

CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2013

Building Long-Term Value



CIT Group Inc.

Founded in 1908, CIT (NYSE: CIT) is a financial holding company with more than \$35 billion in financing and leasing assets. It provides financing, leasing and advisory services to its clients and their customers across more than 30 industries. CIT maintains leadership positions in middle market lending, factoring, retail and equipment finance, as well as aerospace, equipment and rail leasing. CIT's U.S. bank subsidiary CIT Bank (Member FDIC), BankOnCIT.com, offers a variety of savings options designed to help customers achieve their financial goals.

CIT Bank

Founded in 2000, CIT Bank (Member FDIC, Equal Housing Lender) is the U.S. commercial bank subsidiary of CIT Group Inc. (NYSE: CIT). It provides lending and leasing to the small business, middle market and transportation sectors. CIT Bank (BankOnCIT.com) offers a variety of savings options designed to help customers achieve their financial goals. As of December 31, 2013, it had more than \$12 billion of deposits and over \$16 billion of assets.

Transportation & International Finance

Business Air provides financing solutions to business jet operators. Serving clients around the globe, we provide financing that is tailored to our clients' unique business requirements. Products include term loans, leases, pre-delivery financing, fractional share financing and vendor/manufacturer financing.

Commercial Air is one of the world's leading aircraft leasing organizations and provides leasing and financing solutions—including operating leases, capital leases, loans and structuring and advisory services—for commercial airlines worldwide. We own and finance a fleet of more than 300 commercial aircraft and have more than 100 customers in approximately 50 countries.

International Finance offers corporate lending and equipment financing and leasing to small and middle market businesses in the UK and China.

Maritime Finance offers senior secured loans, sale-leasebacks and bareboat charters to owners and operators of oceangoing cargo vessels, including tankers, bulkers, container ships, car carriers, and offshore vessels and drilling rigs.

Rail is an industry leader in offering customized leasing and financing solutions and a highly efficient, diversified fleet of railcar assets to freight shippers and carriers throughout North America and Europe.

North American Commercial Finance

Commercial Services is a leading provider of factoring services in the United States. We provide credit protection, accounts receivable management services and asset-based lending to manufacturers and importers that sell into retail channels of distribution.

Corporate Finance provides lending, leasing and other financial and advisory services to the middle market with a focus on specific industries, including: Aerospace & Defense, Business Services, Communications, Energy, Entertainment, Gaming, Healthcare, Industrials, Information Services & Technology, Restaurants, Retail, and Sports & Media.

Equipment Finance provides leasing and equipment loan solutions to small businesses and middle market companies in a wide range of industries. We provide creative financing solutions to our borrowers and lessees, and assist manufacturers and distributors in growing sales, profitability and customer loyalty by providing customized, value-added finance solutions to their commercial clients.

Real Estate Finance provides senior secured commercial real estate loans to developers and other commercial real estate professionals. We focus on stable, cash flowing properties and originate construction loans to highly experienced and well-capitalized developers.

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

or Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File Number: 001-31369

CIT GROUP INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

65-1051192
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

11 West 42nd Street, New York, New York
(Address of Registrant's principal executive offices)

10036
(Zip Code)

(212) 461-5200
Registrant's telephone number including area code:

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:
None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its Corporate Web site, if any, every interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (229.405 of this Chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated

filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (check one)

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

At February 21, 2014, there were 195,397,208 shares of CIT's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, outstanding.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based on the New York Stock Exchange Composite Transaction closing price of Common Stock (\$46.63 per share, 200,467,936 shares of common stock outstanding), which occurred on June 30, 2013, was \$9,347,819,855. For purposes of this computation, all officers and directors of the registrant are deemed to be affiliates. Such determination shall not be deemed an admission that such officers and directors are, in fact, affiliates of the registrant.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Section 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court. Yes No

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement relating to the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III hereof to the extent described herein.

CONTENTS

Part One

Item 1.	Business Overview	2
	Where You Can Find More Information	17
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	19
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	27
Item 2.	Properties	27
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	27
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	27

Part Two

Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	28
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	30
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	34
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk	34
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	86
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	145
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	145
Item 9B.	Other Information	145

Part Three

Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	146
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	146
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	146
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	146
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	146

Part Four

Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	147
	Signatures	152

PART ONE

Item 1: Business Overview

BUSINESS DESCRIPTION

CIT Group Inc., together with its subsidiaries (“we”, “our”, “CIT” or the “Company”) has provided financial solutions to its clients since its formation in 1908. We provide financing, leasing and advisory services principally to middle market companies in a wide variety of industries and offer vendor, equipment, commercial and structured financing products, as well as factoring services. We had over \$36 billion of financing and leasing assets at December 31, 2013. CIT became a bank holding company (“BHC”) in December 2008 and a financial holding company (“FHC”) in July 2013. CIT is regulated by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (“FRB”) and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (“FRBNY”) under the U.S. Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (“Bank Act”).

Our primary bank subsidiary is CIT Bank (the “Bank”), a state chartered bank headquartered in Salt Lake City, Utah, which offers commercial financing and leasing products as well as a suite of savings options. The Bank is subject to regulation and examination by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) and the Utah Department of Financial Institutions (“UDFI”). As of December 31, 2013, over 40% of CIT’s commercial financing and leasing assets were in the Bank and essentially all new U.S. business volume and asset growth is being originated by the Bank.

Each business has industry alignment and focuses on specific sectors, products and markets, with portfolios diversified by client and geography. Our principal product and service offerings include:

Products and Services

- | | |
|--|---|
| • Account receivables collection | • Factoring services |
| • Acquisition and expansion financing | • Financial risk management |
| • Asset management and servicing | • Import and export financing |
| • Asset-based loans | • Insurance services |
| • Credit protection | • Operating and capital leases |
| • Debt restructuring | • Letters of credit / trade acceptances |
| • Debt underwriting and syndication | • Mergers and acquisition advisory services (“M&A”) |
| • Debtor-in-possession / turnaround financing | • Secured lines of credit |
| • Deposits (certificates of deposit, savings accounts, individual retirement accounts) | • Vendor financing |
| • Enterprise value and cash flow loans | |

We source business through marketing efforts directly to borrowers, lessees, manufacturers, vendors and distributors, and through referral sources and other intermediaries. We also buy participations in syndications of finance receivables and lines of credit and periodically purchase finance receivables on a whole-loan basis.

We generate revenue by earning interest on loans we hold on our balance sheet, collecting rentals on equipment we lease, and earning commissions, fees and other income for services

we provide. We syndicate and sell certain finance receivables and equipment to leverage our origination capabilities, reduce concentrations and manage our balance sheet.

We set underwriting standards for each business unit and employ portfolio risk management models to achieve desired portfolio demographics. Our collection and servicing operations are organized by business and geography in order to provide efficient client interfaces and uniform customer experiences.

BUSINESS SEGMENTS

CIT delivers customer financing products and services through five reportable business segments.

SEGMENT	MARKET AND SERVICES
Corporate Finance	Lending, leasing and other financial and advisory services to small and middle-market companies across select industries.
Transportation Finance	Large ticket equipment leases and other secured financing, primarily to companies in aerospace, rail and maritime industries.
Trade Finance	Factoring, receivables management products and secured financing to retail supply chain companies.
Vendor Finance	Partners with manufacturers, distributors, dealers and resellers to deliver financing and leasing solutions to end-user customers for the acquisition of equipment.
Consumer	Government-guaranteed student loan portfolios, which are in run-off.

Financial information about our segments and our geographic areas of operation are located in *Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* and *Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data (Note 23 — Business Segment Information)*.

CORPORATE FINANCE

Corporate Finance provides a range of financing options and offers advisory services to small and medium size companies in the U.S. and Canada and has a specialized lending unit in the U.K. focused on financial sponsors in Europe. Corporate Finance core products include asset-based and cash flow lending, fee-based advisory products (e.g., financial advisory, M&A) for middle-market customers, equipment leasing and financing, and commercial real estate financing.

Corporate Finance offers a product suite primarily composed of senior secured loans collateralized by accounts receivable, inventory, machinery & equipment and intangibles to finance various needs of our customers, such as working capital, plant expansion, acquisitions and recapitalizations. These loans include revolving lines of credit and term loans and, depending on the nature and quality of the collateral, may be referred to as asset-based loans or cash flow loans. We also have a portfolio of SBA 7(a) guaranteed loans, most of which are in assets held for sale ("AHFS"), which are partially guaranteed by the U.S. Small Business Administration ("SBA").

Middle Market Lending business provides financing to customers in a wide range of industries (including Commercial & Industrial, Communications, Media & Entertainment, Healthcare, and Energy):

- Commercial & Industrial includes wholesale trade (both durable and non-durable goods), business services, miscellaneous retail, chemicals and allied products, food and kindred products and numerous other industries.
- Communications, Media, & Entertainment includes broadcast, cable, entertainment, gaming, sports franchise, telephony, wireless and tower, and other related industries.
- Healthcare includes skilled nursing facilities, home health and hospice companies, acute care hospitals, dialysis companies and outpatient services, among others.
- Energy includes conventional and renewable power generation, coal mining, oil and gas production, and energy services.

Commercial Real Estate Finance ("REF") provides senior secured commercial real estate loans to developers and other commercial real estate professionals. REF focuses on stable, cash flowing properties and originates construction loans to highly experienced and well capitalized developers.

Equipment Finance ("EF") provides commercial equipment financing solutions for middle market companies in a wide range of industries. EF structures transactions that consider our customers' unique requirements and industry characteristics, while designing specific solutions that add value to our customers' businesses.

Key risks faced by Corporate Finance are credit risk, business risk and to a lesser extent, asset risk. Risks associated with secured financings relate to the ability of the borrower to repay its loan and the value of the collateral underlying the loan should the borrower default on its obligations.

Corporate Finance is exposed to business risk related to its ability to profitably originate and price new business. Demand for CIT's Corporate Finance services is broadly affected by the level of economic growth and is more specifically affected by the level of economic activity in CIT's target industries. If demand for CIT's

products and services declines, then new business volume originated by CIT Corporate Finance will decline. Likewise, changes in supply and demand of CIT's products and services also affect the pricing CIT can command from the market.

Specific to syndications activity, Corporate Finance is exposed to business risk related to fee income from syndication/club deal activity. In such transactions CIT earns fees for arranging and selling loan exposures to other lenders. Under adverse market circumstances, CIT would be exposed to risk arising from the inability to sell loans on to other lenders, resulting in lower fee income and higher than expected credit exposure to certain borrowers.

In our small business lending unit, the collateral in most instances consists of real estate, which may be subject to fluctuations in market value. If it was determined that an SBA loan was not underwritten or serviced correctly, the SBA guarantee would not be partially or fully honored.

TRANSPORTATION FINANCE

Transportation Finance is a leading provider of aircraft and railcar leasing and financing solutions to operators and suppliers in the global aviation and railcar industries. We also provide lending and other financial products and services to companies in the transportation sector, including those in the business aircraft, maritime, aerospace and defense industries. Transportation Finance operates through five specialized business units: Commercial Air, Rail, Business Air, Transportation Lending, and Maritime Finance, with Commercial Air and Rail accounting for the vast majority of the segment's assets, revenues and earnings. Maritime Finance was launched as a distinct business in the fourth quarter of 2012, although CIT had periodically financed assets within the sector on a small scale.

We have achieved a leadership position in transportation finance by leveraging our deep industry experience and core strengths in technical asset management, customer relationship management, and credit analysis. We have extensive experience in managing equipment over its full life cycle, including purchasing new equipment, estimating residual values, and remarketing by re-leasing or selling equipment. Transportation Finance is a global business, with leasing operations (primarily aerospace) around the world and expanding lending platforms.

Commercial Air provides aircraft leasing and lending, asset management, aircraft valuation and advisory services. The unit's primary clients include global and regional airlines around the world. Offices are located in the U.S., Europe and Asia. As of December 31, 2013, our commercial aerospace financing and leasing portfolio consists of over 300 aircraft with a weighted average age of 5 years, which are placed with about 100 clients.

Rail leases railcar equipment to railroads and shippers throughout North America, and now Europe resulting from a 2014 acquisition. (See *Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Note 28 — Subsequent Events* for further information.) We serve approximately 500 customers, including all of the U.S. and Canadian Class I railroads (railroads with annual revenues of at least \$250 million) and other non-rail companies, such as shippers and power and energy companies. Our operating lease fleet consists of more than 100,000 railcars and approximately 350 locomotives. Railcar types include covered hopper cars used to

ship grain and agricultural products, plastic pellets and cement, gondola cars for coal, steel coil and mill service, open hopper cars for coal and aggregates, center beam flat cars for lumber, boxcars for paper and auto parts, tank cars for energy products and chemicals.

Business Air offers financing and leasing programs for corporate and private owners of business jet aircraft, primarily in the U.S.

Transportation Lending provides loan and lease financing solutions to companies within the aerospace, defense and other transportation sectors, directly or through financial sponsors and intermediaries.

Maritime Finance offers secured loans to owners and operators of oceangoing and inland cargo vessels, as well as offshore vessels and drilling rigs.

The primary asset type held by Transportation Finance is equipment that the business purchases (predominantly commercial aircraft and railcars) and leases to commercial end-users. The typical structure for leasing of large ticket transportation assets is an operating lease. Transportation Finance also has a loan portfolio consisting primarily of senior, secured loans. The primary source of revenue for Transportation Finance is rent collected on leased assets, and to a lesser extent interest on loans, fees for services provided, and gains from assets sold.

The primary risks for Transportation Finance are asset risk (resulting from ownership of the equipment on operating lease) and credit risk. Asset risk arises from fluctuations in supply and demand for underlying equipment leased. Transportation Finance invests in long-lived equipment; commercial aircraft have economic useful lives of approximately 20-25 years and railcars/locomotives have economic useful lives of approximately 35-50 years. This equipment is then leased to commercial end-users with average lease terms of approximately 5-10 years. CIT is exposed to the risk that, at the end of the lease term, the value of the asset will be lower than expected, resulting in reduced future lease income over the remaining life of the asset or a lower sale value.

Asset risk is generally recognized through changes to lease income streams from fluctuations in lease rates and/or utilization. Changes to lease income occur when the existing lease contract expires, the asset comes off lease, and Transportation Finance seeks to enter a new lease agreement. Asset risk may also change depreciation, resulting from changes in the residual value of the operating lease asset or through impairment of the asset carrying value, which can occur at any time during the life of the asset.

Credit risk in the leased equipment portfolio results from the potential default of lessees, possibly driven by obligor specific or industry-wide conditions, and is economically less significant than asset risk for Transportation Finance, because in the operating lease business, there is no extension of credit to the obligor. Instead, the lessor deploys a portion of the useful life of the asset. Credit losses manifest through multiple parts of the income statement including loss of lease/rental income due to missed payments, time off lease, or lower rental payments than the existing contract either due to a restructuring or re-leasing of the asset to another obligor as well as higher expenses due to, for example, repossession costs to obtain, refurbish, and re-lease

assets. Credit risk associated with loans relates to the ability of the borrower to repay its loan and the Company's ability to realize the value of the collateral underlying the loan should the borrower default on its obligations. Risks associated with cash flow loans relate to the collectability of the loans should there be a decline in the credit worthiness of the client.

See "Concentrations" section of *Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* and *Note 19 — Commitments of Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data* for further discussion of our aerospace and rail portfolios.

TRADE FINANCE

Trade Finance offers a full range of domestic and international customized credit protection, lending and outsourcing services that include working capital and term loans, factoring, receivables management, bulk purchases of accounts receivable, import and export financing and letter of credit programs to clients. A client (typically a manufacturer or importer of goods) is the counterparty to any factoring agreement, financing agreement, or receivables purchasing agreement that has been entered into with Trade Finance. Trade Finance services businesses that operate in several industries, including apparel, textile, furniture, home furnishings and consumer electronics. Trade Finance also can arrange for letters of credit, collateralized by accounts receivable and other assets, to be opened for the benefit of its clients' suppliers. Although primarily U.S. based, Trade Finance also conducts business with clients and their customers internationally. Revenue is generated from commissions earned on factoring and related activities, interest on loans and other service fees.

Trade Finance typically provides financing to its clients through the factoring of their accounts receivable owed to them by their customers. A customer (typically a wholesaler or retailer) is the account debtor and obligor on trade accounts receivable that have been factored with and assigned to the factor. The assignment of accounts receivable by a client to a factor is traditionally known as "factoring" and results in payment by the client of a factoring commission that is commensurate with the underlying degree of credit risk and recourse, and which is generally a percentage of the factored receivables or sales volume. In addition to factoring commission and fees, Trade Finance may advance funds to its clients, typically in an amount up to 90% of eligible accounts receivable, charging interest on the advance, and satisfying the advance by the collection of factored accounts receivable. Trade Finance often integrates its clients' operating systems with its own operating systems to facilitate the factoring relationship.

Clients use the products and services of Trade Finance for various purposes, including improving cash flow, mitigating or reducing customer credit risk, increasing sales, improving management systems information and outsourcing their bookkeeping, collection, and other receivable processing to Trade Finance.

The products and services provided by Trade Finance entail two dimensions of credit risk, customer and client. The largest risk for Trade Finance is customer credit risk in factoring transactions. Customer risk relates to the financial inability of a customer to pay on undisputed trade accounts receivable due from such

customer to the factor. While smaller than customer credit exposure, there is also client credit risk in providing cash advances to factoring clients. Client risk relates to a decline in the credit worthiness of a borrowing client, their consequent inability to repay their loan and the possible insufficiency of the underlying collateral (including the aforementioned customer accounts receivable) to cover any loan repayment shortfall. At December 31, 2013, client credit risk accounted for less than 10% of total Trade Finance credit exposure while customer credit risk accounted for the remainder.

Trade Finance is also subject to a variety of business risks including operational, regulatory, financial as well as business risks related to competitive pressures from other banks, boutique factors, and credit insurers. These pressures create risk of reduced pricing and factoring volume for CIT. In addition, client de-factoring can occur if retail credit conditions are benign for a long period and clients no longer demand factoring services for credit protection.

VENDOR FINANCE

Vendor Finance develops customized business solutions for small businesses and middle market companies, providing equipment financing and value-added services. Working with manufacturers, distributors and product resellers across multiple industries, we develop financing programs and financial solutions tailored to the commercial end-user customer's needs that can enable increased sales by our vendor partners.

We provide customer-centric programs ranging from structured to referral programs. A key part of these partnership programs is integrating with the go-to-market strategy of our vendor partners and leveraging the vendor partners' sales process, thereby maximizing efficiency and effectiveness.

These alliances allow our partners to focus on core competencies, reduce capital needs and drive incremental sales volume. We offer our partners (1) financing to end-user customers for purchase or lease of products, (2) enhanced sales tools such as asset management services, loan processing and real-time credit adjudication, and (3) tailored customer service.

Vendor Finance end-user customers are diverse, ranging from sole proprietors to multi-national corporations, but we are largely focused on small and middle-market customers across a diversified set of industries.

Vendor Finance finances three primary types of equipment, information technology, telecom, and office equipment, but in some geographies, Vendor Finance also finances other types of equipment, such as healthcare, transportation, industrial equipment, printing and construction.

Vendor Finance offers in-country origination and servicing centers in certain major markets around the world, industry and geographic expertise, and dedicated sales and credit teams. Our products include standard and customized financial solutions that meet vendor partner and end-user customer requirements, including asset-backed loans, capital leases and usage-based

programs. During 2013 we progressed on our strategy to rationalize subscale international platforms, including a review of the European business. In total we plan to exit over 20 countries across Europe, Latin America and Asia. As a result of these decisions, we have sold various portfolios and moved other portfolios of financing and leasing assets to AHFS.

Key risks faced by Vendor Finance are credit risk, asset risk and business risk. The primary risk in Vendor Finance is credit risk, which arises through exposures to commercial customers in equipment leasing and financing transactions and their ability to repay their loans.

Another risk to which Vendor Finance is exposed is asset risk, namely that at the end of the lease term, the value of the asset will be lower than expected, resulting in reduced future lease income over the remaining life of the asset or a lower sale value.

Vendor Finance is also subject to business risk related to new business volume and pricing of new business. New business volume is impacted by economic conditions that affect business growth and expenditures, ultimately affecting global demand for essential-use equipment in CIT's areas of expertise. Additionally, volume is influenced by CIT's ability to maintain and develop relationships with its vendor partners. With regard to pricing, CIT's Vendor Finance business is subject to potential threats from competitor activity or disintermediation by vendor partners, which could negatively affect CIT's margins.

CONSUMER

Our Consumer segment consists of a portfolio of U.S. Government-guaranteed student loans that is in run-off and was transferred to AHFS at the end of 2013. CIT's risk relates mainly to the ability of the borrower to repay its loan and is primarily limited to the portion, generally 2%–3%, that is not guaranteed by the U.S. Government. CIT also has a risk that it will be denied payment under the guarantee if it is determined that CIT committed a violation of applicable law or regulation in connection with its origination or servicing of the loan. CIT does not consider this risk material.

See "Concentrations" section of *Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* for further information on our student lending portfolios.

CORPORATE AND OTHER

Certain activities are not attributed to our operating segments and are included in Corporate and Other. A significant item for 2013 and 2012 was unallocated interest expense, primarily related to corporate liquidity costs. In 2013, Corporate and Other included a sizable legal settlement, while in 2012 and 2011, Corporate and Other included net losses on debt extinguishments and 2011 also contained prepayment penalties associated with debt repayments. Other items include mark-to-market adjustments on non-qualifying derivatives and restructuring charges for severance and facilities exit activities.

CIT BANK

CIT Bank (Member FDIC) is a wholly-owned subsidiary of CIT Group Inc. that is regulated by the FDIC and the UDFI. Since its founding in 2000, the Bank has expanded its assets, deposits and product offerings. The Bank continued to grow in 2013, with increased deposits, expanded business activities, and new initiatives that include maritime financing, to supplement other recent new activities such as equipment financing, commercial real estate lending and railcar leasing.

The Bank raises deposits from retail and institutional investors primarily through its online bank (www.BankOnCIT.com) and through broker channels in order to fund its lending and leasing activities. Its existing suite of deposit products include Certificates of Deposit (Achiever, Jumbo, and Term), and Savings

Accounts, and it added Individual Retirement Accounts in 2013. In 2013, the bank also closed a secured funding facility.

The Bank's assets are primarily commercial loans and leases of CIT's commercial segments. The commercial loans and leases originated by the Bank are reported in the respective commercial segment (i.e. Corporate Finance, Transportation Finance, Vendor Finance and Trade Finance). The Bank's growing operating lease portfolio primarily consists of railcars. In 2013, the Bank originated nearly all of CIT's U.S. new business volumes.

At year-end, CIT Bank remained well capitalized, maintaining Tier 1 and Total Capital ratios well above required levels.

EMPLOYEES

CIT employed approximately 3,240 people at December 31, 2013, of which approximately 2,530 were employed in the U.S. and 710 outside the U.S.

COMPETITION

Our markets are competitive, based on factors that vary by product, customer, and geographic region. Our competitors include global and domestic commercial banks, regional and community banks, captive finance companies, and leasing companies. In most of our business segments, we have a few large competitors with significant penetration and many smaller niche competitors.

Many of our competitors are large companies with substantial financial, technological, and marketing resources. Our customer value proposition is primarily based on financing terms, structure, and client service. From time to time, due to highly competitive markets, we may (i) lose market share if we are unwilling to match product structure, pricing, or terms of our competitors that do not meet our credit standards or return requirements or (ii) receive lower returns or incur higher credit losses if we match our competitors' product structure, pricing, or terms.

Consolidation and convergence significantly increased the geographic reach of some of our competitors and hastened the globalization of financial services markets. To take advantage of some of our most significant international challenges and opportunities, we must continue to compete successfully with financial institutions that are larger, have better access to low cost funding, and may have a stronger local presence and longer operating history outside the U.S.

As a result, we tend not to compete on price, but rather on industry experience, asset and equipment knowledge, and customer service. The regulatory environment in which we and/or our customers operate also affects our competitive position.

2009 RESTRUCTURING

On November 1, 2009, the parent company (CIT Group Inc.) and one non-operating subsidiary, CIT Group Funding Company of Delaware LLC, filed prepackaged voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. CIT emerged from bankruptcy on December 10, 2009. None of the documents filed with the bankruptcy court are incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K and such documents should not be considered or relied on in making any investment decisions involving our common stock or other securities.

The information contained in this annual report about CIT for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010, reflect the impact of fresh start accounting adjustments, and is not necessarily comparable with information provided for prior periods. Further discussions of these events were disclosed in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, *Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data (Notes 1 and 26)*.

REGULATION

We are regulated by federal and state banking laws, regulations and policies. Such laws and regulations are intended primarily for the protection of depositors, customers and the federal deposit insurance fund (DIF), as well as to minimize risk to the banking system as a whole, and not for the protection of our shareholders or non-depository creditors. Bank regulatory agencies have broad examination and enforcement power over bank holding companies (BHCs) and their subsidiaries, including the power to impose substantial fines, limit dividends, restrict operations and acquisitions and require divestitures. BHCs and banks, as well as subsidiaries of both, are prohibited by law from engaging in practices that the relevant regulatory authority deems unsafe or unsound. CIT is a BHC, and has elected to become a financial holding company (FHC), subject to regulation and examination by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (FRB) and the FRBNY under the BHC Act. As an FHC, CIT is subject to certain limitations on our activities, transactions with affiliates, and payment of dividends and certain standards for capital and liquidity, safety and soundness, and incentive compensation, among other matters. Under the system of "functional regulation" established under the BHC Act, the FRB supervises CIT, including all of its non-bank subsidiaries, as an "umbrella regulator" of the consolidated organization. CIT Bank is chartered as a state bank by the UDFI and is not a member bank of the Federal Reserve System. CIT's principal regulator is the FRB and CIT Bank's principal regulators are the FDIC and the UDFI. Both CIT and CIT Bank are regulated by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB), which regulates consumer financial products.

CIT Capital Securities L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company, is a broker-dealer licensed by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA), and is subject to regulation by FINRA and the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Certain of our subsidiaries are subject to regulation by other governmental agencies. Student Loan Xpress, Inc., a Delaware corporation, conducts its business through various third party banks, including Fifth Third Bank, Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company, and The Bank of New York Mellon, as eligible lender trustees, and is regulated by the U.S. Department of Education and the CFPB. CIT Small Business Lending Corporation, a Delaware corporation, is licensed by and subject to regulation and examination by the U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA). The portfolio of SBA guaranteed loans in CIT Bank are also subject to regulation and examination by the SBA.

Our insurance operations are primarily conducted through The Equipment Insurance Company, a Vermont corporation; CIT Insurance Company Limited, a Missouri corporation; CIT Insurance Agency, Inc., a Delaware corporation; and Equipment Protection Services (Europe) Limited, an Irish company. Each company is licensed to enter into insurance contracts and is subject to regulation and examination by insurance regulators. CIT Bank Limited, an English corporation, is licensed as a bank and broker-dealer and is subject to regulation and examination by the Financial Conduct Authority and the Prudential Regulation Authority of the United Kingdom. We have various other banking corporations in Brazil, France, Italy, and Sweden, each of which is subject to regulation and examination by banking and securities regulators.

The regulation and oversight of the financial services industry have undergone significant revision in the past several years. In particular, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act), which was enacted in July 2010, made extensive changes to the regulatory structure and environment affecting banks, BHCs, non-bank financial companies, broker dealers, and investment advisory and management firms. The Dodd-Frank Act requires extensive rulemaking by various regulatory agencies, which is ongoing. Any changes resulting from the Dodd-Frank Act rulemaking process, as well as any other changes in the laws or regulations applicable to us more generally, may negatively impact the profitability of our business activities, require us to change certain of our business practices, materially affect our business model or affect retention of key personnel, require us to raise additional regulatory capital, increase the amount of liquid assets that we hold, otherwise affect our funding profile or expose us to additional costs (including increased compliance costs). Any such changes may also require us to invest significant management attention and resources to make any necessary changes and may adversely affect our ability to conduct our business as previously conducted or our results of operations or financial condition.

Written Agreement

On August 12, 2009, CIT entered into a Written Agreement with the FRBNY. The Written Agreement required regular reporting to the FRBNY, the submission of plans related to corporate governance, credit risk management, capital, liquidity and funds management, the Company's business and the review and revision, as appropriate, of the Company's consolidated allowances for loan and lease losses methodology. CIT was required to obtain prior written approval by the FRBNY for payment of dividends and distributions; incurrence of debt, other than in the ordinary course of business; and the purchase or redemption of stock. The Written Agreement also required CIT to notify the FRBNY prior to the appointment of new directors or senior executive officers; and placed restrictions on indemnification and severance payments. On May 30, 2013, the FRBNY terminated the Written Agreement. The termination of the Written Agreement did not have any significant impact on CIT's business or operations.

Banking Supervision and Regulation

Permissible Activities

CIT is a BHC registered under the BHC Act and elected to become a FHC under the BHC Act, effective July 23, 2013. In general the BHC Act limits the business of BHCs that have not elected to be treated as financial holding companies under the BHC Act to banking, managing or controlling banks, performing servicing activities for subsidiaries, and engaging in activities that the FRB has determined, by order or regulation, are so closely related to banking as to be a proper incident thereto. An FHC, however, may engage in other activities, or acquire and retain the shares of a company engaged in activities that are financial in nature or incidental or complementary to activities that are financial in nature as long as the FHC continues to meet the eligibility requirements for FHCs. These requirements include that the FHC

and each of its U.S. depository institution subsidiaries maintain their status as “well-capitalized” and “well-managed.”

A depository institution subsidiary is considered to be “well-capitalized” if it satisfies the requirements for this status discussed below under “Prompt Corrective Action.” A depository institution subsidiary is considered “well-managed” if it received a composite rating and management rating of at least “satisfactory” in its most recent examination. An FHC’s status will also depend upon its maintaining its status as “well-capitalized” and “well-managed” under applicable FRB regulations. If an FHC ceases to meet these capital and management requirements, the FRB’s regulations provide that the FHC must enter into an agreement with the FRB to comply with all applicable capital and management requirements. Until the FHC returns to compliance, the FRB may impose limitations or conditions on the conduct of its activities, and the company may not commence any non-banking financial activities permissible for FHCs or acquire a company engaged in such financial activities without prior approval of the FRB. If the company does not return to compliance within 180 days, the FRB may require divestiture of the FHC’s depository institutions. BHCs and banks must also be both well-capitalized and well-managed in order to acquire banks located outside their home state. An FHC will also be limited in its ability to commence non-banking financial activities or acquire a company engaged in such financial activities if any of its insured depository institution subsidiaries fails to maintain a “satisfactory” rating under the Community Reinvestment Act, as described below under “Community Reinvestment Act.”

Activities that are “financial in nature” include securities underwriting, dealing and market making, advising mutual funds and investment companies, insurance underwriting and agency, merchant banking, and activities that the FRB, in consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury, determines to be financial in nature or incidental to such financial activity. “Complementary activities” are activities that the FRB determines upon application to be complementary to a financial activity and that do not pose a safety and soundness issue. CIT is primarily engaged in activities that are permissible for a BHC that is not an FHC.

The Dodd-Frank Act places additional limits on the activities of banks and their affiliates by prohibiting them from engaging in proprietary trading and investing in and sponsoring certain unregistered investment companies (defined as hedge funds and private equity funds). This statutory provision is commonly called the “Volcker Rule”. The statutory provision became effective in July 2012 and required banking entities subject to the Volcker Rule to bring their activities and investments into compliance with applicable requirements by July 2014. On December 10, 2013, the federal banking agencies, the SEC, and the CFTC adopted final rules to implement the Volcker Rule, and the FRB, by order, extended the compliance period to July 2015. The final rules are highly complex, but CIT does not currently anticipate that the Volcker Rule will have a material effect on its business and activities. CIT will incur additional costs to revise its policies and procedures, and will need to upgrade its operating and monitoring systems to ensure compliance with the Volcker Rule. We cannot yet determine the precise financial impact of the rule on CIT and its customers.

Capital Requirements

As a BHC, CIT is subject to consolidated regulatory capital requirements administered by the FRB. CIT Bank is subject to similar capital requirements administered by the FDIC. The current risk-based capital guidelines applicable to CIT are based upon the 1988 Capital Accord (Basel I) of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (the Basel Committee).

General Risk-Based Capital Requirements. CIT computes and reports its risk-based capital ratios in accordance with the general risk-based capital rules set by the U.S. banking agencies and based upon Basel I. As applicable to CIT, Tier 1 capital generally includes common shareholders’ equity, retained earnings, and minority interests in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries, less the effect of certain items in accumulated other comprehensive income, goodwill and intangible assets, one-half of the investment in unconsolidated subsidiaries and other adjustments. Under currently applicable guidelines, Tier 1 capital can also include qualifying non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock and a limited amount of trust preferred securities and qualifying cumulative perpetual preferred stock, none of which CIT currently has outstanding. Tier 2 capital consists of the allowance for credit losses up to 1.25 percent of risk-weighted assets less one-half of the investment in unconsolidated subsidiaries and other adjustments. In addition, Tier 2 capital includes perpetual preferred stock not qualifying as Tier 1 capital, qualifying mandatory convertible debt securities, and qualifying subordinated debt, none of which CIT currently has outstanding. The sum of Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital represents our qualifying “total capital”. Our Tier 1 capital must represent at least half of our qualifying “total capital”. Under the capital guidelines of the FRB, assets and certain off-balance sheet commitments and obligations are converted into risk-weighted assets against which regulatory capital is measured. Risk weighted assets are determined by dividing assets and certain off-balance sheet commitments and obligations into risk categories, each of which is assigned a risk weighting ranging from 0% (e.g., for U.S. Treasury Bonds) to 100%.

CIT, like other BHCs, currently is required to maintain Tier 1 capital and “total capital” equal to at least 4.0% and 8.0%, respectively, of its total risk-weighted assets (including various off-balance sheet items, such as letters of credit). CIT Bank, like other depository institutions, is required to maintain equivalent capital levels under capital adequacy guidelines. In addition, for a depository institution to be considered “well capitalized” under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action discussed under “Prompt Corrective Action” below, its Tier 1 capital and “total capital” ratios must be at least 6.0% and 10.0% on a risk-adjusted basis, respectively.

CIT’s Tier 1 capital and total capital ratios at December 31, 2013 were 16.7% and 17.4%, respectively. CIT Bank’s Tier 1 capital and total capital ratios at December 31, 2013 were 16.8% and 18.1%, respectively. The calculation of regulatory capital ratios by CIT is subject to review and consultation with the FRB, or the FDIC in the case of CIT Bank, which may result in refinements to estimated amounts.

Leverage Requirements. BHCs and depository institutions are also required to comply with minimum Tier 1 Leverage ratio requirements. The Tier 1 Leverage ratio is the ratio of a banking organization’s Tier 1 capital to its total adjusted quarterly average

assets (as defined for regulatory purposes). BHCs and FDIC-supervised banks that either have the highest supervisory rating or have implemented the appropriate federal regulatory authority's risk-adjusted measure for market risk are required to maintain a minimum Tier 1 Leverage ratio of 3.0%. All other BHCs and FDIC-supervised banks are required to maintain a minimum Tier 1 Leverage ratio of 4.0%, unless a different minimum is specified by an appropriate regulatory authority. In addition, for a depository institution to be considered "well capitalized" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action discussed under "Prompt Corrective Action" below, its Tier 1 Leverage ratio must be at least 5.0%.

At December 31, 2013, CIT's Tier 1 leverage ratio was 18.1% and CIT Bank's Tier 1 leverage ratio was 16.9%.

Basel III and the New Standardized Risk-based Approach. In December 2010, the Basel Committee released its final framework for strengthening capital and liquidity regulation (Basel III). In June 2012, the U.S. banking agencies issued three joint notices of proposed rulemaking (NPRs) that contained substantial revisions to the risk-based capital requirements applicable to BHCs and depository institutions, such as CIT and CIT Bank, compared to the current U.S. risk-based capital rules based on Basel I. The NPRs proposed changes to implement Basel III for U.S. banking organizations largely as proposed by the Basel Committee, and also included changes consistent with the Dodd-Frank Act. The first NPR, the Basel III NPR, proposed restrictions on the definition of regulatory capital, introduced a new common equity Tier 1 capital requirement, and proposed higher minimum regulatory capital requirements, including a requirement that institutions maintain a capital conservation buffer above the heightened minimum regulatory capital requirements to absorb losses during periods of economic stress. The Basel III NPR also limited the ability of institutions to pay dividends and other capital distributions and certain discretionary bonuses if regulatory capital levels declined into the capital conservation buffer. The second NPR, the Standardized Approach NPR, proposed revisions to the methodologies for calculating risk-weighted assets in the general risk-based capital rules, incorporating aspects of the Basel II standardized approach, and established alternative standards of creditworthiness in place of credit ratings, consistent with the Dodd-Frank Act. The third NPR, the Advanced Approaches NPR, included proposed changes to the current advanced approaches risk-based capital rules to incorporate the applicable provisions of Basel III and the enhancements to the Basel II framework published in July 2009 and subsequent consultative papers, and removed references to credit ratings.

In July 2013, the FRB and the FDIC issued a final rule (Basel III Final Rule) that adopted the Basel III NPR, Standardized Approach NPR, and Advanced Approaches NPR, with certain changes to the proposals, implementing revised risk-based capital and leverage requirements for banking organizations proposed under Basel III. The Company, as well as the Bank, will be subject to the Basel III Final Rule as of January 1, 2015.

Among other matters, the Basel III Final Rule: (i) introduces a new capital measure called "Common Equity Tier 1" ("CET1") and related regulatory capital ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets;

(ii) specifies that Tier 1 capital consists of CET1 and "Additional Tier 1 capital" instruments meeting certain revised requirements; (iii) mandates that most deductions/adjustments to regulatory capital measures be made to CET1 and not to the other components of capital; and (iv) expands the scope of the deductions from and adjustments to capital as compared to existing regulations. For most banking organizations, the most common form of Additional Tier 1 capital is non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock and the most common form of Tier 2 capital is subordinated notes which will be subject to the Basel III Final Rule specific requirements. The Company does not currently have either of these forms of capital outstanding.

The Basel III Final Rule also introduces a new "capital conservation buffer", composed entirely of CET1, on top of these minimum risk-weighted asset ratios, which excludes the Tier 1 leverage ratio. The capital conservation buffer is designed to absorb losses during periods of economic stress. Banking institutions with a ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets above the minimum but below the capital conservation buffer will face constraints on dividends, equity repurchases and compensation based on the amount of the shortfall.

The Basel III Final Rule provides for a number of deductions from and adjustments to CET1. These include, for example, the requirement that mortgage servicing rights, certain portions of deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences that could not be realized through net operating loss carrybacks and significant investments in non-consolidated financial entities be deducted from CET1 to the extent that any one such category exceeds 10% of CET1 or all such items, in the aggregate, exceed 15% of CET1.

In addition, under the current general risk-based capital rules, the effects of certain components of accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI") included in shareholders' equity (for example, mark-to-market of securities held in the available-for-sale ("AFS") portfolio) under U.S. GAAP are reversed for the purpose of determining regulatory capital ratios. Pursuant to the Basel III Final Rule, the effects of these AOCI items are not excluded; however, non-advanced approaches banking organizations, including the Company and CIT Bank, may make a one-time permanent election to continue to exclude the AOCI items currently excluded under Basel I. This election must be made concurrently with the first filing of certain of the Company's and CIT Bank's periodic regulatory reports in the beginning of 2015. The Company and CIT Bank are considering whether to make such election. The Basel III Final Rule also precludes certain hybrid securities, such as trust preferred securities, from inclusion in bank holding companies' Tier 1 capital. The Company does not have any hybrid securities, such as trust preferred securities, outstanding at December 31, 2013.

Implementation of the deductions and other adjustments to CET1 will begin on January 1, 2015 and will be phased-in over a 4-year period (beginning at 40% on January 1, 2015 and an additional 20% per year thereafter). The implementation of the capital conservation buffer will begin on January 1, 2016 at the 0.625% level and increase by 0.625% on each subsequent January 1, until it reaches 2.5% on January 1, 2019.

Per the Basel III Final Rule, CIT will be required to maintain risk-based capital ratios at January 1, 2019 as follows:

	Minimum Capital Requirements – January 1, 2019		
	Tier 1 Common Equity	Tier 1 Capital	Total Capital
Stated minimum ratio	4.5%	6.0%	8.0%
Capital conservation buffer	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%
Effective minimum ratio	7.0%	8.5%	10.5%

The Basel III Final Rule prescribes a new approach for risk weightings for BHCs and banks that follow the Standardized approach, which currently applies to CIT. This approach expands the risk-weighting categories from the current four Basel I-derived categories (0%, 20%, 50% and 100%) to a larger and more risk-sensitive number of categories, depending on the nature of the assets, generally ranging from 0% for U.S. government and agency securities, to 600% for certain equity exposures, and resulting in higher risk weights for a variety of asset classes. Overall, CIT expects a modest increase in risk-weighted assets, and a modest decrease in our risk-based capital ratios, because of the similarity of the Standardized Approach risk-weighting methodologies to the current Basel I risk-weighting methodology with respect to the Company's and CIT Bank's assets and off-balance sheet items.

With respect to CIT Bank, the Basel III Final Rule revises the "prompt corrective action" ("PCA") regulations adopted pursuant to Section 38 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, by: (i) introducing a CET1 ratio requirement at each PCA category (other than critically undercapitalized), with the required CET1 ratio being 6.5% for well-capitalized status; (ii) increasing the minimum Tier 1 capital ratio requirement for each category, with the minimum Tier 1 capital ratio for well-capitalized status being 8% (as compared to the current 6%); and (iii) eliminating the current provision that provides that a bank with a composite supervisory rating of 1 may have a 3% leverage ratio and still be adequately capitalized. The Basel III Final Rule does not change the total risk-based capital requirement for any PCA category.

At December 31, 2013, the Company's and CIT Bank's capital ratios and capital composition exceed the post-transition minimum capital requirements at January 2019. CIT's capital stock is substantially all Tier 1 Common equity and generally does not include non-qualifying capital instruments subject to transitional deductions. Both CIT and CIT Bank are subject to a minimum Tier 1 Leverage ratio of 4%. We continue to believe that, as of December 31, 2013, the Company and CIT Bank would meet all capital requirements under the Basel III Final Rule, including the capital conservation buffer, on a fully phased-in basis as if such requirements were currently effective. As non-advanced approaches banking organizations, the Company and CIT Bank will not be subject to the Basel III Final Rule's countercyclical buffer or the supplementary leverage ratio.

Stress Test and Capital Plan Requirements

In October 2012, the FRB issued final regulations detailing stress test requirements for BHCs, savings and loan companies and state member banks with total consolidated assets greater than \$10 billion.

With assets at December 31, 2013 of \$47.1 billion, CIT is required to conduct annual stress tests using scenarios provided by the FRB, beginning with the 2014 stress test cycle. A stress test is defined as processes to assess the potential impact of adverse scenarios on the consolidated earnings, losses, and capital of a company over a planning horizon, taking into account the company's current condition, risks, exposures, strategies, and activities. CIT will conduct annual stress tests based on its financial results at September 30 each year for a 9 quarter planning horizon and using the FRB or supervisory scenarios issued prior to November 15 of each year. CIT must submit its annual stress test results to the FRB by March 31 of each year. For the 2014 stress test cycle, the planning horizon covers the fourth quarter of 2013 and all of 2014 and 2015, and must be submitted by March 31, 2014. Beginning with the 2015 stress test cycle, CIT will be required to publicly disclose the results of the "severely adverse" scenario in a forum easily accessible to the public, such as CIT's website, between June 15 and June 30 following the submission to the FRB.

Similarly, the FDIC published regulations requiring annual stress tests for FDIC-insured state nonmember banks and FDIC-insured state-chartered savings organizations with total consolidated assets averaging \$10 billion or more for four consecutive quarters. CIT Bank is an FDIC-insured state nonmember bank with total assets of \$16.1 billion as of December 31, 2013. CIT Bank exceeds \$10 billion in assets and conducted its required annual stress tests using scenarios provided by the FDIC in the fall of 2013. Annual stress test results must be submitted before March 31 to the FDIC and the FRB starting with the 2014 stress test cycle, with public disclosure of the "severely adverse" scenario, starting with the 2015 stress test cycle, between June 15 and June 30 following the submission of stress test results to the FDIC and FRB.

