a 5 -year period. The restricted shares granted under the EIP were purchased by First Northwest Bancorp in open market transactions and retired during the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016. Subsequent to these restricted stock awards, stock options that, upon exercise result in the issuance of up to $1,310,036$ shares of our common stock and 71,014 shares of restricted stock awards, remain available for future issuance under the EIP. |  |  |  |

## Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Information contained in the sections captioned "Meetings and Committees of the Board of Directors and Corporate Governance Matters - Transactions with Related Persons" and "Meetings and Committees of the Board of Directors and Corporate Governance Matters - Director Independence" in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

## Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information contained under the section captioned "Proposal 3 - Ratification of Appointment of Independent Auditor" in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

## PART IV

## Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

## (a) 1. Financial Statements.

For a list of the financial statements filed as part of this report see Part II - Item 8.

## 2. Financial Statement Schedules.

All schedules have been omitted as the required information is either inapplicable or contained in the Consolidated Financial Statements or related Notes contained in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

## 3. Exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K:

| Exhibit No. | Exhibit Description | Filed Herewith | Form | Original Exhibit No. | Filing Date/ Period Ending Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEC File } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 | Articles of Incorporation of First Northwest Bancorp, as amended through August 28, 2014 | X |  |  |  |  |
| 3.2 | Bylaws of First Northwest Bancorp | X |  |  |  |  |
| 4.1 | Form of Common Stock Certificate of First Northwest Bancorp |  | S-1 | 4 | 11/21/2012 | 333-185101 |
| 10.1* | First Northwest Bancorp 2015 Equity Incentive Plan | X |  |  |  |  |
| 10.2* | Form of First Northwest Bancorp 2015 Equity Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Award Agreement |  | S-8 | 10.4 | 12/4/2015 | 333-208341 |
| 10.3* | Executive employment agreement with Laurence J. Hueth | X |  |  |  |  |
| 10.4* | Form of executive employment agreement with Regina M. Wood, Christopher J. Riffle, Terry A. Anderson, and Kelly A. Liske | X |  |  |  |  |
| 10.5* | First Federal Fiscal 2018 Cash Incentive Plan | X |  |  |  |  |
| 10.6* | Form of First Federal Fiscal 2018 Cash Incentive Plan Participation Agreement | X |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | Subsidiaries of First Northwest Bancorp | X |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | X |  |  |  |  |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive <br> Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the <br> Sarbanes-Oxley Act | X |  |  |  |  |
| 31.2 | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act | X |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act | X |  |  |  |  |

101 The following materials from First Northwest Bancorp's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2018, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (1) Consolidated Balance Sheets; (2) Consolidated Statements of Operations; (3) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income; (4) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity; (5) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and (6) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

* Denotes a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.


## Item 16. Form 10-K Summary

None.

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or $15(\mathrm{~d})$ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

## FIRST NORTHWEST BANCORP

March 14, 2019
By: $\frac{\text { /s/Laurence J. Hueth }}{\text { Laurence J. Hueth }} \begin{aligned} & \text { President Chief Executive Officer and Director }\end{aligned}$
President, Chief Executive Officer and Director

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/Laurence J. Hueth
Laurence J. Hueth
President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: $\frac{\text { /s/Regina M. Wood }}{\text { Regina M. Wood }}$ March 14, 2019
Regina M. Wood
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

By: /s/Stephen E. Oliver
Stephen E. Oliver
Chairman of the Board and Director

By: /s/David A. Blake
David A. Blake
Director

By: /s/Cindy H. Finnie March 14, 2019
Cindy H. Finnie
Director

By: $\frac{\text { /s/David T. Flodstrom }}{\begin{array}{l}\text { David T. Flodstrom } \\ \text { Director }\end{array}}$ March 14, 2019

By: $\frac{\text { /s/Jennifer Zaccardo }}{\text { Jennifer Zaccardo }}$| Director |
| :--- |$\quad$ March 14, 2019

By: /s/Norman J. Tonina, Jr. March 14, 2019
Norman J. Tonina, Jr.
Director

By: /s/Craig Curtis March 14, 2019
Craig Curtis
Director

By: /s/Dana Behar March 14, 2019
Dana Behar
Director
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Annual
Meeting
The annual meeting of shareholders will be held at the Red Lion at 221 N Lincoln Street, Port Angeles, WA 98362 on May 7, 2019 at 4:00pm.

Board of Directors
Stephen E. Oliver - Chairman
David A. Blake - Vice Chairman
Dana D. Behar
Graig A. Curtis
Cindy H. Finnie
David T. Flodstrom
Larry Hueth
Norman J. Tonina, Jr.

## Jennifer Zaccardo

Website Address
www.ourfirstfed.com
Special Counsel
Miller, Nash, Graham and Dunn, LLP
Pier 70, 2801 Alaskan Way - Suite 300
Seattle, WA 98121

## Larry Hueth - President and Chief Executive Officer

Regina M. Wood - Executive Vice President, Chief Financial offereraind Ireasirer

## First Federal Officers

## Larry Hueth - President and Chief Executive Officer

Moss Adams LLP
2707 Colby Avenue, Suite 801
Everett, WA 98201

## Transfer Agent

Computershare
P. 0. Box 505000

Louisville, KY 40233
(866) $289-7521$

## Market Information

First Northwest Bancorp is traded on the NASDAO Global Select Market under the symbol FNWB.

## Corporate Profile

First Northwest Bancorp, a Washington corporation, is the bank holding company for First Federal Savings and Loan Association of Port Angeles. First Federal is a Washington-chartered, community-based savings bank, primarily serving Western Washington, with twelve -banking locations - eight loctited yithin Slallam and Jefferson home lending center in King County.

## Customer Contact Center

### 360.417.3204 / 800.800.1577 tollfree

Hours M-F 7:00am - 7:00pm • Sat 9:00am - 1:00pm


[^0]:    (1) Net of allowances for loan losses, loans in process, purchase discounts and deferred loan fees.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Represents loans that were once considered related party but are no longer considered related party or loans that were not related party that subsequently became related party loans.