Should our total consolidated assets average \$50 billion or more for four consecutive quarters, CIT would be required to submit a capital plan annually to the FRB under the capital plan rule finalized in November 2011 as well as updated instructions and guidance published annually. While CIT is not currently subject to the capital plan rule, the FRB has the authority to require any BHC to submit annual capital plans based on the institution's size, level of complexity, risk profile, scope of operations, or financial condition.

Furthermore, if our total consolidated assets average \$50 billion or more for four consecutive quarters, CIT would also be subject to stress test requirements for covered companies (subpart G of the FRB's Regulation YY). Annually, CIT would be required to complete and submit a supervisory stress test with the FRB's economic scenarios, as part of its capital plan, by January 5. Summary stress test results for the "severely adverse" scenario

would be publicly disclosed between March 15 and March 31. Furthermore, CIT would also be required to conduct annual and mid-cycle Company-run stress tests with company-developed economic scenarios for submission to the FRB by July 5. Public disclosure of the summary stress test results for the bank holding company's "severely adverse" scenario would be made between September 15 and September 30.

Although CIT is currently not required to take part in the Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review ("CCAR"), we produce a capital plan that we believe is aligned with the supervisory expectations for large BHCs, which includes and considers stress test results for supervisory scenarios. Our annual capital plan is subject to review by the FRBNY.

Liquidity Requirements

Historically, regulation and monitoring of bank and BHC liquidity has been addressed as a supervisory matter, without required formulaic measures. The Basel III final framework requires banks and BHCs to measure their liquidity against specific liquidity tests that, although similar in some respects to liquidity measures historically applied by banks and regulators for management and supervisory purposes, going forward will be required by regulation. One test, referred to as the liquidity coverage ratio ("LCR"), is designed to ensure that the banking entity maintains an adequate level of unencumbered high-quality liquid assets equal to the entity's expected net cash outflow for a 30-day time horizon (or, if greater, 25% of its expected total cash outflow) under an acute liquidity stress scenario. The other, referred to as the net stable funding ratio ("NSFR"), is designed to promote more medium- and long-term funding of the assets and activities of banking entities over a one-year time horizon. The Basel III liquidity framework contemplates that the LCR will begin a phased implementation process starting on January 1, 2015 that is expected to be completed by January 1, 2019. The Basel III liquidity framework contemplates that the NSFR will be subject to an observation period through mid-2016 and, subject to any revisions resulting from the analyses conducted and data collected during the observation period, implemented as a minimum standard by January 1, 2018.

On October 24, 2013, the FRB issued a proposed rule to create a standardized minimum liquidity requirement for large and internationally active banking organizations, similar to the LCR in Basel III. These institutions would be required to hold minimum amounts of high-quality, liquid assets, such as central bank reserves and government and corporate debt that can be converted easily and quickly into cash. Each institution would be required to hold these high quality, liquid assets in an amount equal to or greater than its projected cash outflows minus its projected cash inflows during a short-term stress period. The ratio of the firms' liquid assets to its projected net cash outflow is its LCR.

The LCR would apply to all internationally active banking organizations – generally those with \$250 billion or more in total consolidated assets or \$10 billion or more in on-balance sheet foreign exposure – and to systemically important, non-bank financial institutions. The proposed rule also would apply a less stringent, modified LCR to bank holding companies that have more than \$50 billion in total assets.

The proposed rule will implement the LCR proposed in the Basel III final framework and will establish an enhanced prudential liquidity standard consistent with Section 165 of the Dodd-Frank Act. Comments on the notice of proposed rulemaking were due by January 31, 2014. Since the Company is currently below \$50 billion in total assets and \$10 billion in on-balance sheet foreign exposure, the proposed rule would not apply to us at the present time if implemented in its current form. The U.S. bank regulatory agencies have not issued final rules implementing the NSFR test called for by the Basel III final framework.

Prompt Corrective Action

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 ("FDICIA"), among other things, establishes five capital categories for FDIC-insured banks: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized. A depository institution is deemed to be "well capitalized," the highest category, if it has a total capital ratio of 10% or greater, a Tier 1 capital ratio of 6% or greater and a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 5% or greater and is not subject to any order or written directive by any such regulatory authority to meet and maintain a specific capital level for any capital measure. CIT Bank's capital ratios were all in excess of minimum guidelines for well capitalized at December 31, 2013 and 2012. Neither CIT nor CIT Bank is subject to any order or written agreement regarding any capital requirements.

FDICIA requires the applicable federal regulatory authorities to implement systems for "prompt corrective action" for insured depository institutions that do not meet minimum requirements. FDICIA imposes progressively more restrictive constraints on operations, management and capital distributions as the capital category of an institution declines. Undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized depository institutions are required to submit a capital restoration plan to their primary federal regulator. Although prompt corrective action regulations apply only to depository institutions and not to BHCs, the holding company must guarantee any such capital restoration plan in certain circumstances. The liability of the parent holding company under any such guarantee is limited to the lesser of five percent of the bank's assets at the time it became "undercapitalized" or the amount needed to comply. The parent holding company might also be liable for civil money damages for failure to fulfill that guarantee. In the event of the bankruptcy of the parent holding company, such guarantee would take priority over the parent's general unsecured creditors.

Regulators take into consideration both risk-based capital ratios and other factors that can affect a bank's financial condition, including (a) concentrations of credit risk, (b) interest rate risk, and (c) risks from non-traditional activities, along with an institution's ability to manage those risks, when determining capital adequacy. This evaluation is made during the institution's safety and soundness examination. An institution may be downgraded to, or deemed to be in, a capital category that is lower than is indicated by its capital ratios if it is determined to be in an unsafe or unsound condition or if it receives an unsatisfactory examination rating with respect to certain matters.

Heightened Prudential Requirements for Large Bank Holding Companies

The Dodd-Frank Act imposes heightened prudential requirements on, among others, BHCs with at least \$50 billion in total consolidated assets, based on the average of total consolidated assets for the last four quarters, and requires the FRB to establish prudential standards for those large BHCs that are more stringent than those applicable to other BHCs. In December 2011, the FRB issued for public comment a notice of proposed rulemaking establishing enhanced prudential standards responsive to these provisions for risk-based capital requirements and leverage limits, liquidity requirements, risk-management requirements, stress testing, concentration limits, and a debt-to-equity limit for certain companies that the Financial Stability Oversight Council ("FSOC") has determined pose a grave threat to financial stability. To date, only the regulations with regard to stress tests as discussed in "*Stress Test and Capital Plan Requirements*" above have been finalized. The FRB has discretionary authority to establish additional prudential standards, on its own or at the FSOC's recommendation, regarding contingent capital, enhanced public disclosures, short-term debt limits, and otherwise as it deems appropriate.

Most of the proposed rules will not apply to CIT for so long as its total consolidated assets remain below \$50 billion. However, if CIT's total consolidated assets are \$50 billion or more, these rules will apply. Two aspects of the proposed rules – requirements for annual stress testing of capital under one base and two stress scenarios and certain corporate governance provisions requiring, among other things, that each BHC establish a risk committee of its board of directors with a "risk management expert" as one of its members – apply to BHCs with total consolidated assets of \$10 billion or more, including CIT.

Acquisitions

Federal and state laws impose notice and approval requirements for mergers and acquisitions involving depository institutions or BHCs. The BHC Act requires the prior approval of the FRB for (1) the acquisition by a BHC of direct or indirect ownership or control of more than 5% of any class of voting shares of a bank, savings association, or BHC, (2) the acquisition of all or substantially all of the assets of any bank or savings association by any subsidiary of a BHC other than a bank, or (3) the merger or consolidation of any BHC with another BHC. The Bank Merger Act requires the prior approval of the FDIC for CIT Bank to merge or consolidate with any other insured depository institution or to acquire the assets of or assume liability to pay any deposits made in another insured depository institution, as well as for certain other transactions involving uninsured institutions. In reviewing acquisition and merger applications, the bank regulatory authorities will consider, among other things, the competitive effect of the transaction, financial and managerial issues including the capital position of the combined organization, convenience and needs factors, including the applicant's record under the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 ("CRA"), the effectiveness of the subject organizations in combating money laundering activities and the transaction's effect on the stability of the U.S. banking and financial systems. In addition, an FHC must obtain prior approval of the FRB before acquiring certain non-bank financial companies with assets exceeding \$10 billion.

Dividends

CIT is a legal entity separate and distinct from CIT Bank and CIT's other subsidiaries. CIT provides a significant amount of funding to its subsidiaries, which is generally recorded as intercompany loans or equity. Most of CIT's cash inflow is comprised of interest on intercompany loans to its subsidiaries and dividends from its subsidiaries.

The ability of CIT to pay dividends on common stock may be affected by, among other things, various capital requirements, particularly the capital and non-capital standards established for depository institutions under FDICIA, which may limit the ability of CIT Bank to pay dividends to CIT. The right of CIT, its stockholders, and its creditors to participate in any distribution of the assets or earnings of its subsidiaries is further subject to prior claims of creditors of CIT Bank and CIT's other subsidiaries.

Utah state law imposes limitations on the payment of dividends by CIT Bank. A Utah state bank may declare a dividend out of the net profits of the bank after providing for all expenses, losses, interest, and taxes accrued or due from the bank. Furthermore, before declaring any dividend, a Utah bank must provide for not less than 10% of the net profits of the bank for the period covered by the dividend to be carried to a surplus fund until the surplus is equal to the bank's capital. Utah law may also impose additional restrictions on the payment of dividends if CIT Bank sustains losses in excess of its reserves for loan losses and undivided profits.

It is the policy of the FRB that a BHC generally only pay dividends on common stock out of net income available to common shareholders over the past year, only if the prospective rate of earnings retention appears consistent with capital needs, asset quality, and overall financial condition, and only if the BHC is not in danger of failing to meet its minimum regulatory capital adequacy ratios. In the current financial and economic environment, the FRB indicated that BHCs should not maintain high dividend pay-out ratios unless both asset quality and capital are very strong. A BHC should not maintain a dividend level that places undue pressure on the capital of bank subsidiaries, or that may undermine the BHC's ability to serve as a source of strength.

We anticipate that our capital ratios reflected in the stress test calculations required of us and the capital plan that we prepare as described under "*Stress Test and Capital Requirements*", above, will be an important factor considered by the FRB in evaluating whether our proposed return of capital may be an unsafe or unsound practice. Additionally, should our total consolidated assets equal or exceed \$50 billion, we would likely also be limited to paying dividends and repurchasing stock only in accordance with our annual capital plan submitted to the FRB under the capital plan rule. FRB guidance in the 2013 capital plan review instructions provide that capital plans contemplating dividend payout ratios exceeding 30% of projected after-tax net income will receive particularly close scrutiny.

Source of Strength Doctrine and Support for Subsidiary Banks

FRB policy and federal statute require BHCs such as CIT to serve as a source of strength and to commit capital and other financial resources to subsidiary banks. This support may be required at times when CIT may not be able to provide such support without adversely affecting its ability to meet other obligations. If CIT is

unable to provide such support, the FRB could instead require the divestiture of CIT Bank and impose operating restrictions pending the divestiture. Any capital loans by a BHC to any of its subsidiary banks are subordinate in right of payment to depositors and to certain other indebtedness of the subsidiary bank. If a BHC commits to a federal bank regulator that it will maintain the capital of its bank subsidiary, whether in response to the FRB's invoking its source of strength authority or in response to other regulatory measures, that commitment will be assumed by the bankruptcy trustee and the bank will be entitled to priority payment in respect of that commitment.

Enforcement Powers of Federal Banking Agencies

The FRB and other U.S. banking agencies have broad enforcement powers with respect to an insured depository institution and its holding company, including the power to impose cease and desist orders, substantial fines and other civil penalties, terminate deposit insurance, and appoint a conservator or receiver. Failure to comply with applicable laws or regulations could subject CIT or CIT Bank, as well as their officers and directors, to administrative sanctions and potentially substantial civil and criminal penalties.

Resolution Planning

As required by the Dodd-Frank Act, the FRB and FDIC have jointly issued a final rule that requires certain organizations, including BHCs with consolidated assets of \$50 billion or more, to report periodically to regulators a resolution plan for their rapid and orderly resolution in the event of material financial distress or failure. Such a resolution plan must, among other things, ensure that its depository institution subsidiaries are adequately protected from risks arising from its other subsidiaries. The final rule sets specific standards for the resolution plans, including requiring a detailed resolution strategy, a description of the range of specific actions the company proposes to take in resolution, and an analysis of the company's organizational structure, material entities, interconnections and interdependencies, and management information systems, among other elements. If CIT's total consolidated assets increase to \$50 billion or more, it would become subject to this requirement.

Orderly Liquidation Authority

The Dodd-Frank Act created the Orderly Liquidation Authority (OLA), a resolution regime for systemically important non-bank financial companies, including BHCs and their non-bank affiliates, under which the FDIC may be appointed receiver to liquidate such a company upon a determination by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of the Treasury (Treasury), after consultation with the President, with support by a supermajority recommendation from the FRB and, depending on the type of entity, the approval of the director of the Federal Insurance Office, a supermajority vote of the SEC, or a supermajority vote of the FDIC, that the company is in danger of default; that such default presents a systemic risk to U.S. financial stability and that the company should be subject to the OLA process. This resolution authority is similar to the FDIC resolution model for depository institutions, with certain modifications to reflect differences between depository institutions and non-bank financial companies and to reduce disparities between the treatment of creditors' claims under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code and in an orderly liquidation authority

proceeding compared to those that would exist under the resolution model for insured depository institutions.

An Orderly Liquidation Fund will fund OLA liquidation proceedings through borrowings from the Treasury and risk-based assessments made, first, on entities that received more in the resolution than they would have received in liquidation to the extent of such excess, and second, if necessary, on BHCs with total consolidated assets of \$50 billion or more, any non-bank financial company supervised by the FRB, and certain other financial companies with total consolidated assets of \$50 billion or more. If an orderly liquidation is triggered, CIT, if its total consolidated assets increase to \$50 billion or more, could face assessments for the Orderly Liquidation Fund. We do not yet have an indication of the level of such assessments. Furthermore, were CIT to become subject to the OLA, the regime may also require changes to CIT's structure, organization and funding pursuant to the guidelines described above.

FDIC Deposit Insurance

Deposits of CIT Bank are insured by the FDIC Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF) up to applicable limits and are subject to premium assessments.

The current assessment system applies different methods to small institutions with assets of less than \$10 billion, which are classified as small institutions, and large institutions with assets of greater than \$10 billion for more than four consecutive quarters. CIT Bank is an FDIC-insured state nonmember bank with total assets of \$16.1 billion as of December 31, 2013, and is considered a large institution.

For larger institutions, the FDIC uses a two scorecard system, one for most large institutions that have had more than \$10 billion in assets as of December 31, 2006 (unless the institution subsequently reported assets of less than \$10 billion for four consecutive quarters) or have had more than \$10 billion in total assets for at least four consecutive quarters since December 31, 2006 and another for (i) "highly complex" institutions that have had over \$50 billion in assets for at least four consecutive quarters and are directly or indirectly controlled by a U.S. parent with over \$500 billion in assets for four consecutive quarters and (ii) certain processing banks and trust companies with total fiduciary assets of \$500 billion or more for at least four consecutive quarters. Each scorecard has a performance score and a loss-severity score that is combined to produce a total score, which is translated into an initial assessment rate. In calculating these scores, the FDIC utilizes a bank's capital level and CAMELS ratings and certain financial measures designed to assess an institution's ability to withstand asset-related stress and funding-related stress. The FDIC also has the ability to make discretionary adjustments to the total score, up or down, by a maximum of 15 basis points, based upon significant risk factors that are not adequately captured in the scorecard. The total score translates to an initial base assessment rate on a non-linear, sharply increasing scale. For large institutions, the initial base assessment rate ranges from 5 to 35 basis points on an annualized basis. After the effect of potential base rate adjustments described below (but not including the depository institution debt adjustment), the total base assessment rate could range from 2.5 to 45 basis points on an annualized basis.

The potential adjustments to an institution's initial base assessment rate include (i) potential decrease of up to 5 basis points for certain long-term unsecured debt (unsecured debt adjustment) and, (ii) except for well capitalized institutions with a CAMELS rating of 1 or 2, a potential increase of up to 10 basis points for brokered deposits in excess of 10% of domestic deposits (brokered deposit adjustment). As the DIF reserve ratio grows, the rate schedule will be adjusted downward. Additionally, an institution must pay an additional premium (the depository institution debt adjustment) equal to 50 basis points on every dollar above 3% of an institution's Tier 1 capital of long-term, unsecured debt held that was issued by another insured depository institution (excluding debt guaranteed under the Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program).

Under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (FDIA), the FDIC may terminate deposit insurance upon a finding that the institution has engaged in unsafe and unsound practices, is in an unsafe or unsound condition to continue operations, or has violated any applicable law, regulation, rule, order or condition imposed by the FDIC.

Transactions with Affiliates

Transactions between CIT Bank and its subsidiaries, and CIT and its other subsidiaries and affiliates, are regulated by the FRB and the FDIC pursuant to Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act. These regulations limit the types and amounts of transactions (including loans due and credit extensions from CIT Bank or its subsidiaries to CIT and its other subsidiaries and affiliates) as well as restrict certain other transactions (such as the purchase of existing loans or other assets by CIT Bank or its subsidiaries from CIT and its other subsidiaries and affiliates) that may otherwise take place and generally require those transactions to be on an arms-length basis and, in the case of extensions of credit, be secured by specified amounts and types of collateral. These regulations generally do not apply to transactions between CIT Bank and its subsidiaries.

All transactions subject to Sections 23A and 23B between CIT Bank and its affiliates are done on an arms-length basis. In 2013, the Bank purchased \$272 million of loans from BHC affiliates, subject to Section 23A and received \$67 million of loans transferred in the form of capital infusions from the BHC. During 2012, approximately \$280 million in loans and cash was transferred to CIT Bank and its subsidiaries from CIT as equity contributions in support of capital agreements related to student loans purchased from affiliates under a 23A and 23B exemption granted by the FRB. Furthermore, to ensure ongoing compliance with Sections 23A and 23B, CIT Bank maintains sufficient collateral in the form of cash deposits and pledged loans to cover any extensions of credit to affiliates.

The Dodd-Frank Act significantly expanded the coverage and scope of the limitations on affiliate transactions within a banking organization and changes the procedure for seeking exemptions from these restrictions. For example, the Dodd-Frank Act expanded the definition of a "covered transaction" to include derivatives transactions and securities lending transactions with a non-bank affiliate under which a bank (or its subsidiary) has credit exposure (with the term "credit exposure" to be defined by the FRB under its existing rulemaking authority). Collateral

requirements will apply to such transactions as well as to certain repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements.

Safety and Soundness Standards

FDICIA requires the federal bank regulatory agencies to prescribe standards, by regulations or guidelines, relating to internal controls, information systems and internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate risk exposure, asset growth, asset quality, earnings, stock valuation, compensation, fees and benefits, and such other operational and managerial standards as the agencies deem appropriate. Guidelines adopted by the federal bank regulatory agencies establish general standards relating to internal controls and information systems, internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate exposure, asset growth and compensation, fees and benefits. In general, the guidelines require, among other things, appropriate systems and practices to identify and manage the risk and exposures specified in the guidelines. The guidelines prohibit excessive compensation as an unsafe and unsound practice and describe compensation as excessive when the amounts paid are unreasonable or disproportionate to the services performed by an executive officer, employee, director or principal stockholder. In addition, the agencies adopted regulations that authorize, but do not require, an agency to order an institution that has been given notice by an agency that it is not satisfying any of such safety and soundness standards to submit a compliance plan. If, after being so notified, an institution fails to submit an acceptable compliance plan or fails in any material respect to implement an acceptable compliance plan, the agency must issue an order directing action to correct the deficiency and may issue an order directing other actions of the types to which an undercapitalized institution is subject under the "prompt corrective action" provisions of the FDIA. See "Prompt Corrective Action" above. If an institution fails to comply with such an order, the agency may seek to enforce such order in judicial proceedings and to impose civil money penalties.

Insolvency of an Insured Depository Institution

If the FDIC is appointed the conservator or receiver of an insured depository institution, upon its insolvency or in certain other events, the FDIC has the power:

- to transfer any of the depository institution's assets and liabilities to a new obligor without the approval of the depository institution's creditors;
- to enforce the terms of the depository institution's contracts pursuant to their terms; or
- to repudiate or disaffirm any contract or lease to which the depository institution is a party, the performance of which is determined by the FDIC to be burdensome and the disaffirmance or repudiation of which is determined by the FDIC to promote the orderly administration of the depository institution.

In addition, under federal law, the claims of holders of deposit liabilities, including the claims of the FDIC as the guarantor of insured depositors, and certain claims for administrative expenses against an insured depository institution would be afforded priority over other general unsecured claims against such an institution, including claims of debt holders of the

institution, in the liquidation or other resolution of such an institution by any receiver. As a result, whether or not the FDIC ever seeks to repudiate any debt obligations of CIT Bank, the debt holders would be treated differently from, and could receive, if anything, substantially less than CIT Bank's depositors.

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau Supervision

The CFPB is authorized to interpret and administer federal consumer financial laws, as well as to directly examine and enforce compliance with those laws by depository institutions with assets over \$10 billion, such as CIT Bank.

Community Reinvestment Act ("CRA")

The CRA requires depository institutions like CIT Bank to assist in meeting the credit needs of their market areas consistent with safe and sound banking practice. Under the CRA, each depository institution is required to help meet the credit needs of its market areas by, among other things, providing credit to low- and moderate-income individuals and communities. Depository institutions are periodically examined for compliance with the CRA and are assigned ratings. Furthermore, banking regulators take into account CRA ratings when considering approval of a proposed transaction. CIT Bank received a rating of "Satisfactory" on its most recent CRA examination by the FDIC.

Incentive Compensation

The Dodd-Frank Act requires the federal bank regulatory agencies and the SEC to establish joint regulations or guidelines prohibiting incentive-based payment arrangements at specified regulated entities, such as CIT and CIT Bank, having at least \$1 billion in total assets that encourage inappropriate risks by providing an executive officer, employee, director or principal shareholder with excessive compensation, fees, or benefits or that could lead to material financial loss to the entity. In addition, these regulators must establish regulations or guidelines requiring enhanced disclosure to regulators of incentive-based compensation arrangements. The agencies proposed such regulations in April 2011, but these regulations have not yet been finalized. If the regulations are adopted in the form initially proposed, they will impose limitations on the manner in which CIT may structure compensation for its executives.

In June 2010, the FRB and the FDIC issued comprehensive final guidance intended to ensure that the incentive compensation policies of banking organizations do not undermine the safety and soundness of such organizations by encouraging excessive risk-taking. The guidance, which covers all employees that have the ability to materially affect the risk profile of an organization, either individually or as part of a group, is based upon the key principles that a banking organization's incentive compensation arrangements should (i) provide incentives that do not encourage risk-taking beyond the organization's ability to effectively identify and manage risks, (ii) be compatible with effective internal controls and risk management, and (iii) be supported by strong corporate governance, including active and effective oversight by the organization's board of directors. These three principles are incorporated into the proposed joint compensation regulations under the Dodd-Frank Act discussed above.

Anti-Money Laundering ("AML") and Economic Sanctions

In the U.S., the Bank Secrecy Act, as amended by the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001, imposes significant obligations on financial institutions, including banks, to detect and deter money laundering and terrorist financing, including requirements to implement AML programs, verify the identity of customers that maintain accounts, file currency transaction reports, and monitor and report suspicious activity to appropriate law enforcement or regulatory authorities. Anti-money laundering laws outside the United States contain similar requirements to implement AML programs. The Company has implemented policies, procedures, and internal controls that are designed to comply with all applicable AML laws and regulations. The Company has also implemented policies, procedures, and internal controls that are designed to comply with the regulations and economic sanctions programs administered by the U.S. Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control ("OFAC"), which administers and enforces economic and trade sanctions against targeted foreign countries, and regimes, terrorists, international narcotics traffickers, those engaged in activities related to the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, and other threats to the national security, foreign policy, or economy of the United States, as well as sanctions based on United Nations and other international mandates.

Anti-corruption

The Company is subject to the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act ("FCPA"), which prohibits offering, promising, giving, or authorizing others to give anything of value, either directly or indirectly, to a non-U.S. government official in order to influence official action or otherwise gain an unfair business advantage, such as to obtain or retain business. The Company is also subject to applicable anti-corruption laws in the jurisdictions in which it operates, such as the U.K. Bribery Act, which became effective on July 1, 2011 and which generally prohibits commercial bribery, the receipt of a bribe, and the failure to prevent bribery by an associated person, in addition to prohibiting improper payments to foreign government officials. The Company has implemented policies, procedures, and internal controls that are designed to comply with such laws, rules, and regulations.

Protection of Customer and Client Information

Certain aspects of the Company's business are subject to legal requirements concerning the use and protection of customer information, including those adopted pursuant to the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003 in the U.S., the E.U. Data Protection Directive, and various laws in Asia and Latin America. In the U.S., the Company is required periodically to notify its customers and clients of its policy on sharing nonpublic customer or client information with its affiliates or with third party non-affiliates, and, in some circumstances, allow its customers and clients to prevent disclosure of certain personal information to affiliates and third party non-affiliates. In many foreign jurisdictions, the Company is also restricted from sharing customer or client information with third party non-affiliates.

Other Regulation

In addition to U.S. banking regulation, our operations are subject to supervision and regulation by other federal, state, and various foreign governmental authorities. Additionally, our operations may be subject to various laws and judicial and administrative decisions. This oversight may serve to:

- regulate credit granting activities, including establishing licensing requirements, if any, in various jurisdictions;
- establish maximum interest rates, finance charges and other charges;
- regulate customers' insurance coverages;
- require disclosures to customers;

- govern secured transactions;
- set collection, foreclosure, repossession and claims handling procedures and other trade practices;
- prohibit discrimination in the extension of credit and administration of loans; and
- regulate the use and reporting of information related to a borrower's credit experience and other data collection.

Changes to laws of states and countries in which we do business could affect the operating environment in substantial and unpredictable ways. We cannot accurately predict whether such changes will occur or, if they occur, the ultimate effect they would have upon our financial condition or results of operations.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

A copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports, as well as our Proxy Statement, may be read and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington D.C. 20549. Information on the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. In addition, the SEC maintains an Internet site at <http://www.sec.gov>, from which interested parties can electronically access the Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports, as well as our Proxy Statement.

The Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those

reports, as well as our Proxy Statement, are available free of charge on the Company's Internet site at <http://www.cit.com> as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed or furnished with the SEC. Copies of our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Charters of the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, the Nominating and Governance Committee, and the Risk Management Committee, and our Code of Business Conduct are available, free of charge, on our internet site at www.cit.com/investor, and printed copies are available by contacting Investor Relations, 1 CIT Drive, Livingston, NJ 07039 or by telephone at (973) 740-5000.

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Accretable / Non-accretable fresh start accounting adjustments reflect components of the fair value adjustments to assets and liabilities. Accretable adjustments flow through the related line items on the statement of operations (interest income, interest expense, non-interest income and depreciation expense) on a regular basis over the remaining life of the asset or liability. These primarily relate to interest adjustments on loans and leases, as well as debt. Non-accretable adjustments, for instance credit related write-downs on loans, become adjustments to the basis of the asset and flow back through the statement of operations only upon the occurrence of certain events, such as repayment or sale.

Available-for-sale ("AFS") is a classification that pertains to debt and equity securities. We classify these securities as AFS when they are neither trading securities nor held-to-maturity securities. Loans and equipment that we classify in assets held for sale ("AHFS") generally pertain to assets we no longer have the intent or ability to hold until maturity.

Average Earning Assets ("AEA") is computed using month end balances and is the average of finance receivables (defined below), operating lease equipment, and financing and leasing assets held for sale, less the credit balances of factoring clients. We use this average for certain key profitability ratios, including

return on AEA, Net Finance Revenue as a percentage of AEA and operating expenses as a percentage of AEA.

Average Finance Receivables ("AFR") is computed using month end balances and is the average of finance receivables (defined below), which includes loans and capital lease receivables. We use this average to measure the rate of net charge-offs for the period.

Average Operating Leases ("AOL") is computed using month end balances and is the average of operating lease equipment. We use this average to measure the rate of return on our operating lease portfolio for the period.

Delinquent loan categorization occurs when payment is not received when contractually due. Delinquent loan trends are used as a gauge of potential portfolio degradation or improvement.

Derivative Contract is a contract whose value is derived from a specified asset or an index, such as an interest rate or a foreign currency exchange rate. As the value of that asset or index changes, so does the value of the derivative contract. We use derivatives to reduce interest rate, foreign currency or credit risks. The derivative contracts we use may include interest-rate swaps, interest rate caps, cross-currency swaps, foreign exchange forward contracts, and credit default swaps.

Economic Value of Equity ("EVE") measures the net economic value of equity by assessing the market value of assets, liabilities and derivatives.

Finance Receivables include loans, capital lease receivables and factoring receivables. In certain instances, we use the term "Loans" synonymously, as presented on the balance sheet.

Financing and Leasing Assets include finance receivables, operating lease equipment, and assets held for sale.

Fresh Start Accounting ("FSA") was adopted upon emergence from bankruptcy. FSA recognizes that CIT has a new enterprise value following its emergence from bankruptcy and requires asset values to be remeasured using fair value in accordance with accounting requirements for business combinations. The excess of reorganization value over the fair value of tangible and intangible assets was recorded as goodwill. In addition, FSA also requires that all liabilities, other than deferred taxes, be stated at fair value. Deferred taxes were determined in conformity with accounting requirements for Income Taxes.

Interest income includes interest earned on finance receivables, cash balances and dividends on investments.

Lease – capital is an agreement in which the party who owns the property (lessor), which is CIT as part of our finance business, permits another party (lessee), which is our customer, to use the property with substantially all of the economic benefits and risks of asset ownership passed to the lessee.

Lease – operating is a lease in which CIT retains ownership of the asset, collects rental payments, recognizes depreciation on the asset, and retains the risks of ownership, including obsolescence.

Lower of Cost or Fair Value relates to the carrying value of an asset. The cost refers to the current book balance of certain assets, such as held for sale assets, and if that balance is higher than the fair value, an impairment charge is reflected in the current period statement of operations.

Net Finance Revenue ("NFR") is a non-GAAP measurement and reflects Net Interest Revenue (defined below) plus rental income on operating leases less depreciation on operating lease equipment, which is a direct cost of equipment ownership. When divided by AEA, the product is defined as Net Finance Margin. These are key measures in the evaluation of the financial performance of our business.

Net Interest Income Sensitivity ("NII Sensitivity") measures the impact of hypothetical changes in interest rates on NFR.

Net Interest Revenue reflects interest and fees on finance receivables and interest/dividends on investments less interest expense on deposits and long term borrowings.

Net Operating Loss Carryforward / Carryback ("NOL") is a tax concept, whereby tax losses in one year can be used to offset taxable income in other years. For example, a U.S. Federal NOL can first be carried-back and applied against taxable income recorded in the two preceding years with any remaining amount being carried-forward for the next twenty years to offset future taxable income. The rules pertaining to the number of years allowed for the carryback or carryforward of an NOL varies by jurisdiction.

New business volume represents the initial cash outlay related to new loan or lease transactions entered into during the period. The amount includes CIT's portion of a syndicated transaction, whether it acts as the agent or a participant, and in certain instances, it includes portfolio purchases from third parties.

Non-accrual Assets include finance receivables greater than \$500,000 that are individually evaluated and determined to be impaired, as well as finance receivables less than \$500,000 that are delinquent (generally for more than 90 days), unless it is both well secured and in the process of collection. Non-accrual assets also include finance receivables maintained on a cash basis because of deterioration in the financial position of the borrower.

Non-performing Assets include non-accrual assets (described above) and assets received in satisfaction of loans (repossessed assets).

Other Income includes gains on equipment sales, factoring commissions, and fee revenue from activities such as loan servicing and loan syndications. Also included are gains on loan sales and investment sales and, as a result of FSA, recoveries on pre-FSA loan charge-offs. Other income combined with rental income on operating leases is defined as Non-interest income.

Regulatory Credit Classifications used by CIT are as follows:

- *Pass* assets do not meet the criteria for classification in one of the other categories;
- *Special Mention* assets exhibit potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention and if left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may, at some future date, result in the deterioration of the repayment prospects;

Classified assets range from: (1) assets that exhibit a well-defined weakness and are inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the borrower, and are characterized by the distinct possibility that some loss will be sustained if the deficiencies are not corrected to (2) assets with weaknesses that make collection or liquidation in full unlikely on the basis of current facts, conditions, and values. Assets in this classification can be accruing or on non-accrual depending on the evaluation of these factors. Loans rated as substandard, doubtful and loss are considered classified loans. Classified loans plus special mention loans are considered criticized loans.

- *Substandard* assets are inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the borrower, and are characterized by the distinct possibility that some loss will be sustained if the deficiencies are not corrected;
- *Doubtful* assets have weaknesses that make collection or liquidation in full unlikely on the basis of current facts, conditions, and values and
- *Loss* assets are considered uncollectible and of little or no value and are generally charged off.

Residual Values represent the estimated value of equipment at the end of the lease term. For operating leases, it is the value to which the asset is depreciated at the end of its estimated useful life.

Risk Weighted Assets ("RWA") is the denominator to which Total Capital and Tier 1 Capital is compared to derive the respective risk based regulatory ratios. RWA is comprised of both on-balance sheet assets and certain off-balance sheet items (for

example loan commitments, purchase commitments or derivative contracts), all of which are adjusted by certain risk-weightings as defined by the regulators, which are based upon, among other things, the relative credit risk of the counterparty.

Syndication and Sale of Receivables result from originating finance receivables with the intent to sell a portion, or the entire balance, of these assets to other institutions. We earn and recognize fees and/or gains on sales, which are reflected in other income, for acting as arranger or agent in these transactions.

Tangible Metrics, including tangible capital, exclude goodwill and intangible assets. We use tangible metrics in measuring book value.

Tier 1 Capital and Tier 2 Capital are regulatory capital as defined in the capital adequacy guidelines issued by the Federal Reserve. Tier 1 Capital is total stockholders' equity reduced by goodwill and intangibles and adjusted by elements of other comprehensive income and other items. Tier 2 Capital consists of, among other things, other preferred stock that does not qualify as Tier 1, mandatory convertible debt, limited amounts of subordinated debt, other qualifying term debt, and allowance for loan losses up to 1.25% of risk weighted assets.

Total Capital is the sum of Tier 1 and Tier 2 Capital, subject to certain adjustments, as applicable.

Total Net Revenue is a non-GAAP measurement and is the combination of NFR and other income and is a measurement of our revenue growth.

Total Return Swap is a swap where one party agrees to pay the other the "total return" of a defined underlying asset (e.g., a loan), usually in return for receiving a stream of LIBOR-based cash flows. The total returns of the asset, including interest and any default shortfall, are passed through to the counterparty. The counterparty is therefore assuming the risks and rewards of the underlying asset.

Troubled Debt Restructuring ("TDR") occurs when a lender, for economic or legal reasons, grants a concession to the borrower related to the borrower's financial difficulties that it would not otherwise consider.

Variable Interest Entity ("VIE") is a corporation, partnership, limited liability company, or any other legal structure used to conduct activities or hold assets. These entities: lack sufficient equity investment at risk to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties; have equity owners who either do not have voting rights or lack the ability to make significant decisions affecting the entity's operations; and/or have equity owners that do not have an obligation to absorb the entity's losses or the right to receive the entity's returns.

Yield-related Fees are collected in connection with our assumption of underwriting risk in certain transactions in addition to interest income. We recognize yield-related fees, which include prepayment fees and certain origination fees, in interest income over the life of the lending transaction.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The operation of our business, and the economic climate in the U.S. and other regions of the world involve various elements of risk and uncertainty. You should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below before making a decision whether to invest in the Company. This is a discussion of the risks that we believe are material to our business and does not include all risks, material or immaterial, that may possibly affect our business. Any of the following risks, as well as additional risks that are presently unknown to us or that we currently deem immaterial, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Risks Related to Our Strategy and Business Plan

If the assumptions and analyses underlying our strategy and business plan, including with respect to market conditions, capital and liquidity, business strategy, and operations are incorrect, we may be unsuccessful in executing our strategy and business plan.

A number of strategic issues affect our business, including how we allocate our capital and liquidity, our business strategy, and the quality and efficiency of operations. Among the capital and liquidity issues, we must address how we will use our excess capital, and our funding model, including the amount, availability, and cost of secured and unsecured debt in the capital markets and bank deposits in a bank-centric model. See "Risks Related to Capital and Liquidity." Among the business strategy issues, we

must continue to evaluate which platforms to operate within CIT Bank or at the holding company, the scope of our international operations, and whether to acquire any new business platforms, or to expand, contract, or sell any existing platforms, some of which may be material. Among operational issues, we must continuously originate new business, service our existing portfolio, and review and/or upgrade our policies, procedures, systems, and internal controls.

We developed our strategy and business plan based upon certain assumptions, analyses, and financial forecasts, including with respect to our capital levels, funding model, credit ratings, revenue growth, earnings, interest margins, expense levels, cash flow, credit losses, liquidity and financing sources, lines of business and scope of our international operations, acquisitions and divestitures, equipment residual values, capital expenditures, retention of key employees, and the overall strength and stability of general economic conditions. Financial forecasts are inherently subject to many uncertainties and are necessarily speculative, and it is likely that one or more of the assumptions and estimates that are the basis of these financial forecasts will not be accurate. Accordingly, our actual financial condition and results of operations may differ materially from what we have forecast. If we are unable to implement our strategic decisions effectively, we may need to refine, supplement, or modify our business plan and strategy in significant ways. If we are unable to fully implement our business plan and strategy, it may have a material adverse

effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Risks Related to Capital and Liquidity

If we fail to maintain sufficient capital or adequate liquidity to meet regulatory capital guidelines, there could be a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

New and evolving capital and liquidity standards will have a significant effect on banks and BHCs. In July 2013, the FRB and the FDIC approved the Basel III Final Rule, which requires BHCs to maintain more and higher quality capital than in the past. In October 2013, the FRB issued a proposed rule to create a standardized minimum liquidity requirement for large and internationally active banking organizations, referred to as the "liquidity coverage ratio", or "LCR". The U.S. bank regulatory agencies are also expected to issue a rule implementing the net stable funding ratio, or "NSFR", called for by the Basel III Final Framework. If we incur future losses that reduce our capital levels or affect our liquidity, we may fail to maintain our regulatory capital or our liquidity above regulatory minimums and at economically satisfactory levels. Failure to maintain the appropriate capital levels or adequate liquidity would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations, and subject the Company to a variety of formal or informal enforcement actions, which may include restrictions on our business activities, including limiting lending and leasing activities, limiting the expansion of our business, either organically or through acquisitions, requiring the raising of additional capital, which may be dilutive to shareholders, or requiring prior regulatory approval before taking certain actions, such as payment of dividends or otherwise returning capital to shareholders. The new liquidity standards could also require CIT to hold higher levels of short-term investments, thereby reducing our ability to reduce our excess liquidity and invest in longer-term or less liquid assets. In addition to the requirement to be well-capitalized, the Company and CIT Bank are subject to regulatory guidelines that involve qualitative judgments by regulators about the entities' status as well-managed, about the safety and soundness of the entities' operations, including their risk management, and about the entities' compliance with obligations under the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977, and failure to meet any of those standards may have a material adverse effect on our business.

If we fail to maintain adequate liquidity or to generate sufficient cash flow to satisfy our obligations as they come due, it could materially adversely affect our future business operations.

CIT's liquidity is essential for the operation of our business. Our liquidity, and our ability to issue debt in the capital markets or fund our activities through bank deposits, could be affected by a number of factors, including market conditions, our capital structure, our credit ratings, and the performance of our business. An adverse change in any of those factors, and particularly a downgrade in our credit ratings, could negatively affect CIT's liquidity and competitive position, increase our funding costs, or limit our access to the capital markets or deposit markets. Further, an adverse change in the performance of our business could have a negative impact on our operating cash flow. CIT's credit ratings are subject to ongoing review by the rating agencies, which consider a number of factors, including CIT's own financial

strength, performance, prospects, and operations, as well as factors not within our control, including conditions affecting the financial services industry generally. There can be no assurance that we will maintain or increase our current ratings, which currently are not investment grade. If we experience a substantial, unexpected, or prolonged change in the level or cost of liquidity, or fail to generate sufficient cash flow to satisfy our obligations, it could adversely affect our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

Our business may be adversely affected if we fail to successfully expand our sources of deposits at CIT Bank.

CIT Bank currently does not have a branch network and relies on its online bank, brokered deposits, and certain deposit sweep accounts to raise deposits. Continued expansion of CIT Bank's retail online banking platform to diversify the types of deposits that it accepts may require significant time, effort, and expense to implement. CIT Bank anticipates launching a retail branch in Salt Lake City. In addition, an acquisition of a retail branch network would be subject to regulatory approval, which may not be obtained. We are likely to face significant competition for deposits from larger BHCs who are similarly seeking larger and more stable pools of funding. If CIT Bank fails to expand and diversify its deposit-taking capability, it could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

Risks Related to Regulatory Obligations

We could be adversely affected by banking or other regulations, including new regulations or changes in existing regulations or the application thereof.

The financial services industry, in general, is heavily regulated. We are subject to the comprehensive, consolidated supervision of the FRB, including risk-based and leverage capital requirements and information reporting requirements. In addition, CIT Bank is subject to supervision by the FDIC and UDFI, including risk-based capital requirements and information reporting requirements. This regulatory oversight is established to protect depositors, federal deposit insurance funds and the banking system as a whole, and is not intended to protect debt and equity security holders.

Proposals for legislation to further regulate, restrict, and tax certain financial services activities are continually being introduced in the United States Congress and in state legislatures. The Dodd-Frank Act, which was adopted in 2010, constitutes the most wide-ranging overhaul of financial services regulation in decades, including provisions affecting, among other things, (i) corporate governance and executive compensation of companies whose securities are registered with the SEC, (ii) FDIC insurance assessments based on asset levels rather than deposits, (iii) minimum capital levels for BHCs, (iv) derivatives activities, proprietary trading, and private investment funds offered by financial institutions, and (v) the regulation of large financial institutions. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act established additional regulatory bodies, including the FSOC, which is charged with identifying systemic risks, promoting stronger financial regulation, and identifying those non-bank companies that are "systemically important", and the CFPB, which has broad authority to examine and regulate a federal regulatory framework for consumer financial protection. The agencies regulating the financial services industry

periodically adopt changes to their regulations and are still finalizing regulations to implement various provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. In recent years, regulators have increased significantly the level and scope of their supervision and regulation of the financial services industry. We are unable to predict the form or nature of any future changes to statutes or regulation, including the interpretation or implementation thereof. Such increased supervision and regulation could significantly affect our ability to conduct certain of our businesses in a cost-effective manner, or could restrict the type of activities in which we are permitted to engage, or subject us to stricter and more conservative capital, leverage, liquidity, and risk management standards. Any such action could have a substantial impact on us, significantly increase our costs, limit our growth opportunities, affect our strategies and business operations and increase our capital requirements, and could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our Aerospace, Rail, Marine, and other equipment financing operations are subject to various laws, rules, and regulations administered by authorities in jurisdictions where we do business. In the U.S., our equipment leasing operations, including for aircraft, railcars, ships, and other equipment, are subject to rules and regulations relating to safety, operations, maintenance, and mechanical standards promulgated by various federal and state agencies and industry organizations, including the U.S. Department of Transportation, the Federal Aviation Administration, the Federal Railroad Administration, the Association of American Railroads, the Maritime Administration, the U.S. Coast Guard, and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. In addition, state agencies regulate some aspects of rail and maritime operations with respect to health and safety matters not otherwise preempted by federal law. Our business operations and our equipment leasing portfolios may be adversely impacted by rules and regulations promulgated by governmental and industry agencies, which could require substantial modification, maintenance, or refurbishment of our aircraft, railcars, ships, or other equipment, or potentially make such equipment inoperable or obsolete. Violations of these rules and regulations can result in substantial fines and penalties, including potential limitations on operations or forfeitures of assets.

The financial services industry is also heavily regulated in many jurisdictions outside of the United States. We have subsidiaries in various countries that are licensed as banks, banking corporations and broker-dealers, all of which are subject to regulation and examination by banking and securities regulators in their home jurisdiction. In certain jurisdictions, including the United Kingdom, the local banking regulators expect the local regulated entity to maintain contingency plans to operate on a stand-alone basis in the event of a crisis. Given the evolving nature of regulations in many of these jurisdictions, it may be difficult for us to meet all of the regulatory requirements, establish operations and receive approvals. Our inability to remain in compliance with regulatory requirements in a particular jurisdiction could have a material adverse effect on our operations in that market and on our reputation generally.

In addition, our equipment leasing operations outside of the U.S. are subject to the jurisdiction of authorities in the countries in which we do business. Failure to comply with, or future changes to, any of the foregoing laws, rules, or regulations could

restrict the use or reduce the economic value of our leased equipment, including loss of revenue, or cause us to incur significant expenditures to comply, thereby increasing operating expenses. Certain changes to laws, rules, and regulations, or actions by authorities under existing laws, rules, or regulations, could result in the obsolescence of various assets or impose compliance costs that are so significant as to render such assets economically obsolete.

We could be adversely affected by the actions and commercial soundness of other financial institutions.

CIT's ability to engage in routine funding transactions could be adversely affected by the actions and commercial soundness of other financial institutions. Financial institutions are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty, or other relationships. CIT has exposure to many different industries and counterparties, and it routinely executes transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including brokers and dealers, commercial banks, investment banks, mutual and hedge funds, and other institutional clients. As a result, defaults by, or even rumors or questions about, one or more financial institutions, or the financial services industry generally, could affect market liquidity and could lead to losses or defaults by us or by other institutions. Many of these transactions could expose CIT to credit risk in the event of default by its counterparty or client. In addition, CIT's credit risk may be impacted if the collateral held by it cannot be realized upon or is liquidated at prices not sufficient to recover the full amount of the financial instrument exposure due to CIT. There is no assurance that any such losses would not adversely affect, possibly materially, CIT.

We may be restricted from paying dividends or repurchasing our common stock.

BHCs with assets in excess of \$50 billion must develop an annual capital plan detailing their plans for the payment of dividends on their common or preferred stock or the repurchase of common stock. Although our assets currently are less than \$50 billion, we would become subject to the capital plan requirement if our assets exceed \$50 billion in the future. Furthermore, we still consult with the FRBNY prior to declaring dividends on our common stock or implementing a plan to repurchase our common stock. We cannot determine whether the FRBNY will object to future capital returns consistent with our past practice.

Risks Related to the Operation of Our Businesses

Revenue growth from new business initiatives and expense reductions from efficiency improvements may not be achieved.

As part of its ongoing business, CIT from time to time enters into new business initiatives. In addition, CIT has targeted certain expense reductions to be phased in during 2013 and 2014. The new business initiatives may not be successful in increasing revenue, whether due to significant levels of competition, lack of demand for services, lack of name recognition or a record of prior performance, or otherwise, or may require higher expenditures than anticipated to generate new business volume. The expense initiatives may not reduce expenses as much as anticipated, whether due to delays in implementation, higher than expected or unanticipated costs to implement them, increased costs for new regulatory obligations, or for other reasons. If CIT is unable to achieve the anticipated revenue growth from its new business

initiatives or the projected expense reductions from efficiency improvements, its results of operations and profitability may be adversely affected.

Our Commercial Aerospace business is concentrated by industry and any downturn in that industry may have a material adverse effect on our business.

Most of our business is diversified by customer, industry, and geography. However, although our Commercial Aerospace business is diversified by customer and geography, it is concentrated in one industry and represents over 24% of our financing and leasing assets. If there is a significant downturn in commercial air travel, it could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

If we fail to maintain adequate internal control over financial reporting, it could result in a material misstatement of the Company's annual or interim financial statements.

Management of CIT is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP. If we identify material weaknesses or other deficiencies in our internal controls, or if material weaknesses or other deficiencies exist that we fail to identify, our risk will be increased that a material misstatement to our annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Any such potential material misstatement, if not prevented or detected, could require us to restate previously released financial statements and could otherwise have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

Our allowance for loan losses may prove inadequate.

The quality of our financing and leasing assets depends on the creditworthiness of our customers and their ability to fulfill their obligations to us. We maintain a consolidated allowance for loan losses on our financing and leasing assets to provide for loan defaults and non-performance. The amount of our allowance reflects management's judgment of losses inherent in the portfolio. Our credit losses were significantly more severe from 2007 to 2009 than in prior economic downturns, due to a significant decline in real estate values, an increase in the proportion of cash flow loans versus asset based loans in our corporate finance segment, the limited ability of borrowers to restructure their liabilities or their business, and reduced values of the collateral underlying the loans.

The economic environment is dynamic, and our portfolio credit quality could decline in the future. Our allowance for loan losses may not keep pace with changes in the credit-worthiness of our customers or in collateral values. If the credit quality of our customer base declines, if the risk profile of a market, industry, or group of customers changes significantly, or if the markets for accounts receivable, equipment, real estate, or other collateral deteriorates significantly, our allowance for loan losses may prove inadequate, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

In addition to customer credit risk associated with loans and leases, we are exposed to other forms of credit risk, including counterparties to our derivative transactions, loan sales,

syndications and equipment purchases. These counterparties include other financial institutions, manufacturers, and our customers. If our credit underwriting processes or credit risk judgments fail to adequately identify or assess such risks, or if the credit quality of our derivative counterparties, customers, manufacturers, or other parties with which we conduct business materially deteriorates, we may be exposed to credit risk related losses that may negatively impact our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

If the models that we use in our business are poorly designed, our business or results of operations may be adversely affected.

We rely on quantitative models to measure risks and to estimate certain financial values. Models may be used in such processes as determining the pricing of various products, grading loans and extending credit, measuring interest rate and other market risks, predicting losses, assessing capital adequacy, and calculating regulatory capital levels, as well as to estimate the value of financial instruments and balance sheet items. Poorly designed or implemented models present the risk that our business decisions based on information incorporating models will be adversely affected due to the inadequacy of that information. Also, information we provide to the public or to our regulators based on poorly designed or implemented models could be inaccurate or misleading. Some of the decisions that our regulators make, including those related to capital distributions to our shareholders, could be affected adversely due to their perception that the quality of the models used to generate the relevant information are insufficient.

We may not be able to achieve the expected benefits from acquiring a business or assets or receive adequate consideration for disposing of a business or assets.

As part of our strategy and business plan, we may consider a number of measures designed to manage our business, the products and services we offer, and our asset levels, credit exposures, or liquidity position, including potential business or asset acquisitions or sales. We may fail to complete any of these transactions, or if we complete any transactions, we may fail to realize any benefits from the transactions.

If CIT engages in business acquisitions, it may be necessary to pay a premium over book and market values to complete the transaction, which may result in some dilution of our tangible book value and net income per common share. If CIT uses substantial cash or other liquid assets or incurs substantial debt to acquire a business or assets, we could become more susceptible to economic downturns and competitive pressures. Inherent uncertainties exist when integrating the operations of an acquired entity. CIT may not be able to fully achieve its strategic objectives and planned operating efficiencies in an acquisition. CIT may also be exposed to other risks inherent in an acquisition, including potential exposure to unknown or contingent liabilities, exposure to potential asset quality issues, potential disruption of our existing business and diversion of management's time and attention, possible loss of key employees or customers of the acquired business, potential risk that certain items were not accounted for properly by the seller in accordance with financial accounting and reporting standards. Failure to realize the expected revenue increases, cost savings, increases in geographic or product presence, and/or other projected benefits

from an acquisition could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

CIT must generally receive regulatory approval before it can acquire a bank or BHC or for any acquisition in which the assets acquired exceeds \$10 billion. We cannot be certain when or if, or on what terms and conditions, any required regulatory approval may be granted. We may be required to sell assets or business units as a condition to receiving regulatory approval.

As a result of economic cycles and other factors, the value of certain asset classes may fluctuate and decline below their historic cost. If CIT is holding such businesses or asset classes, we may not recover our carrying value if we sell such businesses or assets. In addition, potential purchasers may be unwilling to pay an amount equal to the face value of a loan or lease if the purchaser is concerned about the quality of our credit underwriting. We may not receive adequate consideration for our dispositions.

These transactions, if completed, may reduce the size of our business and we may not be able to replace the lending and leasing activity associated with these businesses. As a result, our future disposition of assets could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

It could adversely affect our business if we fail to retain and/or attract skilled employees.

Our business and results of operations will depend in part upon our ability to retain and attract highly skilled and qualified executive officers and management, financial, compliance, technical, marketing, sales, and support employees. Competition for qualified executive officers and employees can be challenging, and CIT cannot ensure success in attracting or retaining such individuals. This competition can lead to increased expenses in many areas. If we fail to attract and retain qualified executive officers and employees, it could materially adversely affect our ability to compete and it could have a material adverse effect on our ability to successfully operate our business or to meet our operations, risk management, compliance, regulatory, funding and financial reporting requirements.

We may not be able to realize our entire investment in the equipment we lease to our customers.

Our financing and leasing assets include a significant portion of leased equipment, including but not limited to aircraft, railcars and locomotives, technology and office equipment, and medical equipment. The realization of equipment values (residual values) during the life and at the end of the term of a lease is an important element in the profitability of our leasing business. At the inception of each lease, we record a residual value for the leased equipment based on our estimate of the future value of the equipment at the expected disposition date. Internal equipment management specialists, as well as external consultants, determine residual values.

If the market value of leased equipment decreases at a rate greater than we projected, whether due to rapid technological or economic obsolescence, unusual wear and tear on the equipment, excessive use of the equipment, recession or other adverse economic conditions, or other factors, it would adversely affect the current values or the residual values of such equipment.

Further, certain equipment residual values, including commercial aerospace residuals, are dependent on the manufacturers' or

vendors' warranties, reputation, and other factors, including market liquidity. In addition, we may not realize the full market value of equipment if we are required to sell it to meet liquidity needs or for other reasons outside of the ordinary course of business. Consequently, there can be no assurance that we will realize our estimated residual values for equipment.

The degree of residual realization risk varies by transaction type. Capital leases bear the least risk because contractual payments usually cover approximately 90% of the equipment's cost at the inception of the lease. Operating leases have a higher degree of risk because a smaller percentage of the equipment's value is covered by contractual cash flows over the term of the lease. A significant portion of our leasing portfolios are comprised of operating leases, which increase our residual realization risk.

We are currently involved in a number of legal proceedings, and may from time to time be involved in government investigations and inquiries, related to the conduct of our business, the results of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, or results of operation.

We are currently involved in a number of legal proceedings, and may from time to time be involved in government investigations and inquiries, relating to matters that arise in connection with the conduct of our business (collectively, "Litigation"). We are also at risk when we have agreed to indemnify others for losses related to Litigation they face, such as in connection with the sale of a business or assets by us. It is inherently difficult to predict the outcome of Litigation matters, particularly when such matters are in their early stages or where the claimants seek indeterminate damages. We cannot state with certainty what the eventual outcome of the pending Litigation will be, what the timing of the ultimate resolution of these matters will be, or what the eventual loss, fines, or penalties related to each pending matter will be, if any. The actual results of resolving Litigation matters may be substantially higher than the amounts reserved, or judgments may be rendered, or fines or penalties assessed in matters for which we have no reserves. Adverse judgments, fines or penalties in one or more Litigation matters could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, or results of operation.

We and our subsidiaries are party to various financing arrangements, commercial contracts and other arrangements that under certain circumstances give, or in some cases may give, the counterparty the ability to exercise rights and remedies under such arrangements which, if exercised, may have material adverse consequences.

We and our subsidiaries are party to various financing arrangements, commercial contracts and other arrangements, such as securitization transactions, derivatives transactions, funding facilities, and agreements for the purchase or sale of assets, that give, or in some cases may give, the counterparty the ability to exercise rights and remedies upon the occurrence of certain events. Such events may include a material adverse effect or material adverse change (or similar event), a breach of representations or warranties, a failure to disclose material information, a breach of covenants, certain insolvency events, a default under certain specified other obligations, or a failure to comply with certain financial covenants. The counterparty could have the ability, depending on the arrangement, to, among other things, require early repayment of amounts owed by us or our subsidiaries and in

some cases payment of penalty amounts, or require the repurchase of assets previously sold to the counterparty. Additionally, a default under financing arrangements or derivatives transactions that exceed a certain size threshold in the aggregate may also cause a cross-default under instruments governing our other financing arrangements or derivatives transactions. If the ability of any counterparty to exercise such rights and remedies is triggered and we are unsuccessful in avoiding or minimizing the adverse consequences discussed above, such consequences could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

Investment in and revenues from our foreign operations are subject to various risks and requirements associated with transacting business in foreign countries.

An economic recession or downturn, increased competition, or business disruption associated with the political or regulatory environments in the international markets in which we operate could adversely affect us.

In addition, our foreign operations generally conduct business in foreign currencies, which subject us to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations. These exposures, if not effectively hedged could have a material adverse effect on our investment in international operations and the level of international revenues that we generate from international financing and leasing transactions. Reported results from our operations in foreign countries may fluctuate from period to period due to exchange rate movements in relation to the U.S. dollar, particularly exchange rate movements in the Canadian dollar, which is our largest non-U.S. exposure.

Foreign countries have various compliance requirements for financial statement audits and tax filings, which are required in order to obtain and maintain licenses to transact business and may be different in some respects from GAAP in the U.S. or the tax laws and regulations of the U.S. If we are unable to properly complete and file our statutory audit reports or tax filings, regulators or tax authorities in the applicable jurisdiction may restrict our ability to do business.

Furthermore, our international operations could expose us to trade and economic sanctions or other restrictions imposed by the United States or other governments or organizations. The U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") and other federal agencies and authorities have a broad range of civil and criminal penalties they may seek to impose against corporations and individuals for violations of trade sanction laws, the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act ("FCPA") and other federal statutes. Under trade sanction laws, the government may seek to impose modifications to business practices, including cessation of business activities in sanctioned countries, and modifications to compliance programs, which may increase compliance costs, and may subject us to fines, penalties and other sanctions. If any of the risks described above materialize, it could adversely impact our operating results and financial condition.

These laws also prohibit improper payments or offers of payments to foreign governments and their officials and political parties for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business. We have operations, deal with government entities and have contracts in countries known to experience corruption. Our activities in these countries create the risk of unauthorized payments

or offers of payments by one of our employees, consultants, sales agents, or associates that could be in violation of various laws, including the FCPA, even though these parties are not always subject to our control. Our employees, consultants, sales agents, or associates may engage in conduct for which we may be held responsible. Violations of the FCPA may result in severe criminal or civil sanctions, and we may be subject to other liabilities, which could negatively affect our business, operating results, and financial condition.

We may be adversely affected by significant changes in interest rates.

In addition to our equity capital, we rely on borrowed money from unsecured debt, secured debt, and deposits to fund our business. We derive the bulk of our income from net finance revenue, which is the difference between interest and rental income on our financing and leasing assets and interest expense on deposits and other borrowings and depreciation on our operating lease equipment. Prevailing economic conditions, the trade, fiscal, and monetary policies of the federal government and the policies of various regulatory agencies all affect market rates of interest and the availability and cost of credit, which in turn significantly affects our net finance revenue. Volatility in interest rates can also result in disintermediation, which is the flow of funds away from financial institutions into direct investments, such as federal government and corporate securities and other investment vehicles, which, because of the absence of federal insurance premiums and reserve requirements, generally pay higher rates of return than financial institutions.

Although interest rates are currently lower than usual, any significant decrease in market interest rates may result in a change in net interest margin and net finance revenue. A substantial portion of our loans and other financing products, as well as our deposits and other borrowings, bear interest at floating interest rates. If interest rates increase, monthly interest obligations owed by our customers to us will also increase, as will our own interest expense. Demand for our loans or other financing products may decrease as interest rates rise or if interest rates are expected to rise in the future. In addition, if prevailing interest rates increase, some of our customers may not be able to make the increased interest payments or refinance their balloon and bullet payment transactions, resulting in payment defaults and loan impairments. Conversely, if interest rates remain low, our interest expense may decrease, but our customers may refinance the loans they have with us at lower interest rates, or with others, leading to lower revenues. As interest rates rise and fall over time, any significant change in market rates may result in a decrease in net finance revenue, particularly if the interest rates we pay on our deposits and other borrowings and the interest rates we charge our customers do not change in unison, which may have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, and financial condition.

We may be adversely affected by deterioration in economic conditions that is general in scope or affects specific industries, products or geographic areas.

Given the high percentage of our financing and leasing assets represented directly or indirectly by loans and leases, and the importance of lending and leasing to our overall business, weak economic conditions are likely to have a negative impact on our

business and results of operations. Prolonged economic weakness or other adverse economic or financial developments in the U.S. or global economies in general, or affecting specific industries, geographic locations and/or products, would likely adversely impact credit quality as borrowers may fail to meet their debt payment obligations, particularly customers with highly leveraged loans. Adverse economic conditions have in the past and could in the future result in declines in collateral values, which also decreases our ability to fund against collateral. This would result in higher levels of nonperforming loans, net charge-offs, provision for credit losses, and valuation adjustments on loans held for sale. The value to us of other assets such as investment securities, most of which are debt securities or other financial instruments supported by loans, similarly would be negatively impacted by widespread decreases in credit quality resulting from a weakening of the economy. Accordingly, higher credit and collateral related losses and decreases in the value of financial instruments could impact our financial position or operating results.

In addition, a downturn in certain industries may result in reduced demand for products that we finance in that industry or negatively impact collection and asset recovery efforts. Decreased demand for the products of various manufacturing customers due to recession may adversely affect their ability to repay their loans and leases with us. Similarly, a decrease in the level of airline passenger traffic or a decline in railroad shipping volumes due to reduced demand for certain raw materials or bulk products may adversely affect our aerospace or rail businesses, the value of our aircraft and rail assets, and the ability of our lessees to make lease payments.

We are also affected by the economic and other policies adopted by various governmental authorities in the U.S. and other jurisdictions in reaction to economic conditions. Changes in monetary policies of the FRB and non-U.S. central banking authorities directly impact our cost of funds for lending, capital raising, and investment activities, and may impact the value of financial instruments we hold. In addition, such changes may affect the credit quality of our customers. Changes in domestic and international monetary policies are beyond our control and difficult to predict.

Competition from both traditional competitors and new market entrants may adversely affect our market share, profitability, and returns.

Our markets are highly competitive and are characterized by competitive factors that vary based upon product and geographic region. We have a wide variety of competitors that include captive and independent finance companies, commercial banks and thrift institutions, industrial banks, community banks, leasing companies, hedge funds, insurance companies, mortgage companies, manufacturers and vendors.

We compete primarily on the basis of pricing (including the interest rates charged on loans or paid on deposits and the pricing for equipment leases), product terms and structure, the range of products and services offered, and the quality of customer service (including convenience and responsiveness to customer needs and concerns). The ability to access and use technology is an increasingly important competitive factor in the financial services industry, and it is a critically important component to

customer satisfaction as it affects our ability to deliver the right products and services.

If we are unable to address the competitive pressures that we face, we could lose market share. On the other hand, if we meet those competitive pressures, it is possible that we could incur significant additional expense, experience lower returns due to compressed net finance revenue, and/or incur increased losses due to less rigorous risk standards.

We may be exposed to risk of environmental liability or claims for negligence, property damage, or personal injury when we take title to properties or lease certain equipment.

In the course of our business, we may foreclose on and take title to real estate that contains or was used in the manufacture or processing of hazardous materials, or that is subject to other hazardous risks. In addition, we may lease equipment to our customers that is used to mine, develop, process, or transport hazardous materials. As a result, we could be subject to environmental liabilities or claims for negligence, property damage, or personal injury with respect to these properties or equipment. We may be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage, personal injury, investigation, and clean-up costs incurred by these parties in connection with environmental contamination, accidents or other hazardous risks, or may be required to investigate or clean up hazardous or toxic substances or chemical releases at a property. The costs associated with investigation or remediation activities could be substantial. In addition, if we are the owner or former owner of a contaminated site or equipment involved in a hazardous incident, we may be subject to common law claims by third parties based on damages and costs resulting from environmental contamination, property damage, personal injury or other hazardous risks emanating from the property or related to the equipment. If we become subject to significant environmental liabilities or claims for negligence, property damage, or personal injury, our financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

We rely on our systems, employees, and certain third party vendors and service providers in conducting our operations, and certain failures, including internal or external fraud, operational errors, systems malfunctions, disasters, or terrorist activities, could materially adversely affect our operations.

We are exposed to many types of operational risk, including the risk of fraud by employees and outsiders, clerical and record-keeping errors, and computer or telecommunications systems malfunctions. Our businesses depend on our ability to process a large number of increasingly complex transactions. If any of our operational, accounting, or other data processing systems fail or have other significant shortcomings, we could be materially adversely affected. We are similarly dependent on our employees. We could be materially adversely affected if one of our employees causes a significant operational break-down or failure, either as a result of human error or intentional sabotage or fraudulent manipulation of our operations or systems. Third parties with which we do business, including vendors that provide internet access, portfolio servicing, deposit products, or security solutions for our operations, could also be sources of operational and information security risk to us, including from breakdowns, failures, or capacity constraints of their own systems

or employees. Any of these occurrences could diminish our ability to operate one or more of our businesses, or cause financial loss, potential liability to clients, inability to secure insurance, reputational damage, or regulatory intervention, which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We may also be subject to disruptions of our operating systems arising from events that are wholly or partially beyond our control, which may include, for example, electrical or telecommunications outages, natural or man-made disasters, such as fires, earthquakes, hurricanes, floods, or tornados, disease pandemics, or events arising from local or regional politics, including terrorist acts or international hostilities. Such disruptions may give rise to losses in service to clients and loss or liability to us. In addition, there is the risk that our controls and procedures as well as business continuity and data security systems prove to be inadequate. The computer systems and network systems we and others use could be vulnerable to unforeseen problems. These problems may arise in both our internally developed systems and the systems of third-party hardware, software, and service providers. In addition, our computer systems and network infrastructure present security risks, and could be susceptible to hacking, computer viruses, or identity theft. Any such failure could affect our operations and could materially adversely affect our results of operations by requiring us to expend significant resources to correct the defect, as well as by exposing us to litigation or losses not covered by insurance. The adverse impact of disasters, terrorist activities, or international hostilities also could be increased to the extent that there is a lack of preparedness on the part of national or regional emergency responders or on the part of other organizations and businesses that we deal with, particularly those that we depend upon but have no control over.

We continually encounter technological change, and if we are unable to implement new or upgraded technology when required, it may have a material adverse effect on our business.

The financial services industry is continually undergoing rapid technological change with frequent introduction of new technology-driven products and services. The effective use of technology increases efficiency and enables financial institutions to better serve customers and to reduce costs. Our continued success depends, in part, upon our ability to address the needs of our customers by using technology to provide products and services that satisfy customer demands and create efficiencies in our operations. If we are unable to effectively implement new technology-driven products and services that allow us to remain competitive or be successful in marketing these products and services to our customers, it may have a material adverse effect on our business.

We could be adversely affected by information security breaches or cyber security attacks.

Information security risks for large financial institutions such as CIT have generally increased in recent years in part because of the proliferation of new technologies, the use of the Internet and telecommunications technologies to conduct financial transactions, and the increased sophistication and activities of organized crime, hackers, terrorists, activists, and other external parties,

some of which may be linked to terrorist organizations or hostile foreign governments. Our operations rely on the secure processing, transmission and storage of confidential information in our computer systems and networks. Our businesses rely on our digital technologies, computer and email systems, software, and networks to conduct their operations. Our technologies, systems, networks, and our customers' devices may become the target of cyber attacks or information security breaches that could result in the unauthorized release, gathering, monitoring, misuse, loss or destruction of CIT's or our customers' confidential, proprietary and other information, or otherwise disrupt CIT's or its customers' or other third parties' business operations.

Recently, there have been several well-publicized series of apparently related denial of service attacks on large financial services companies. In a denial of service attack, hackers flood commercial websites with extraordinarily high volumes of traffic, with the goal of disrupting the ability of commercial enterprises to process transactions and possibly making their websites unavailable to customers for extended periods of time. We recently experienced denial of service attacks that targeted a third party service provider that provides software and customer services with respect to our online deposit taking activities, which resulted in temporary disruptions in customers' ability to perform online banking transactions, although no customer data was lost or compromised. Even if not directed at CIT specifically, attacks on other entities with whom we do business or on whom we otherwise rely or attacks on financial or other institutions important to the overall functioning of the financial system could adversely affect, directly or indirectly, aspects of our business.

Since January 1, 2011, we have not experienced any material information security breaches involving either proprietary or customer information. However, if we experience cyber attacks or other information security breaches in the future, either the Company or its customers may suffer material losses. Our risk and exposure to these matters remains heightened because of, among other things, the evolving nature of these threats, the prominent size and scale of CIT and its role in the financial services industry, our plans to continue to implement our online banking channel strategies and develop additional remote connectivity solutions to serve our customers when and how they want to be served, our expanded geographic footprint and international presence, the outsourcing of some of our business operations, and the continued uncertain global economic environment. As cyber threats continue to evolve, we may be required to expend significant additional resources to continue to modify or enhance our protective measures or to investigate and remediate any information security vulnerabilities.

Disruptions or failures in the physical infrastructure or operating systems that support our businesses and customers, or cyber attacks or security breaches of the networks, systems or devices that our customers use to access our products and services could result in customer attrition, regulatory fines, penalties or intervention, reputational damage, reimbursement or other compensation costs, and/or additional compliance costs, any of which could materially adversely affect our results of operations or financial condition.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

There are no unresolved SEC staff comments.

Item 2. Properties

CIT primarily operates in North America, with additional locations in Europe, Latin America, and Asia. CIT occupies approximately 1.3 million square feet of office space, the majority of which is leased.

Item 3: Legal Proceedings

CIT is currently involved, and from time to time in the future may be involved, in a number of judicial, regulatory, and arbitration proceedings relating to matters that arise in connection with the conduct of its business (collectively, "Litigation"), certain of which Litigation matters are described in *Note 20 — Contingencies of Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*. In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of Litigation matters, particularly when such matters are in their early stages or where the claimants seek indeterminate damages, CIT cannot state with confidence what the eventual outcome of the pending Litigation will be, what the timing of the ultimate resolution of these matters will be, or what the eventual loss, fines, or penalties related to each pending matter may be, if any. In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, CIT establishes reserves for Litigation when those matters present loss contingencies as to which

it is both probable that a loss will occur and the amount of such loss can be reasonably estimated. Based on currently available information, CIT believes that the results of Litigation that is currently pending, taken together, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, but may be material to the Company's operating results or cash flows for any particular period, depending in part on its operating results for that period. The actual results of resolving such matters may be substantially higher than the amounts reserved.

For more information about pending legal proceedings, including an estimate of certain reasonably possible losses in excess of reserved amounts, see *Note 20 — Contingencies of Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART TWO

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market Information – CIT's common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol "CIT."

The following tables set forth the high and low reported closing prices for CIT's common stock.

Common Stock	2013		2012	
	High	Low	High	Low
First Quarter	\$44.72	\$39.04	\$43.19	\$34.84
Second Quarter	\$47.56	\$40.88	\$41.60	\$32.57
Third Quarter	\$51.33	\$46.84	\$41.38	\$34.20
Fourth Quarter	\$52.13	\$47.21	\$40.81	\$36.12


Holders of Common Stock – As of February 10, 2014, there were 131,238 beneficial holders of common stock.

Dividends – We declared and paid a \$0.10 cash dividend on our common stock during the 2013 fourth quarter. On January 21, 2014, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.10 per share payable on February 28, 2014. We expect quarterly cash dividends will continue to be paid in the future. There were no other dividends paid to shareholders during 2013 and 2012.

Shareholder Return – The following graph shows the annual cumulative total shareholder return for common stock during

the period from December 10, 2009 to December 31, 2013. Five year historical data is not presented since we emerged from bankruptcy on December 10, 2009 and the performance of CIT's common stock since December 10, 2009 is not comparable to the pre-bankruptcy performance of CIT's common stock. The chart also shows the cumulative returns of the S&P 500 Index and S&P Banks Index for the same period. The comparison assumes \$100 was invested on December 10, 2009 (the date our new common stock began trading on the NYSE). Each of the indices shown assumes that all dividends paid were reinvested.

CIT STOCK PERFORMANCE DATA



2009 returns based on opening prices on 12/10/09, the effective date of the Company's plan of reorganization, through year-end. The opening prices were: CIT: \$27.00, S&P 500: 1098.69, and S&P Banks: 124.73.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans – Our equity compensation plans in effect following the Effective Date were approved by the Court and do not require

shareholder approval. Equity awards associated with these plans are presented in the following table.

	<u>Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options</u>	<u>Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options</u>	<u>Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans</u>
Equity compensation plan approved by the Court	59,095	\$31.23	6,157,903*

* Excludes the number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options and 2,234,529 shares underlying outstanding awards granted to employees and/or directors that are unvested and/or unsettled.

During 2013, we had no equity compensation plans that were not approved by the Court or by shareholders. For further information on our equity compensation plans, including the weighted average exercise price, see *Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Note 18 — Retirement, Other Postretirement and Other Benefit Plans*.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities – On May 30, 2013, our Board of Directors approved the repurchase of up to \$200 million of the Company's common stock through December 31, 2013. Management determined the timing and amount of shares

repurchased under the share repurchase authorizations based on market conditions and other considerations. The repurchases were effected via open market purchases and through plans designed to comply with Rule 10b5-1(c) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The repurchased common stock is held as treasury shares and may be used for the issuance of shares under CIT's employee stock plans.

The following table provides information related to purchases by the Company of its common shares during the quarter ended December 31, 2013:

	<u>Total Number of Shares Purchased</u>	<u>Average Price Paid per Share</u>	<u>Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of the Publicly Announced Program</u>	<u>Total Dollar Amount Purchased Under the Program</u>	<u>Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Program</u>
				(dollars in millions)	(dollars in millions)
September 30, 2013			1,085,517	\$ 51.4	\$148.6
Fourth Quarter Purchases					
October 1–31, 2013	1,028,386	\$48.61	1,028,386	\$ 50.0	
November 1–30, 2013	1,155,000	\$47.85	1,155,000	55.3	
December 1–31, 2013	738,038	\$49.77	738,038	36.7	
	<u>2,921,424</u>	<u>\$48.60</u>	<u>2,921,424</u>	<u>\$142.0</u>	
December 31, 2013			<u>4,006,941</u>	<u>\$193.4⁽¹⁾</u>	<u>\$ –</u>

⁽¹⁾ Shares repurchases were subject to a \$200 million total, that expired on December 31, 2013.

On January 21, 2014, the Board of Directors approved the repurchase of up to \$300 million of common stock through December 31, 2014. In addition, the Board also approved the repurchase of an additional \$7 million of common stock, which was the amount unused from our 2013 share repurchase authorization.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities – There were no sales of common stock during 2013. However, there were issuances of common stock under equity compensation plans and an employee stock purchase plan, both of which are subject to registration statements.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth selected consolidated financial information regarding our results of operations, balance sheets and certain ratios.

Upon emergence from bankruptcy on December 10, 2009, CIT adopted fresh start accounting effective December 31, 2009, which resulted in data subsequent to adoption not being comparable to data in periods prior to emergence. Data for the year ended December 2009 represent amounts for Predecessor CIT.

The data presented below is explained further in, and should be read in conjunction with, *Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* and *Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk* and *Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*.

Select Data (dollars in millions)

	At or for the Years Ended December 31,					Predecessor CIT 2009
	2013	2012	CIT 2011	2010	2009	
Select Statement of Operations Data						
Net interest revenue	\$ 244.8	\$ (1,328.3)	\$ (565.7)	\$ 639.3	\$ –	\$ (308.1)
Provision for credit losses	(64.9)	(51.6)	(269.7)	(820.3)	–	(2,660.8)
Total non-interest income	2,152.4	2,437.7	2,620.3	2,653.3	–	1,560.2
Total other expenses	(1,558.2)	(1,512.6)	(1,606.5)	(1,700.9)	–	(2,795.7)
Reorganization items and fresh start adjustments	–	–	–	–	–	4,240.2
Net income (loss)	675.7	(592.3)	14.8	521.3	–	(3.8)
Per Common Share Data						
Diluted income (loss) per common share	\$ 3.35	\$ (2.95)	\$ 0.07	\$ 2.60	–	\$ (0.01)
Book value per common share	\$ 44.78	\$ 41.49	\$ 44.27	\$ 44.54	\$ 41.99	\$ –
Tangible book value per common share	\$ 42.98	\$ 39.61	\$ 42.23	\$ 42.17	\$ 39.06	\$ –
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.10	–	–	–	–	\$ 0.02
Dividend payout ratio	3.0%	–	–	–	–	N/M
Performance Ratios						
Return on average common stockholders' equity	7.8%	(7.0)%	0.2%	6.0%	–	N/M
Net finance revenue as a percentage of average earning assets	4.28%	(0.24)%	1.53%	3.95%	–	0.75%
Return on average total assets	1.49%	(1.34)%	0.03%	0.93%	–	N/M
Total ending equity to total ending assets	18.8%	18.9%	19.6%	17.3%	13.9%	–
Balance Sheet Data						
Loans including receivables pledged	\$18,629.2	\$20,847.6	\$19,905.9	\$24,648.4	\$35,185.1	\$ –
Allowance for loan losses	(356.1)	(379.3)	(407.8)	(416.2)	–	–
Operating lease equipment, net	13,035.4	12,411.7	12,006.4	11,155.0	10,927.5	–
Goodwill and intangible assets, net	354.9	377.8	409.5	474.7	586.6	–
Total cash and short-term investments	7,600.2	7,571.6	8,374.0	11,205.4	9,826.2	–
Total assets	47,139.0	44,012.0	45,263.4	51,453.4	60,561.5	–
Deposits	12,526.5	9,684.5	6,193.7	4,536.2	5,177.7	–
Total long-term borrowings	21,750.0	21,961.8	26,307.7	34,049.3	43,333.1	–
Total common stockholders' equity	8,838.8	8,334.8	8,883.6	8,929.1	8,400.0	–
Credit Quality						
Non-accrual loans as a percentage of finance receivables	1.29%	1.59%	3.53%	6.57%	4.47%	6.86%
Net charge-offs as a percentage of average finance receivables	0.37%	0.37%	1.16%	1.53%	–	4.04%
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of finance receivables	1.91%	1.82%	2.05%	1.69%	–	4.33%
Financial Ratios						
Tier 1 Capital Ratio	16.7%	16.2%	18.8%	19.0%	14.2%	–
Total Capital Ratio	17.4%	17.0%	19.7%	19.9%	14.2%	–

N/M — Not meaningful

Average Balances⁽¹⁾ and Associated Income for the year ended: (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2013			December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011		
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate (%)	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate (%)	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate (%)
Interest bearing deposits	\$ 5,108.8	\$ 16.6	0.32%	\$ 6,075.7	\$ 21.8	0.36%	\$ 6,395.4	\$ 24.2	0.38%
Investment securities	1,886.0	12.3	0.65%	1,320.9	10.5	0.79%	1,962.3	10.6	0.54%
Loans (including held for sale) ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾									
U.S.	18,145.2	986.0	5.84%	17,190.7	1,131.7	7.07%	19,452.5	1,608.3	8.76%
Non-U.S.	4,123.6	367.9	8.92%	4,029.1	405.1	10.06%	4,566.2	585.6	12.83%
Total loans ⁽²⁾	22,268.8	1,353.9	6.44%	21,219.8	1,536.8	7.67%	24,018.7	2,193.9	9.57%
Total interest earning assets / interest income ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	29,263.6	1,382.8	4.94%	28,616.4	1,569.1	5.72%	32,376.4	2,228.7	7.13%
Operating lease equipment, net (including held for sale) ⁽⁴⁾									
U.S. ⁽⁴⁾	6,559.0	613.1	9.35%	6,139.0	596.9	9.72%	5,186.7	428.1	8.25%
Non-U.S. ⁽⁴⁾	6,197.1	583.7	9.42%	6,299.0	654.5	10.39%	6,220.0	664.3	10.68%
Total operating lease equipment, net ⁽⁴⁾	12,756.1	1,196.8	9.38%	12,438.0	1,251.4	10.06%	11,406.7	1,092.4	9.58%
Total earning assets ⁽²⁾	42,019.7	\$2,579.6	6.33%	41,054.4	\$2,820.5	7.08%	43,783.1	\$3,321.1	7.78%
Non interest earning assets									
Cash due from banks	1,062.8			971.9			1,575.5		
Allowance for loan losses	(367.8)			(405.1)			(412.0)		
All other non-interest earning assets	2,586.5			2,671.1			3,094.0		
Total Average Assets	\$45,301.2			\$44,292.3			\$48,040.6		
Average Liabilities									
Borrowings									
Deposits	\$ 11,212.1	\$ 179.8	1.60%	\$ 7,707.9	\$ 152.5	1.98%	\$ 4,796.6	\$ 111.2	2.32%
Long-term borrowings ⁽⁵⁾	21,506.4	958.2	4.46%	24,235.5	2,744.9	11.33%	30,351.5	2,683.2	8.84%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	32,718.5	\$1,138.0	3.48%	31,943.4	\$2,897.4	9.07%	35,148.1	\$2,794.4	7.95%
Credit balances of factoring clients	1,258.6			1,194.4			1,098.1		
Other non-interest bearing liabilities	2,650.5			2,665.5			2,834.1		
Noncontrolling interests	9.2			5.0			1.1		
Stockholders' equity	8,664.4			8,484.0			8,959.2		
Total Average Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$45,301.2			\$44,292.3			\$48,040.6		
Net revenue spread			2.85%			(1.99)%			(0.17)%
Impact of non-interest bearing sources			0.69%			1.80%			1.40%
Net revenue/yield on earning assets⁽²⁾		\$1,441.6	3.54%		\$ (76.9)	(0.19)%		\$ 526.7	1.23%

(1) The average balances presented are derived based on month end balances during the year. Tax exempt income was not significant in any of the years presented. Average rates are impacted by FSA accretion and amortization.

(2) The rate presented is calculated net of average credit balances for factoring clients.

(3) Non-accrual loans and related income are included in the respective categories.

(4) Operating lease rental income is a significant source of revenue; therefore, we have presented the rental revenues net of depreciation.

(5) Interest and average rates include FSA accretion, including amounts accelerated due to redemptions or extinguishments, and accelerated original issue discount on debt extinguishment related to the GSI facility.

Interest income on interest bearing deposits and investment securities was not significant in any of the years presented. The decline in average interest bearing deposits reflects the investment of cash in investment securities to earn a higher yield. The vast majority of our investment securities are high quality debt, primarily U.S. Treasury securities, U.S. Government Agency securities, and supranational and foreign government securities that typically mature in 91 days or less. We anticipate continued investment of our cash in various types of liquid, high-grade investments.

While interest income on loans benefited in 2013 from higher balances, interest income was down from 2012 and 2011 reflecting lower FSA accretion, which totaled \$97 million in 2013, \$268 million in 2012 and \$745 million in 2011, change in product mix in Corporate Finance and sales of higher-yielding portfolios in Vendor Finance.

Net operating lease revenue was primarily generated from the commercial air and rail portfolios in each of the years presented. Net operating lease revenue decreased from 2012, as the benefit of increased assets from the growing aerospace and rail

portfolios was more than offset by higher depreciation expense and lower renewal rates. During 2013, on average, lease renewal rates in the rail portfolio were re-pricing higher, while the commercial air portfolio has been re-pricing slightly lower, putting pressure on overall rental revenue. Net operating lease revenue increased in 2012 from 2011 driven by higher assets in Transportation Finance and lower depreciation expense in Vendor Finance. The average rate on U.S. operating lease equipment, net increased in 2012 from 2011 reflecting strong asset utilization, including increased rail fleet utilization.

As a result of our debt redemption activities and the increased proportion of deposits to total funding, we reduced weighted average coupon rates of interest bearing liabilities to 3.07% at December 31, 2013 from 3.18% at December 31, 2012 and 4.69% at December 31, 2011. Deposits have increased, both in dollars and proportion of total CIT funding to 36% at December 31, 2013 compared to 31% at December 31, 2012 and 19% at December 31, 2011.

We continued to grow deposits during 2013 to fund lending activity in CIT Bank. The increase in interest expense in 2013 and 2012 was driven by higher balances. Online deposits, which were initiated in late 2011, grew \$4.3 billion during 2012 and \$1.5 billion in 2013. Brokered CDs

and sweeps declined \$1.1 billion during 2012 and increased \$1.1 billion in 2013. The weighted average rate of total CIT deposits at December 31, 2013 was 1.65%, compared to 1.75% at December 31, 2012 and 2.68% at December 31, 2011.

Interest expense on long-term borrowings declined significantly from 2012 due to less FSA accretion and lower rates. FSA accretion increased interest expense by \$82 million, \$1.6 billion and \$904 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The higher 2012 amounts resulted from accelerated FSA net discount on repayments of over \$15 billion in high cost debt in the first three quarters and \$1.0 billion of secured debt in the last quarter of 2012. During 2011, CIT had \$9.5 billion in debt redemptions and extinguishments. The weighted average coupon rate of long-term borrowings at December 31, 2013 was 3.87%, compared to 3.81% at December 31, 2012 and 5.12% at December 31, 2011.

The table below disaggregates CIT's year-over-year changes (2013 versus 2012 and 2012 versus 2011) in net interest revenue and operating lease margins as presented in the preceding tables between volume (level of lending or borrowing) and rate (rates charged customers or incurred on borrowings). See 'Net Finance Revenue' section for further discussion.

Changes in Net Finance Revenue (dollars in millions)

	2013 Compared to 2012			2012 Compared to 2011		
	Increase (decrease) due to change in:			Increase (decrease) due to change in:		
	Volume	Rate	Net	Volume	Rate	Net
Interest Income						
Loans (including held for sale)						
U.S.	\$ 55.7	\$ (201.4)	\$ (145.7)	\$ (160.0)	\$ (316.6)	\$(476.6)
Non-U.S.	8.4	(45.6)	(37.2)	(54.0)	(126.5)	(180.5)
Total loans	64.1	(247.0)	(182.9)	(214.0)	(443.1)	(657.1)
Interest bearing deposits	(3.1)	(2.0)	(5.1)	(1.1)	(1.4)	(2.5)
Investments	3.7	(2.0)	1.7	(5.1)	5.1	—
Interest income	64.7	(251.0)	(186.3)	(220.2)	(439.4)	(659.6)
Operating lease equipment, net (including held for sale) ⁽¹⁾	29.7	(84.3)	(54.6)	100.8	58.2	159.0
Interest Expense						
Interest on deposits	56.2	(28.9)	27.3	57.6	(16.3)	41.3
Interest on long-term borrowings ⁽²⁾	(121.6)	(1,665.1)	(1,786.7)	(692.7)	754.4	61.7
Interest expense	(65.4)	(1,694.0)	(1,759.4)	(635.1)	738.1	103.0
Net finance revenue	<u>\$ 159.8</u>	<u>\$ 1,358.7</u>	<u>\$ 1,518.5</u>	<u>\$ 515.7</u>	<u>\$(1,119.3)</u>	<u>\$(603.6)</u>

⁽¹⁾ Operating lease rental income is a significant source of revenue; therefore, we have presented the net revenues.

⁽²⁾ Includes acceleration of FSA accretion resulting from redemptions or extinguishments, prepayment penalties, and accelerated original issue discount on debt extinguishment related to the TRS facility.

Average Daily Long-term Borrowings Balances and Rates (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended								
	December 31, 2013			December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011		
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate (%)	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate (%)	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate (%)
Revolving Credit Facility ⁽¹⁾	\$ –	\$ 15.6	–	\$ 284.1	\$ 18.6	6.56%	\$ –	\$ –	–
Senior Unsecured Notes ⁽²⁾	12,107.0	660.0	5.45%	12,957.2	1,613.8	12.45%	–	–	–
Secured borrowings ⁽²⁾	9,408.1	282.6	3.00%	10,355.1	428.7	4.14%	18,339.9	1,145.2	6.24%
Series A Notes ⁽²⁾	–	–	–	856.2	683.8	79.86%	11,970.8	1,538.0	12.85%
Total Long-term Borrowings	\$21,515.1	\$958.2	4.45%	\$24,452.6	\$2,744.9	11.22%	\$30,310.7	\$2,683.2	8.85%

⁽¹⁾ Interest expense and average rate includes Facility commitment fees and amortization of Facility deal costs.

⁽²⁾ Interest expense includes accelerated FSA accretion (amortization), accelerated original issue discount and prepayment penalties on debt extinguishment, as presented in the following table.

Accelerated FSA accretion (amortization), accelerated original issue discount and prepayment penalties on debt extinguishment (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Senior Unsecured Notes	\$25.9	\$ 718.8	\$ –
Secured Borrowings	4.6	82.6	4.5
Series A Notes	–	596.9	388.9
Total	\$30.5	\$1,398.3	\$393.4

Item 7: Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

BACKGROUND

CIT Group Inc., together with its subsidiaries ("we", "our", "CIT" or the "Company") has provided financial solutions to its clients since its formation in 1908. We provide financing, leasing and advisory services principally to middle market companies in a wide variety of industries and offer vendor, equipment, commercial and structured financing products, as well as factoring and management advisory services. We have \$36 billion of financing and leasing assets at December 31, 2013. CIT became a bank holding company ("BHC") in December 2008 and a financial holding company in July 2013. CIT is regulated by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("FRB") and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York ("FRBNY") under the U.S. Bank Holding Company Act of 1956. CIT Bank (the "Bank"), a wholly-owned subsidiary, is a state chartered bank located in Salt Lake City, Utah, that offers commercial financing and leasing products as well as a suite of savings options and is subject to regulation by the Federal Depository Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and the Utah Department of Financial Institutions ("UDFI").

"Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk" contain financial terms that are relevant to our business and a glossary of key terms used is included in Part I Item 1. *Business Overview*.

Management uses certain non-GAAP financial measures in its analysis of the financial condition and results of operations of the Company. See "Non-GAAP Financial Measurements" for a reconciliation of these to comparable financial measures based on accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP").

2013 PRIORITIES

During 2013, we focused on growing earning assets, meeting our profitability target, expanding the Bank and returning capital to our shareholders. Enhancing internal control functions and our relationships with our regulators also remained an ongoing focus. The following examples highlight certain accomplishments towards these goals in 2013:

1. Prudently Grow Assets

We grew earning assets both organically through new originations and through portfolio acquisitions. We focused growth on existing products and markets as well as newer initiatives, such as real estate, equipment finance, and maritime finance.

- Commercial financing and leasing assets grew 8%, reflecting origination volumes of over \$10 billion, supplemented by loan portfolio acquisitions in Corporate Finance and Vendor Finance, which offset portfolio collections and sales. Newer initiatives, such as real estate, equipment finance and maritime finance, each contributed to this growth.

2. Continue to Achieve Profit Target

We focused on managing the business to improve profitability in order to achieve our target pre-tax return on average earning assets ("AEA")⁽¹⁾ of between 2.0% and 2.5%.

- Our pre-tax return on AEA was 2.3%.
- Net Finance Revenue ("NFR")⁽²⁾ as a percentage of AEA ("net finance margin" or "NFM") was 4.28%, improved from 2012. Excluding debt redemption charges, net finance margin was 4.37% for 2013, improved from 4.06% in 2012, driven by lower funding costs and the reduction of low yielding student loan assets. The weighted average coupon rate of outstanding deposits and long-term borrowings was 3.07% at December 31, 2013, down from 3.18% last year. At December 31, 2013, deposits were 36% of total CIT funding, up from last year and at the low end of our 35%–45% target range.
- For 2013, operating expenses were \$985 million, including restructuring charges of \$37 million. Operating expenses excluding restructuring charges⁽³⁾ were 2.82% as a percentage of AEA, above the target range of 2.00%–2.50% and included \$50 million related to the Tyco tax agreement settlement charge, and other costs resulting from our international rationalization efforts. Operating efficiency improvements were phased in over 2013 and the full benefits of these actions will likely be realized later in 2014. The complexities of exiting certain countries and platforms resulted in an elevated level of restructuring, legal and other related costs in 2013 and these costs may remain high for another few quarters.
- We lowered headcount by about 320 during 2013 to approximately 3,240, modified several benefit plans and consolidated some offices.
- The review of our Vendor Finance business resulted in the plan to exit over 20 countries across Europe, South America and Asia. During 2013, we exited countries and moved various portfolios of financing and leasing assets to assets held for sale. In addition, we are in the process of selling our small business lending portfolio in Corporate Finance, most of which is in Assets held for sale ("AHFS").

⁽¹⁾ Average earning assets is a non-GAAP measure; see "Non-GAAP Financial Measurements" for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

⁽²⁾ Net finance revenue is a non-GAAP measure; see "Non-GAAP Financial Measurements" for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

⁽³⁾ Operating expenses excluding restructuring charges is a non-GAAP measure; see "Non-GAAP Financial Measurements" for reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

3. Expand CIT Bank Assets and Funding

The Bank originated virtually all of our U.S. lending and leasing volume, expanding online deposit product offerings and anticipates launching a retail branch in Salt Lake City.

- Total assets at the Bank surpassed \$16 billion at December 31, 2013, up nearly \$4 billion from December 31, 2012. Growth in commercial financing and leasing assets was funded through deposits and cash. New business volume totaled \$7.1 billion in 2013, which represented nearly all U.S. new business volume for Corporate Finance, Transportation Finance and Vendor Finance. This volume was supplemented with a \$720 million portfolio purchase early in the year, \$272 million of loans purchased from BHC affiliates, and \$67 million of loans transferred in the form of capital infusions from the BHC.
- Deposits grew nearly \$3 billion, consistent with asset growth and the overall liquidity position of the Bank.

4. Begin to Return Capital

On May 30, 2013, our Board of Directors approved the repurchase of up to \$200 million of common stock through December 31, 2013 and on October 21, 2013, declared a cash dividend in the amount of \$0.10 per share on our outstanding common stock.

- We repurchased over four million shares for approximately \$193 million.
- We paid a cash dividend of \$0.10 per common share (approximately \$20 million) on November 29, 2013.

On January 21, 2014, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.10 per share payable on February 28, 2014, and approved the repurchase of up to \$300 million of common stock through December 31, 2014. In addition, the Board also approved the repurchase of an additional \$7 million of common stock, which was the amount unused from its 2013 share repurchase authorization.

2013 FINANCIAL OVERVIEW

As discussed below, our 2013 operating results reflected increased commercial business activity that resulted in asset growth, continued credit quality at cyclical lows and strategic business decisions that elevated operating expenses.

Net income for 2013 totaled \$676 million, \$3.35 per diluted share, compared to a net loss of \$592 million for 2012, \$2.95 per diluted share, and net income of \$15 million for 2011, or \$0.07 per diluted share. Debt redemption charges were significant in 2012 and 2011 and totaled \$1.5 billion and \$528 million, respectively, compared to \$31 million in 2013.

Pre-tax income totaled \$774 million for 2013, improved from a pre-tax loss in 2012 and pre-tax income of \$178 million in 2011. Although higher on a GAAP basis, as detailed in the following table, adjusted pre-tax income excluding debt redemption

charges⁽⁴⁾ were mixed, down from 2012 and up from 2011. The decline from 2012 reflected a lower benefit from FSA accretion and a decline in other income, partially offset by improved funding costs. The increase from 2011 reflected improved funding costs and lower credit costs.

The following table presents pre-tax results adjusted for debt redemption charges, a non-GAAP measurement.

Pre-tax Income (Loss) Excluding Debt Redemption Charges (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Pre-tax income/(loss)	\$774.1	\$ (454.8)	\$178.4
Accelerated FSA net discount/ (premium) on debt extinguishments and repurchases	35.7	1,450.9	279.2
Debt related – loss on debt extinguishments	–	61.2	134.8
Accelerated OID on debt extinguishments related to the GSI facility	(5.2)	(52.6)	114.2
Debt redemption charges and OID acceleration	30.5	1,459.5	528.2
Pre-tax income (loss) – excluding debt redemption charges ⁽⁴⁾	\$804.6	\$1,004.7	\$706.6

Net finance revenue was \$1.4 billion in 2013, compared to (\$77) million in 2012 and \$527 million in 2011, reflecting improved funding costs. The negative NFR for 2012 was driven by the acceleration of FSA discount accretion resulting from repayments of over \$15 billion high cost debt. Growth in the commercial financing and leasing assets and improved funding costs increased NFR in 2013. AEA was \$33.6 billion in 2013, up from \$32.5 billion in 2012, due to growth in commercial financing and leasing assets, and down from \$34.4 billion in 2011, primarily due to student loan sales. AEA in our commercial segments increased during 2013 to \$30.1 billion from \$27.6 billion in 2012 and \$26.7 billion in 2011.

NFM was up in 2013. Excluding debt redemption charges, NFM was 4.37% for 2013, improved from 4.06% in 2012 and 2.67% in 2011, driven by lower funding costs and the reduction of low yielding assets. While other institutions may use net interest margin ("NIM"), defined as interest income less interest expense, we discuss NFM, which includes operating lease rental revenue and depreciation expense, due to their significant impact on revenue and expense. While asset utilization remained strong in 2013, net operating lease revenue was down slightly from 2012, reflecting pressure in certain renewal lease rates in the commercial air portfolio, and up compared to 2011 on higher assets.

Provision for credit losses for 2013 was \$65 million, up from \$52 million last year and down from \$270 million in 2011. The increase from last year reflects growth in the loan portfolio, while overall credit quality remained at cyclical lows.

⁽⁴⁾ Pre-tax income excluding debt redemption charges is a non-GAAP measure. Debt redemption charges include accelerated fresh start accounting debt discount amortization, accelerated original issue discount ("OID") on debt extinguishment related to the GSI facility and loss on debt extinguishments is a non-GAAP measure. See "Non-GAAP Financial Measurements" for reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

Other income of \$382 million decreased from \$653 million in 2012 and \$953 million in 2011, largely due to reduced gains on assets sold, fewer recoveries of loans charged off pre-emergence and loans charged off prior to transfer to held for sale, and lower counterparty receivable accretion.

Operating expenses were \$985 million, up from \$918 million in 2012 and \$897 million in 2011. Excluding restructuring costs, operating expenses were \$948 million, \$896 million and \$884 million for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The current year included costs for certain legal matters, including \$50 million of charges relating to the tax agreement settlement, and costs resulting from our international rationalization efforts. Headcount at December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was approximately 3,240, 3,560, and 3,530, respectively.

Provision for income taxes was \$92 million for 2013, and primarily related to income tax expense on the earnings of certain international operations, state income tax expense in the U.S. and the establishment of valuation allowances of over \$20 million on certain international deferred tax assets due to our international platform rationalizations. The provision for income taxes was \$134 million for 2012 and \$159 million for 2011, which predominantly reflected provisions for taxable income generated by our international operations and no income tax benefit on our U.S. losses.

Total assets at December 31, 2013 were \$47.1 billion, up from \$44.0 billion at December 31, 2012 and \$45.3 billion at December 31, 2011. Commercial financing and leasing assets ("Commercial FLA") increased to \$32.7 billion, up from \$30.2 billion at December 31, 2012, and \$27.9 billion at December 31, 2011, as new origination volume and portfolio purchases more than offset collections and sales. The Consumer loan portfolio, which was included in AHFS, totaled \$3.4 billion at December 31, 2013, down \$321 million from December 31, 2012 and down nearly \$3 billion from December 31, 2011, reflecting sales during 2012 and collections of student loans. Cash and short-term investments totaled \$7.6 billion, essentially unchanged from last year and down from \$8.4 billion at December 31, 2011.

Credit metrics remained at cyclical lows, although net charge-offs in 2013 were elevated by amounts related to loans transferred to AHFS. Net charge-offs were \$81 million (0.37% of average finance receivables) and included \$39 million related to loans transferred to assets held for sale, compared to \$74 million (0.37%) in 2012 and \$265 million (0.53%) in 2011. Non-accrual balances declined to \$241 million (1.29% of finance receivables) at December 31, 2013 from \$332 million (1.59%) a year ago and \$702 million (3.53%) at December 31, 2011.

2014 PRIORITIES

During 2014, we will focus on continued progress toward profitability targets by growing earning assets, managing expenses and growing CIT Bank assets and deposits. Enhancing internal control functions and our relationships with our regulators will also remain a focus for 2014.

Specific business objectives established for 2014 include:

- Grow Earning Assets – We plan to grow earning assets, organically and through portfolio acquisitions, by focusing on existing products and markets as well as newer initiatives.
- Continue to Achieve Profit Targets – Our pre-tax return on asset target is currently within the targeted range of 2.0% and 2.5% of AEA, but we need to improve our operating expense metric to sustain this level through the business cycle. We plan to achieve operating leverage through growth and cost reduction initiatives.
- Expand CIT Bank Assets and Funding – CIT Bank will continue to fund virtually all of our U.S. lending and leasing volume, expand on-line deposit offerings and anticipates launching a retail branch in Salt Lake City.
- Continue to Return Capital – We plan to prudently deploy our capital, which will include returning capital to our shareholders through share repurchases and dividends, while maintaining our strong capital ratios.

2014 SEGMENT REORGANIZATION

In December 2013, we announced organization changes that became effective January 1, 2014. In conjunction with management's plans to (i) realign and simplify its businesses and organizational structure, (ii) streamline and consolidate certain business processes to achieve greater operating efficiencies, and (iii) leverage CIT's operational capabilities for the benefit of its clients and customers, CIT will manage its business and report its financial results in three operating segments (the "New Segments"): (1) Transportation and International Finance; (2) North American Commercial Finance; and (3) Non-Strategic Portfolios. CIT's New Segments will be established based on how CIT's business units will be managed prospectively and how products and services will be provided to clients and customers by each business unit. The change in segment reporting will have no effect on CIT's historical consolidated results of operations.

- "Transportation and International Finance" will include CIT's commercial aircraft, business aircraft, rail, and maritime finance business units. Each of these businesses is currently included in CIT's Transportation Finance segment. The Transportation and International Finance segment will also include corporate lending businesses outside of North America (currently part of the Corporate Finance Segment) and vendor finance businesses outside of North America (currently part of the Vendor Finance Segment). CIT's transportation lending business, which offers cash flow and asset-based loan products to commercial businesses in the transportation sector, is currently part of the Transportation Segment and will be included in the North American Commercial Finance segment.
- "North American Commercial Finance" will consist of CIT's former Trade Finance segment, North American business units currently in the Corporate Finance and Vendor Finance segments, and the transportation lending business, which is currently reflected in the Transportation Finance segment.
- "Non-Strategic Portfolios" will consist of CIT's run-off government-guaranteed student loan portfolio, small business lending portfolio and other portfolios, including over 20 countries in Europe, Asia and South America we identified as subscale platforms during our international rationalization.

The discussions below for 2013, 2012 and 2011 relate to segment operations on the basis of the segments that existed prior to the reorganization at January 1, 2014 and was consistent with the presentation of financial information to management.

PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS

The following chart reflects key performance indicators evaluated by management and used throughout this management discussion and analysis:

KEY PERFORMANCE METRICS	MEASUREMENTS
<i>Asset Generation</i> – to originate new business and build earning assets.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Origination volumes; and - Financing and leasing assets balances.
<i>Revenue Generation</i> – lend money at rates in excess of cost of borrowing, earn rentals on the equipment we lease commensurate with the risk, and generate other revenue streams.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Net finance revenue and other income; - Asset yields and funding costs; - Net finance revenue as a percentage of average earning assets (AEA); and - Operating lease revenue as a percentage of average operating lease equipment.
<i>Credit Risk Management</i> – accurately evaluate credit worthiness of customers, maintain high-quality assets and balance income potential with loss expectations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Net charge-offs; - Non-accrual loans; classified assets; delinquencies; and - Loan loss reserve.
<i>Equipment and Residual Risk Management</i> – appropriately evaluate collateral risk in leasing transactions and remarket or sell equipment at lease termination.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Equipment utilization; - Value of equipment; and - Gains and losses on equipment sales.
<i>Expense Management</i> – maintain efficient operating platforms and related infrastructure.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Operating expenses and trends; and - Operating expenses as a percentage of AEA.
<i>Profitability</i> – generate income and appropriate returns to shareholders.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Net income per common share (EPS); - Net income and pre-tax income, each as a percentage of average earning assets (ROA); and - Net income as a percentage of average common equity (ROE).
<i>Capital Management</i> – maintain a strong capital position.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Tier 1 and Total capital ratio; and - Tier 1 capital as a percentage of adjusted average assets (“Tier 1 Leverage Ratio”).
<i>Liquidity Risk</i> – maintain access to ample funding at competitive rates.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Cash and short term investment securities; - Committed and available funding facilities; - Debt maturity profile; and - Debt ratings.
<i>Market Risk</i> – substantially insulate profits from movements in interest and foreign currency exchange rates.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Net Interest Income Sensitivity; and - Economic Value of Equity (EVE).

NET FINANCE REVENUE

The following tables present management's view of consolidated NFR and NFM and include revenues from loans and leased equipment, net of interest expense and depreciation, in dollars and as a percent of AEA.

Net Finance Revenue⁽¹⁾ (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Interest income	\$ 1,382.8	\$ 1,569.1	\$ 2,228.7
Rental income on operating leases	1,770.3	1,784.6	1,667.5
Finance revenue	3,153.1	3,353.7	3,896.2
Interest expense	(1,138.0)	(2,897.4)	(2,794.4)
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(573.5)	(533.2)	(575.1)
Net finance revenue	\$ 1,441.6	\$ (76.9)	\$ 526.7
Average Earning Assets ⁽²⁾ ("AEA")	\$33,649.6	\$32,522.0	\$34,371.6
AEA commercial segments	\$30,117.8	\$27,601.8	\$26,655.4
As a % of AEA:			
Interest income	4.11%	4.82%	6.48%
Rental income on operating leases	5.26%	5.49%	4.85%
Finance revenue	9.37%	10.31%	11.33%
Interest expense	(3.38)%	(8.91)%	(8.13)%
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(1.71)%	(1.64)%	(1.67)%
Net finance revenue	4.28%	(0.24)%	1.53%
As a % of AEA by Segment:			
Corporate Finance	3.09%	0.83%	3.02%
Transportation Finance	5.05%	0.14%	2.14%
Trade Finance	2.86%	(2.06)%	(1.27)%
Vendor Finance	7.15%	4.08%	6.90%
Commercial Segments	4.75%	0.98%	3.18%
Consumer	1.51%	(1.06)%	(0.31)%

⁽¹⁾ NFR and AEA are non-GAAP measures; see "Non-GAAP Financial Measurements" sections for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

⁽²⁾ AEA are less than comparable balances displayed in this document in Item 6. Selected Financial Data (Average Balances) due to the exclusion of deposits with banks and other investments and the inclusion of credit balances of factoring clients.

NFR and NFM are key metrics used by management to measure the profitability of our lending and leasing assets. NFR includes interest and yield-related fee income on our loans and capital leases, rental income and depreciation from our operating lease equipment, interest and dividend income on cash and investments, as well as funding costs. Since our asset composition includes a high level of operating lease equipment (37% of AEA for the year ended December 31, 2013), NFM is a more appropriate metric for CIT than net interest margin ("NIM") (a common metric used by other BHCs), as NIM does not fully reflect the earnings of our portfolio because it includes the impact of debt costs on all our assets but excludes the net revenue (rental income less depreciation) from operating leases.

NFR increased from 2012 and 2011 largely due to the negative impact of significantly higher debt FSA discount accretion,

resulting from repayments of high cost debt in those years. The adjustments, accelerated debt FSA accretion and accelerated OID on debt extinguishment related to the GSI facility ("accelerated OID accretion"), which when discussed in combination, is referred to as "accelerated debt FSA and OID accretion". As detailed in the following table, absent accelerated debt FSA and OID accretion and prepayment costs, adjusted NFR was up, benefiting from lower funding costs and higher commercial assets. The 2013 accelerated debt FSA and OID accretion resulted from the repayment of senior unsecured notes issued under our InterNotes retail note program and \$5 million on the redemption of secured debt related to the sale of a small business loan portfolio. The 2012 and 2011 FSA interest expense accretion amounts reflect repayments of Series A and C Notes. See "InterNotes" in *Funding and Liquidity*.

The following table reflects NFR and NFM, before and after accelerated debt FSA and OID accretion and prepayment costs.

Adjusted NFR⁽¹⁾ (\$) and NFM⁽¹⁾ (%) (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,					
	2013		2012		2011	
NFR / NFM	\$1,441.6	4.28%	\$ (76.9)	(0.24)%	\$526.7	1.53%
Accelerated FSA net discount/(premium) on debt extinguishments and repurchases	35.7	0.11%	1,450.9	4.46%	279.2	0.81%
Debt related – prepayment costs	–	–	–	–	114.2	0.33%
Accelerated OID accretion	(5.2)	(0.02)%	(52.6)	(0.16)%	–	–
Adjusted NFR / NFM	<u>\$1,472.1</u>	<u>4.37%</u>	<u>\$1,321.4</u>	<u>4.06%</u>	<u>\$920.1</u>	<u>2.67%</u>

⁽¹⁾ Adjusted NFR and NFM are non-GAAP measures; see “Non-GAAP Financial Measurements” for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

NFM was up from 2012 and 2011, primarily reflecting lower accelerated debt FSA accretion while adjusted NFM improved over the 2012 and 2011 periods, primarily reflecting lower funding costs.

The adjusted net finance margin increased, reflecting continued benefits from lower funding costs, elevated levels of interest recoveries and suspended depreciation, partially offset by lower FSA loan accretion and yield compression on certain assets.

- Lower finance revenue in 2013 reflected pressure on certain renewal lease rates in the commercial air portfolio and the sale of the Dell Europe portfolio, which contained high-yielding assets. The revenue decline from 2012 and 2011 was partially offset by higher commercial earning assets. While total AEA was up 3% from 2012 and down 2% from 2011, commercial segment AEA increased 9% from 2012 and 13% from 2011. Interest income was down from 2012 and 2011 reflecting lower FSA accretion, which totaled \$97 million in 2013, \$268 million in 2012 and \$745 million in 2011. The remaining accretible FSA discount on loans was \$35 million at December 31, 2013. See *Fresh Start Accounting* section later in this document.
- Interest recoveries, which result from events such as prepayments on or sales of non-accrual assets and assets returning to accrual status, and certain other yield-related fees, were up in 2012, but moderated in 2013.
- NFM continued to benefit from suspended depreciation, \$73 million in 2013, on operating lease equipment held for sale, since depreciation is not recorded while this equipment is held for sale (detailed further below). This benefit was down from 2012, primarily due to the sale of the Dell Europe portfolio in the third and fourth quarters, but slightly higher compared to 2011. We expect this benefit will decline further reflecting lower operating lease assets held for sale. See *Results by Business Segment – Vendor Finance* for further discussion on the Dell Europe portfolio sale.
- Lower funding costs of 3.07% at December 31, 2013 resulted from our liability management actions, which included paying off high cost debt in 2012 and 2011, and increasing the proportion of deposits in our funding mix to 36%, as discussed further below.

- Net FSA accretion (excluding accelerated FSA on debt extinguishments and repurchases noted in the above table) increased NFR by \$243 million in 2013, \$269 million in 2012 and \$305 million in 2011.

Interest expense was increased by the accretion of FSA discounts on long-term borrowings of \$82 million, \$1.6 billion and \$904 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The 2013 accelerated debt FSA and OID accretion resulted from the repayment of senior unsecured notes issued under our InterNotes retail note program and \$5 million on the redemption of secured debt related to the sale of a small business loan portfolio. The higher 2012 amounts resulted from repayments of over \$15 billion in high cost debt in the first three quarters and \$1.0 billion of secured debt in the last quarter of 2012. During 2011, CIT had \$9.5 billion in debt redemptions and extinguishments. At December 31, 2013, long-term borrowings included \$271 million of remaining FSA discount on secured borrowings (including \$231 million secured by student loans) and \$13 million on unsecured other debt.

As a result of our debt redemption activities and the increased proportion of deposits to total funding, we reduced weighted average coupon rates of outstanding deposits and long-term borrowings to 3.07% at December 31, 2013 from 3.18% at December 31, 2012 and 4.69% at December 31, 2011. The weighted average coupon rate of long-term borrowings at December 31, 2013 was 3.87%, compared to 3.81% at December 31, 2012 and 5.12% at December 31, 2011.

Deposits have increased, both in dollars and proportion of total CIT funding to 36% at December 31, 2013 compared to 31% at December 31, 2012 and 19% at December 31, 2011. The weighted average rate of total CIT deposits at December 31, 2013 was 1.65%, compared to 1.75% at December 31, 2012 and 2.68% at December 31, 2011. Deposits and long-term borrowings are discussed in Funding and Liquidity. See Select Financial Data section for more information on Long-term borrowing rates.

The following table sets forth the details on net operating lease revenues⁽⁵⁾:

Net Operating Lease Revenue as a % of Average Operating Leases (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,					
	2013		2012		2011	
Rental income on operating leases	\$ 1,770.3	14.20%	\$ 1,784.6	14.78%	\$ 1,667.5	14.85%
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(573.5)	(4.60)%	(533.2)	(4.42)%	(575.1)	(5.12)%
Net operating lease revenue and %	<u>\$ 1,196.8</u>	<u>9.60%</u>	<u>\$ 1,251.4</u>	<u>10.36%</u>	<u>\$ 1,092.4</u>	<u>9.73%</u>
Average Operating Lease Equipment ("AOL")	<u>\$12,463.8</u>		<u>\$12,072.9</u>		<u>\$11,228.9</u>	

Net operating lease revenue was primarily generated from the commercial air and rail portfolios. Net operating lease revenue decreased from 2012, as the benefit of increased assets from the growing aerospace and rail portfolios was more than offset by higher depreciation expense and lower renewal rates. During 2013, on average, lease renewal rates in the rail portfolio were re-pricing higher, while the commercial air portfolio has been re-pricing slightly lower, putting pressure on overall rental revenue. These factors are also reflected in the net operating lease revenue as a percent of AOL. Net operating lease revenue increased in 2012 from 2011 driven by higher assets in Transportation Finance and lower depreciation expense in Vendor Finance.

While utilization and asset levels remained strong in 2013, rental income decreased slightly from 2012 reflecting asset sales and pressure on certain aircraft renewal rates through most of the year that moderated by year end. Commercial aircraft utilization remained strong throughout 2013 with nearly all of our portfolio leased or under a commitment, and was consistent with 2012 and 2011. During 2013, our rail fleet utilization remained relatively steady. Including commitments, rail fleet utilization was over 98% at December 31, 2013, at about the same level as December 31, 2012 and up from 97% at December 31, 2011.

We have 20 new aircraft deliveries scheduled for 2014, all of which are placed. We expect to re-lease approximately 50 commercial aircraft in 2014, a level that is significantly higher than in recent years and will likely put pressure on the finance margin in 2014 if lease rates for certain aircraft remain at current levels. We expect delivery of approximately 4,600 railcars from our order book during 2014, all of which are placed. We expect lease expirations for rail equipment in 2014 will represent slightly over 20% of the rail portfolio, a level that is lower than recent experience.

Depreciation on operating lease equipment increased from 2012, reflecting higher asset balances and changes to residual value assumptions. Depreciation expense is adjusted when projected fair value at the end of the lease term is below the projected book value at the end of the lease term. The 2012 results compared to 2011 benefited from lower depreciation expense, primarily in the Vendor Finance business, as a result of certain operating lease equipment being recorded as held for sale. Once a long-lived asset is classified as assets held for sale, depreciation expense is no longer recognized, but the asset is evaluated for impairment with any such charge recorded in other income. (See "Non-interest Income – Impairment on assets held for sale" for discussion on impairment charges). Consequently, net operating lease revenue includes rental income on operating lease equipment classified as assets held for sale, but there is no related depreciation expense. The amount of suspended depreciation on operating lease equipment in assets held for sale totaled \$73 million for 2013, \$96 million for 2012 and \$68 million for 2011. The decrease from 2012 primarily reflects the sale of the Dell Europe portfolio in the third and fourth quarters of 2013.

Operating lease equipment in assets held for sale totaled \$205 million at December 31, 2013, primarily reflecting aerospace assets and to a lesser extent, assets related to the Vendor Finance international rationalization. Operating lease equipment in assets held for sale totaled \$344 million at December 31, 2012 and \$237 million at December 31, 2011, primarily reflecting the Dell Europe platform assets, which were sold in 2013, and transportation equipment. See discussion of Dell Europe platform sale in *Results by Business Segment – Vendor Finance*.

See "Expenses – Depreciation on operating lease equipment" and "Concentrations – Operating Leases" for additional information.

⁽⁵⁾ Net operating lease revenue is a non-GAAP measure. See "Non-GAAP Financial Measurements" for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

CREDIT METRICS

Management believes that credit metrics are at, or near, cyclical lows, and does not expect sustained improving trends from these levels. Given current levels, sequential quarterly movements in non-accrual loans and charge-offs in Corporate Finance, Trade Finance and Transportation Finance are subject to volatility as larger accounts migrate in and out of non-accrual status or get resolved. Given the smaller ticket, flow nature of Vendor Finance, we do not expect quarter-over-quarter movements in these metrics to be as significant in this business.

As a percentage of average finance receivables, net charge-offs in the Commercial segments were 0.44% in the current year, versus 0.46% in 2012 and 1.68% in 2011. Absent AHFS transfer related charge-offs, net charge-offs in the Commercial segments were 0.23% for the year ended December 31, 2013. Non-accrual loans in the Commercial segments declined an additional 27% to \$241 million (1.29% of Finance receivables) from \$330 million (1.93%) at December 31, 2012 and \$701 million (4.61%) at December 31, 2011. The improvement was driven by Corporate Finance and Transportation Finance.

The provision for credit losses was \$65 million for the current year, up from \$52 million in 2012 following a significant decline from \$270 million in 2011. The increase in 2013 reflected asset growth and \$39 million of charge-offs due to loans transferred to AHFS. The improvement in 2012 reflected lower net charge-offs.

The allowance for loan losses is intended to provide for losses inherent in the portfolio based on estimates of the ultimate

outcome of collection efforts, realization of collateral values, and other pertinent factors, such as estimation risk related to performance in prospective periods. We may make adjustments to the allowance depending on general economic conditions and specific industry weakness or trends in our portfolio credit metrics, including non-accrual loans and charge-off levels and realization rates on collateral.

Our allowance for loan losses includes: (1) specific reserves for impaired loans, (2) non-specific reserves for losses inherent in non-impaired loans utilizing the Company's internal probability of default / loss given default ratings system, generally with a two year loss emergence period assumption, to determine estimated loss levels and (3) a qualitative adjustment to the non-specific reserve for economic risks, industry and geographic concentrations, and other factors not adequately captured in our methodology. Our policy is to recognize losses through charge-offs when there is high likelihood of loss after considering the borrower's financial condition, underlying collateral and guarantees, and the finalization of collection activities.

For all presentation periods, qualitative adjustments largely related to instances where management believed that the Company's current risk ratings in selected portfolios did not yet fully reflect the corresponding inherent risk. The qualitative adjustments did not exceed 10% of the total allowance for any of such periods and are recorded by class and included in the allowance for loan losses.

The following table presents detail on our allowance for loan losses, including charge-offs and recoveries and provides summarized components of the provision and allowance:

Allowance for Loan Losses and Provision for Credit Losses (dollars in millions)

	Years ended December 31				Predecessor CIT 2009
	CIT				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	
Allowance – beginning of period	\$ 379.3	\$ 407.8	\$ 416.2	\$ –	\$ 1,096.2
Provision for credit losses ⁽¹⁾	64.9	51.6	269.7	820.3	2,660.8
Change related to new accounting guidance ⁽²⁾	–	–	–	68.6	–
Other ⁽¹⁾	(7.4)	(5.9)	(12.9)	(8.2)	(12.2)
Net additions	57.5	45.7	256.8	880.7	2,648.6
Gross charge-offs ⁽³⁾	(138.6)	(141.8)	(368.8)	(510.3)	(2,068.2)
Recoveries ⁽⁴⁾	57.9	67.6	103.6	45.8	109.6
Net Charge-offs	(80.7)	(74.2)	(265.2)	(464.5)	(1,958.6)
Allowance before fresh start adjustments	356.1	379.3	407.8	416.2	1,786.2
Fresh start adjustments	–	–	–	–	(1,786.2)
Allowance – end of period	<u>\$ 356.1</u>	<u>\$ 379.3</u>	<u>\$ 407.8</u>	<u>\$ 416.2</u>	<u>\$ –</u>
Provision for credit losses					
Specific reserves on commercial impaired loans	\$ (14.8)	\$ (9.4)	\$ (66.7)	\$ 121.3	
Non-specific reserves – commercial	(1.0)	(13.2)	71.2	234.5	
Net charge-offs – commercial	80.7	73.7	262.1	439.2	
Net charge-offs – consumer	–	0.5	3.1	25.3	
Total	<u>\$ 64.9</u>	<u>\$ 51.6</u>	<u>\$ 269.7</u>	<u>\$ 820.3</u>	
Allowance for loan losses					
Specific reserves on commercial impaired loans	\$ 30.4	\$ 45.2	\$ 54.6	\$ 121.3	
Non-specific reserves – commercial	325.7	334.1	353.2	294.9	
Total	<u>\$ 356.1</u>	<u>\$ 379.3</u>	<u>\$ 407.8</u>	<u>\$ 416.2</u>	
Ratios					
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans	1.91%	1.82%	2.05%	1.69%	
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of commercial loans	1.91%	2.21%	2.68%	2.51%	

⁽¹⁾ Includes amounts related to reserves on unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit, and for deferred purchase agreements, which are reflected in other liabilities, as well as foreign currency translation adjustments. These related other liabilities totaled \$28 million, \$23 million, \$22 million and \$12 million at December 31, 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

⁽²⁾ Reflects reserves associated with loans consolidated in accordance with 2010 adoption of accounting guidance on consolidation of variable interest entities.

⁽³⁾ Gross charge-offs included \$39 million of charge-offs related to the transfer of receivables to assets held for sale for the year ended December 31, 2013. Prior year amounts were not significant.

⁽⁴⁾ Recoveries for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010 do not include \$22 million, \$55 million, \$124 million and \$279 million, respectively, of recoveries of loans charged off pre-emergence and loans charged off prior to the transfer to assets held for sale, which are included in Other Income.

The trend in lower allowance rate to commercial loans reflects the relative benign credit environment, as well as the better quality of the new originations relative to the lower credit quality legacy assets that had higher expected losses. Non-commercial loans (predominately U.S. government guaranteed student loans

which carry no related reserves) were moved to assets held for sale as of December 31, 2013 and as such are no longer included in total loans. The decline in specific reserves over the past two years is consistent with reduced non-accrual inflows and balances.

Segment Finance Receivables and Allowance for Loan Losses (dollars in millions)

	Corporate Finance	Transportation Finance	Trade Finance	Vendor Finance	Commercial Segments	Consumer	Total
December 31, 2013							
Finance Receivables	\$9,465.9	\$2,181.3	\$2,262.4	\$4,719.6	\$18,629.2	\$ –	\$18,629.2
Allowance for Loan Losses	(217.5)	(32.0)	(25.5)	(81.1)	(356.1)	–	(356.1)
Net Carrying Value	<u>\$9,248.4</u>	<u>\$2,149.3</u>	<u>\$2,236.9</u>	<u>\$4,638.5</u>	<u>\$18,273.1</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$18,273.1</u>
December 31, 2012							–
Finance Receivables	\$8,175.9	\$1,853.2	\$2,305.3	\$4,818.7	\$17,153.1	\$3,694.5	\$20,847.6
Allowance for Loan Losses	(229.9)	(36.3)	(27.4)	(85.7)	(379.3)	–	(379.3)
Net Carrying Value	<u>\$7,946.0</u>	<u>\$1,816.9</u>	<u>\$2,277.9</u>	<u>\$4,733.0</u>	<u>\$16,773.8</u>	<u>\$3,694.5</u>	<u>\$20,468.3</u>
December 31, 2011							
Finance Receivables	\$6,865.4	\$1,487.0	\$2,431.4	\$4,442.0	\$15,225.8	\$4,680.1	\$19,905.9
Allowance for Loan Losses	(262.2)	(29.3)	(29.0)	(87.3)	(407.8)	–	(407.8)
Net Carrying Value	<u>\$6,603.2</u>	<u>\$1,457.7</u>	<u>\$2,402.4</u>	<u>\$4,354.7</u>	<u>\$14,818.0</u>	<u>\$4,680.1</u>	<u>\$19,498.1</u>
December 31, 2010							
Finance Receivables	\$8,072.9	\$1,390.3	\$2,387.4	\$4,721.9	\$16,572.5	\$8,075.9	\$24,648.4
Allowance for Loan Losses	(304.0)	(23.7)	(29.9)	(58.6)	(416.2)	–	(416.2)
Net Carrying Value	<u>\$7,768.9</u>	<u>\$1,366.6</u>	<u>\$2,357.5</u>	<u>\$4,663.3</u>	<u>\$16,156.3</u>	<u>\$8,075.9</u>	<u>\$24,232.2</u>

The following table presents charge-offs, by business segment. See *Results by Business Segment* for additional information.

Charge-offs as a Percentage of Average Finance Receivables (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,								Predecessor CIT	
	CIT								2009	
	2013		2012		2011		2010			
Gross Charge-offs										
Corporate Finance ⁽¹⁾	\$ 44.8	0.50%	\$ 52.7	0.70%	\$239.6	3.31%	\$257.7	2.49%	\$1,427.2	7.92%
Transportation Finance	4.5	0.23%	11.7	0.69%	6.6	0.48%	4.8	0.29%	3.4	0.14%
Trade Finance	4.4	0.19%	8.6	0.36%	21.1	0.85%	29.8	1.12%	111.8	2.42%
Vendor Finance ⁽¹⁾	84.9	1.74%	67.8	1.49%	97.2	2.16%	191.9	2.81%	386.4	3.36%
Commercial Segments	138.6	0.76%	140.8	0.87%	364.5	2.34%	484.2	2.25%	1,928.8	5.27%
Consumer	–	–	1.0	0.02%	4.3	0.06%	26.1	0.30%	139.4	1.17%
Total	<u>\$138.6</u>	<u>0.64%</u>	<u>\$141.8</u>	<u>0.70%</u>	<u>\$368.8</u>	<u>1.61%</u>	<u>\$510.3</u>	<u>1.68%</u>	<u>\$2,068.2</u>	<u>4.27%</u>
Recoveries⁽²⁾										
Corporate Finance	\$ 17.5	0.20%	\$ 20.3	0.27%	\$ 33.5	0.46%	\$ 12.0	0.12%	\$ 40.4	0.22%
Transportation Finance	2.0	–	–	–	0.1	0.01%	–	–	0.9	0.04%
Trade Finance	7.8	0.33%	7.8	0.33%	10.9	0.44%	1.2	0.04%	3.2	0.07%
Vendor Finance	30.6	0.62%	39.0	0.86%	57.9	1.29%	31.8	0.47%	58.0	0.50%
Commercial Segments	57.9	0.32%	67.1	0.41%	102.4	0.66%	45.0	0.21%	102.5	0.28%
Consumer	–	–	0.5	0.01%	1.2	0.02%	0.8	0.01%	7.1	0.06%
Total	<u>\$ 57.9</u>	<u>0.27%</u>	<u>\$ 67.6</u>	<u>0.33%</u>	<u>\$103.6</u>	<u>0.45%</u>	<u>\$ 45.8</u>	<u>0.15%</u>	<u>\$ 109.6</u>	<u>0.23%</u>
Net Charge-offs⁽¹⁾										
Corporate Finance	\$ 27.3	0.30%	\$ 32.4	0.43%	\$206.1	2.85%	\$245.7	2.37%	\$1,386.8	7.70%
Transportation Finance	2.5	0.13%	11.7	0.69%	6.5	0.47%	4.8	0.29%	2.5	0.10%
Trade Finance	(3.4)	(0.14)%	0.8	0.03%	10.2	0.41%	28.6	1.08%	108.6	2.35%
Vendor Finance	54.3	1.12%	28.8	0.63%	39.3	0.87%	160.1	2.34%	328.4	2.86%
Commercial Segments	80.7	0.44%	73.7	0.46%	262.1	1.68%	439.2	2.04%	1,826.3	4.99%
Consumer	–	–	0.5	0.01%	3.1	0.04%	25.3	0.29%	132.3	1.11%
Total	<u>\$ 80.7</u>	<u>0.37%</u>	<u>\$ 74.2</u>	<u>0.37%</u>	<u>\$265.2</u>	<u>1.16%</u>	<u>\$464.5</u>	<u>1.53%</u>	<u>\$1,958.6</u>	<u>4.04%</u>

⁽¹⁾ Corporate Finance charge-offs for the years ended December 31, 2013 included approximately \$28 million related to the transfer of receivables to assets held for sale. Vendor Finance charge-offs for the year ended December 31, 2013 included approximately \$11 million related to the transfer of receivables to assets held for sale.

⁽²⁾ Does not include recoveries of loans charged off pre-emergence and loans charged off prior to transfer to held for sale, which are recorded in Other Income.

Net charge-offs in the Commercial segments declined in 2013 to 0.44% from 0.46% in 2012, although increased in dollar terms to \$81 million in 2013 from \$74 million in 2012, with all segments, except Vendor Finance, contributing to the decline. Absent the charge-offs related to loans transferred to AHFS (\$39 million) in the year ended December 31, 2013, the net charge-offs would have been \$41 million (0.23% of commercial segments' AFR).

The tables below present information on non-performing loans, which includes non-performing loans related to assets held for sale for each period:

Non-accrual and Accruing Past Due Loans at December 31 (dollars in millions)

	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Non-accrual loans					
U.S.	\$176.3	\$273.2	\$623.3	\$1,336.1	\$1,465.5
Foreign	64.4	57.0	77.8	280.7	108.8
Commercial Segments	240.7	330.2	701.1	1,616.8	1,574.3
Consumer	—	1.6	0.9	0.7	0.1
Non-accrual loans	<u>\$240.7</u>	<u>\$331.8</u>	<u>\$702.0</u>	<u>\$1,617.5</u>	<u>\$1,574.4</u>
Troubled Debt Restructurings					
U.S.	\$218.0	\$263.2	\$427.5	\$ 412.4	\$ 116.5
Foreign	2.9	25.9	17.7	49.3	4.5
Restructured loans	<u>\$220.9</u>	<u>\$289.1</u>	<u>\$445.2</u>	<u>\$ 461.7</u>	<u>\$ 121.0</u>
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more					
Government guaranteed accruing student loans past due 90 days or more	\$223.7	\$231.4	\$390.3	\$ 433.6	\$ 480.7
Other accruing loans past due 90 days or more	10.0	3.4	2.2	1.7	89.4
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more	<u>\$233.7</u>	<u>\$234.8</u>	<u>\$392.5</u>	<u>\$ 435.3</u>	<u>\$ 570.1</u>

Segment Non-accrual Loans as a Percentage of Finance Receivables at December 31 (dollars in millions)

	2013		2012		2011	
Corporate Finance	\$126.7	1.34%	\$211.9	2.59%	\$497.9	7.26%
Transportation Finance	14.3	0.66%	40.5	2.18%	45.0	3.03%
Trade Finance	4.2	0.19%	6.0	0.26%	75.3	3.10%
Vendor Finance	95.5	2.02%	71.8	1.49%	82.9	1.87%
Commercial Segments	240.7	1.29%	330.2	1.93%	701.1	4.61%
Consumer	—	—	1.6	0.04%	0.9	0.02%
Total	<u>\$240.7</u>	1.29%	<u>\$331.8</u>	1.59%	<u>\$702.0</u>	3.53%

Similar to last year, non-accrual loans declined from the prior year, with all commercial segments other than Vendor Finance reporting reductions, both in amount and as a percentage of finance receivables. The improvement in 2013 was particularly noteworthy in Corporate Finance, which reflected repayments and resolutions, as well as returns to accrual status where appropriate. Although total foreign non-accruals were up \$7 million from December 31, 2012, there was a larger increase in Vendor Finance international operations, which was partially offset by a reduction in Transportation Finance. As mentioned earlier, Transportation Finance is subject to volatility as larger accounts migrate in and out of non-accrual status or get resolved, which is reflective of the decline in 2013.

Approximately 60% of our non-accrual accounts were paying currently at December 31, 2013, and our impaired loan carrying

The Vendor Finance net charge-offs increased to \$54 million at December 31, 2013 from \$29 million at December 31, 2012, reflecting increased charge-offs in the international operations, lower recoveries in 2013, and charge-offs of \$11 million related to loans transferred to AHFS. Recoveries, while down from 2012 in amount, remained strong in relation to gross charge-offs.

value (including FSA discount, specific reserves and charge-offs) to estimated outstanding contractual balances approximated 80%. For this purpose, impaired loans are comprised principally of non-accrual loans over \$500,000 and TDRs.

Total delinquency (30 days or more) in our commercial segments were up as a percentage of finance receivables at 2.0%, an increase of \$69 million compared to December 31, 2012. The 30–59 day category increased \$31 million, reflecting certain non-credit (administrative) delinquencies in Vendor Finance, which offset a decline in Trade Finance. Increases in the 60–89 and 90+ categories reflected higher Vendor Finance balances, primarily in the International businesses.

Foregone Interest on Non-accrual Loans and Troubled Debt Restructurings (dollars in millions)

	2013			2012			2011		
	U.S.	Foreign	Total	U.S.	Foreign	Total	U.S.	Foreign	Total
Interest revenue that would have been earned at original terms	\$52.9	\$12.4	\$65.3	\$66.5	\$12.1	\$78.6	\$169.4	\$18.6	\$188.0
Less: Interest recorded	18.4	4.2	22.6	23.7	3.7	27.4	18.7	6.0	24.7
Foregone interest revenue	<u>\$34.5</u>	<u>\$ 8.2</u>	<u>\$42.7</u>	<u>\$42.8</u>	<u>\$ 8.4</u>	<u>\$51.2</u>	<u>\$150.7</u>	<u>\$12.6</u>	<u>\$163.3</u>

The Company periodically modifies the terms of loans / finance receivables in response to borrowers' difficulties. Modifications that include a financial concession to the borrower, which otherwise would not have been considered, are accounted for as troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs"). For those accounts that were modified but were not considered to be TDRs, it was determined that no concessions had been granted by CIT to the

borrower. Borrower compliance with the modified terms is the primary measurement that we use to determine the success of these programs.

The tables that follow reflect loan carrying values as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 of accounts that have been modified.

Troubled Debt Restructurings and Modifications at December 31 (dollars in millions)

	2013		2012		2011	
		% Compliant		% Compliant		% Compliant
Troubled Debt Restructurings						
Deferral of principal and/or interest	\$194.6	99%	\$248.5	98%	\$394.8	94%
Debt forgiveness	2.4	77%	2.5	95%	12.5	96%
Interest rate reductions	—	—	14.8	100%	19.0	100%
Covenant relief and other	23.9	74%	23.3	80%	18.9	77%
Total TDRs	<u>\$220.9</u>	96%	<u>\$289.1</u>	97%	<u>\$445.2</u>	94%
Percent non accrual	33%		29%		63%	
Modifications⁽¹⁾						
Extended maturity	\$ 14.9	37%	\$111.5	97%	\$172.8	100%
Covenant relief	50.6	100%	113.6	100%	153.5	100%
Interest rate increase/additional collateral	21.8	100%	79.6	100%	14.6	100%
Other	62.6	87%	62.4	100%	112.5	100%
Total Modifications	<u>\$149.9</u>	91%	<u>\$367.1</u>	99%	<u>\$453.4</u>	100%
Percent non-accrual	23%		25%		7%	

⁽¹⁾ Table depicts the predominant element of each modification, which may contain several of the characteristics listed.

See Note 2 — Loans for additional information regarding TDRs and other credit quality information.

NON-INTEREST INCOME

Non-interest Income (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Rental income on operating leases	\$1,770.3	\$1,784.6	\$1,667.5
Other Income:			
Gains on sales of leasing equipment	\$ 130.5	\$ 117.6	\$ 148.4
Factoring commissions	122.3	126.5	132.5
Fee revenues	101.5	86.1	97.5
Gains on loan and portfolio sales	48.6	192.3	305.9
Recoveries of loans charged off pre-emergence and loans charged off prior to transfer to held for sale	21.9	55.0	124.1
Counterparty receivable accretion	9.3	96.1	109.9
Gain on investments	8.2	40.2	45.7
Gains (losses) on derivatives and foreign currency exchange	1.0	(5.7)	(5.2)
Impairment on assets held for sale	(124.0)	(115.6)	(113.1)
Other revenues	62.8	60.6	107.1
Other income	382.1	653.1	952.8
Non-interest income	\$2,152.4	\$2,437.7	\$2,620.3

Non-interest Income includes Rental Income on Operating Leases and Other Income.

Rental income on operating leases from equipment we lease is recognized on a straight line basis over the lease term. Rental income is discussed in "Net Finance Revenues" and "Results by Business Segment". See also "Concentrations – Operating Leases" and "Note 4 — Operating Lease Equipment" for additional information on operating leases.

Other income declined in 2013 and 2012 reflecting the following:

Gains on sales of leasing equipment resulted from the sale of leasing equipment of approximately \$1.2 billion in 2013, \$1.3 billion in 2012, and \$1.1 billion in 2011. Gains as a percentage of equipment sold increased from the prior year and were less than 2011 and will vary based on the type and age of equipment sold. Equipment sales for 2013 consisted of \$0.8 billion in Transportation Finance assets, \$0.3 billion in Vendor Finance assets and \$0.1 billion in Corporate Finance assets. Equipment sales for 2012 consisted of \$0.7 billion in Transportation Finance assets, with the remainder split between Vendor Finance assets and Corporate Finance assets. Equipment sales for 2011 consisted of \$0.5 billion in Transportation Finance assets, \$0.4 billion in Vendor Finance assets and \$0.2 billion in Corporate Finance assets.

Factoring commissions declined slightly, reflecting the change in the underlying portfolio product mix, which offset a modest increase in factoring volume in 2013 compared to 2012, while 2012 was down from 2011 mostly due to lower factoring volume.

Fee revenues include fees on lines of credit and letters of credit, capital markets-related fees, agent and advisory fees, and servicing fees for the loans we sell but retain servicing, including servicing fees in the small business lending portfolio, which is in assets held for sale at December 31, 2013. Fee revenues are mainly driven by our Corporate Finance segment. The increase from the prior year periods include higher fees from capital markets activities. Fee revenues generated for servicing the small business lending portfolio totaled approximately \$11 million for 2013 and 2012 and \$14 million in 2011. These fees will no longer be earned upon the sale of that portfolio, which is expected to close in 2014.

Gains on loan and portfolio sales reflected 2013 sales volume of \$0.9 billion, which consisted of \$0.6 billion in Vendor Finance, \$0.2 billion in Corporate Finance, and \$0.1 billion in Transportation Finance. Over 80% of 2013 gains related to Vendor Finance and included gains from the sale of the Dell Europe portfolio. The 2012 sales volume totaled \$2.5 billion, which consisted of \$2.1 billion in Consumer (student loans) and \$0.4 billion in Corporate Finance. Corporate Finance generated over 80% of the 2012 gains as a result of high gains as a percentage of sales from sales of low carrying value loans that were on non-accrual and included FSA adjustments. Sales volume was \$2.5 billion in 2011, which consisted of \$1.3 billion in Consumer, \$0.7 billion in Corporate Finance (which generated over 70% of 2011 gains), \$0.4 billion in Vendor Finance, and approximately \$0.1 billion in Transportation Finance.

Recoveries of loans charged off pre-emergence and loans charged off prior to transfer to held for sale reflected repayments or other workout resolutions on loans charged off prior to emergence from bankruptcy and loans charged off prior to classification as held for sale. Unlike recoveries on loans charged off after our restructuring, these recoveries are recorded as other income, not as a reduction to the provision for loan losses. The decrease from the prior years reflected a general downward trend of recoveries of loans charged off pre-emergence as the Company moves further away from its emergence date. Recoveries of loans charged off prior to transfer to held for sale were higher in 2011 as Corporate Finance moved a pool of predominantly non-accrual loans to held for sale on which there was subsequent recovery activity.

Counterparty receivable accretion relates to the FSA accretion of a fair value discount on the receivable from Goldman Sachs International ("GSI") related to the GSI Facilities, which are total return swaps (as discussed in *Funding and Liquidity* and *Note 8 — Long-term Borrowings* and *Note 9 — Derivative Financial Instruments*). The discount is accreted into income over the expected term of the payout of the associated receivables. FSA accretion remaining on the counterparty receivable was \$12 million at December 31, 2013.

Gains on investments primarily reflected sales of equity investments that were received as part of a lending transaction, or in some cases, a workout situation. The gains were primarily in Corporate Finance and declined on fewer transactions.

Gains (losses) on derivatives and foreign currency exchange Transactional foreign currency movements resulted in losses of \$(14) million in 2013, gains of \$37 million in 2012, and losses of \$(42) million in 2011. These were partially offset by gains of \$20 million in 2013, losses of \$(33) million in 2012, and gains of \$35 million in 2011 on derivatives that economically hedge foreign currency movements and other exposures. In addition, derivative losses related to the valuation of the derivatives within the GSI facility were \$(4) million for 2013 and \$(6) million for 2012. In addition, there were losses of \$(1) million and \$(4) million in 2013 and 2012, respectively, and gains of \$2 million in 2011 on the realization of cumulative translation adjustment (CTA) amounts

from AOCI upon the sale or substantial liquidation of a subsidiary. For additional information on the impact of derivatives on the income statement, refer to *Note 9 — Derivative Financial Instruments*.

Impairment on assets held for sale in 2013 includes \$102 million of charges related to Vendor Finance, \$19 million for Transportation Finance operating lease equipment (mostly aerospace related) and \$3 million for Corporate Finance. Vendor Finance activity included \$62 million of charges related to operating lease equipment (including \$59 million for the Dell Europe portfolio) and the remaining 2013 impairment related mostly to the international platform rationalization. When a long-lived asset is classified as held for sale, depreciation expense is suspended and the asset is evaluated for impairment with any such charge recorded in other income. (See *Expenses* for related discussion on depreciation on operating lease equipment.) 2012 included \$80 million of charges related to Vendor Finance Dell Europe operating lease equipment and \$34 million related to Transportation Finance equipment, mostly aerospace related. The 2011 balance included \$61 million of impairment charges related to Vendor Finance, \$24 million related to \$2.2 billion of government-guaranteed student loans and \$22 million related to idle center beam railcars.

Other revenues include items that are more episodic in nature, such as gains on work-out related claims, proceeds received in excess of carrying value on non-accrual accounts held for sale, which were repaid or had another workout resolution, insurance proceeds in excess of carrying value on damaged leased equipment, and also includes income from joint ventures. The current year includes gains on workout related claims of \$19 million in Corporate Finance and \$13 million in Transportation Finance. The 2012 amount includes a Vendor Finance \$14 million gain on a sale of a platform, related to the Dell Europe transaction. The 2011 balance includes \$59 million of proceeds received in excess of carrying value on non-accrual accounts held for sale, primarily Corporate Finance loans; the comparable amounts for 2013 and 2012 were \$4 million and \$8 million respectively. Principal recovery on these accounts was reported in recoveries of loans charged off prior to transfer to held for sale.

EXPENSES

Other Expenses (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	\$ 573.5	\$ 533.2	\$ 575.1
Operating expenses:			
Compensation and benefits	\$ 536.1	\$ 538.7	\$ 494.8
Technology	83.3	81.6	75.3
Professional fees	69.6	64.8	120.9
Provision for severance and facilities exiting activities	36.9	22.7	13.1
Net occupancy expense	35.3	36.2	39.4
Advertising and marketing	25.2	36.5	10.5
Other expenses ⁽¹⁾	198.3	137.7	142.6
Operating expenses	984.7	918.2	896.6
Loss on debt extinguishments	–	61.2	134.8
Total other expenses	\$1,558.2	\$1,512.6	\$1,606.5
Headcount	3,240	3,560	3,530

⁽¹⁾ The year ended December 31, 2013 included \$50 million related to the Tyco tax agreement settlement charge.

Depreciation on operating lease equipment is recognized on owned equipment over the lease term or estimated useful life of the asset. Depreciation expense is primarily driven by the Transportation Finance operating lease equipment portfolio, which includes long-lived assets such as railcars and aircraft. To a lesser extent, depreciation expense includes amounts on smaller ticket equipment, such as office equipment, leased by Vendor Finance. Certain ownership costs and also impairments recorded on equipment held in portfolio are reported as depreciation expense. Assets held for sale also impact the balance (as depreciation expense is suspended on operating lease equipment once it is transferred to assets held for sale). Depreciation expense is discussed further in "Net Finance Revenues," as it is a component of our asset margin. See "Non-interest Income" for impairment charges on operating lease equipment classified as held for sale.

Operating expenses were up 7% in 2013, driven by the Tyco International Ltd. ("Tyco") tax agreement settlement charge of \$50 million, discussed below in Other expenses, and costs associated with restructuring initiatives. Operating expenses also include Bank deposit raising costs, which totaled \$35 million each in 2013 and 2012 and \$10 million in 2011, and are reflected across various expense categories, but mostly within advertising and marketing and other, reflecting deposit insurance costs. Operating expenses reflect the following changes:

- Compensation and benefits were down slightly from 2012 as lower salaries and benefit costs from the reduction in employees was partially offset by higher incentive compensation, which includes the amortization of deferred compensation. Deferred compensation plans were re-instated post emergence and the costs associated with the plans are amortized over the vesting period, typically three years. Thus, 2013 included three years of amortization of deferred costs compared to two years in 2012. Similarly, the increase in 2012 was driven by higher incentive

compensation expense, two years of amortization of deferred costs compared to one year in 2011, and a higher number of employees. See Note 18 — Retirement, Postretirement and Other Benefit Plans.

- Professional fees includes legal and other professional fees such as tax, audit, and consulting services. The increase from 2012 primarily reflected costs associated with our international rationalization efforts, while 2012 benefited from higher amounts received on favorable legal and tax resolutions. The elevated amount in 2011 was primarily due to higher risk management consulting fees and litigation-related costs.
- Advertising and marketing expenses decreased in 2013 after a large increase in 2012 associated with CIT Bank. CIT Bank advertising and marketing costs associated with raising deposits totaled \$15 million in 2013, \$24 million in 2012, and \$1 million in 2011.
- Provision for severance and facilities exiting activities reflects costs associated with various organization efficiency initiatives. Severance costs were \$33 million of the 2013 charges and related to approximately 275 employee terminations and the associated benefits costs incurred in conjunction with the initiatives. The facility exiting activities totaled \$4 million and related to exiting three locations. See Note 25 — Severance and Facility Exiting Liabilities for additional information.
- Other expenses includes items such as travel and entertainment, insurance, FDIC costs, office equipment and supply costs and taxes (other than income taxes). On December 20, 2013, we reached an agreement with Tyco to settle contract claims asserted by Tyco related to a tax agreement that CIT and Tyco entered into in 2002 in connection with CIT's separation from Tyco. CIT agreed to pay Tyco \$60 million, including \$50 million that was recorded as an expense in 2013 and \$10 million that had been previously accrued.

Operating expenses excluding restructuring charges for 2013 were 2.82% as a percentage of AEA, above the target range of 2.00%–2.50%, and includes the mentioned tax settlement charge. Operating efficiency improvements will continue in 2014 and the full benefits of the actions taken in 2013 will likely be realized later in 2014. The complexities of exiting certain countries and platforms will result in an elevated level of restructuring, legal and other related costs for another few quarters.

- We have lowered headcount by approximately 320 since a year ago to 3,240 at December 31, 2013, modified several benefit plans and consolidated some offices.
- During 2013 we began to rationalize subscale platforms. In total we plan to exit over 20 countries across Europe, South

America and Asia. As a result of these decisions, we have sold several portfolios of financing and leasing assets and moved other portfolios to assets held for sale, including our small business lending portfolio in Corporate Finance.

Loss on debt extinguishments for 2012 reflect the write-off of accelerated fees and underwriting costs related to liability management actions taken, which included the repayment of the remaining Series A Notes and all of the 7% Series C Notes. The 2011 loss is primarily due to the write-off of original issue discount and fees associated with the repayment of the first lien term loan, partially offset by a modest gain from the repurchase of approximately \$400 million of Series A debt at a discount in open market transactions.

FRESH START ACCOUNTING

Upon emergence from bankruptcy in 2009, CIT applied Fresh Start Accounting (FSA) in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP). See *Note 1 — Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*.

FSA had a significant impact on our operating results in 2011 and 2012, while in 2013, the impact has significantly lessened. Net finance revenue includes the accretion of the FSA adjustments to the loans, leases and debt, as well as to depreciation and, to a

lesser extent, rental income related to operating lease equipment. The most significant remaining discount of \$2.3 billion relates to operating lease equipment, which in effect was an impairment of the operating lease equipment upon emergence from bankruptcy, as the assets were recorded at their fair value, which was less than their carrying value. The recording of this FSA adjustment reduced the asset balance subject to depreciation and thus decreases depreciation expense over the remaining life of the operating lease equipment.

The following table presents the remaining FSA adjustments by balance sheet caption:

Accretable Fresh Start Accounting (Discount)/Premium (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Loans	\$ (35.0)	\$ (355.3)	\$ (621.8)
Operating lease equipment, net	(2,276.9)	(2,550.6)	(2,803.1)
Intangible assets, net	20.3	31.9	63.6
Other assets	(11.6)	(20.8)	(117.1)
Total assets	<u>\$(2,303.2)</u>	<u>\$(2,894.8)</u>	<u>\$(3,478.4)</u>
Deposits	\$ (0.8)	\$ 3.5	\$ 14.5
Long-term borrowings	(283.6)	(369.4)	(2,018.9)
Other liabilities	—	1.7	25.7
Total liabilities	<u>\$ (284.4)</u>	<u>\$ (364.2)</u>	<u>\$(1,978.7)</u>

As discussed in *Net Finance Revenue*, interest income was increased by the FSA accretion on loans. The decline in the balance from last year resulted from the transfer of student loans to AHFS (which caused the remaining \$184 million accretable discount to be netted against the carrying value of those assets). The remaining balance at December 31, 2013 mostly related to Corporate Finance loans and is expected to be accreted into income within the next 2 years. In addition to the yield related accretion on loans, the decline in accretable balance has been accelerated, primarily as a result of asset sales and prepayments.

As discussed in *Net Finance Revenue*, interest expense was increased by the accretion of the FSA discounts on long-term borrowings. We repaid debt prior to its contractual maturity, and the repayments were accounted for as a debt extinguishment, which accelerated the accretion of the FSA discount on the underlying debt. At December 31, 2013, long-term borrowings included \$271 million of remaining FSA discount on secured borrowings, consisting of \$231 million secured by student loans and \$40 million secured by transportation equipment. Upon sale of the student loans that are in AHFS at December 31, 2013, the

associated secured borrowings will be paid down and the FSA discount will be accelerated. Based on market conditions subsequent to year-end, we currently believe that we will realize a net gain on the sale of the student loans. The net gain to be recognized on the sale of the student loans will consist primarily of (1) the gain on the sale of the loans (which are carried net of a discount of \$184 million) and any proceeds received for the sale of the servicing of those loans and (2) the expense to be recognized based on the acceleration of the debt FSA (\$231 million) upon the extinguishment of the related debt.

Depreciation expense is reduced by the lower carrying value of operating lease equipment subject to depreciation due to the operating lease equipment discount, essentially all of which is related to Transportation Finance aircraft and rail operating lease assets. We estimated an economic average life before disposal of these assets of approximately 15 years for aerospace assets and 30 years for rail assets. FSA accretion amounts are disclosed in *Net Finance Revenue* section.

An intangible asset was recorded to adjust operating lease rents that were, in aggregate, above then current market rental rates. These adjustments (net) will be amortized, thereby lowering rental income (a component of Non-interest Income) over the remaining term of the lease agreements on a straight line basis. The majority of the remaining accretion has a contractual maturity of less than two years.

Other assets relates primarily to a discount on receivables from GSI in conjunction with the GSI Facilities. The discount is accreted into other income as 'counterparty receivable accretion' over the expected payout of the associated receivables. The accretion is discussed in "Non-interest Income" and the GSI Facilities are discussed in "Funding and Liquidity" and also in *Note 8 — Long-term Borrowings*, and *Note 9 — Derivative Financial Instruments* in *Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*.

INCOME TAXES

Income Tax Data (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Provision for income taxes, before discrete items	\$63.0	\$ 93.3	\$139.4
Discrete items	29.5	40.5	19.2
Provision for income taxes	<u>\$92.5</u>	<u>\$133.8</u>	<u>\$158.6</u>
Effective tax rate	11.9%	(29.4)%	89.0%

The Company's 2013 tax provision is \$92.5 million as compared to \$133.8 million in 2012 and \$158.6 million in 2011. The current year tax provision reflects income tax expense on the earnings of certain international operations and state income tax expense in the U.S. The decrease from the prior years' tax provisions primarily reflect a reduction in foreign income tax expense driven by lower international earnings, and changes in discrete tax expense. Included in the 2013 tax provision is approximately \$30 million of net discrete tax expense that primarily relates to the establishment of valuation allowances against certain international net deferred tax assets due to our international platform rationalizations, and deferred tax expense due to sale of a leverage lease. The discrete tax expense items were partially offset by incremental tax benefits associated with favorable settlements of prior year international tax audits.

The 2012 provision of \$93.3 million before discrete items reflects income tax expense on the earnings of certain international operations and state income tax expense in the U.S. The discrete items of \$40.5 million includes incremental taxes associated with international audit settlements and an increase in a U.S. deferred tax liability on certain indefinite life assets that cannot be used as a source of future taxable income in the assessment of the domestic valuation allowance. Also, included in 2012 was a discrete tax benefit of \$146.5 million caused by a release of tax

reserves established on an uncertain tax position taken on certain tax losses following a favorable ruling from the tax authorities and a \$98.4 million tax benefit associated with a tax position taken on a prior-year restructuring transaction. Both of these benefits were fully offset by corresponding increases to the domestic valuation allowance.

The 2011 tax provision of \$139.4 million before discrete items was primarily related to income tax expense on the earnings of certain international operations and no income tax benefit on its domestic losses. The discrete items of \$19.2 million includes an increase to an uncertain federal and state tax position that the Company has taken with respect to the recognition of certain losses, offset by a reduction in the domestic valuation allowance. Also, the Company recorded deferred tax expense of \$12.2 million of foreign withholding taxes consequent to a change in the Company's assertion regarding the indefinite reinvestment of its unremitted foreign earnings.

The change in the effective tax rate each period is impacted by a number of factors, including the relative mix of domestic and foreign earnings, valuation allowances in various jurisdictions, and discrete items. The actual year-end 2013 effective tax rate may vary from near term future periods due to the changes in these factors.

The Company has not recognized any tax benefit on its prior year domestic losses and certain prior year foreign losses due to uncertainties related to its ability to realize its net deferred tax assets in the future. Due to the future uncertainties, combined with the recent three years of cumulative losses by certain domestic and foreign reporting entities, the Company has concluded that it does not currently meet the criteria to recognize its net deferred tax assets, inclusive of the deferred tax assets related to NOLs in these entities. Accordingly, the Company maintained valuation allowances of \$1.5 billion and \$1.6 billion against their net deferred tax assets at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Of the \$1.5 billion valuation allowance at December 31, 2013, approximately \$1.3 billion relates to domestic reporting entities and \$211 million relates to the foreign reporting entities.

Management's decision to maintain the valuation allowances on certain reporting entities' net deferred tax assets requires significant judgment and an analysis of all the positive and negative evidence regarding the likelihood that these future benefits will be realized. The most recent three years of cumulative losses, adjusted for any non-recurring items, was considered a significant negative factor supporting the need for a valuation allowance. At the point when any of these reporting entities transition into a cumulative three year income position, Management will consider this profitability measure along with other facts and circumstances in determining whether to release any of the

valuation allowances. The other facts and circumstances that are considered in evaluating the need for or release of a valuation allowance include sustained profitability, both historical and forecast, tax planning strategies, and the carry-forward periods for the NOLs.

While certain foreign and domestic entities with net operating loss carry-forwards have been profitable, the Company continues to record a full valuation allowance on these entities' net deferred tax assets due to their history of losses. Given the continued improvement in earnings in certain foreign and domestic reporting entities, which is one factor considered in the evaluation process, it is possible that the valuation allowance for those entities may be reduced if these trends continue and other factors do not outweigh this positive evidence.

At the point a determination is made that it is "more likely than not" that a reporting entity generates sufficient future taxable income to realize its respective net deferred tax assets, the Company will reduce the entity's respective valuation allowance (in full or in part), resulting in an income tax benefit in the period such a determination is made. Subsequently, the provision for income taxes will be provided for future earnings; however, there will be a minimal impact on cash taxes paid for until the NOL carry-forward is fully utilized.

See *Note 17 — Income Taxes* for additional information.

RESULTS BY BUSINESS SEGMENT

Although higher on a GAAP basis, pre-tax income was down from 2012 when excluding debt redemption charges, primarily reflecting lower gains on asset sales in Corporate Finance, and lower results in Vendor Finance. Financing and leasing assets were up from both 2012 and 2011 in three of the commercial segments, while Trade Finance was down slightly.

See *Note 23 — Business Segment Information* for additional details.

The following table summarizes the reported pre-tax earnings of each segment, and the impacts of certain debt redemption actions. The pre-tax amounts excluding these actions are Non-GAAP measurements. See *Non-GAAP Financial Measurements* for discussion on the use of non-GAAP measurements.

Impacts of FSA Accretion and Debt Redemption Charges on Pre-tax Income (Loss) by Segment (dollars in millions)

	Year Ended December 31, 2013						
	Corporate Finance	Transportation Finance	Trade Finance	Vendor Finance	Consumer	Corporate & Other	Total
Income (loss) before (provision) benefit for income taxes	\$183.8	\$ 600.4	\$55.6	\$ 23.3	\$ 31.0	\$(120.0)	\$ 774.1
Accelerated FSA net discount/ (premium) on debt extinguishments and repurchases	14.0	14.5	1.1	4.0	1.0	1.1	35.7
Accelerated OID on debt extinguishments related to the GSI facility	(5.2)	–	–	–	–	–	(5.2)
Pre-tax income (loss) – excluding debt redemptions and OID acceleration	<u>\$192.6</u>	<u>\$ 614.9</u>	<u>\$56.7</u>	<u>\$ 27.3</u>	<u>\$ 32.0</u>	<u>\$(118.9)</u>	<u>\$ 804.6</u>
	Year Ended December 31, 2012						
Income (loss) before (provision) benefit for income taxes	\$200.2	\$(122.7)	\$ 4.1	\$(107.9)	\$(52.0)	\$(376.5)	\$(454.8)
Accelerated FSA net discount/ (premium) on debt extinguishments and repurchases	222.2	647.1	46.4	198.2	156.0	181.0	1,450.9
Debt related – loss on debt extinguishments	–	–	–	–	–	61.2	61.2
Accelerated OID on debt extinguishments related to the GSI facility	–	(6.9)	–	–	(45.7)	–	(52.6)
Pre-tax income (loss) – excluding debt redemptions and OID acceleration	<u>\$422.4</u>	<u>\$ 517.5</u>	<u>\$50.5</u>	<u>\$ 90.3</u>	<u>\$ 58.3</u>	<u>\$(134.3)</u>	<u>\$1,004.7</u>
	Year Ended December 31, 2011						
Income (loss) before (provision) benefit for income taxes	\$368.3	\$ 190.2	\$16.9	\$ 144.8	\$(90.6)	\$(451.2)	\$ 178.4
Accelerated FSA net discount/ (premium) on debt extinguishments and repurchases	43.3	78.9	8.2	36.0	93.3	19.5	279.2
Debt related – loss on debt extinguishments	–	–	–	–	–	134.8	134.8
Debt related – prepayment costs	–	–	–	–	–	114.2	114.2
Pre-tax income (loss) – excluding debt redemptions	<u>\$411.6</u>	<u>\$ 269.1</u>	<u>\$25.1</u>	<u>\$ 180.8</u>	<u>\$ 2.7</u>	<u>\$(182.7)</u>	<u>\$ 706.6</u>

Corporate Finance

Corporate Finance provides a range of financing options and offers advisory services to small and medium size companies in the U.S. and Canada and has a specialized lending unit focused on financial sponsors in Europe. Corporate Finance core products include asset-based and cash flow lending, fee-based products (e.g., financial advisory, M&A), equipment leasing and financing, and commercial real estate financing. Corporate Finance offers a product suite primarily composed of senior secured loans collateralized by accounts receivable, inventory, machinery &

equipment and intangibles to finance various needs of our customers, such as working capital, plant expansion, acquisitions and recapitalizations. These loans include revolving lines of credit and term loans and, depending on the nature and quality of the collateral, may be referred to as asset-based loans or cash flow loans. The middle market lending business provides financing to customers in a wide range of industries (including Commercial & Industrial, Communications, Media & Entertainment, Healthcare, and Energy). Revenue is generated primarily from interest earned on loans, supplemented by fees collected for services provided.

Corporate Finance – Financial Data and Metrics (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Earnings Summary			
Interest income	\$ 525.1	\$ 623.6	\$ 923.7
Interest expense	(244.6)	(564.6)	(706.1)
Provision for credit losses	(19.0)	(7.3)	(173.3)
Rental income on operating leases	18.0	8.9	18.0
Other income	147.8	387.9	546.5
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(10.3)	(4.3)	(7.8)
Operating expenses	(233.2)	(244.0)	(232.7)
Income before provision for income taxes	<u>\$ 183.8</u>	<u>\$ 200.2</u>	<u>\$ 368.3</u>
Pre-tax income – excluding debt redemption charges and accelerated OID on debt extinguishment related to the GSI facility ⁽¹⁾	<u>\$ 192.6</u>	<u>\$ 422.4</u>	<u>\$ 411.6</u>
Select Average Balances			
Average finance receivables (AFR)	\$9,038.6	\$7,510.3	\$7,225.9
Average earning assets (AEA)	9,317.8	7,617.2	7,538.7
Statistical Data			
Net finance margin (interest and rental income, net of interest and depreciation expense as a % of AEA)	3.09%	0.83%	3.02%
Funded new business volume	\$4,633.3	\$4,377.0	\$2,702.6

⁽¹⁾ Non-GAAP measurement, see table at the beginning of this section for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

Pre-tax earnings included accelerated debt FSA accretion and OID accretion of \$9 million in 2013, compared to \$222 million in 2012 and \$43 million in 2011, which reduced profitability. Excluding accelerated debt FSA accretion and OID accretion, pre-tax income was down from 2012 and 2011 as higher assets and lower funding costs were offset by significantly lower other income and lower FSA net accretion. The lower provision for credit losses in 2013 and 2012 reflect credit metrics that were at cyclical lows.

Asset growth was driven by continued annual increases in new business volumes. New business volume grew 6% from 2012, which was significantly higher than 2011. Newer initiatives such as commercial real estate lending and equipment financing continued to contribute to growth. CIT Bank originated the vast majority of the U.S. funded volume in each of the periods presented. At December 31, 2013, approximately 80% of this segment's financing and leasing assets were in the Bank. We also look for opportunities to supplement volume with portfolio purchases. In early 2013 we purchased approximately \$720 million of corporate loans.

The market remains competitive in our middle market lending business. During 2013, pricing seemed to have stabilized in the core middle market lending business, but at relatively lower yields than 2012. In addition, competitive pressures have shifted transactions more to higher leverage than lower pricing. During 2012, new business yields were relatively stable within product types, whereas in 2011, new business yields were up modestly on average.

Highlights included:

- Net finance revenue ("NFR") was \$288 million for 2013, up from 2012 and 2011. Because of the significant impact accelerated debt repayments had on prior periods, a more meaningful measure is to exclude the accelerated accretion.
- Excluding accelerated debt FSA and OID accretion, NFR was \$297 million, up modestly from \$286 million in 2012 and \$271 million in 2011. NFR benefited from increasing assets and lower funding costs in 2013 and 2012, partially offset by a declining impact of FSA net accretion and lower yields in certain loan products. The accelerated debt FSA and OID accretion caused NFM in 2012 to be significantly below 2013. FSA and OID accretion had minimal net impact to NFR in 2013 in comparison to prior years. Net FSA accretion, excluding the accelerated FSA and OID accretion, increased NFR by \$17 million in 2013, \$93 million in 2012 and \$148 million in 2011.
- Other income was down from the prior years reflecting the following:
 - Lower gains on asset sales (including receivables, equipment and investments), which totaled \$35 million, down from \$217 million in 2012 and \$278 million in 2011. Contributing to the decline was a lower amount of assets sold, \$354 million of equipment and receivable sales in 2013, down from \$717 million in 2012 and \$913 million in 2011.
 - Higher fee revenue of \$62 million in 2013, reflected improvement in capital market transactions, up from \$47 million in 2012 and \$53 million in 2011. Fee revenue includes servicing fees related to the small business lending portfolio and capital markets fees. Fee revenue generated for servicing the small business lending portfolio, which totaled \$11 million in 2013, will no longer be earned upon the sale of that portfolio in 2014.

- Lower recoveries of loans charged off pre-emergence and loans charged off prior to transfer to assets held for sale, which totaled \$13 million in 2013, down from \$34 million in 2012 and \$86 million in 2011. As we move further away from our emergence date, both recoveries and FSA counterparty receivable accretion are expected to continue to decline, but future recoveries could be elevated if specific workouts occur.
- Lower FSA-related counterparty receivable accretion, which totaled \$7 million, down from \$74 million in 2012 and \$85 million in 2011. The remaining balance is not significant at December 31, 2013.
- The current year also includes gains on workout related claims of \$19 million.
- Credit trends remained stable in 2013. Non-accrual loans declined to \$127 million (1.34% of finance receivables), from \$212 million (2.59%) at December 31, 2012 and \$498 million (7.26%) at December 31, 2011. Net charge-offs were \$27 million (0.30% of average finance receivables) in 2013, down from \$32 million (0.43%) and down significantly from \$206 million (2.85%) in 2011. The current year included \$28 million of charge-offs related to the transfer of loans to assets held for sale.
- Financing and leasing assets totaled \$10.0 billion, up from \$8.3 billion at December 31, 2012 and \$7.1 billion at December 31, 2011, driven primarily by new business volume, and to a lesser extent, the remaining balance from the approximately \$720 million corporate loan portfolio purchase early in 2013. Cash

flow loans approximated 44% of the portfolio, while asset secured loans approximated 51%, and the remaining portfolio consisted primarily of SBA loans. In October 2013, we entered into a definitive agreement to sell our small business lending portfolio, which represented the majority of the assets held for sale at December 31, 2013. The sale is expected to be completed in 2014, subject to approval by the Small Business Administration.

Transportation Finance

Transportation Finance is among the leading providers of large ticket equipment leases and other secured financing in the aerospace and rail sectors. The principal asset within the Transportation Finance portfolio is leased equipment, whereby we invest in equipment (primarily commercial aircraft and railcars) and lease it to commercial end-users, primarily operating leases. Transportation Finance clients primarily consist of global commercial airlines, and North American major railroads and material transport companies (including mining and agricultural firms). This business also provides secured lending and other financing products to companies in the transportation and defense industries, offers financing and leasing programs for corporate and private owners of business jet aircraft, and provides secured lending in the maritime sector. Revenue is generated from rents collected on leased assets, and to a lesser extent from interest on loans, fees, and gains from assets sold.

Transportation Finance – Financial Data and Metrics (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Earnings Summary			
Interest income	\$ 145.9	\$ 135.2	\$ 155.9
Interest expense	(510.4)	(1,233.5)	(885.2)
Provision for credit losses	1.0	(18.0)	(12.8)
Rental income on operating leases	1,546.9	1,536.6	1,375.6
Other income	77.0	56.3	99.1
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(459.4)	(419.7)	(382.2)
Operating expenses	(200.6)	(179.6)	(160.2)
Income (loss) before (provision) benefit for income taxes	<u>\$ 600.4</u>	<u>\$ (122.7)</u>	<u>\$ 190.2</u>
Pre-tax income – excluding debt redemption charges and accelerated OID on debt extinguishment related to the GSI facility ⁽¹⁾	<u>\$ 614.9</u>	<u>\$ 517.5</u>	<u>\$ 269.1</u>
Select Average Balances			
Average finance receivables (AFR)	\$ 1,977.5	\$ 1,706.4	\$ 1,378.3
Average operating leases (AOL)	12,187.9	11,843.5	10,850.2
Average earning assets (AEA)	14,324.7	13,760.7	12,341.0
Statistical Data			
Net finance margin (interest and rental income, net of interest and depreciation expense as a % of AEA)	5.05%	0.14%	2.14%
Operating lease margin as a % of AOL	8.92%	9.43%	9.16%
Funded new business volume	\$ 2,937.5	\$ 2,216.3	\$ 2,523.6

⁽¹⁾ Non-GAAP measurement, see table at the beginning of this section for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

Pre-tax earnings were impacted by accelerated debt FSA and OID accretion, which resulted from debt prepayment activities, of \$15 million in 2013, \$640 million in 2012 and \$79 million in 2011. Excluding accelerated debt FSA and OID accretion, pre-tax income increased from 2012 and 2011. Results continued to reflect high utilization rates of our aircraft and railcars, increased asset levels and lower funding costs with rail lease renewals generally re-pricing higher and commercial air renewal rates re-pricing down on average.

We grew financing and leasing assets during 2013, further expanding our aircraft and railcar fleets, building our business air, transportation lending and maritime finance portfolios. We also continued to proactively manage our equipment fleets in 2013, selling over \$800 million of equipment and ordering 23 additional aircraft and approximately 4,800 railcars. Assets in the Bank grew to \$3.0 billion, including over \$1.1 billion of railcars. As discussed in Note 28 — *Subsequent Events*, on January 31, 2014, CIT acquired Paris-based Nacco SAS (“Nacco”), an independent full service railcar lessor in Europe. Leasing assets acquired totaled approximately \$650 million, including more than 9,500 railcars.

Highlights included:

- Net finance revenue (“NFR”) was \$723 million, up from 2012 and 2011. Because of the significant impact accelerated debt repayments had on prior periods, a more meaningful measure is to exclude the accelerated accretion. Excluding accelerated debt FSA and OID accretion, NFR was \$738 million, up from \$658 million in 2012 and \$344 million in 2011. The increases from 2012 and 2011 largely reflect lower funding costs and higher assets. Net FSA accretion, excluding the accelerated debt FSA and OID accretion, increased NFR by \$191 million in 2013, \$128 million in 2012 and \$79 million in 2011. Remaining net FSA accretion benefits, which will decline over time, are primarily recorded in depreciation expense.
- Net operating lease revenue (rental income on operating leases less depreciation on operating lease equipment), which is a component of NFR, was down modestly from 2012. The decline reflected pressure on renewal rents on certain aircraft, and higher depreciation, reflecting adjustments to residual balances and higher assets. These offset improvements in rail portfolio lease rates, the net benefit from higher asset balances and continued strong utilization. The decline in operating lease margin reflected these trends, whereby the growth in assets was offset by pressures on certain lease renewal rents. We expect to re-lease approximately 50 commercial aircraft in 2014, a level that is significantly higher than in recent years and will likely put pressure on the finance margin in 2014 if lease rates for certain aircraft remain at current levels. We expect lease expirations for rail equipment in 2014 will represent slightly over 20% of the rail portfolio, a level that is lower than recent experience.
- Financing and leasing assets grew to \$15.1 billion from \$14.2 billion in 2012 and \$13.3 billion in 2011, with new business volume partially offset by equipment sales, depreciation and other activity.
- New business volume for 2013 included the delivery of 24 aircraft and approximately 5,400 railcars and funding of approximately \$1.1 billion of new loans. All of the 2013 loan volume, and the vast majority of the rail operating lease volume, was originated by the Bank. New business volume for 2012 reflected the addition of 21 operating lease aircraft and approximately 7,000 railcars, and also included over \$600 million of finance receivables. 2011 new business volume included 20 aircraft purchased from our order book and over 2,800 railcars.
- At December 31, 2013, we had 147 aircraft on order from manufacturers (down from 161 at December 31, 2012), with deliveries scheduled through 2020. All of the 20 scheduled aircraft deliveries for 2014 have lease commitments. We had future purchase commitments for approximately 7,500 railcars, with scheduled deliveries through 2015. All of the approximately 4,600 scheduled railcar deliveries for 2014 have lease commitments. See Note 19 — *Commitments*.
- Equipment utilization remained strong throughout 2013 and ended the year with over 99% of commercial air and 98% of rail equipment on lease or under a commitment. Rail utilization rates were up from 2012 and 2011, while air utilization remained consistently strong over the 3-year period.
- Other income primarily reflected the following:
 - Gains on asset sales totaled \$78 million on \$907 million of equipment and receivable sales, compared to \$66 million of gains on \$732 million of asset sales in 2012 and \$81 million of gains on \$511 million of asset sales in 2011.
 - Impairment on operating lease equipment held for sale totaled \$19 million in 2013 and \$34 million in 2012, mostly related to commercial aircraft and \$24 million in 2011, primarily related to idle center-beam railcars that were scrapped.
 - FSA accretion on counterparty receivable totaled \$1 million, \$15 million and \$17 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The remaining balance is not significant at December 31, 2013.
 - Other income for 2013 included \$13 million related to a work-out related claim. 2011 included \$14 million related to an aircraft insurance claim and \$11 million related to a change in the aircraft order book and corresponding acceleration of FSA.
- Operating expenses were up about 12% in both 2013 and 2012, primarily reflecting investments in new initiatives and growth in existing businesses.
- Non-accrual loans were \$14 million (0.66% of finance receivables) at December 31, 2013, down from \$40 million (2.18%) at December 31, 2012 and \$45 million (3.03%) at December 31, 2011. Net charge-offs were \$3 million (0.13% of average finance receivables) in 2013, down from \$12 million (0.69%) and \$7 million (0.47%) in 2012 and 2011, respectively. The provision for credit losses reflected the improved credit quality in 2013, and increased during 2012 reflecting higher loan volumes and the establishment of specific reserves.

Trade Finance

Trade Finance provides factoring, receivable management products, and secured financing to businesses (our clients, generally manufacturers or importers of goods) that operate in several industries, including apparel, textile, furniture, home furnishings and consumer electronics. Factoring entails the factor's assumption of credit risk with respect to trade accounts receivable

Trade Finance – Financial Data and Metrics (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Earnings Summary			
Interest income	\$ 54.9	\$ 57.6	\$ 73.3
Interest expense	(26.2)	(80.0)	(90.9)
Provision for credit losses	4.4	0.9	(11.2)
Other income, commissions	122.3	126.5	132.5
Other income, excluding commissions	15.9	17.5	23.6
Operating expenses	(115.7)	(118.4)	(110.4)
Income before provision for income taxes	\$ 55.6	\$ 4.1	\$ 16.9
Pre-tax income – excluding debt redemption charges ⁽¹⁾	\$ 56.7	\$ 50.5	\$ 25.1
Select Average Balances			
Average finance receivables (AFR)	\$ 2,358.2	\$ 2,356.6	\$ 2,486.5
Average earning assets (AEA) ⁽²⁾	1,003.7	1,087.9	1,383.9
Statistical Data			
Net finance margin (interest income net of interest expense as a % of AEA)	2.86%	(2.06)%	(1.27)%
Factoring volume	\$25,712.2	\$25,123.9	\$25,943.9

⁽¹⁾ Non-GAAP measurement, see table at the beginning of this section for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

⁽²⁾ AEA is lower than AFR as it is reduced by the average credit balances for factoring clients.

Pre-tax income was impacted by accelerated debt FSA accretion of \$1 million in 2013, compared to \$46 million in 2012 and \$8 million in 2011, as debt prepayment activities lessened. Excluding accelerated FSA interest expense, pre-tax earnings were up in 2013 reflecting improved funding costs and net recoveries in the provision for credit losses.

Highlights included:

- Net finance revenue ("NFR") was \$29 million, up from 2012 and 2011. Net finance revenue excluding accelerated debt FSA accretion was \$30 million in 2013, improved from \$24 million in 2012 and \$(9) million in 2011. The improvements from the prior years reflected lower funding costs, and compared to 2011, lower non-accrual loans.
- Factoring commissions have trended lower reflecting the underlying portfolio product mix, which also offset the modest increase in factoring volume in 2013 compared to 2012.
- Other income included \$1 million, \$5 million and \$9 million of recoveries on accounts charged off pre-emergence for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
- Non-accrual loans remained low throughout 2013 and ended at \$4 million (0.19% of finance receivables) at December 31, 2013, down from \$6 million (0.26%) at December 31, 2012 and \$75 million (3.10%) at December 31, 2011, primarily due to accounts

arising from the sale of goods by our clients to their customers (generally retailers), which have been factored (i.e. sold or assigned to the factor). Although primarily U.S.-based, Trade Finance also conducts business with clients and their customers internationally. Revenue is principally generated from commissions earned on factoring and related activities, interest on loans, and other fees for services rendered.

returning to accrual status and reductions in exposures. There were net recoveries in 2013 of \$3 million, compared to net charge-offs of \$1 million in 2012 and \$10 million in 2011. The provision for credit losses improved during 2013 and 2012 due to decreased client charge-offs.

- Finance receivables were \$2.3 billion, essentially unchanged from both December 31, 2012 and 2011. Off-balance sheet exposures, resulting from clients with deferred purchase factoring agreements, were \$1.8 billion at December 31, 2013 and \$1.8 billion at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Vendor Finance

Vendor Finance develops financing solutions for small businesses and middle market companies for the procurement of equipment and value-added services. We create tailored equipment financing and leasing programs for manufacturers, distributors and product resellers across industries, such as information technology, telecom and office equipment, which are designed to help them increase sales. Through these programs, we provide equipment financing and value-added services, from invoicing to asset disposition, to meet their customers' needs. Vendor Finance earns revenues from interest on loans, rents on leases, and fees and other revenue from leasing activities.

Vendor Finance – Financial Data and Metrics (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Earnings Summary			
Interest income	\$ 509.0	\$ 553.5	\$ 788.4
Interest expense	(219.4)	(473.6)	(505.1)
Provision for credit losses	(51.3)	(26.5)	(69.3)
Rental income on operating leases	205.4	239.1	273.9
Other income	11.3	27.6	154.8
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(103.8)	(109.2)	(185.1)
Operating expenses	(327.9)	(318.8)	(312.8)
Income (loss) before (provision) benefit for income taxes	\$ 23.3	\$ (107.9)	\$ 144.8
Pre-tax income – excluding debt redemption charges ⁽¹⁾	\$ 27.3	\$ 90.3	\$ 180.8
Select Average Balances			
Average finance receivables (AFR)	\$4,869.0	\$4,540.3	\$4,492.0
Average operating leases (AOL)	214.5	208.8	325.8
Average earning assets (AEA)	5,471.6	5,136.0	5,391.8
Statistical Data			
Net finance margin (interest and rental income, net of interest and depreciation expense as a % of AEA)	7.15%	4.08%	6.90%
Funded new business volume	\$2,965.1	\$3,006.9	\$2,577.5

⁽¹⁾ Non-GAAP measurement, see table at the beginning of this section for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

Pre-tax earnings in 2013 were reduced by accelerated debt FSA interest expense accretion of \$4 million, compared to \$198 million in 2012 and \$36 million in 2011. Excluding accelerated debt FSA, pre-tax earnings, which were impacted in 2013 by our continued international platform rationalization efforts, were down from the prior years as lower revenue, higher credit costs, less net FSA accretion and low other income offset lower funding costs.

Financing and leasing assets totaled \$5.3 billion at December 31, 2013, a slight decrease from the prior year but up 6% from December 31, 2011. The current year decline reflected decisions made to rationalize some of our international platforms, which includes portfolios in Europe, Latin America and Asia. During 2013, we completed the sale of the Dell Europe portfolio, approximately \$470 million of financing and leasing assets, as well as certain other foreign portfolios. We had \$438 million of AHFS at December 31, 2013, up slightly from a year ago. The sales of these assets will reduce financing and leasing assets and net finance revenue, as well as result in lower operating expenses.

In 2013, we continued to make progress on funding initiatives. We renewed a committed multi-year \$1 billion U.S. Vendor Finance conduit facility in the Bank and we renewed and upsized a committed multi-year U.K. conduit facility to GBP 125 million, both at more attractive terms. We entered into a \$750 million equipment lease securitization in the Bank that had a weighted average coupon of 1.02% that is secured by a pool of U.S. Vendor Finance equipment receivables. We also closed a CAD 250 million committed multi-year conduit facility that allows the Canadian Vendor Finance business to fund both existing assets and new originations at attractive terms and increased the commitment amount on our China facility.

Highlights included:

- Net finance revenue (“NFR”) was \$391 million, \$210 million and \$372 million for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Because of the significant impact accelerated debt repayments had on prior periods, a more meaningful measure is to exclude the accelerated accretion. Excluding accelerated debt FSA accretion, NFR was \$395 million, down from \$408 million in both 2012 and in 2011. NFR reflected reduced funding costs offset by lower interest and renewal income, as the portfolios that are being sold or are maturing have higher yields than the new business volume additions. NFR reflected a declining impact of FSA net accretion. Net FSA accretion, excluding the accelerated FSA accretion, increased NFR by \$17 million in 2013, \$35 million in 2012 and \$93 million in 2011.
- Net operating lease revenue was \$102 million, down from \$130 million in 2012 and up from \$89 million in 2011. Depreciation was lower because of operating lease equipment classified as held for sale on which depreciation is suspended. The amount suspended totaled \$62 million in 2013, compared to \$80 million for 2012 and \$63 million for 2011. These amounts are essentially offset by an impairment charge in other income.
- Other income declined from the prior years, reflecting:
 - Gains totaled \$73 million on \$812 million of receivable and equipment sales in 2013, which included approximately \$470 million of assets related to the Dell Europe portfolio sale. Gains totaled \$37 million on \$292 million of equipment and receivable sales in 2012 and \$126 million on \$853 million of equipment and receivable sales in 2011. 2013 included a \$50 million gain on the sale of the Dell Europe portfolio to Dell, whereas 2012 included a gain of \$14 million related to

the sale of our Dell Europe operating platform. In 2011, we sold approximately \$125 million of underperforming finance receivables in Europe and sold Dell Financial Services Canada Ltd. ("DFS Canada") to Dell, which included financing and leasing assets of approximately \$360 million.

- Impairment on assets held for sale during 2013 totaled \$102 million, compared to approximately \$80 million in 2012 and \$61 million in 2011. Most of the impairments related to charges on operating leases recorded in held for sale (\$62 million in 2013, \$80 million in 2012 and \$61 million in 2011), which had a nearly offsetting benefit in net finance revenue related to suspended depreciation. The decline related to operating lease equipment is due to the sale of Dell Europe assets during the year, while 2012 had a full year of activity. The remaining 2013 impairment related mostly to the international platform rationalization. See "Non-interest Income" and "Expenses" for discussions on impairment charges and suspended depreciation on operating lease equipment held for sale.
- The remaining balance includes fee income, recoveries of loans charged off pre-emergence and loans charged off prior to transfer to held for sale and other revenues.
- Operating expenses were elevated in 2013, as we made progress rationalizing our subscale international platforms and concluded our review of the Vendor Europe business. In total

we plan to exit over 20 countries across Europe, Latin America and Asia. As a result of these decisions, we have sold various portfolios and moved other portfolios of financing and leasing assets to assets held for sale. While these initiatives are expected to result in cost savings, in the near term, expenses will remain elevated while we take the actions necessary to complete the platform rationalization.

- Portfolio credit metrics deteriorated as non-accrual loans and net charge-offs were up from 2012 and 2011. Non-accrual loans were \$96 million (2.02% of finance receivables), up from \$72 million (1.49%) at December 31, 2012 and \$83 million (1.87%) at December 31, 2011. Net charge-offs were \$54 million (1.12% of average finance receivables) in 2013, and included \$11 million related to the transfer of loans to assets held for sale. Net charge-offs were \$29 million (0.63%) in 2012 and \$39 million (0.87%) in 2011. Delinquency (30 days or more) were \$249 million, up 53%, or \$86 million, compared to December 31, 2012. The 30–59 day category increased \$53 million, primarily reflecting certain non-credit (administrative) delinquencies. Increases in the 60–89 and 90+ categories were primarily in the International businesses.

Consumer

Consumer consists of our liquidating government-guaranteed student loans.

Consumer – Financial Data and Metrics (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Earnings Summary			
Interest income	\$ 130.7	\$ 179.6	\$ 266.5
Interest expense	(77.2)	(231.7)	(290.6)
Provision for credit losses	–	(0.7)	(3.1)
Other income	0.9	40.3	2.0
Operating expenses	(23.4)	(39.5)	(65.4)
Income (loss) before (provision) benefit for income taxes	<u>\$ 31.0</u>	<u>\$ (52.0)</u>	<u>\$ (90.6)</u>
Pre-tax income – excluding debt redemption charges and accelerated OID on debt extinguishment related to the GSI facility ⁽¹⁾	<u>\$ 32.0</u>	<u>\$ 58.3</u>	<u>\$ 2.7</u>
Select Average Balances			
Average finance receivables (AFR)	\$3,531.4	\$4,194.3	\$7,331.4
Average earning assets (AEA)	3,531.8	4,920.2	7,716.2
Statistical Data			
Net finance margin (interest income net of interest expense as a % of AEA)	1.51%	(1.06)%	(0.31)%

⁽¹⁾ Non-GAAP measurement, see table at the beginning of this section for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

The portfolio of student loans continued to decline during 2013, principally through repayments. During the 2013 fourth quarter, management determined that it no longer had the intent to hold these assets until maturity and transferred the portfolio to AHFS. When the portfolio was transferred, the remaining FSA discount associated with the loan receivable balance (\$184 million) became a component of the \$3.4 billion carrying amount, thus there will be no further FSA accretion to interest income. The loans collateralized \$3.3 billion of secured debt at December 31, 2013, which is net of \$231 million FSA adjustment. The FSA adjustment on this secured debt will continue to accrete to interest expense until the debt is extinguished. The transfer of the loans receivable to AHFS did not result in any impairment. Based on market conditions subsequent to year-end, we currently believe that we will realize a net gain on the sale of the student loans. The net gain to be recognized on the sale of the student loans will consist primarily of (1) the gain on the sale of the loans (which are carried net of a discount of \$184 million) and any proceeds received for the sale of the servicing of those loans and (2) the expense to be recognized based on the acceleration of the debt FSA (\$231 million) upon the extinguishment of the related debt.

While being minimally affected in 2013, pre-tax income was impacted by accelerated debt FSA and OID accretion of \$110 million in 2012, as a result of debt prepayment activities, and \$93 million in 2011. Excluding accelerated debt FSA, pre-tax income was down from 2012, reflecting lower other income, partially offset by a decline in operating expenses.

Corporate and Other – Financial Data (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Earnings Summary			
Interest income	\$ 17.2	\$ 19.6	\$ 20.9
Interest expense	(60.2)	(314.0)	(316.5)
Other income	6.9	(3.0)	(5.7)
Operating expenses	(83.9)	(17.9)	(15.1)
Loss on debt extinguishments	–	(61.2)	(134.8)
Loss before provision for income taxes	<u>\$(120.0)</u>	<u>\$(376.5)</u>	<u>\$(451.2)</u>
Pre-tax income – excluding debt redemption charges ⁽¹⁾	<u>\$(118.9)</u>	<u>\$(134.3)</u>	<u>\$(182.7)</u>

⁽¹⁾ Non-GAAP measurement, see table at the beginning of this section for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

- Interest income consists of interest and dividend income primarily from deposits held at other depository institutions and U.S. Treasury and Government Agency securities.
- Interest expense in 2013 reflected accelerated FSA debt accretion of \$1 million, while 2012 and 2011 included \$181 million and \$134 million, respectively, of combined accelerated FSA accretion and prepayment penalties.
- Other income primarily reflects gains and (losses) on derivatives and foreign currency exchange.
- Operating expenses reflects salary and general and administrative expenses in excess of amounts allocated to the business

Highlights included:

- Net finance revenue (“NFR”) was \$54 million for 2013, up from 2012 and 2011. Excluding accelerated debt FSA and OID accretion, net finance revenue was down from \$58 million in 2012 and \$70 million in 2011, reflecting portfolio run-off.
- Other income was not significant in 2013. Other income for 2012 was driven by net gains of \$31 million on loan sales and \$7 million of FSA accretion on a counterparty receivable. 2011 included \$15 million of gains on loan sales, \$8 million of FSA accretion on a counterparty receivable and impairment on assets held for sale of \$24 million.
- Operating expenses decreased, primarily reflecting a decrease in AEA.

Corporate and Other

Certain activities are not attributed to operating segments and are included in Corporate and Other. Some of the more significant items include loss on debt extinguishments, the Tyco tax agreement settlement charge, costs associated with excess cash liquidity (Interest Expense), mark-to-market adjustments on non-qualifying derivatives (Other Income) and restructuring charges for severance and facilities exit activities (Operating Expenses).

- segments and litigation-related costs, including \$50 million in 2013 related to the Tyco tax agreement settlement, as discussed in *Operating Expenses*. Operating expenses also included \$37 million, \$23 million and \$13 million related to provision for severance and facilities exiting activities during 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
- The loss on debt extinguishments resulted primarily from repayments of Series C Notes in 2012 while the 2011 loss primarily resulted from the repayment of the first lien term loan.

FINANCING AND LEASING ASSETS

The following table presents our financing and leasing assets by segment.

Financing and Leasing Asset Composition (dollars in millions)

	December 31,			\$ Change 2013 vs 2012	\$ Change 2012 vs 2011
	2013	2012	2011		
Corporate Finance					
Loans	\$9,465.9	\$8,175.9	\$6,865.4	\$1,290.0	\$1,310.5
Operating lease equipment, net	79.1	23.9	35.0	55.2	(11.1)
Assets held for sale	413.7	56.8	214.0	356.9	(157.2)
Financing and leasing assets	9,958.7	8,256.6	7,114.4	1,702.1	1,142.2
Transportation Finance					
Loans	2,181.3	1,853.2	1,487.0	328.1	366.2
Operating lease equipment, net	12,771.8	12,173.6	11,754.2	598.2	419.4
Assets held for sale	152.0	173.6	84.0	(21.6)	89.6
Financing and leasing assets	15,105.1	14,200.4	13,325.2	904.7	875.2
Trade Finance					
Loans – factoring receivables	2,262.4	2,305.3	2,431.4	(42.9)	(126.1)
Vendor Finance					
Loans	4,719.6	4,818.7	4,442.0	(99.1)	376.7
Operating lease equipment, net	184.5	214.2	217.2	(29.7)	(3.0)
Assets held for sale	437.7	414.5	371.6	23.2	42.9
Financing and leasing assets	5,341.8	5,447.4	5,030.8	(105.6)	416.6
Total commercial financing and leasing assets	32,668.0	30,209.7	27,901.8	2,458.3	2,307.9
Consumer					
Loans – student lending	–	3,694.5	4,680.1	(3,694.5)	(985.6)
Assets held for sale	3,374.5	1.5	1,662.7	3,373.0	(1,661.2)
Financing and leasing assets	3,374.5	3,696.0	6,342.8	(321.5)	(2,646.8)
Total financing and leasing assets	\$36,042.5	\$33,905.7	\$34,244.6	\$2,136.8	\$(338.9)

Commercial financing and leasing assets increased in 2013, reflecting strong new business volumes, partially offset by portfolio collections and prepayments, and asset sales. Supplementing new business volume, growth included portfolio purchases in Corporate Finance and Vendor Finance. Operating lease equipment increased, primarily reflecting scheduled equipment deliveries in Transportation Finance.

Assets held for sale totaled \$4.4 billion at December 31, 2013, which included a nearly \$3.4 billion portfolio of student loans. The remaining amounts included assets associated with our sub-scale and international platform rationalization efforts, primarily portfolios in Europe and South America. Corporate Finance was primarily comprised of the small business lending portfolio, and Transportation Finance included mostly aerospace equipment.

The sale of the small business lending portfolio is expected to be completed in 2014, subject to approval by the Small Business Administration.

Commercial financing and leasing assets increased in 2012, reversing a trend of declining asset levels, reflecting strong new business volumes, while the consumer portfolio of student loans continued to run-off, primarily through sales. Operating lease equipment increased, but at a slower rate than 2011. Assets held for sale totaled \$0.6 billion at December 31, 2012, the majority of which included Dell Europe assets in Vendor Finance and aerospace assets in Transportation Finance.

Financing and leasing asset trends are discussed in the respective segment descriptions in "Results by Business Segment".

The following table reflects the contractual maturities of our finance receivables:

Contractual Maturities of Finance Receivables at December 31, 2013 (dollars in millions)

	U.S. Commercial	Foreign	Total
Fixed-rate			
1 year or less	\$ 3,170.0	\$ 954.7	\$ 4,124.7
Year 2	959.8	585.4	1,545.2
Year 3	665.1	379.7	1,044.8
Year 4	414.1	196.7	610.8
Year 5	234.9	96.1	331.0
2-5 years	2,273.9	1,257.9	3,531.8
After 5 years	260.0	110.2	370.2
Total fixed-rate	<u>5,703.9</u>	<u>2,322.8</u>	<u>8,026.7</u>
Adjustable-rate			
1 year or less	758.8	167.3	926.1
Year 2	745.1	160.7	905.8
Year 3	1,718.7	167.0	1,885.7
Year 4	1,733.9	221.8	1,955.7
Year 5	2,176.4	315.5	2,491.9
2-5 years	6,374.1	865.0	7,239.1
After 5 years	2,170.7	315.1	2,485.8
Total adjustable-rate	<u>9,303.6</u>	<u>1,347.4</u>	<u>10,651.0</u>
Total	<u>\$15,007.5</u>	<u>\$3,670.2</u>	<u>\$18,677.7</u>

The following table presents the changes to our financing and leasing assets:

Financing and Leasing Assets Rollforward (dollars in millions)

	Corporate Finance	Transportation Finance	Trade Finance	Vendor Finance	Commercial Segments	Consumer	Total
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 8,366.6	\$ 12,027.5	\$ 2,387.4	\$ 5,925.4	\$ 28,706.9	\$ 8,322.6	\$ 37,029.5
New business volume	2,702.6	2,523.6	–	2,577.5	7,803.7	–	7,803.7
Loan and portfolio sales	(705.5)	(40.4)	–	(415.9)	(1,161.8)	(1,299.6)	(2,461.4)
Equipment sales	(207.8)	(470.6)	–	(437.0)	(1,115.4)	–	(1,115.4)
Depreciation	(7.8)	(382.2)	–	(185.1)	(575.1)	–	(575.1)
Gross charge-offs	(239.6)	(6.6)	(21.1)	(97.2)	(364.5)	(4.3)	(368.8)
Collections and other	(2,794.1)	(326.1)	65.1	(2,336.9)	(5,392.0)	(675.9)	(6,067.9)
Balance at December 31, 2011	7,114.4	13,325.2	2,431.4	5,030.8	27,901.8	6,342.8	34,244.6
New business volume	4,377.0	2,216.3	–	3,006.9	9,600.2	–	9,600.2
Portfolio purchases	–	198.0	–	–	198.0	–	198.0
Loan and portfolio sales	(442.0)	(16.7)	–	–	(458.7)	(2,071.0)	(2,529.7)
Equipment sales	(275.3)	(715.2)	–	(291.6)	(1,282.1)	–	(1,282.1)
Depreciation	(4.3)	(419.7)	–	(109.2)	(533.2)	–	(533.2)
Gross charge-offs	(52.7)	(11.7)	(8.6)	(67.8)	(140.8)	(1.0)	(141.8)
Collections and other	(2,460.5)	(375.8)	(117.5)	(2,121.7)	(5,075.5)	(574.8)	(5,650.3)
Balance at December 31, 2012	8,256.6	14,200.4	2,305.3	5,447.4	30,209.7	3,696.0	33,905.7
New business volume	4,633.3	2,937.5	–	2,965.1	10,535.9	–	10,535.9
Portfolio purchases	720.4	–	–	154.3	874.7	–	874.7
Loan and portfolio sales	(224.7)	(69.3)	–	(559.6)	(853.6)	(12.0)	(865.6)
Equipment sales	(128.9)	(837.6)	–	(252.6)	(1,219.1)	–	(1,219.1)
Depreciation	(10.3)	(459.4)	–	(103.8)	(573.5)	–	(573.5)
Gross charge-offs	(44.8)	(4.5)	(4.4)	(84.9)	(138.6)	–	(138.6)
Collections and other	(3,242.9)	(662.0)	(38.5)	(2,224.1)	(6,167.5)	(309.5)	(6,477.0)
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$9,958.7	\$15,105.1	\$2,262.4	\$5,341.8	\$32,668.0	\$3,374.5	\$36,042.5

New business volume in 2013 increased 10% from 2012 and 35% from 2011, reflecting solid demand across the commercial segments. Corporate Finance maintained its strong performance from 2012 and continued to expand in newer initiatives such as real estate lending. Transportation Finance new business volume primarily reflects scheduled aircraft and railcar deliveries, and 2013 also reflects maritime finance lending. Vendor Finance was essentially flat with 2012, reflecting lower new business volume due to the sale of the Dell portfolio and international platform rationalization.

Portfolio purchases mainly consisted of a commercial loan portfolio purchased by the Bank and reflected in Corporate Finance and a portfolio in Vendor Finance.

Loan and portfolio sales had been trending down in 2012 and 2011 in the commercial segments, as we had been very active

optimizing the balance sheet and selling non-strategic assets. The increase in 2013 reflected sales of sub-scale platforms associated with our international platform rationalization efforts and approximately \$470 million of Dell Europe receivables in Vendor Finance. During 2011 and 2012, we sold student loans, which are in Consumer.

Equipment sales in Transportation Finance consisted of aerospace and rail assets in conjunction with its portfolio management activities. Vendor Finance sales included Dell Europe assets in 2013 and 2012 and Dell Canada assets in 2011.

Portfolio activities are discussed in the respective segment descriptions in "Results by Business Segment".

CONCENTRATIONS

Ten Largest Accounts

Our ten largest financing and leasing asset accounts in the aggregate represented 8.9% of our total financing and leasing assets at December 31, 2013 (the largest account was less than 2.0%). Excluding student loans, the top ten accounts in aggregate represented 9.8% of total owned assets (the largest account totaled 1.9%). The largest accounts represent Transportation Finance (airlines and rail) assets.

The ten largest financing and leasing asset accounts were 8.7% (9.8% excluding student loans) at December 31, 2012 and 8.5% (10.5% excluding student loans) at December 31, 2011.

Geographic Concentrations

The following table represents the financing and leasing assets by obligor geography:

Financing and Leasing Assets by Obligor – Geographic Region (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
Northeast	\$ 6,741.6	18.7%	\$ 5,387.7	15.9%	\$ 5,157.7	15.1%
Midwest	4,606.7	12.8%	4,898.3	14.4%	5,421.7	15.8%
West	3,943.5	10.9%	3,862.7	11.4%	4,597.8	13.4%
Southwest	3,920.2	10.9%	3,432.7	10.1%	3,831.1	11.2%
Southeast	3,379.5	9.4%	3,362.2	9.9%	2,837.8	8.3%
Total U.S.	22,591.5	62.7%	20,943.6	61.7%	21,846.1	63.8%
Asia / Pacific	4,019.7	11.1%	3,721.6	11.0%	3,341.2	9.8%
Europe	3,698.8	10.3%	3,372.8	10.0%	2,996.0	8.7%
Canada	2,289.2	6.4%	2,257.6	6.7%	2,599.6	7.6%
Latin America	1,744.5	4.8%	2,035.5	6.0%	1,764.5	5.1%
All other countries	1,698.8	4.7%	1,574.6	4.6%	1,697.2	5.0%
Total	\$36,042.5	100.0%	\$33,905.7	100.0%	\$34,244.6	100.0%

The following table summarizes both state concentrations greater than 5.0% and international country concentrations in excess of 1.0% of our financing and leasing assets:

Financing and Leasing Assets by Obligor – State and Country (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
State						
Texas	\$ 3,232.0	9.0%	\$ 2,694.3	7.9%	\$ 2,108.5	6.2%
New York	2,570.5	7.1%	2,111.5	6.2%	1,924.4	5.6%
California	1,949.0	5.4%	1,941.3	5.7%	2,266.0	6.6%
All other states	14,840.0	41.2%	14,196.5	41.9%	15,547.2	45.4%
Total U.S.	\$22,591.5	62.7%	\$20,943.6	61.7%	\$21,846.1	63.8%
Country						
Canada	\$ 2,289.2	6.4%	\$ 2,257.6	6.7%	\$ 2,599.6	7.6%
England	1,171.6	3.2%	946.5	2.8%	757.6	2.2%
Australia	975.2	2.7%	1,042.7	3.1%	1,014.6	3.0%
China	969.1	2.7%	1,112.1	3.3%	959.2	2.8%
Mexico	821.0	2.3%	940.6	2.8%	856.9	2.5%
Brazil	710.2	2.0%	685.6	2.0%	574.6	1.7%
Korea	460.1	1.3%	377.2	1.1%	290.5	0.8%
Spain	451.0	1.2%	459.0	1.3%	446.1	1.3%
Russia	355.9	1.0%	322.9	1.0%	94.9	0.3%
Taiwan	343.4	1.0%	5.2	–	6.2	–
All other countries	4,904.3	13.5%	4,812.7	14.2%	4,798.3	14.0%
Total International	\$13,451.0	37.3%	\$12,962.1	38.3%	\$12,398.5	36.2%

Cross-Border Transactions

Cross-border transactions reflect monetary claims on borrowers domiciled in foreign countries and primarily include cash deposited with foreign banks and receivables from residents

of a foreign country, reduced by amounts funded in the same currency and recorded in the same jurisdiction. The following table includes all countries that we have cross-border claims of 0.75% or greater of total consolidated assets at December 31, 2013:

Cross-border Outstandings as of December 31 (dollars in millions)

Country	2013					Exposure as a Percentage of Total Assets	2012		2011	
	Banks(**)	Government	Other	Net Local Country Claims	Total Exposure		Total Exposure	Exposure as a Percentage of Total Assets	Total Exposure	Exposure as a Percentage of Total Assets
Canada	\$ 79	\$ –	\$203	\$1,502	\$1,784	3.78%	\$1,285.0	2.92%	\$2,079	4.59%
United Kingdom	410	1	128	778	1,317	2.79%	449.0	1.02%	(*)	–
China	–	–	97	784	881	1.87%	335.0	0.76%	360	0.80%
France	2	2	558	24	586	1.24%	566.0	1.29%	443	0.98%
Germany	134	191	98	19	442	0.94%	(*)	–	570	1.26%
Mexico	–	–	31	375	406	0.86%	(*)	–	(*)	–
Netherlands	–	–	–	–	(*)	–	364.0	0.83%	(*)	–

(*) Cross-border outstandings were less than 0.75% of total consolidated assets

(**) Claims from Bank counterparts include claims outstanding from derivative products.

Industry Concentrations

The following table represents financing and leasing assets by industry of obligor:

Financing and Leasing Assets by Obligor – Industry (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
Commercial airlines (including regional airlines) ⁽¹⁾	\$ 8,972.4	24.9%	\$ 9,039.2	26.7%	\$ 8,844.7	25.8%
Manufacturing ⁽²⁾	5,542.1	15.4%	5,107.6	15.1%	4,420.7	12.9%
Student lending ⁽³⁾	3,374.5	9.4%	3,697.5	10.9%	6,331.7	18.5%
Service industries	3,144.3	8.7%	3,057.1	9.0%	2,804.9	8.2%
Retail ⁽⁴⁾	3,063.1	8.5%	3,010.7	8.9%	3,252.7	9.5%
Transportation ⁽⁵⁾	2,404.2	6.7%	2,277.9	6.7%	2,117.8	6.2%
Healthcare	1,393.1	3.9%	1,466.7	4.3%	1,699.4	5.0%
Real Estate	1,351.4	3.7%	694.5	2.1%	23.0	–
Energy and utilities	1,256.7	3.5%	992.8	2.9%	779.3	2.3%
Oil and gas extraction / services	1,018.7	2.8%	718.7	2.1%	444.4	1.3%
Finance and insurance	760.1	2.1%	697.3	2.1%	728.2	2.1%
Other (no industry greater than 2%)	3,761.9	10.4%	3,145.7	9.2%	2,797.9	8.2%
Total	\$36,042.5	100.0%	\$33,905.7	100.0%	\$34,244.7	100.0%

⁽¹⁾ Includes the Commercial Aerospace Portfolio and additional financing and leasing assets that are not commercial aircraft.

⁽²⁾ At December 31, 2013, includes petroleum and coal, including refining (2.8%), manufacturers of chemicals, including pharmaceuticals (2.7%), and food (1.8%).

⁽³⁾ See Student Lending section for further information.

⁽⁴⁾ At December 31, 2013, includes retailers of apparel (3.3%) and general merchandise (1.9%).

⁽⁵⁾ At December 31, 2013, includes rail (3.7%), trucking and shipping (1.4%) and maritime (1.1%).

Operating Lease Equipment

The following table represents the operating lease equipment by segment:

Operating Lease Equipment by Segment (dollars in millions)

	At December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Transportation Finance – Aerospace ⁽¹⁾	\$ 8,267.9	\$ 8,112.9	\$ 8,242.8
Transportation Finance – Rail and Other	4,503.9	4,060.7	3,511.4
Vendor Finance	184.5	214.2	217.2
Corporate Finance	79.1	23.9	35.0
Total	\$13,035.4	\$12,411.7	\$12,006.4

⁽¹⁾ Aerospace includes commercial, regional and corporate aircraft and equipment.

As detailed in the following tables, at December 31, 2013, Transportation Finance had 270 commercial aircraft, and approximately 105,000 railcars and approximately 350 locomotives on operating lease. We also have commitments to purchase aircraft and railcars, as disclosed in *Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Note 19 — Commitments*.

Aircraft Type	Owned Fleet	Order Book	Railcar Type	Owned Fleet	Order Book
Airbus A319/320/321	134	60	Covered Hoppers	42,753	27
Airbus A330	32	9	Tank Cars	21,513	7,487
Airbus A350	–	15	Coal	12,507	–
Boeing 737	73	48	Mill/Coil Gondolas	12,298	–
Boeing 757	8	–	Boxcars	8,613	–
Boeing 767	6	–	Flatcars	4,807	–
Boeing 787	–	10	Locomotives	348	–
Embraer 175	4	–	Other	2,710	–
Embraer 190/195	11	5	Total	105,549	7,514
Other	2	–			
Total	270	147			

On January 31, 2014, CIT acquired Nacco, an independent full service railcar lessor in Europe, including more than 9,500 railcars, consisting of tank cars, flat cars, gondolas and hopper cars.

Commercial Aerospace

The following tables present detail on our commercial and regional aerospace portfolio concentrations, which we call our Commercial Aerospace portfolio. The net investment in regional aerospace financing and leasing assets were \$52.1 million,

\$79.8 million and \$85.0 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012 and 2011, respectively; and were substantially comprised of loans and capital leases.

The information presented below by region, manufacturer, and body type, is based on our operating lease aircraft portfolio which comprises 94% of our total commercial aerospace portfolio and substantially all of our owned fleet of leased aircraft at December 31, 2013.

Commercial Aerospace Portfolio (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Net Investment	Number	Net Investment	Number	Net Investment	Number
By Product:						
Operating lease ⁽¹⁾	\$8,379.3	270	\$8,238.8	268	\$8,243.0	265
Loan ⁽²⁾	505.3	39	666.7	64	394.3	52
Capital lease	31.7	8	40.4	10	61.8	11
Total	\$8,916.3	317	\$8,945.9	342	\$8,699.1	328

Commercial Aerospace Operating Lease Portfolio (dollars in millions)⁽¹⁾

	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Net Investment	Number	Net Investment	Number	Net Investment	Number
By Region:						
Asia / Pacific	\$3,065.1	81	\$3,071.3	83	\$2,986.0	82
Europe	2,408.8	91	2,343.2	86	2,270.6	79
U.S. and Canada	1,276.5	43	1,049.9	38	1,041.9	37
Latin America	940.3	38	1,020.2	42	1,007.1	43
Africa / Middle East	688.6	17	754.2	19	937.4	24
Total	\$8,379.3	270	\$8,238.8	268	\$8,243.0	265
By Manufacturer:						
Airbus	\$5,899.1	167	\$5,602.6	162	\$5,566.4	158
Boeing	2,038.7	87	2,301.0	94	2,515.2	102
Embraer	441.5	16	324.8	12	147.4	5
Other	–	–	10.4	–	14.0	–
Total	\$8,379.3	270	\$8,238.8	268	\$8,243.0	265
By Body Type⁽³⁾:						
Narrow body	\$6,080.6	230	\$5,966.6	227	\$5,868.3	225
Intermediate	2,297.3	39	2,222.6	39	2,312.5	39
Wide body	–	–	37.5	1	48.4	1
Regional and other	1.4	1	12.1	1	13.8	–
Total	\$8,379.3	270	\$8,238.8	268	\$8,243.0	265
Number of customers		98		97		97
Weighted average age of fleet (years)		5		5		5

⁽¹⁾ Includes operating lease equipment held for sale.

⁽²⁾ Plane count excludes aircraft in which our net investment consists of syndicated financings against multiple aircraft. The net investment associated with such financings was \$45 million at December 31, 2013, \$50.2 million at December 31, 2012, and none at December 31, 2011.

⁽³⁾ Narrow body are single aisle design and consist primarily of Boeing 737 and 757 series, Airbus A320 series, and Embraer E170 and E190 aircraft. Intermediate body are smaller twin aisle design and consist primarily of Boeing 767 series and Airbus A330 series aircraft. Wide body are large twin aisle design, such as Boeing 747 and 777 series aircraft. Regional and Other includes aircraft and related equipment, such as engines.

Our top five commercial aerospace outstanding exposures totaled \$1,891.1 million at December 31, 2013. The largest individual outstanding exposure totaled \$632.1 at December 31, 2013. The largest individual outstanding exposure to a U.S. carrier totaled \$348.6 million at December 31, 2013. See Note 19 — Commitments for additional information regarding commitments to purchase additional aircraft.

Student Lending Receivables

Consumer includes our liquidating student loan portfolio in assets held for sale at December 31, 2013. During 2012 and 2011, we sold \$2.1 billion and \$1.3 billion, respectively. The remaining decrease reflects collections and FSA accretion. See Note 8 — Long-Term Borrowings for description of related financings.

Student Lending Receivables by Product Type (dollars in millions)

	At December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Consolidation loans	\$3,362.4	\$3,676.9	\$5,315.7
Other U.S. Government guaranteed loans	12.1	19.1	1,014.2
Private (non-guaranteed) loans and other	–	1.5	1.8
Total	<u>\$3,374.5</u>	<u>\$3,697.5</u>	<u>\$6,331.7</u>
Delinquencies (sixty days or more)	\$ 297.8	\$ 318.0	\$ 513.5
Top state concentrations (%)	34%	34%	36%
Top state concentrations	California, New York, Texas, Pennsylvania, Florida	California, New York, Texas, Ohio, Pennsylvania	

RISK MANAGEMENT

CIT is subject to a variety of risks that may arise through the Company's business activities, including the following principal forms of risk:

- Credit risk, which is the risk of loss (including the incurrence of additional expenses) when a borrower does not meet its financial obligations to the Company. Credit risk may arise from lending, leasing, and/or counterparty activities.
- Asset risk, which is the equipment valuation and residual risk of lease equipment owned by the Company that arises from fluctuations in the supply and demand for the underlying leased equipment. The Company is exposed to the risk that, at the end of the lease term, the value of the asset will be lower than expected, resulting in either reduced future lease income over the remaining life of the asset or a lower sale value.
- Market risk, which includes interest rate and foreign currency risk. Interest rate risk refers to the impact that fluctuations in interest rates will have on the Company's net finance revenue and on the market value of the Company's assets, liabilities and derivatives. Foreign exchange risk refers to the economic impact that fluctuations in exchange rates between currencies will have on the Company's non-dollar denominated assets and liabilities.
- Liquidity risk, which is the risk that the Company has an inability to maintain adequate cash resources and funding capacity to meet its obligations, including under liquidity stress scenarios.
- Legal, regulatory and compliance risk, which is the risk that the Company is not in compliance with applicable laws and regulations, which may result in fines, regulatory criticism or business restrictions, or damage to the Company's reputation.
- Operational risk, which is the risk of financial loss, damage to the Company's reputation, or other adverse impacts resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes and systems, people or external events.

In order to effectively manage risk, the Company has established a governance and oversight structure that includes defining the Company's risk appetite, setting limits, underwriting standards and target performance metrics that are aligned with the risk appetite, and establishing credit approval authorities. The

Company ensures effective risk governance and oversight through the establishment and enforcement of policies and procedures, risk governance committees, management information systems, models and analytics, staffing and training to ensure appropriate expertise, and the identification, monitoring and reporting of risks so that they are proactively managed.

GOVERNANCE AND SUPERVISION

CIT has established a risk appetite framework to facilitate the identification and management of the Company's various risks. The risk appetite framework begins with a risk appetite statement approved by the Board of Directors (the "Board"). The risk appetite statement qualitatively and quantitatively defines CIT's risk appetite and serves as a basis for more detailed risk tolerance limits established by the Risk Management Committee ("RMC") of the Board and applicable management-level committees.

In addition to the risk appetite statement and risk tolerance limits, a third key component of the CIT risk appetite framework is a governance and oversight structure to effectively monitor, manage and mitigate the risks faced by CIT. The governance and oversight structure includes established approval and reporting lines for the risk appetite statement and risk tolerance limits, as well as various risk management tools (e.g. underwriting standards, credit authorities, key risk indicators, etc.). Various management-level governance committees have been organized to ensure appropriate approval, monitoring and reporting of various risks. These committees derive authority from the Board through the RMC.

The RMC oversees the major risks inherent to CIT's business activities and the control processes with respect to such risks. The Chief Risk Officer ("CRO") supervises CIT's risk management functions through the Risk Management Group ("RMG") and reports regularly to the RMC on the status of CIT's risk management program. Within the RMG, officers with reporting lines to the CRO supervise and manage groups and departments with specific risk management responsibilities.

The Credit Risk Management (“CRM”) group manages and approves all credit risk throughout CIT. This group is managed by the Chief Credit Officer (“CCO”), and includes the heads of credit for each business, the head of Problem Loan Management, Credit Control and Credit Administration. The CCO chairs several key governance committees, including the Corporate Credit Committee (“CCC”), Corporate Credit Governance Committee, Credit Policy Committee and Criticized Asset Committee.

The Enterprise Risk Management (“ERM”) group is responsible for oversight of asset risk, market risk, liquidity risk and operational risk. ERM works in conjunction with our Treasury Department and Asset Liability Committee (“ALCO”) in the management of market and liquidity risks. ERM is also responsible for risk management processes relating to risk model development and monitoring, credit analytics and risk data and reporting. An independent model validation group reports directly to the CRO.

Loan Risk Review (“LRR”) is an independent oversight function which is responsible for performing internal credit related asset reviews for the organization as well as the ongoing monitoring, testing, and measurement of credit quality and credit process risk in enterprise-wide lending and leasing activities. LRR reports to the RMC of the Board and administratively into the CRO.

The Compliance function reports to the Audit Committee of the Board and administratively into the CRO. Regulatory Relations reports to Internal Audit Services (“IAS”) and the Chief Audit Executive. The Audit Committee and the Regulatory Compliance Committee (formerly the Special Compliance Committee) of the Board oversee financial, legal, compliance, regulatory and audit risk management practices.

CIT’s governance framework includes a suite of risk monitoring tools. These tools provide a comprehensive assessment of CIT’s risks, enabling Senior Management and the Board to continually evaluate the Company’s risk profile and act to mitigate risk when warranted.

CREDIT RISK

Lending Risk

The extension of credit through our lending and leasing activities is the fundamental purpose of our businesses. As such, CIT’s credit risk management process is centralized in the RMG, reporting into the CRO through the CCO. This group establishes the Company’s underwriting standards, approves all extensions of credit, and is responsible for portfolio management, including credit grading and problem loan management. RMG reviews and monitors credit exposures to identify, as early as possible, customers that are experiencing declining creditworthiness or financial difficulty. The CCO evaluates reserves through our Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (“ALLL”) process for performing loans and non-accrual loans, as well as establishing nonspecific reserves to cover losses inherent in the portfolio. CIT’s portfolio is managed by setting limits and target performance metrics, and monitoring risk concentrations by borrower, industry, geography and equipment type. We set or modify Risk Acceptance Criteria (underwriting standards) as conditions warrant, based on borrower risk, collateral, industry risk, portfolio size and concentrations, credit concentrations and risk of substantial credit loss. We evaluate our collateral and test for asset impairment based upon collateral

value and projected cash flows and relevant market data with any impairment in value charged to earnings.

Using our underwriting policies, procedures and practices, combined with credit judgment and quantitative tools, we evaluate financing and leasing assets for credit and collateral risk during the credit decisioning process and after the advancement of funds. We set forth our underwriting parameters based on: (1) Target Market Definitions, which delineate risk by market, industry, geography and product, (2) Risk Acceptance Criteria, which detail acceptable structures, credit profiles and risk-adjusted returns, and (3) through our Corporate Credit Policies. We capture and analyze credit risk based on probability of obligor default (“PD”) and loss given default (“LGD”). PD is determined by evaluating borrower creditworthiness, including analyzing credit history, financial condition, cash flow adequacy, financial performance and management quality. LGD ratings, which estimate loss if an account goes into default, are predicated on transaction structure, collateral valuation and related guarantees (including recourse to manufacturers, dealers or governments).

We have executed derivative transactions with our customers in order to help them mitigate their interest rate and currency risks. We typically enter into offsetting derivative transactions with third parties in order to neutralize CIT’s exposure to these customer related derivative transactions. The counterparty credit exposure related to these transactions is monitored and evaluated as part of our credit risk management process.

Commercial Lending and Leasing. Commercial credit management begins with the initial evaluation of credit risk and underlying collateral at the time of origination and continues over the life of the finance receivable or operating lease, including normal collection, recovery of past due balances and liquidating underlying collateral.

Credit personnel review potential borrowers’ financial condition, results of operations, management, industry, business model, customer base, operations, collateral and other data, such as third party credit reports and appraisals, to evaluate the potential customer’s borrowing and repayment ability. Transactions are graded by PD and LGD, as described above. Credit facilities are subject to our overall credit approval process and underwriting guidelines and are issued commensurate with the credit evaluation performed on each prospective borrower, as well as portfolio concentrations. Credit personnel continue to review the PD and LGD periodically. Decisions on continued creditworthiness or impairment of borrowers are determined through these periodic reviews.

Small-Ticket Lending and Leasing. For small-ticket lending and leasing transactions, we employ automated credit scoring models for origination (scorecards) and for re-grading (auto re-grade algorithms). These are supplemented by business rules and expert judgment. The models evaluate, among other things, financial performance metrics, length of time in business, industry category and geography, and are used to assess a potential borrower’s credit standing and repayment ability, including the value of collateral. We utilize external credit bureau scoring, when available, and behavioral models, as well as judgment in the credit adjudication, evaluation and collection processes.

We evaluate the small-ticket leasing portfolio using delinquency vintage curves and other tools to analyze trends and credit performance by transaction type, including analysis of specific credit characteristics and selected subsets of the portfolios. Adjustments to credit scorecards, auto re-grading algorithms, business rules and lending programs are made periodically based on these evaluations. Individual underwriters are assigned credit authority based upon experience, performance and understanding of underwriting policies of small-ticket leasing operations. A credit approval hierarchy is enforced to ensure that an underwriter with the appropriate level of authority reviews applications.

Counterparty Risk

We enter into interest rate and currency swaps and foreign exchange forward contracts as part of our overall risk management practices. We establish limits and evaluate and manage the counterparty risk associated with these derivative instruments through our RMG.

The primary risk of derivative instruments is counterparty credit exposure, which is defined as the ability of a counterparty to perform financial obligations under the derivative contract. We control credit risk of derivative agreements through counterparty credit approvals, pre-established exposure limits and monitoring procedures.

The CCC, in conjunction with ERM, approves each counterparty and establishes exposure limits based on credit analysis of each counterparty. Derivative agreements entered into for our own risk management purposes are generally entered into with major financial institutions rated investment grade by nationally recognized rating agencies.

We also monitor and manage counterparty credit risk related to our cash and short-term investment portfolio.

ASSET RISK

Asset risk in our leasing business is evaluated and managed in the business units and overseen by RMG. Our business process consists of: (1) setting residual values at transaction inception, (2) systematic residual value reviews, and (3) monitoring actual levels of residual realizations. Residual realizations, by business and product, are reviewed as part of our quarterly financial and asset quality review. Reviews for impairment are performed at least annually.

The RMG teams closely follow the air and rail markets; monitoring traffic flows, measuring supply and demand trends, and evaluating the impact of new technology or regulatory requirements on supply and demand for different types of equipment. Demand for both passenger and freight equipment is highly correlated with GDP growth trends for the markets the equipment serves as well as the more immediate conditions of those markets. Due to the moveable nature of commercial air equipment, air markets are global, while for CIT, the rail market is primarily

centered in North America. So cyclical in the economy and shifts in travel and trade flows from specific events (e.g., natural disasters, conflicts, political upheaval, disease, terrorism) represent risks to the earnings that are realized by these businesses. CIT mitigates these risks by maintaining young fleets of assets with wide operator bases so that our assets can maintain attractive lease and utilization rates.

MARKET RISK

We monitor exposure to market risk by analyzing the impact of potential interest rate and foreign exchange rate changes on financial performance. We consider factors such as customer prepayment trends and repricing characteristics of assets and liabilities. Our asset-liability management system provides sophisticated analytical capabilities to assess and measure the effects of various market rate scenarios upon the Company's financial performance.

Interest Rate Risk

We evaluate and monitor interest rate risk through two primary metrics.

- Net Interest Income Sensitivity ("NII Sensitivity"), which measures the impact of hypothetical changes in interest rates on net finance revenue; and
- Economic Value of Equity ("EVE"), which measures the net economic value of equity by assessing the market value of assets, liabilities and derivatives.

A wide variety of potential interest rate scenarios are simulated within our asset/liability management system. All interest sensitive assets and liabilities are evaluated using discounted cash flow analysis. Rates are shocked up and down via a set of scenarios that include both parallel and non-parallel interest rate movements. Scenarios are also run to capture our sensitivity to changes in the shape of the yield curve. Furthermore, we evaluate the sensitivity of these results to a number of key assumptions, such as credit quality, spreads, and prepayments. Various holding periods of the operating lease assets are also considered. These range from the current existing lease term to longer terms which assume lease renewals consistent with management's expected holding period of a particular asset. NII Sensitivity and EVE limits have been set and are monitored for certain of the key scenarios.

The table below summarizes the results of simulation modeling produced by our asset/liability management system. The results reflect the percentage change in the EVE and NII Sensitivity over the next twelve months assuming an immediate 100 basis point parallel increase or decrease in interest rates. This year's NII Sensitivity metrics reflect an increased level of net interest income consistent with reported results.

	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	+100 bps	-100 bps	+100 bps	-100 bps
NII Sensitivity	6.1%	(0.9)%	7.6%	(1.9)%
EVE	1.8%	(2.0)%	1.8%	(1.4)%

Our portfolio is in a slight asset-sensitive position, mostly to moves in LIBOR, whereby our assets will reprice faster than our liabilities. This is primarily driven by our commercial floating rate loan portfolio and short-term cash and investment position. As a result, our current portfolio is more sensitive to moves in short-term interest rates in the near term, and therefore our net finance margin may increase if short-term interest rates rise, or decrease if short-term interest rates decline. Furthermore, the duration, or price sensitivity, of our liabilities is greater than that of our assets causing EVE to increase under increasing rates and decrease under decreasing rates. The methodology with which the operating lease assets are assessed in the table above reflects the existing contractual rental cash flows and the expected residual value at the end of the existing contract term. The simulation modeling for both NII Sensitivity and EVE assumes we take no action in response to the changes in interest rates.

Although we believe that these measurements provide an estimate of our interest rate sensitivity, they do not account for potential changes in credit quality, size, and prepayment characteristics of our balance sheet. They also do not account for other business developments that could affect net income, or for management actions that could affect net income or that could be taken to change our risk profile. Accordingly, we can give no assurance that actual results would not differ materially from the estimated outcomes of our simulations. Further, such simulations do not represent our current view of expected future interest rate movements.

Foreign Currency Risk

We seek to hedge the transactional exposure of our non-dollar denominated activities, comprised of foreign currency loans and leases to foreign entities, through local currency borrowings. To the extent such borrowings were unavailable, we have utilized derivative instruments (foreign currency exchange forward contracts and cross currency swaps) to hedge our non-dollar denominated activities. Additionally, we have utilized derivative instruments to hedge the translation exposure of our net investments in foreign operations.

Our non-dollar denominated loans and leases are now largely funded with U.S. dollar denominated debt and equity which, if unhedged, would cause foreign currency transactional and translational exposures. We target to hedge these exposures through derivative instruments. Approved limits are monitored to facilitate the management of our foreign currency position. Included among the limits are guidelines which measure both transactional and translational exposure based on potential currency rate scenarios. Unhedged exposures may cause changes in earnings or the equity account.

LIQUIDITY RISK

Our liquidity risk management and monitoring process is designed to ensure the availability of adequate cash resources and funding capacity to meet our obligations. Our overall liquidity management strategy is intended to ensure ample liquidity to meet expected and contingent funding needs under both normal and stress environments. Consistent with this strategy, we maintain large pools of cash and highly liquid investments. Additional sources of liquidity include the Amended and Restated Revolving Credit and Guaranty Agreement, (the "Revolving Credit Facility"); other committed financing

facilities and cash collections generated by portfolio assets originated in the normal course of business.

We utilize a series of measurement tools to assess and monitor the level and adequacy of our liquidity position, liquidity conditions and trends. The primary tool is a cash forecast designed to identify material movements in cash flows. Stress scenarios are applied to measure the resiliency of the liquidity position and to identify stress points requiring remedial action. Also included among our liquidity measurement tools is an early warning system (summarized on a liquidity scorecard) that monitors key macro-environmental and company specific metrics that serve as early warning signals of potential impending liquidity stress events. The scorecard gauges the likelihood of a liquidity stress event by evaluating metrics that reflect: cash liquidity coverage of funding requirements; elevated funding needs; capital and liquidity at risk; funding sources at risk and market indicators. The scorecard contains a short-term liquidity assessment which is derived objectively via a quantitative measurement of each metric's severity and overall impact on liquidity. Assessments below defined thresholds trigger contingency funding actions, which are detailed in the Company's Contingency Funding Plan.

Integral to our liquidity management practices is our contingency funding plan, which outlines actions and protocols under liquidity stress conditions, whether they are idiosyncratic or systemic in nature. The objective of the plan is to ensure an adequately sustained level of liquidity under stress conditions.

LEGAL, REGULATORY AND COMPLIANCE RISK

Corporate Compliance is an independent function responsible for maintaining an enterprise-wide compliance risk management program commensurate with the size, scope and complexity of our businesses, operations, and the countries in which we operate. The Compliance function (1) oversees programs and processes to evaluate and monitor compliance with laws and regulations pertaining to our business, (2) tests the adequacy of the compliance control environment in each business, and (3) monitors and promotes compliance with the Company's ethical standards as set forth in our Code of Business Conduct and compliance policies. The Company, under the leadership of its executive management and the Board of Directors, maintains a strong and prominent compliance culture across the Company.

The Compliance function provides leadership, guidance and oversight to help business units and staff functions identify applicable laws and regulations and implement effective measures to meet the requirements and mitigate the risk of violations or failures to meet our legal and regulatory obligations.

The global compliance risk management program includes training (in collaboration with a centralized Learning and Development team within Human Resources), testing, monitoring, risk assessment, and other disciplines necessary to effectively manage compliance and regulatory risks. The Company relies on subject matter experts in the areas of privacy, sanctions, anti-money laundering, anti-corruption compliance and other areas typically addressed by BHCs with complex profiles.

Corporate Compliance has implemented comprehensive compliance policies and procedures and employs Business Unit Compliance Officers and Regional Compliance Officers who work

with each business to advise business staff and leadership in the prudent conduct of business within a regulated environment and within the requirements of law, rule, regulation and the control environment we maintain to minimize the risk of violations or other adverse outcomes. They advise business leadership and staff with respect to the implementation of procedures to operationalize compliance policies and other requirements. Corporate Compliance also provides and monitors adherence to mandatory employee compliance training programs in collaboration with the Learning and Development team.

Corporate Compliance, led by the Chief Compliance Officer, is responsible for setting the overall global compliance framework and standards, using a risk based approach to identify and manage key compliance obligations and risks. The head of each business and staff function is responsible for ensuring compliance within their respective areas of authority. Corporate Compliance, through the Chief Compliance Officer, reports administratively to the CRO and to the Chairperson of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

OPERATIONAL RISK

Operational Risk may result from fraud by employees or persons outside the Company, transaction processing errors, employment

practices and workplace safety issues, unintentional or negligent failure to meet professional obligations to clients, business interruption due to system failures, or other external events.

Operational risk is managed within individual business units. The head of each business and functional area is responsible for maintaining an effective system of internal controls to mitigate operational risks. The business segment Chief Operating Officers (“COO”) designate Operational Risk Managers responsible for implementation of the Operational Risk framework programs. The Enterprise Operational Risk function provides oversight in managing operational risk, designs and supports the enterprise-wide Operational Risk framework programs, promotes awareness by providing training to employees and Operational Risk Managers within business units and functional areas. Additionally, Enterprise Operational Risk maintains the Loss Data Collection and Risk Assessment programs. CIT’s IAS monitors and tests the overall effectiveness of internal control and operational systems on an ongoing basis and reports results to senior management and to the Audit Committee of the Board. Oversight of the operational risk management function is provided by RMG, the RMC, the Enterprise Risk Committee and the Operational and Information Technology Risk Working Group.

FUNDING AND LIQUIDITY

CIT actively manages and monitors its funding and liquidity sources against relevant limits and targets. These sources satisfy funding and other operating obligations, while also providing protection against unforeseen stress events like unanticipated funding obligations, such as customer line draws, or disruptions to capital markets or other funding sources. In addition to its unrestricted cash, short-term investments and portfolio cash inflows, liquidity sources include:

- a \$2 billion multi-year committed revolving credit facility, of which \$1.9 billion was available at December 31, 2013 (see below for 2014 amendment of this facility to reduce the total commitment amount); and
- committed securitization facilities and secured bank lines aggregating \$4.7 billion, of which \$2.1 billion was available at December 31, 2013, provided that eligible assets are available that can be funded through these facilities.

Asset liquidity is further enhanced by our ability to sell or syndicate portfolio assets in secondary markets, which also enables us to manage credit exposure, and to pledge assets to access secured borrowing facilities through the Federal Home Loan Banks (“FHLB”) and FRB.

Cash and short-term investment securities totaled \$7.6 billion at December 31, 2013 (\$6.1 billion of cash and \$1.5 billion of

short-term investments), essentially unchanged from \$7.6 billion at December 31, 2012 and down from \$8.4 billion at December 31, 2011. Cash and short-term investment securities at December 31, 2013 consisted of \$3.4 billion related to the bank holding company and \$2.5 billion at the Bank with the remainder comprised of cash at operating subsidiaries and in restricted balances.

Included in short-term investment securities are U.S. Treasury bills, Government Agency bonds, and other highly-rated securities, which were classified as AFS and had maturity dates of approximately 90 days or less as of the investment date. We also have approximately \$0.7 billion of securities that are classified as HTM, and although their maturity is less than 90 days, they are not included in the above short-term investment securities. This investment matures prior to the \$1.3 billion of series debt that matures on April 1, 2014. We anticipate continued investment of our cash in various types of liquid, high-grade investments.

As a result of our continued funding and liability management initiatives, we reduced the weighted average coupon rates on outstanding deposits and long-term borrowings to 3.07% at December 31, 2013 from 3.18% and 4.69% at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. We also continued to make progress towards achieving our long term targeted funding mix as detailed in the following table:

Target Funding Mix at December 31 (dollars in millions)

	Target	2013	2012	2011
Deposits	35%–45%	36%	31%	19%
Secured	25%–35%	27%	32%	81%
Unsecured	25%–35%	37%	37%	–

Deposits

We continued to grow our deposits during 2013 to fund our bank lending and leasing activities. Deposits totaled \$12.5 billion at December 31, 2013, up from \$9.7 billion at December 31, 2012

The following table details our deposits by type:

Deposits at December 31 (dollars in millions)

	2013	2012	2011
Online deposits	\$ 6,117.5	\$4,643.4	\$ 341.1
Brokered CDs / sweeps	5,365.4	4,251.6	5,377.4
Other ⁽¹⁾	1,043.6	789.5	475.2
Total	<u>\$12,526.5</u>	<u>\$9,684.5</u>	<u>\$6,193.7</u>

⁽¹⁾ Other primarily includes a deposit sweep arrangement related to Healthcare Savings Accounts and deposits at our Brazil bank.

Long-term Borrowings – Unsecured

Revolving Credit Facility

The Revolving Credit Facility was amended in January 2014 to reduce the total commitment amount from \$2.0 billion to \$1.5 billion and to extend the maturity date of the commitments to January 27, 2017. The total commitment amount now consists of a \$1.15 billion revolving loan tranche and a \$350 million revolving loan tranche that can also be utilized for issuance of letters of credit. The applicable margin charged under the facility was unchanged; 2.50% for LIBOR loans and 1.50% for Base Rate loans. Further improvement in CIT's long-term senior unsecured, non-credit enhanced debt ratings to either BB by S&P or Ba2 by Moody's would result in a reduction in the applicable margin to 2.25% for LIBOR based loans and to 1.25% for Base Rate loans.

On the closing date, no amounts were drawn under the Revolving Credit Facility. However, there was approximately \$0.1 billion utilized for the issuance of letters of credit. Any amounts drawn under the facility will be used for general corporate purposes.

The Revolving Credit Facility is unsecured and is guaranteed by eight of the Company's domestic operating subsidiaries. The facility was amended to modify the covenant requiring a minimum guarantor asset coverage ratio and the criteria for calculating the ratio. The amended covenant requires a minimum guarantor asset coverage ratio ranging from 1.25:1.0 to 1.5:1.0 depending on the Company's long-term senior unsecured, non-credit enhanced debt rating. As at the Closing Date, the applicable minimum guarantor asset coverage ratio was 1.5:1.0.

Senior Unsecured Notes and Series C Unsecured Notes

At December 31, 2013, we had outstanding \$12.5 billion of unsecured notes, compared to \$11.8 billion at December 31, 2012. On August 1, 2013, CIT issued \$750 million aggregate principal amount of senior unsecured notes due 2023 (the "Notes") that bear interest at a per annum rate of 5.00%. The Notes were priced at 99.031% of the principal amount to yield 5.125% per annum. In 2012, CIT raised nearly \$10 billion of term unsecured debt with an average maturity of approximately 6 years and a weighted average coupon of approximately 5%. During 2012, CIT eliminated or refinanced \$15.2 billion of high cost debt (\$8.8 billion of 7% Series C Notes and \$6.5 billion of 7% Series A Notes).

and \$6.2 billion at December 31, 2011. The weighted average interest rate on deposits was 1.65% at December 31, 2013, down from 1.75% at December 31, 2012 and 2.68% at December 31, 2011.

See Note 28 — Subsequent Events related to an issuance on February 19, 2014 of \$1 billion of senior unsecured notes and Note 8 — Long-term Borrowings for further detail.

InterNotes Retail Note Program

During 2013, we redeemed at par the remaining \$61 million of callable senior unsecured notes issued under CIT's InterNotes retail note program ("InterNotes") that resulted in the acceleration of \$26 million of FSA interest expense. The weighted average coupon on the InterNotes was approximately 6.1%.

Long-term Borrowings – Secured

Secured borrowings totaled \$9.2 billion at December 31, 2013, compared to \$10.1 billion at December 31, 2012.

During the 2013 fourth quarter, CIT Bank closed a \$750 million equipment lease securitization that had a weighted average coupon of 1.02% and is secured by a pool of U.S. Vendor Finance equipment receivables. We renewed a \$500 million committed secured facility during the third quarter and extended the revolving period by one year to September 2015. During the second quarter CIT renewed a committed multi-year \$1 billion U.S. Vendor Finance conduit facility at CIT Bank and renewed and upsized a committed multi-year U.K. conduit facility to GBP 125 million, both at more attractive terms. In March 2013, CIT closed a CAD 250 million committed multi-year conduit facility that allows the Canadian Vendor Finance business to fund both existing assets and new originations at attractive terms.

As part of our liquidity management strategy, we may pledge assets to secure financing transactions (which include securitizations), borrowings from the FHLB and for other purposes as required or permitted by law. Our secured financing transactions do not meet accounting requirements for sale treatment and are recorded as secured borrowings, with the assets remaining on-balance sheet for GAAP. The debt associated with these transactions is collateralized by receivables, leases and/or equipment. Certain related cash balances are restricted.

The Bank is a member of the FHLB of Seattle and may borrow under lines of credit with FHLB Seattle that are secured by a blanket lien on the Bank's assets and collateral pledged to FHLB Seattle. At December 31, 2013, no collateral was pledged and no advances were outstanding with FHLB Seattle. A subsidiary of the

Bank is a member of FHLB Des Moines and may borrow under lines of credit with FHLB Des Moines that are secured by a blanket lien on the subsidiary's assets and collateral pledged to FHLB Des Moines. At December 31, 2013, \$46 million of collateral was pledged and \$35 million of advances were outstanding with FHLB Des Moines.

See *Note 8 — Long-Term Borrowings* for a table displaying our secured financings and pledged assets.

GSI Facilities

Two financing facilities between two wholly-owned subsidiaries of CIT and Goldman Sachs International ("GSI") are structured as total return swaps ("TRS"), under which amounts available for advances are accounted for as derivatives. Pursuant to applicable accounting guidance, only the unutilized portion of the TRS is accounted for as a derivative and recorded at its estimated fair value. The size of the CIT Financial Ltd. ("CFL") facility is \$1.5 billion and the CIT TRS Funding B.V. ("BV") facility is \$625 million.

At December 31, 2013, a total of \$3,029 million of assets and secured debt totaling \$1,845 million issued to investors was outstanding under the GSI Facilities. After adjustment to the amount of actual qualifying borrowing base under terms of the GSI Facilities, this secured debt provided for usage of \$1,640 million of the maximum notional amount of the GSI Facilities. The remaining \$485 million of the maximum notional amount represents the unused portion of the GSI Facilities and constitutes the notional amount of derivative financial instruments. Unsecured counterparty receivable of \$584 million, net of FSA, is owed to CIT from GSI for debt discount, return of collateral posted to GSI and settlements resulting from market value changes to asset-backed securities underlying the structures at December 31, 2013.

The CFL Facility was originally executed on June 6, 2008, and under an October 28, 2009 amendment, the maximum notional amount of the CFL Facility was reduced from \$3.0 billion to \$2.125 billion. During the first half of 2008, CIT experienced significant constraints on its ability to raise funding through the debt capital markets and access the Company's historical sources of funding. The CFL Facility provided a swapped rate on qualifying secured funding at a lower cost than available to CIT through other funding sources at the time. The CFL Facility was structured as a TRS to satisfy the specific requirements to obtain this funding commitment from GSI. Pursuant to applicable accounting guidance, only the unutilized portion of the total return swap is accounted for as a derivative and recorded at fair value. Under the terms of the GSI Facilities, CIT raises cash from the issuance of ABS to investors designated by GSI under the total return swap, equivalent to the face amount of the ABS less an adjustment for any OID which equals the market price of the ABS. CIT is also required to deposit a portion of the face amount of the ABS with GSI as additional collateral prior to funding ABS through the GSI Facilities.

Amounts deposited with GSI can increase or decrease over time depending on the market value of the ABS and / or changes in the ratings of the ABS. CIT and GSI engage in

periodic settlements based on the timing and amount of coupon, principal and any other payments actually made by CIT on the ABS. Pursuant to the terms of the total return swap, GSI is obligated to return those same amounts to CIT plus a proportionate amount of the initial deposit. Simultaneously, CIT is obligated to pay GSI (1) principal in an amount equal to the contractual market price times the amount of principal reduction on the ABS and (2) interest equal to LIBOR times the adjusted qualifying borrowing base of the ABS. On a quarterly basis, CIT pays the fixed facility fee of 2.85% per annum times the maximum facility commitment amount, currently \$1.5 billion under the CFL Facility and \$625 million under the BV Facility, to GSI.

Valuation of the derivatives related to the GSI Facilities is based on several factors using a discounted cash flow (DCF) methodology, including:

- CIT's funding costs for similar financings based on the current market environment;
- Forecasted usage of the long-dated GSI Facilities through the final maturity date in 2028; and
- Forecasted amortization, due to principal payments on the underlying ABS, which impacts the amount of the unutilized portion.

Based on the Company's valuation, we recorded a liability of \$10 million and \$6 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. During 2013 and 2012, we recognized \$4 million and \$6 million, respectively, as a reduction to other income associated with the change in liability. There was no impact in the year ended December 31, 2011.

Interest expense related to the GSI Facilities is affected by the following:

- A fixed facility fee of 2.85% per annum times the maximum facility commitment amount,
- A variable amount based on one-month or three-month USD LIBOR times the "utilized amount" (effectively the "adjusted qualifying borrowing base") of the total return swap, and
- A reduction in interest expense due to the recognition of the payment of any OID from GSI on the various asset-backed securities.

See *Note 9 — Derivative Financial Instruments* for further information.

Debt Ratings

Debt ratings can influence the cost and availability of short- and long-term funding, the terms and conditions on which such funding may be available, the collateral requirements, if any, for borrowings and certain derivative instruments, the acceptability of our letters of credit, and the number of investors and counterparties willing to lend to the Company. A decrease, or potential decrease, in credit ratings could impact access to the capital markets and/or increase the cost of debt, and thereby adversely affect the Company's liquidity and financial condition.

Our debt ratings at December 31, 2013 as rated by Standard & Poor's Ratings Services ("S&P"), Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's") and Dominion Bond Rating Service ("DBRS") are presented in the following table.

Debt Ratings as of December 31, 2013	S&P Ratings Services	Moody's Investors Service	DBRS
Issuer / Counterparty Credit Rating	BB-	Ba3	BB
Revolving Credit Facility Rating	BB-	Ba3	BBB (Low)
Series C Notes / Senior Unsecured Debt Rating	BB-	Ba3	BB
Outlook	Positive	Stable	Positive

Changes that occurred during 2013 included: (1) on January 8, 2013, Moody's upgraded our issuer / counterparty credit and Series C/senior unsecured debt rating by one notch to Ba3/Stable from B1/Stable and (2) on February 12, 2013 S&P changed our debt ratings outlook to positive from stable.

Rating agencies indicate that they base their ratings on many quantitative and qualitative factors, including capital adequacy, liquidity, asset quality, business mix, level and quality of earnings, and the current legislative and regulatory environment, including implied government support. In addition, rating agencies themselves have been subject to scrutiny arising from the financial crisis and could make or be required to make substantial changes to their ratings policies and practices, particularly in response to legislative and regulatory changes, including as a result of provisions in Dodd-Frank. Potential changes in the legislative and regulatory environment and the timing of those changes could impact our ratings, which as noted above could impact our liquidity and financial condition.

A debt rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities, and the ratings are subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the assigning rating agency. Each rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating.

Payments for the Twelve Months Ended December 31⁽¹⁾ (dollars in millions)

	Total	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018+
Secured borrowings ⁽²⁾	\$ 9,493.3	\$1,339.1	\$1,577.4	\$1,026.8	\$ 755.1	\$ 4,794.9
Senior unsecured	12,551.4	1,300.0	1,500.0	-	3,000.0	6,751.4
Total Long-term borrowings	22,044.7	2,639.1	3,077.4	1,026.8	3,755.1	11,546.3
Deposits	12,527.3	5,587.8	2,190.0	766.3	1,947.3	2,035.9
Credit balances of factoring clients	1,336.1	1,336.1	-	-	-	-
Lease rental expense	186.6	31.6	29.5	26.8	23.1	75.6
Total contractual payments	\$36,094.7	\$9,594.6	\$5,296.9	\$1,819.9	\$5,725.5	\$13,657.8

⁽¹⁾ Projected payments of debt interest expense and obligations relating to postretirement programs are excluded.

⁽²⁾ Includes non-recourse secured borrowings, which are generally repaid in conjunction with the pledged receivable maturities.

As detailed in the table above, we have \$2.8 billion of unsecured debt maturities over the next two years that have an average cost of about 5%. We plan to pay them off in part through cash

Tax Implications of Cash in Foreign Subsidiaries

Cash and short term investments held by foreign subsidiaries totaled \$1.8 billion, including cash available to the BHC and restricted cash, at December 31, 2013, compared to \$1.6 billion at both December 31, 2012 and 2011.

In 2011, Management decided to no longer assert its intent to indefinitely reinvest its foreign earnings with limited exceptions in select jurisdictions. This decision was driven by events during the course of the year that culminated in Management's conclusion that it may need to repatriate foreign earnings to address certain long-term investment and funding strategies. As of December 31, 2013, Management continues to maintain this position with regard to its assertion.

Contractual Payments and Commitments

The following tables summarize significant contractual payments and contractual commitment expirations at December 31, 2013. Certain amounts in the payments table are not the same as the respective balance sheet totals, because this table is based on contractual amounts and excludes FSA discounts, in order to better reflect projected contractual payments. Likewise, actual cash flows could vary materially from those depicted in the payments table as further explained in the table footnotes.

generating activities at the BHC, including proceeds from sales of assets and platforms, cash on hand and maturing investments.

Commitment Expiration by Twelve Month Periods Ended December 31 (dollars in millions)

	Total	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018+
Financing commitments	\$ 4,325.8	\$ 799.7	\$ 347.5	\$1,114.2	\$ 905.2	\$1,159.2
Aerospace manufacturer purchase commitments ⁽¹⁾	8,744.5	729.3	1,042.5	959.5	826.4	5,186.8
Rail and other manufacturer purchase commitments	1,054.0	648.9	405.1	–	–	–
Letters of credit	338.2	72.7	8.9	66.2	68.5	121.9
Deferred purchase agreements	1,771.6	1,771.6	–	–	–	–
Guarantees, acceptances and other recourse obligations	3.9	3.9	–	–	–	–
Liabilities for unrecognized tax obligations ⁽²⁾	320.1	5.0	315.1	–	–	–
Total contractual commitments	<u>\$16,558.1</u>	<u>\$4,031.1</u>	<u>\$2,119.1</u>	<u>\$2,139.9</u>	<u>\$1,800.1</u>	<u>\$6,467.9</u>

⁽¹⁾ Aerospace commitments are net of amounts on deposit with manufacturers.

⁽²⁾ The balance cannot be estimated past 2015; therefore the remaining balance is reflected in 2015.

Financing commitments increased from \$3.3 billion at December 31, 2012 to \$4.3 billion at December 31, 2013. This includes commitments that have been extended to and accepted by customers or agents, but on which the criteria for funding have not been completed of \$548 million at December 31, 2013 and \$325 million at December 31, 2012. Also included are Trade Finance credit line agreements with an amount available, net of amount of receivables assigned to us, of \$157 million at December 31, 2013.

At December 31, 2013, substantially all our undrawn financing commitments were senior facilities, with approximately 79%

secured by equipment or other assets and the remainder comprised of cash flow or enterprise value facilities. Most of our undrawn and available financing commitments are in Corporate Finance. The top ten undrawn commitments totaled \$381 million at December 31, 2013.

The table above includes approximately \$0.9 billion of undrawn financing commitments at December 31, 2013 and \$0.6 billion at December 31, 2012 that were not in compliance with contractual obligations, and therefore CIT does not have the contractual obligation to lend.

CAPITAL

Capital Management

CIT manages its capital position to ensure capital is adequate to support the risks of its businesses and capital distributions to its shareholders. CIT uses a complement of capital metrics and related thresholds to measure capital adequacy. The Company takes into account the existing regulatory capital framework and the evolution under the Basel III rules. CIT further evaluates capital adequacy through the enterprise stress testing and economic capital ("ECAP") approaches, which constitute our internal capital adequacy assessment process ("ICAAP").

CIT regularly monitors regulatory capital ratios, ECAP measures and liquidity metrics under baseline and stress scenario forecasts to support the capital adequacy assessment process. Regulatory capital ratios indicate CIT's capital adequacy using regulatory definitions of available capital, such as Tier 1 Capital and Total Risk Based Capital, and regulatory measures of portfolio risk such as risk weighted assets. CIT currently reports regulatory capital under the general risk-based capital rules based on the Basel I framework. Beginning in January 2015, CIT expects to report regulatory capital ratios in accordance with the Basel III Final Rule and to determine risk weighted assets under the Standardized Approach.

ECAP is a probabilistic approach that links capital adequacy to a particular solvency standard consistent with CIT's risk appetite

and expressed as a probability over a one year time horizon. ECAP ratios provide a view of capital adequacy that better takes into account CIT's specific risks with customized approaches to measure these risks. ECAP evaluates capital adequacy by comparing CIT's unexpected losses under probabilistically-defined stress events to the Company's available financial resources, or capital available to absorb losses.

As part of the capital and strategic planning processes, CIT forecasts capital adequacy under baseline and stress scenarios, including the supervisory scenarios provided by the Federal Reserve for consideration in Dodd-Frank Act stress testing. Per the Dodd-Frank Act, both CIT Group and CIT Bank are required to perform annual stress tests as prescribed for institutions with total assets greater than \$10 billion but less than \$50 billion.

The baseline forecast represents CIT's expected trajectory of business progression, while the stress scenarios forecast CIT's capital position under adverse macroeconomic conditions. Scenarios include 9 quarter projections of macroeconomic factors that are used to measure and/or indicate the outlook of specific aspects of the economy. These macroeconomic projections form the basis for CIT's capital adequacy results presented for each scenario.

Return of Capital

On May 30, 2013, our Board of Directors approved the repurchase of up to \$200 million of common stock through December 31, 2013. During 2013, we repurchased over 4 million shares at an average price of \$48.27 per share, approximately \$193 million. The repurchases were effected via open market purchases and through plans designed to comply with Rule 10b5-1(c) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

On October 21, 2013, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.10 per share on its outstanding common stock. The dividend totaled \$20 million and was paid on November 29, 2013 to common shareholders of record on November 15, 2013.

On January 21, 2014, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.10 per share payable on February 28, 2014, and the repurchase of up to \$307 million of common stock through December 31, 2014, which included the amount that was not used from the 2013 share repurchase.

Capital Composition and Ratios

The Company is subject to various regulatory capital requirements. CIT's capital ratios have been consistently above required regulatory ratios and its policy minimums. Capital ratio trends and capital levels reflect growth in underlying assets as well as the FSA impact of accelerated refinancing and repayment of high cost debt. In 2012 and 2011, CIT refinanced or accelerated the repayment of \$31 billion of high cost debt. While these actions economically benefited the Company, they resulted in the acceleration of FSA debt discount, thus increasing interest expense and contributed to the net loss.

In the event that management reverses any of our NOL valuation allowance, the benefit to GAAP earnings will be much more noticeable than the impact on our regulatory capital ratios. While total stockholders' equity in the following table would increase, there would also be an increase in amount of disallowed deferred taxes in the Other Tier 1 components, which would offset most of the benefit.

Tier 1 Capital and Total Capital Components (dollars in millions)

	December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Tier 1 Capital			
Total stockholders' equity	\$ 8,838.8	\$ 8,334.8	\$ 8,883.6
Effect of certain items in accumulated other comprehensive loss excluded from Tier 1 Capital	24.2	41.1	54.3
Adjusted total equity	8,863.0	8,375.9	8,937.9
Less: Goodwill	(338.3)	(345.9)	(353.2)
Disallowed intangible assets	(20.3)	(32.7)	(63.6)
Investment in certain subsidiaries	(32.3)	(34.4)	(36.6)
Other Tier 1 components ⁽¹⁾	(32.6)	(68.0)	(58.6)
Tier 1 Capital	<u>8,439.5</u>	<u>7,894.9</u>	<u>8,425.9</u>
Tier 2 Capital			
Qualifying reserve for credit losses and other reserves ⁽²⁾	383.9	402.6	429.9
Less: Investment in certain subsidiaries	(32.3)	(34.4)	(36.6)
Other Tier 2 components ⁽³⁾	0.1	0.5	—
Total qualifying capital	<u>\$ 8,791.2</u>	<u>\$ 8,263.6</u>	<u>\$ 8,819.2</u>
Risk-weighted assets	<u>\$50,571.2</u>	<u>\$48,616.9</u>	<u>\$44,824.1</u>
BHC Ratios			
Tier 1 Capital Ratio	16.7%	16.2%	18.8%
Total Capital Ratio	17.4%	17.0%	19.7%
Tier 1 Leverage Ratio	18.1%	18.3%	18.8%
CIT Bank Ratios			
Tier 1 Capital Ratio	16.8%	21.5%	36.5%
Total Capital Ratio	18.1%	22.7%	37.5%
Tier 1 Leverage Ratio	16.9%	20.2%	24.7%

⁽¹⁾ Includes the portion of net deferred tax assets that does not qualify for inclusion in Tier 1 capital based on the capital guidelines, the Tier 1 capital charge for nonfinancial equity investments and the Tier 1 capital deduction for net unrealized losses on available-for-sale marketable securities (net of tax).

⁽²⁾ "Other reserves" represents additional credit loss reserves for unfunded lending commitments, letters of credit, and deferred purchase agreements, all of which are recorded in Other Liabilities.

⁽³⁾ Banking organizations are permitted to include in Tier 2 Capital up to 45% of net unrealized pre-tax gains on available for sale equity securities with readily determinable fair values.

The regulatory capital guidelines currently applicable to the Company are based on the Capital Accord of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (Basel I). We compute capital ratios in accordance with Federal Reserve capital guidelines for assessing adequacy of capital. To be well capitalized, a BHC generally must maintain Tier 1 and Total Capital Ratios of at least 6% and 10%, respectively. The Federal Reserve Board also has established minimum guidelines. The minimum ratios are: Tier 1 Capital Ratio of 4.0%, Total Capital Ratio of 8.0% and Tier 1 Leverage Ratio of 4.0%. In order to be considered a "well capitalized" depository institution under FDIC guidelines, the Bank must maintain a

Tier 1 Capital Ratio of at least 6%, a Total Capital Ratio of at least 10%, and a Tier 1 Leverage Ratio of at least 5%.

For a BHC, capital adequacy is based upon risk-weighted asset ratios calculated in accordance with quantitative measures established by the Federal Reserve. Under the Basel 1 guidelines, certain commitments and off-balance sheet transactions are assigned asset equivalent balances, and together with on-balance sheet assets, are divided into risk categories, each of which is assigned a risk weighting ranging from 0% (for example U.S. Treasury Bonds) to 100% (for example commercial loans).

The reconciliation of balance sheet assets to risk-weighted assets is presented below:

Risk-Weighted Assets (dollars in millions)

	December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Balance sheet assets	\$ 47,139.0	\$44,012.0	\$ 45,263.4
Risk weighting adjustments to balance sheet assets	(10,328.1)	(9,960.4)	(12,352.7)
Off balance sheet items ⁽¹⁾	13,760.3	14,565.3	11,913.4
Risk-weighted assets	<u>\$ 50,571.2</u>	<u>\$48,616.9</u>	<u>\$ 44,824.1</u>

⁽¹⁾ 2013 primarily reflects commitments to purchase aircraft and rail (\$9.6 billion), unused lines of credit (\$1.8 billion) and deferred purchase agreements (\$1.8 billion). 2012 also includes commitment for a portfolio of commercial loans purchased in 2013.

See the "Regulation" section of Item 1 Business Overview for further detail regarding regulatory matters, including "Capital Requirements" and "Leverage Requirements".

Tangible Book Value and Tangible Book Value per Share

Tangible book value represents common equity less goodwill and other intangible assets. A reconciliation of CIT's total common stockholders' equity to tangible book value, a non-GAAP measure, follows:

	2013	2012	2011
Total common stockholders' equity	\$8,838.8	\$8,334.8	\$8,883.6
Less: Goodwill	(334.6)	(345.9)	(345.9)
Intangible assets	(20.3)	(31.9)	(63.6)
Tangible book value	<u>\$8,483.9</u>	<u>\$7,957.0</u>	<u>\$8,474.1</u>
Book value per share	<u>\$ 44.78</u>	<u>\$ 41.49</u>	<u>\$ 44.27</u>
Tangible book value per share	<u>\$ 42.98</u>	<u>\$ 39.61</u>	<u>\$ 42.23</u>

⁽¹⁾ Tangible book value and tangible book value per share are non-GAAP measures.

CIT BANK

The Bank is a state-chartered commercial bank headquartered in Salt Lake City, Utah, that is subject to regulation and examination by the FDIC and the UDFI and is our principal bank subsidiary. The Bank originates and funds lending and leasing activity in the U.S. for CIT's commercial business segments. Asset growth during 2013, 2012 and 2011 reflected higher commercial lending and leasing volume. Deposits grew in support of the increased business and we expanded product offerings. The Bank's capital and leverage ratios are included in the tables that follow and remain well above required levels.

As detailed in the following Consolidated Balance Sheet table, total assets increased to \$16.1 billion, up nearly \$4 billion from last year and increased from \$9.0 billion at December 31, 2011, primarily related to growth in commercial financing and leasing assets. Cash and deposits with banks was \$2.5 billion at December 31, 2013, down from \$3.4 billion at December 31, 2012, as cash was used to partially fund portfolio growth and unchanged from December 31, 2011.

Commercial loans totaled \$12.0 billion at December 31, 2013, up from \$8.1 billion at December 31, 2012 and \$3.9 billion at December 31, 2011. Commercial loans grew, reflecting solid new business activity, the acquisition of a \$720 million portfolio of corporate loans at the beginning of 2013, \$272 million of loans purchased from BHC affiliates, and \$67 million of loans transferred in the form of capital infusions from the BHC. The Bank funded \$7.1 billion of new business volume during 2013, which represented nearly all of the new U.S. volumes for Corporate Finance, Transportation Finance and Vendor Finance. Funded volumes were up and included financing in newer initiatives such as maritime finance and commercial real estate lending, plus additional aerospace lending. The Bank also expanded its portfolio of operating lease equipment, which

totaled \$1.2 billion at December 31, 2013 and was comprised primarily of railcars.

CIT Bank deposits were \$12.5 billion at December 31, 2013, up from \$9.6 billion at December 31, 2012 and \$6.1 billion at December 31, 2011. The weighted average interest rate was 1.5% at December 31, 2013, down slightly from December 31, 2012 and down from 2.5% at December 31, 2011. The Bank began offering on-line Individual Retirement Accounts ("IRAs") in March 2013 to supplement its growing suite of product offerings.

The following presents condensed financial information for CIT Bank.

CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS (dollars in millions)

	At December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
ASSETS:			
Cash and deposits with banks	\$ 2,528.6	\$ 3,351.3	\$2,462.1
Investment securities	234.6	123.3	166.7
Assets held for sale	104.5	37.7	1,627.5
Commercial loans	12,032.6	8,060.5	3,934.6
Consumer loans	-	-	565.5
Allowance for loan losses	(212.9)	(134.6)	(49.4)
Operating lease equipment, net	1,248.9	621.6	9.2
Other assets	195.0	164.6	249.3
Total Assets	<u>\$16,131.3</u>	<u>\$12,224.4</u>	<u>\$8,965.5</u>
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY:			
Deposits	\$12,496.2	\$ 9,614.7	\$6,123.8
Long-term borrowings	854.6	49.6	576.7
Other liabilities	183.9	122.7	148.0
Total Liabilities	<u>13,534.7</u>	<u>9,787.0</u>	<u>6,848.5</u>
Total Equity	<u>2,596.6</u>	<u>2,437.4</u>	<u>2,117.0</u>
Total Liabilities and Equity	<u>\$16,131.3</u>	<u>\$12,224.4</u>	<u>\$8,965.5</u>
Capital Ratios:			
Tier 1 Capital Ratio	16.8%	21.5%	36.5%
Total Capital Ratio	18.1%	22.7%	37.5%
Tier 1 Leverage ratio	16.9%	20.2%	24.7%
Financing and Leasing Assets by Segment:			
Corporate Finance	\$ 7,924.8	\$ 5,314.4	\$2,750.6
Transportation Finance	3,018.3	1,807.8	650.5
Vendor Finance	2,393.8	1,539.5	529.6
Trade Finance	49.1	58.1	13.1
Consumer	-	-	2,193.0
Total	<u>\$13,386.0</u>	<u>\$ 8,719.8</u>	<u>\$6,136.8</u>

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Interest income	\$ 550.5	\$ 381.0	\$ 273.1
Interest expense	(172.1)	(191.7)	(118.6)
Net interest revenue	378.4	189.3	154.5
Provision for credit losses	(93.1)	(93.9)	(42.5)
Net interest revenue, after credit provision	285.3	95.4	112.0
Rental income on operating leases	110.2	26.8	0.9
Other income	123.7	144.7	69.6
Total net revenue, net of interest expense and credit provision	519.2	266.9	182.5
Operating expenses	(296.9)	(176.6)	(68.3)
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(44.4)	(9.8)	(0.8)
Income before provision for income taxes	177.9	80.5	113.4
Provision for income taxes	(69.4)	(39.4)	(45.8)
Net income	\$ 108.5	\$ 41.1	\$ 67.6
New business volume – funded	\$7,148.2	\$6,024.7	\$3,160.7

The Bank's 2013 results benefited from higher earning assets while 2012 results include a \$40 million pre-tax acceleration of FSA discount that increased interest expense. The Bank's provision for credit losses reflects continued growth in commercial loans, credit metrics that remain at cyclical lows and the 2012 provision for credit losses included an increase of \$34 million as a change in estimate. For 2013, 2012 and 2011, net charge-offs as a percentage of average finance receivables were 0.15%, 0.14% and 0.15%, respectively.

Other income in 2013 was down from 2012, as lower gains and portfolio servicing fees offset increased fee revenue. Other income in 2012 was up from 2011, driven by gains on student loans sold and higher fee revenue. Operating expenses increased reflecting increased Bank activities, including increased employees along with higher deposit costs on growth in online deposits.

Net Finance Revenue (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Interest income	\$ 550.5	\$ 381.0	\$ 273.1
Rental income on operating leases	110.2	26.8	0.9
Finance revenue	660.7	407.8	274.0
Interest expense	(172.1)	(191.7)	(118.6)
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(44.4)	(9.8)	(0.8)
Net finance revenue	\$ 444.2	\$ 206.3	\$ 154.6
Average Earning Assets ("AEA")	\$11,048.2	\$7,181.6	\$5,793.2
As a % of AEA:			
Interest income	4.98%	5.31%	4.71%
Rental income on operating leases	1.00%	0.37%	0.02%
Finance revenue	5.98%	5.68%	4.73%
Interest expense	(1.56)%	(2.67)%	(2.05)%
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(0.40)%	(0.14)%	(0.01)%
Net finance revenue	4.02%	2.87%	2.67%

Net finance revenue is a non-GAAP measure.

Net finance revenue (“NFR”) and NFR as a percentage of AEA (net finance margin or “NFM”) are key metrics used by management to measure the profitability of our lending and leasing assets. NFR includes interest and fee income on our loans and capital leases, interest and dividend income on cash and investments, rental revenue and depreciation from our leased equipment, as well as funding costs. Since our asset composition includes an increasing level of operating lease equipment, NFM is a more appropriate metric for CIT than net interest margin (“NIM”) (a common metric used by other banks), as NIM does not fully reflect the earnings of our portfolio because it includes the impact of debt costs on all our assets but excludes the net revenue (rental revenue less depreciation) from operating leases.

NFR increased primarily on commercial asset growth. Average earning assets increased, as growth in commercial assets offset the decline in consumer assets (student loans), which decreased due to loan sales in 2012 and 2011 and repayments in all periods. Partially offsetting the higher AEA was lower net FSA accretion, which decreased NFR by \$5 million during 2013, compared to increases of \$15 million in 2012 and \$83 million in 2011. The declines were driven by the combination of lower interest income accretion and accelerated FSA discount of \$40 million on debt extinguishments in 2012. During 2013, the Bank grew its operating lease portfolio, which contributed \$66 million and \$17 million to NFR in 2013 and 2012, respectively, and a minor amount in 2011.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to use judgment in making estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts of assets and liabilities, reported amounts of income and expense and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. The following estimates, which are based on relevant information available at the end of each period, include inherent risks and uncertainties related to judgments and assumptions made. We consider the estimates to be critical in applying our accounting policies, due to the existence of uncertainty at the time the estimate is made, the likelihood of changes in estimates from period to period and the potential impact on the financial statements.

Management believes that the judgments and estimates utilized in the following critical accounting estimates are reasonable. We do not believe that different assumptions are more likely than those utilized, although actual events may differ from such assumptions. Consequently, our estimates could prove inaccurate, and we may be exposed to charges to earnings that could be material.

Allowance for Loan Losses – The allowance for loan losses on finance receivables reflects estimated amounts for loans originated subsequent to the Emergence Date, additional amounts required on loans that were on the balance sheet at the Emergence Date for subsequent changes in circumstances and amounts related to loans brought on balance sheet from previously unconsolidated entities.

The allowance for loan losses is intended to provide for losses inherent in the portfolio, which requires the application of estimates and significant judgment as to the ultimate outcome of collection efforts and realization of collateral values, among other things. Therefore, changes in economic conditions or credit metrics, including past due and non-accruing accounts, or other events affecting specific obligors or industries, may necessitate additions or reductions to the reserve for credit losses.

The allowance for loan losses is reviewed for adequacy based on portfolio collateral values and credit quality indicators, including charge-off experience, levels of past due loans and non-performing assets, evaluation of portfolio diversification and concentration as well as economic conditions to determine the need for a qualitative adjustment. We review finance receivables periodically to

determine the probability of loss, and record charge-offs after considering such factors as delinquencies, the financial condition of obligors, the value of underlying collateral, as well as third party credit enhancements such as guarantees and recourse to manufacturers. This information is reviewed on a quarterly basis with senior management, including the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Risk Officer, Chief Credit Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Controller, among others, as well as the Audit and Risk Management Committees, in order to set the reserve for credit losses.

The allowance for loan losses on finance receivables is determined based on three key components: (1) specific allowances for loans that are impaired, based upon the value of underlying collateral or projected cash flows, (2) non-specific allowances for losses inherent in non-impaired loans in the portfolio based upon estimated loss levels, and (3) a qualitative adjustment to the allowance for economic risks, industry and geographic concentrations, and other factors not adequately captured in our methodology. The non-specific allowance for credit losses has been based on the Company’s internal probability of default (PD) and loss given default (LGD) ratings using loan-level data, generally with a two-year loss emergence period assumption. As of December 31, 2013, the allowance was comprised of non-specific reserves of \$326 million and specific reserves of \$30 million, all related to commercial loans.

As a result, the allowance is sensitive to the risk ratings assigned to loans and leases in our portfolio. Assuming a one level PD downgrade across the 14 grade internal scale for all non-impaired loans and leases, the allowance would have increased by \$236 million to \$592 million at December 31, 2013. Assuming a one level LGD downgrade across the 11 grade internal scale for all non-impaired loans and leases, the allowance would have increased by \$106 million to \$462 million at December 31, 2013. As a percentage of finance receivables for the commercial segments, the allowance would be 3.18% under the PD hypothetical stress scenario and 2.48% under the hypothetical LGD stress scenario, compared to the reported 1.91%.

These sensitivity analyses do not represent management’s expectations of the deterioration in risk ratings, or the increases in allowance and loss rates, but are provided as hypothetical scenarios to assess the sensitivity of the allowance for loan losses to changes in key inputs. We believe the risk ratings utilized in the

allowance calculations are appropriate and that the probability of the sensitivity scenarios above occurring within a short period of time is remote. The process of determining the level of the allowance for loan losses requires a high degree of judgment. Others given the same information could reach different reasonable conclusions.

See *Credit Metrics and Notes 2 and 3* for additional information.

Loan Impairment – Loan impairment is measured based upon the difference between the recorded investment in each loan and either the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at each loan's effective interest rate (the loan's contractual interest rate adjusted for any deferred fees or costs/ discount or premium at the date of origination/acquisition) or if a loan is collateral dependent, the collateral's fair value. When foreclosure or impairment is determined to be probable, the measurement will be based on the fair value of the collateral. The determination of impairment involves management's judgment and the use of market and third party estimates regarding collateral values. Valuations of impaired loans and corresponding impairment affect the level of the reserve for credit losses.

Fair Value Determination – At December 31, 2013, only selected assets (certain debt and equity securities, trading derivatives and derivative counterparty assets) and liabilities (trading derivatives and derivative counterparty liabilities) were measured at fair value.

Debt and equity securities classified as available for sale ("AFS") were carried at fair value, as determined either by Level 1 or Level 2 inputs. Debt securities classified as AFS included investments in U.S. Treasury and federal government agency securities and were valued using Level 1 inputs, primarily quoted prices for similar securities. Certain equity securities classified as AFS were valued using Level 1 inputs, primarily quoted prices in active markets, while other equity securities used Level 2 inputs, due to being less frequently traded or having limited quoted market prices. Assets held for sale were recorded at lower of cost or fair value on the balance sheet. Most of the assets were subject to a binding contract, current letter of intent or third-party valuation, which are Level 3 inputs. The value of impaired loans was estimated using the fair value of collateral (on an orderly liquidation basis) if the loan was collateralized, or the present value of expected cash flows utilizing the current market rate for such loan. The estimated fair values of derivatives were calculated internally using observable market data and represent the net amount receivable or payable to terminate, taking into account current market rates, which represent Level 2 inputs.

The fair value of assets related to net employee projected benefit obligations was determined largely via level 2.

Lease Residual Values – Operating lease equipment is carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and is depreciated to estimated residual value using the straight-line method over the lease term or estimated useful life of the asset. Direct financing leases are recorded at the aggregated future minimum lease payments plus estimated residual values less unearned finance income. We generally bear greater risk in operating lease transactions (versus finance lease transactions) as the duration of an operating lease is shorter relative to the equipment useful life than a finance lease. Management performs periodic reviews

of residual values, with other than temporary impairment recognized in the current period as an increase to depreciation expense for operating lease residual impairment, or as an adjustment to yield for value adjustments on finance leases. Data regarding current equipment values, including appraisals, and historical residual realization experience are among the factors considered in evaluating estimated residual values. As of December 31, 2013, our direct financing lease residual balance was \$0.7 billion and our total operating lease equipment balance totaled \$13.0 billion.

Liabilities for Uncertain Tax Positions – The Company has open tax years in the U.S., Canada, and other international jurisdictions that are currently under examination, or may be subject to examination in the future, by the applicable taxing authorities. We evaluate the adequacy of our income tax reserves in accordance with accounting standards on uncertain tax positions, taking into account open tax return positions, tax assessments received, and tax law changes. The process of evaluating liabilities and tax reserves involves the use of estimates and a high degree of management judgment. The final determination of tax audits could affect our tax reserves.

Realizability of Deferred Tax Assets – The recognition of certain net deferred tax assets of the Company's reporting entities is dependent upon, but not limited to, the future profitability of the reporting entity, when the underlying temporary differences will reverse, and tax planning strategies. Further, Management's judgment regarding the use of estimates and projections is required in assessing our ability to realize the deferred tax assets relating to net operating loss carry forwards ("NOLs") as most of these assets are subject to limited carry-forward periods some of which began to expire in 2013. In addition, the domestic NOLs are subject to annual use limitations under the Internal Revenue Code and certain state laws. Management utilizes historical and projected data in evaluating positive and negative evidence regarding recognition of deferred tax assets. See *Notes 1 and 17* for additional information regarding income taxes.

Goodwill Assets – CIT's goodwill originated as the excess reorganization value over the fair value of tangible and identified intangible assets, net of liabilities, recorded in conjunction with FSA in 2009, and was allocated to Trade Finance, Transportation Finance and Vendor Finance. The consolidated balance totaled \$335 million at December 31, 2013, or less than 1% of total assets. Though the goodwill balance is not significant compared to total assets, management believes the judgmental nature in determining the values of the units when measuring for potential impairment is significant enough to warrant additional discussion. CIT tested for impairment as of September 30, 2013, at which time CIT's share price was \$48.77, trading at a premium to the September 30, 2013 tangible book value ("TBV") per share of \$42.36. This is as compared to December 31, 2009, CIT's emergence date when the Company was valued at a discount of 30% to TBV per share of \$39.06. At September 30, 2013, CIT's share price was trading at 77% above the convenience date share price of \$27.61, while the TBV per share of \$42.36 was approximately 8% higher than the TBV at December 31, 2009. In addition, the Company's Price to TBV multiple of 1.15 improved 12% from the 2012 goodwill evaluation.

In accordance with ASC 350, Intangibles – Goodwill and other, goodwill is assessed for impairment at least annually, or more frequently if events occur that would indicate a potential reduction in the fair value of the reporting unit below its carrying value. Impairment exists when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. The ASC requires a two-step impairment test to be used to identify potential goodwill impairment and measure the amount of goodwill impairment. Companies can also choose to perform qualitative assessments to conclude on whether it is more likely or not that a company's carrying amount including goodwill is greater than its fair value, commonly referred to as Step 0 before applying the two-step approach.

For 2013, we performed Step 1 analysis utilizing estimated fair value based on peer price to earnings ("PE") and TBV multiples for the Transportation Finance, Trade Finance and Vendor Finance goodwill assessments. The current PE method was based on annualized pre-FSA income after taxes and actual peers' multiples as of September 30, 2013. Pre-FSA income after taxes is utilized for valuations as this was considered more appropriate for determining the company's profitability without the impact of FSA adjustment from the Company's emergence from bankruptcy in 2009.

The TBV method is based on the reporting unit's estimated equity carrying amount and peer ratios using TBV as of September 30, 2013. CIT estimates reporting each unit's equity carrying amounts by applying the Company's economic capital ratios to the unit's risk weighted assets.

In addition, the Company applies a 36.5% control premium. The control premium is management's estimate of how much a market participant would be willing to pay over the market fair value for control of the business. Management concluded, based on performing Step 1 analysis, that the fair values of the Transportation Finance, Trade Finance and Vendor Finance reporting units exceed their respective carrying values, including goodwill.

Estimating the fair value of reporting units involves the use of estimates and significant judgments that are based on a number of factors including actual operating results. If current conditions change from those expected, it is reasonably possible that the judgments and estimates described above could change in future periods.

See *Note 24 — Goodwill and Intangible Assets* for more detailed information.

INTERNAL CONTROLS WORKING GROUP

The Internal Controls Working Group ("ICWG"), which reports to the Disclosure Committee, is responsible for monitoring and improving internal controls over financial reporting. The ICWG

is chaired by the Controller and is comprised of senior executives in Finance and the Chief Auditor. See *Item 9A. Controls and Procedures* for more information.

NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASUREMENTS

The SEC adopted regulations that apply to any public disclosure or release of material information that includes a non-GAAP financial measure. The accompanying Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk contain certain non-GAAP financial measures. Due to the nature of our financing and leasing assets, which include a higher proportion of operating lease equipment than most BHCs, and the impact of FSA following our 2009 restructuring, certain financial measures commonly used by other BHCs are not as meaningful for our Company. Therefore, management uses certain

non-GAAP financial measures to evaluate our performance. We intend our non-GAAP financial measures to provide additional information and insight regarding operating results and financial position of the business and in certain cases to provide financial information that is presented to rating agencies and other users of financial information. These measures are not in accordance with, or a substitute for, GAAP and may be different from or inconsistent with non-GAAP financial measures used by other companies. See footnotes below the tables for additional explanation of non-GAAP measurements.

Total Net Revenue and Net Operating Lease Revenue (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Total Net Revenue⁽¹⁾			
Interest income	\$ 1,382.8	\$ 1,569.1	\$ 2,228.7
Rental income on operating leases	1,770.3	1,784.6	1,667.5
Finance revenue	3,153.1	3,353.7	3,896.2
Interest expense	(1,138.0)	(2,897.4)	(2,794.4)
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(573.5)	(533.2)	(575.1)
Net finance revenue	1,441.6	(76.9)	526.7
Other income	382.1	653.1	952.8
Total net revenue	\$ 1,823.7	\$ 576.2	\$ 1,479.5
Net Operating Lease Revenue⁽²⁾			
Rental income on operating leases	\$ 1,770.3	\$ 1,784.6	\$ 1,667.5
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(573.5)	(533.2)	(575.1)
Net operating lease revenue	\$ 1,196.8	\$ 1,251.4	\$ 1,092.4

Adjusted NFR (\$) and NFM (%) (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,					
	2013		2012		2011	
NFR / NFM	\$1,441.6	4.28%	\$ (76.9)	(0.24)%	\$526.7	1.53%
Accelerated FSA net discount/ (premium) on debt extinguishments and repurchases	35.7	0.11%	1,450.9	4.46%	279.2	0.81%
Accelerated OID on debt extinguishments related to the GSI facility	(5.2)	(0.02)%	(52.6)	(0.16)%	–	–
Debt related – prepayment costs	–	–	–	–	114.2	0.33%
Adjusted NFR and NFM	\$1,472.1	4.37%	\$1,321.4	4.06%	\$920.1	2.67%

Operating Expenses Excluding Restructuring Costs (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Operating expenses	\$(984.7)	\$(918.2)	\$(896.6)
Provision for severance and facilities exiting activities	36.9	22.7	13.1
Operating expenses excluding restructuring costs⁽³⁾	\$(947.8)	\$(895.5)	\$(883.5)

Pre-tax Income (Loss) Excluding Debt Redemption Charges (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Pre-tax income/(loss)	\$774.1	\$ (454.8)	\$178.4
Accelerated FSA net discount/(premium) on debt extinguishments and repurchases	35.7	1,450.9	279.2
Debt related – loss on debt extinguishments	–	61.2	134.8
Accelerated OID on debt extinguishments related to the GSI facility	(5.2)	(52.6)	114.2
Debt redemption charges and OID acceleration	30.5	1,459.5	528.2
Pre-tax income – excluding debt redemption charges and OID acceleration⁽⁴⁾	\$804.6	\$1,004.7	\$706.6

Earning Assets (dollars in millions)

Earning Assets ⁽⁵⁾	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Loans	\$18,629.2	\$20,847.6	\$19,905.9
Operating lease equipment, net	13,035.4	12,411.7	12,006.4
Assets held for sale	4,377.9	646.4	2,332.3
Credit balances of factoring clients	(1,336.1)	(1,256.5)	(1,225.5)
Total earning assets	<u>\$34,706.4</u>	<u>\$32,649.2</u>	<u>\$33,019.1</u>
Commercial segments earning assets	<u>\$31,331.9</u>	<u>\$28,953.2</u>	<u>\$26,676.3</u>

Tangible Book Value (dollars in millions)

Tangible Book Value ⁽⁶⁾	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Total common stockholders' equity	\$8,838.8	\$8,334.8	\$8,883.6
Less: Goodwill	(334.6)	(345.9)	(345.9)
Intangible assets	(20.3)	(31.9)	(63.6)
Tangible book value	<u>\$8,483.9</u>	<u>\$7,957.0</u>	<u>\$8,474.1</u>

⁽¹⁾ Total net revenues is a non-GAAP measure that represents the combination of net finance revenue and other income and is an aggregation of all sources of revenue for the Company. Total net revenues is used by management to monitor business performance. Given our asset composition includes a high level of operating lease equipment, NFM is a more appropriate metric than net interest margin ("NIM") (a common metric used by other bank holding companies), as NIM does not fully reflect the earnings of our portfolio because it includes the impact of debt costs of all our assets but excludes the net revenue (rental revenue less depreciation) from operating leases.

⁽²⁾ Net operating lease revenue is a non-GAAP measure that represents the combination of rental income on operating leases less depreciation on operating lease equipment. Net operating lease revenues is used by management to monitor portfolio performance.

⁽³⁾ Operating expenses excluding restructuring costs is a non-GAAP measure used by management to compare period over period expenses.

⁽⁴⁾ Pre-tax income excluding debt redemption charges is a non-GAAP measure used by management to compare period over period operating results.

⁽⁵⁾ Earning assets is a non-GAAP measure and are utilized in certain revenue and earnings ratios. Earning assets are net of credit balances of factoring clients. This net amount represents the amounts we fund.

⁽⁶⁾ Tangible book value is a non-GAAP measure, which represents an adjusted common shareholders' equity balance that has been reduced by goodwill and intangible assets. Tangible book value is used to compute a per common share amount, which is used to evaluate our use of equity.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements contained in this document are “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements contained herein that are not clearly historical in nature are forward-looking and the words “anticipate,” “believe,” “could,” “expect,” “estimate,” “forecast,” “intend,” “plan,” “potential,” “project,” “target” and similar expressions are generally intended to identify forward-looking statements. Any forward-looking statements contained herein, in press releases, written statements or other documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission or in communications and discussions with investors and analysts in the normal course of business through meetings, webcasts, phone calls and conference calls, concerning our operations, economic performance and financial condition are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and contingencies. Forward-looking statements are included, for example, in the discussions about:

- our liquidity risk and capital management, including our capital plan, leverage, capital ratios, and credit ratings, our liquidity plan, and our plans and the potential transactions designed to enhance our liquidity and capital, and for a return of capital,
- our plans to change our funding mix and to access new sources of funding to broaden our use of deposit taking capabilities,
- our credit risk management and credit quality,
- our asset/liability risk management,
- accretion and amortization of FSA adjustments,
- our funding, borrowing costs and net finance revenue,
- our operational risks, including success of systems enhancements and expansion of risk management and control functions,
- our mix of portfolio asset classes, including growth initiatives, new business initiatives, new products, acquisitions and divestitures, new business and customer retention,
- legal risks,
- our growth rates,
- our commitments to extend credit or purchase equipment, and
- how we may be affected by legal proceedings.

All forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control, which may cause actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from anticipated results, performance or achievements. Also, forward-looking statements are based upon management’s estimates of fair values and of future costs, using currently available information.

Therefore, actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied in those statements. Factors, in addition to those disclosed in “Risk Factors”, that could cause such differences include, but are not limited to:

- capital markets liquidity,
- risks of and/or actual economic slowdown, downturn or recession,
- industry cycles and trends,
- uncertainties associated with risk management, including credit, prepayment, asset/liability, interest rate and currency risks,
- estimates and assumptions used to fair value the balance sheet in accordance with FSA and actual variation between the estimated fair values and the realized values,
- adequacy of reserves for credit losses,
- risks inherent in changes in market interest rates and quality spreads,
- funding opportunities, deposit taking capabilities and borrowing costs,
- conditions and/or changes in funding markets and our access to such markets, including secured and unsecured term debt and the asset-backed securitization markets,
- risks of implementing new processes, procedures, and systems,
- risks associated with the value and recoverability of leased equipment and lease residual values,
- risks of achieving the projected revenue growth from new business initiatives or the projected expense reductions from efficiency improvements,
- application of fair value accounting in volatile markets,
- application of goodwill accounting in a recessionary economy,
- changes in laws or regulations governing our business and operations,
- changes in competitive factors,
- demographic trends,
- customer retention rates,
- future acquisitions and dispositions of businesses or asset portfolios, and
- regulatory changes and/or developments.

Any or all of our forward-looking statements here or in other publications may turn out to be wrong, and there are no guarantees about our performance. We do not assume the obligation to update any forward-looking statement for any reason.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of CIT Group Inc.:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations, of comprehensive income (loss), of stockholders' equity and of cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of CIT Group Inc. and its subsidiaries ("the Company") at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework (1992)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits (which were integrated audits in 2013 and 2012). We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting,

assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.



New York, New York
February 28, 2014

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (dollars in millions – except per share data)

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Assets		
Cash and due from banks, including restricted balances of \$178.1 and \$497.6 at December 31, 2013 and 2012 ⁽¹⁾ , respectively	\$ 980.6	\$ 877.1
Interest bearing deposits, including restricted balances of \$880.0 and \$687.5 at December 31, 2013 and 2012 ⁽¹⁾ , respectively	5,158.6	5,944.2
Investment securities	2,630.7	1,065.5
Trading assets at fair value – derivatives	37.4	8.4
Assets held for sale ⁽¹⁾	4,377.9	646.4
Loans (see Note 8 for amounts pledged)	18,629.2	20,847.6
Allowance for loan losses	(356.1)	(379.3)
Total loans, net of allowance for loan losses ⁽¹⁾	18,273.1	20,468.3
Operating lease equipment, net (see Note 8 for amounts pledged) ⁽¹⁾	13,035.4	12,411.7
Unsecured counterparty receivable	584.1	649.1
Goodwill	334.6	345.9
Intangible assets, net	20.3	31.9
Other assets	1,706.3	1,563.5
Total Assets	\$47,139.0	\$44,012.0
Liabilities		
Deposits	\$ 12,526.5	\$ 9,684.5
Trading liabilities at fair value – derivatives	86.9	81.9
Credit balances of factoring clients	1,336.1	1,256.5
Other liabilities	2,589.5	2,687.8
Long-term borrowings, including \$2,639.1 and \$1,425.9 contractually due within twelve months at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively	21,750.0	21,961.8
Total Liabilities	38,289.0	35,672.5
Stockholders' Equity		
Common stock: \$0.01 par value, 600,000,000 authorized Issued: 202,182,395 and 201,283,063 at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively Outstanding: 197,403,751 and 200,868,802 at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively	2.0	2.0
Paid-in capital	8,555.4	8,501.8
Retained earnings / (Accumulated deficit)	581.0	(74.6)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(73.6)	(77.7)
Treasury stock: 4,778,644 and 414,261 shares at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 at cost, respectively	(226.0)	(16.7)
Total Common Stockholders' Equity	8,838.8	8,334.8
Noncontrolling minority interests	11.2	4.7
Total Equity	8,850.0	8,339.5
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$47,139.0	\$44,012.0

⁽¹⁾ The following table presents information on assets and liabilities related to Variable Interest Entities (VIEs) that are consolidated by the Company. The difference between VIE total assets and total liabilities represents the Company's interest in those entities, which were eliminated in consolidation. The assets of the consolidated VIEs will be used to settle the liabilities of those entities and, except for the Company's interest in the VIEs, are not available to the creditors of CIT or any affiliates of CIT.

Assets		
Cash and interest bearing deposits, restricted	\$ 610.9	\$ 751.5
Assets held for sale	3,440.4	8.7
Total loans, net of allowance for loan losses	3,109.5	7,135.5
Operating lease equipment, net	4,569.9	4,508.8
Total Assets	\$11,730.7	\$12,404.5
Liabilities		
Beneficial interests issued by consolidated VIEs (classified as long-term borrowings)	\$ 8,422.0	\$ 9,241.3
Total Liabilities	\$ 8,422.0	\$ 9,241.3

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (dollars in millions – except per share data)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
<i>Interest income</i>			
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 1,353.9	\$ 1,536.8	\$ 2,193.9
Interest and dividends on interest bearing deposits and investments	28.9	32.3	34.8
Interest income	<u>1,382.8</u>	<u>1,569.1</u>	<u>2,228.7</u>
<i>Interest expense</i>			
Interest on long-term borrowings	(958.2)	(2,744.9)	(2,683.2)
Interest on deposits	(179.8)	(152.5)	(111.2)
Interest expense	<u>(1,138.0)</u>	<u>(2,897.4)</u>	<u>(2,794.4)</u>
Net interest revenue	244.8	(1,328.3)	(565.7)
Provision for credit losses	(64.9)	(51.6)	(269.7)
Net interest revenue, after credit provision	<u>179.9</u>	<u>(1,379.9)</u>	<u>(835.4)</u>
<i>Non-interest income</i>			
Rental income on operating leases	1,770.3	1,784.6	1,667.5
Other income	382.1	653.1	952.8
Total non-interest income	<u>2,152.4</u>	<u>2,437.7</u>	<u>2,620.3</u>
Total revenue, net of interest expense and credit provision	<u>2,332.3</u>	<u>1,057.8</u>	<u>1,784.9</u>
<i>Other expenses</i>			
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(573.5)	(533.2)	(575.1)
Operating expenses	(984.7)	(918.2)	(896.6)
Loss on debt extinguishments	–	(61.2)	(134.8)
Total other expenses	<u>(1,558.2)</u>	<u>(1,512.6)</u>	<u>(1,606.5)</u>
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	774.1	(454.8)	178.4
Provision for income taxes	(92.5)	(133.8)	(158.6)
Income (loss) before noncontrolling interests	681.6	(588.6)	19.8
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests, after tax	(5.9)	(3.7)	(5.0)
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ 675.7</u>	<u>\$ (592.3)</u>	<u>\$ 14.8</u>
Basic income (loss) per common share	\$ 3.37	\$ (2.95)	\$ 0.07
Diluted income (loss) per common share	\$ 3.35	\$ (2.95)	\$ 0.07
Average number of common shares – basic (thousands)	200,503	200,887	200,678
Average number of common shares – diluted (thousands)	201,695	200,887	200,815

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Income (loss) before noncontrolling interests	<u>\$ 681.6</u>	<u>\$ (588.6)</u>	<u>\$ 19.8</u>
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:			
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(12.8)	(8.4)	(23.9)
Changes in fair values of derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges	(0.1)	0.6	0.9
Net unrealized gains (losses) on available for sale securities	(2.0)	1.0	(0.9)
Changes in benefit plans net gain (loss) and prior service (cost)/credit	19.0	11.7	(57.6)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	<u>4.1</u>	<u>4.9</u>	<u>(81.5)</u>
Comprehensive income (loss) before noncontrolling interests	685.7	(583.7)	(61.7)
Comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(5.9)	(3.7)	(5.0)
Comprehensive income (loss)	<u><u>\$679.8</u></u>	<u><u>\$ (587.4)</u></u>	<u><u>\$ (66.7)</u></u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (dollars in millions)

	Common Stock	Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit)	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Treasury Stock	Noncontrolling Minority Interests	Total Equity
December 31, 2010	<u>\$2.0</u>	<u>\$8,434.1</u>	<u>\$ 502.9</u>	<u>\$ (1.1)</u>	<u>\$ (8.8)</u>	<u>\$ (2.3)</u>	<u>\$8,926.8</u>
Net income			14.8			5.0	19.8
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax				(81.5)			(81.5)
Amortization of restricted stock and stock option expenses		24.6			(4.0)		20.6
Employee stock purchase plan		0.6					0.6
Distribution of earnings and capital						(0.2)	(0.2)
December 31, 2011	<u>\$2.0</u>	<u>\$8,459.3</u>	<u>\$ 517.7</u>	<u>\$(82.6)</u>	<u>\$ (12.8)</u>	<u>\$ 2.5</u>	<u>\$8,886.1</u>
Net income (loss)			(592.3)			3.7	(588.6)
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax				4.9			4.9
Amortization of restricted stock, stock option, and performance share expenses		41.6			(3.9)		37.7
Employee stock purchase plan		1.1					1.1
Distribution of earnings and capital		(0.2)				(1.5)	(1.7)
December 31, 2012	<u>\$2.0</u>	<u>\$8,501.8</u>	<u>\$ (74.6)</u>	<u>\$(77.7)</u>	<u>\$ (16.7)</u>	<u>\$ 4.7</u>	<u>\$8,339.5</u>
Net income			675.7			5.9	681.6
Other comprehensive income, net of tax				4.1			4.1
Dividends paid			(20.1)				(20.1)
Amortization of restricted stock, stock option and performance shares expenses		52.5			(15.9)		36.6
Repurchase of common stock					(193.4)		(193.4)
Employee stock purchase plan		1.1					1.1
Distribution of earnings and capital						0.6	0.6
December 31, 2013	<u>\$2.0</u>	<u>\$8,555.4</u>	<u>\$ 581.0</u>	<u>\$(73.6)</u>	<u>\$(226.0)</u>	<u>\$11.2</u>	<u>\$8,850.0</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CIT GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31		
	2013	2012	2011
Cash Flows From Operations			
Net income (loss)	\$ 675.7	\$ (592.3)	\$ 14.8
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash flows from operations:			
Provision for credit losses	64.9	51.6	269.7
Net depreciation, amortization and accretion	705.5	1,985.9	751.8
Net gains on equipment, receivable and investment sales	(187.2)	(342.8)	(502.5)
Loss on debt extinguishments	–	21.1	109.8
Provision for deferred income taxes	59.1	32.7	57.0
(Increase) decrease in finance receivables held for sale	404.8	(54.9)	46.9
(Increase) decrease in other assets	(251.1)	(106.2)	503.3
Decrease in accrued liabilities and payables	(18.1)	(86.6)	(394.8)
Net cash flows provided by operations	1,453.6	908.5	856.0
Cash Flows From Investing Activities			
Loans originated and purchased	(18,243.1)	(18,983.6)	(20,576.2)
Principal collections of loans	15,310.4	16,673.7	21,670.7
Purchases of investment securities	(16,538.8)	(16,322.0)	(14,971.8)
Proceeds from maturities of investment securities	15,084.5	16,580.0	14,085.9
Proceeds from asset and receivable sales	1,875.4	4,499.3	4,315.7
Purchases of assets to be leased and other equipment	(2,071.8)	(1,776.6)	(2,136.9)
Net increase in short-term factoring receivables	105.2	134.1	196.8
Net change in restricted cash	127.0	(314.0)	1,683.9
Net cash flows (used in) provided by investing activities	(4,351.2)	490.9	4,268.1
Cash Flows From Financing Activities			
Proceeds from the issuance of term debt	2,107.6	13,523.9	6,680.5
Repayments of term debt	(2,445.8)	(19,542.2)	(15,626.3)
Net increase in deposits	2,846.1	3,499.8	1,680.9
Collection of security deposits and maintenance funds	543.9	563.4	554.6
Use of security deposits and maintenance funds	(495.8)	(373.8)	(498.5)
Repurchase of common stock	(193.4)	–	–
Dividends paid	(20.1)	–	–
Net cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	2,342.5	(2,328.9)	(7,208.8)
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(555.1)	(929.5)	(2,084.7)
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	5,636.2	6,565.7	8,650.4
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 5,081.1	\$ 5,636.2	\$ 6,565.7
Supplementary Cash Flow Disclosure			
Interest paid	\$ (997.8)	\$ (1,240.0)	\$ (1,939.8)
Federal, foreign, state and local income taxes (paid) collected, net	\$ (68.0)	\$ 18.4	\$ 94.5
Supplementary Non Cash Flow Disclosure			
Transfer of assets from held for investment to held for sale	\$ 5,141.9	\$ 1,421.2	\$ 3,959.4
Transfer of assets from held for sale to held for investment	\$ 18.0	\$ 11.0	\$ 229.8

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 — BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

CIT Group Inc., together with its subsidiaries (collectively "CIT" or the "Company"), has provided financial solutions to its clients since its formation in 1908. The Company provides financing, leasing and advisory services principally to middle market companies in a wide variety of industries and offers vendor, equipment, commercial and structured financing products, as well as factoring and management advisory services. CIT became a bank holding company ("BHC") in December 2008 and a financial holding company ("FHC") in July 2013. CIT is regulated by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("FRB") and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York ("FRBNY") under the U.S. Bank Holding Company Act of 1956. CIT Bank (the "Bank"), a wholly-owned subsidiary, is a state-chartered bank located in Salt Lake City, Utah, and is regulated by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and the Utah Department of Financial Institutions ("UDFI"). The Company operates primarily in North America, with locations in Europe, South America and Asia.

BASIS OF PRESENTATION**Basis of Financial Information**

The accounting and financial reporting policies of CIT Group Inc. conform to generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") in the United States and the preparation of the consolidated financial statements is in conformity with GAAP which requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts and disclosures. Actual results could differ from those estimates and assumptions. Some of the more significant estimates include: allowance for loan losses, loan impairment, fair value determination, lease residual values, liabilities for uncertain tax positions, realizability of deferred tax assets and goodwill assets. Additionally where applicable, the policies conform to accounting and reporting guidelines prescribed by bank regulatory authorities.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include financial information related to CIT Group Inc. and its majority-owned subsidiaries and those variable interest entities ("VIEs") where the Company is the primary beneficiary.

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, all significant inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated. Assets held in an agency or fiduciary capacity are not included in the consolidated financial statements.

SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**Financing and Leasing Assets**

CIT extends credit to customers through a variety of financing arrangements; including term loans, revolving credit facilities, capital leases (direct finance leases) and operating leases. The amounts outstanding on term loans, revolving credit facilities and capital leases are referred to as finance receivables. In certain instances, we use the term "Loans" synonymously, as presented on the balance sheet. These finance receivables, when combined with *Assets held for sale* and *Operating lease equipment, net* are referred to as financing and leasing assets.

It is CIT's expectation that the majority of the loans and leases originated will be held for the foreseeable future or until maturity. In certain situations, for example to manage concentrations and/or credit risk or where returns no longer meet specified targets, some or all of certain exposures are sold. Loans for which the Company has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity are classified as held for investment ("HFI"). If the Company no longer has the intent or ability to hold loans for the foreseeable future, then the loans are transferred to assets held for sale ("AHFS"). Loans originated with the intent to resell are classified as AHFS.

Loans originated and classified as HFI are recorded at amortized cost. Loan origination fees and certain direct origination costs are deferred and recognized as adjustments to interest income over the lives of the related loans. Unearned income on leases and discounts and premiums on loans purchased are amortized to interest income using the effective interest method. Direct financing leases originated and classified as HFI are recorded at the aggregate future minimum lease payments plus estimated residual values less unearned finance income. Management performs periodic reviews of estimated residual values, with other than temporary impairment ("OTTI") recognized in current period earnings.

Operating lease equipment is carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. Operating lease equipment is depreciated to its estimated residual value using the straight-line method over the lease term or estimated useful life of the asset.

In the operating lease portfolio, maintenance costs incurred that exceed maintenance funds collected for commercial aircraft are expensed if they do not provide a future economic benefit and do not extend the useful life of the aircraft. Such costs may include costs of routine aircraft operation and costs of maintenance and spare parts incurred in connection with re-leasing an aircraft and during the transition between leases. For such maintenance costs that are not capitalized, a charge is recorded in expense at the time the costs are incurred. Income recognition related to maintenance funds collected and not used during the life of the lease is deferred to the extent management estimates costs will be incurred by subsequent lessees performing scheduled maintenance. Upon the disposition of an aircraft, any excess maintenance funds that exist are recognized as Other Income.

If it is determined that a loan should be transferred from HFI to AHFS, then the balance is transferred at the lower of cost or fair value. At the time of transfer, a write-down of the loan is recorded as a charge-off when the carrying amount exceeds fair value and the difference relates to credit quality, otherwise the write-down is recorded as a reduction in Other Income, and any allowance for loan loss is reversed. Once classified as AHFS, the amount by which the carrying value exceeds fair value is recorded as a valuation allowance and is reflected as a reduction to Other Income.

If it is determined that a loan should be transferred from AHFS to HFI, the loan is transferred at the lower of cost or fair value on the transfer date, which coincides with the date of change in management's intent. The difference between the carrying value of the loan and the fair value, if lower, is reflected as a loan discount at the transfer date, which reduces its carrying value. Subsequent to the transfer, the discount is accreted into earnings

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

as an increase to interest income over the life of the loan using the effective interest method.

Revenue Recognition

Interest income on loans (both HFI and AHFS) and direct financing leases is recognized using the effective interest method or on a basis approximating a level rate of return over the life of the asset. Interest income includes a component of accretion of the fair value discount on loans and lease receivables recorded in connection with Fresh Start Accounting ("FSA"), which is accreted using the effective interest method as a yield adjustment over the remaining term of the loan and recorded in interest income. See *Fresh Start Accounting* further in this section.

Rental revenue on operating leases is recognized on a straight line basis over the lease term and is included in Non-interest Income. An intangible asset was recorded in FSA to adjust for carrying value of above or below market operating lease contracts to their fair value. These adjustments (net) are amortized into rental income on a straight line basis over the remaining term of the respective lease.

The recognition of interest income (including accretion) on commercial loans and finance receivables is suspended and an account is placed on non-accrual status when, in the opinion of management, full collection of all principal and interest due is doubtful. To the extent the estimated cash flows, including fair value of collateral, does not satisfy both the principal and accrued interest outstanding, accrued but uncollected interest at the date an account is placed on non-accrual status is reversed and charged against interest income. Subsequent interest received is applied to the outstanding principal balance until such time as the account is collected, charged-off or returned to accrual status. Interest on loans or capital leases that are on cash basis non-accrual do not accrue interest income; however, payments designated by the borrower as interest payments may be recorded as interest income. To qualify for this treatment, the remaining recorded investment in the loan or capital lease must be deemed fully collectable.

The recognition of interest income (including accretion) on consumer loans and certain small ticket commercial loans and lease receivables is suspended and all previously accrued but uncollected revenue is reversed, when payment of principal and/or interest is contractually delinquent for 90 days or more. Accounts, including accounts that have been modified, are returned to accrual status when, in the opinion of management, collection of remaining principal and interest is reasonably assured, and upon collection of six consecutive scheduled payments.

The Company periodically modifies the terms of finance receivables in response to borrowers' financial difficulties. These modifications may include interest rate changes, principal forgiveness or payment deferrals. The finance receivables that are modified, where a concession has been made to the borrower, are accounted for as Troubled Debt Restructurings ("TDR's"). TDR's are generally placed on non-accrual upon their restructuring and remain on non-accrual until, in the opinion of management, collection of remaining principal and interest is reasonably assured, and upon collection of six consecutive scheduled payments.

Allowance for Loan Losses on Finance Receivables

The allowance for loan losses is intended to provide for credit losses inherent in the loan and lease receivables portfolio and is periodically reviewed for adequacy considering credit quality indicators, including expected and historical losses and levels of and trends in past due loans, non-performing assets and impaired loans, collateral values and economic conditions.

The allowance for loan losses on finance receivables for CIT reflects estimated amounts for loans originated subsequent to the emergence date, and amounts required in excess of the remaining FSA discount on loans that were on the balance sheet at the emergence date. The allowance for loan losses on finance receivables originated as of or subsequent to emergence is determined based on three key components: (1) specific allowances for loans that are impaired, based upon the value of underlying collateral or projected cash flows, (2) non-specific allowances for estimated losses inherent in the portfolio based upon the expected loss over the loss emergence period projected loss levels and (3) allowances for estimated losses inherent in the portfolio based upon economic risks, industry and geographic concentrations, and other factors. Changes to the Allowance for Loan Losses are recorded in the Provision for Credit Losses.

With respect to assets transferred from HFI to AHFS, a charge off is recognized to the extent carrying value exceeds the expected cash flows and the difference relates to credit quality.

An approach similar to the allowance for loan losses is utilized to calculate a reserve for losses related to unfunded loan commitments and deferred purchase commitments associated with the Company's factoring business. A reserve for unfunded loan commitments is maintained to absorb estimated probable losses related to these facilities. The adequacy of the reserve is determined based on periodic evaluations of the unfunded credit facilities, including an assessment of the probability of commitment usage, credit risk factors for loans outstanding to these same customers, and the terms and expiration dates of the unfunded credit facilities. The reserve for unfunded loan commitments is recorded as a liability on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Net adjustments to the reserve for unfunded loan commitments are included in the provision for credit losses.

Finance receivables are divided into the following five portfolio segments, which correspond to the Company's business segments; Corporate Finance; Transportation Finance; Trade Finance; Vendor Finance and Consumer. Within each portfolio segment, credit risk is assessed and monitored in the following classes of loans; Corporate Finance – SBL (Small Business Lending); Corporate Finance – commercial real estate (CRE); Corporate Finance – other; Transportation Finance; Trade Finance; Vendor Finance – U.S.; Vendor Finance – International; and Consumer. The allowance is estimated based upon the finance receivables in the respective class.

The allowance policies described above related to specific and non-specific allowances, and the impaired finance receivables and charge-off policies that follow, are applied across the portfolio segments and loan classes. Given the nature of the Company's business, the specific allowance is largely related to the Corporate Finance, Trade Finance and Transportation Finance portfolio segments. The non-specific allowance, which considers

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

the Company's internal system of probability of default and loss severity ratings, among other factors, is applicable to all the portfolio segments.

Impaired Finance Receivables

Impaired finance receivables (including loans or capital leases) of \$500 thousand or greater that are placed on non-accrual status, largely in the Corporate Finance – Real Estate Finance, Corporate Finance – other, Trade Finance and Transportation Finance loan classes, are subject to periodic individual review by the Company's problem loan management ("PLM") function. The Company excludes consumer loans and small-ticket loan and lease receivables, largely in the two Vendor Finance and Corporate Finance – SBL loan classes, that have not been modified in a troubled debt restructuring, as well as short-term factoring receivables, from its impaired finance receivables disclosures as charge-offs are typically determined and recorded for such loans beginning at 120-150 days of contractual delinquency.

Impairment occurs when, based on current information and events, it is probable that CIT will be unable to collect all amounts due according to contractual terms of the agreement. Impairment is measured as the shortfall between estimated value and recorded investment in the finance receivable, with the estimated value determined using fair value of collateral and other cash flows if the finance receivable is collateralized, or the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the contract's effective interest rate.

Charge-off of Finance Receivables

Charge-offs on commercial loans are recorded after considering such factors as the borrower's financial condition, the value of underlying collateral and guarantees (including recourse to dealers and manufacturers), and the status of collection activities. Such charge-offs are deducted from the carrying value of the related finance receivables. This policy is largely applicable in the Corporate Finance – Real Estate Finance, Corporate Finance – other, Trade Finance and Transportation Finance loan classes. Charge-offs on consumer and certain small ticket commercial finance receivables, primarily in the Vendor Finance and Consumer segments and the Corporate Finance – SBL loan class, are recorded beginning at 120 to 150 days of contractual delinquency. Charge-offs on loans originated are reflected in the provision for credit losses. Charge-offs on loans with an FSA discount are first allocated to the respective loan's fresh start discount, then to the extent a charge-off amount exceeds such discount, to provision for credit losses. Collections on accounts previously charged off in the post-emergence period are recorded as recoveries in the provision for credit losses. Collections on accounts previously charged off in the pre-emergence period are recorded as recoveries in other income. Collections on accounts previously charged off prior to transfer to AHFS are recorded as recoveries in other income.

Delinquent Finance Receivables

A loan is considered past due for financial reporting purposes if default of contractual principal or interest exists for a period of 30 days or more. Past due loans consist of both loans that are still accruing interest as well as loans on non-accrual status.

Long-Lived Assets

A review for impairment of long-lived assets, such as operating lease equipment, is performed at least annually or when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of long-lived assets may not be recoverable. Impairment of assets is determined by comparing the carrying amount to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated. If an asset is impaired, the impairment is the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the fair value of the asset. Fair value is based upon discounted cash flow analysis and available market data. Current lease rentals, as well as relevant and available market information (including third party sales for similar equipment, and published appraisal data), are considered both in determining undiscounted future cash flows when testing for the existence of impairment and in determining estimated fair value in measuring impairment. Depreciation expense is adjusted when projected fair value at the end of the lease term is below the projected book value at the end of the lease term. Assets to be disposed of are included in assets held for sale in the Consolidated Balance Sheet and reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less disposal costs.

Investments

Debt and equity securities classified as "available-for-sale" ("AFS") are carried at fair value with changes in fair value reported in accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI"), net of applicable income taxes. Credit-related declines in fair value that are determined to be OTTI are immediately recorded in earnings. Realized gains and losses on sales are included in *Other income* on a specific identification basis, and interest and dividend income on AFS securities is included in *Interest and dividends on interest bearing deposits and investments*.

Debt securities classified as "held-to-maturity" ("HTM") represent securities that the Company has both the ability and the intent to hold until maturity, and are carried at amortized cost. Interest on such securities is included in *Interest and dividends on interest bearing deposits and investments*.

Debt and marketable equity security purchases and sales are recorded as of the trade date.

Equity securities without readily determinable fair values are generally carried at cost or the equity method of accounting and periodically assessed for OTTI, with the net asset values reduced when impairment is deemed to be other-than-temporary. Equity method investments are recorded at cost, adjusted to reflect the Company's portion of income, loss or dividend of the investee.

Evaluating Investments for OTTI

An unrealized loss exists when the current fair value of an individual security is less than its amortized cost basis. Unrealized losses that are determined to be temporary in nature are recorded, net of tax, in AOCI for AFS securities, while such losses related to HTM securities are not recorded, as these investments are carried at their amortized cost.

The Company conducts and documents periodic reviews of all securities with unrealized losses to evaluate whether the impairment is other than temporary. The Company accounts for investment impairments in accordance with ASC 320-10-35-34, *Investments – Debt and Equity Securities: Recognition of an Other-Than-Temporary Impairment*. Under the guidance for debt

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

securities, OTTI is recognized in earnings for debt securities that the Company has an intent to sell or that the Company believes it is more-likely-than-not that it will be required to sell prior to the recovery of the amortized cost basis. For those securities that the Company does not intend to sell or expect to be required to sell, credit-related impairment is recognized in earnings, while the non-credit related impairment is recorded in AOCI.

Amortized cost is defined as the original purchase cost, plus or minus any accretion or amortization of a purchase discount or premium. Regardless of the classification of the securities as AFS or HTM, the Company assesses each investment with an unrealized loss for impairment.

Factors considered in determining whether a loss is temporary include:

- the length of time that fair value has been below cost;
- the severity of the impairment or the extent to which fair value has been below cost;
- the cause of the impairment and the financial condition and the near-term prospects of the issuer;
- activity in the market of the issuer that may indicate adverse credit conditions; and
- the Company's ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery.

The Company's review for impairment generally includes identification and evaluation of investments that have indications of possible impairment, in addition to:

- analysis of individual investments that have fair values less than amortized cost, including consideration of the length of time the investment has been in an unrealized loss position and the expected recovery period;
- discussion of evidential matter, including an evaluation of factors or triggers that could cause individual investments to qualify as having OTTI and those that would not support OTTI; and
- documentation of the results of these analyses, as required under business policies.

For equity securities, management considers the various factors described above. If it is determined that the security's decline in fair value (for equity securities AFS) or cost (for equity securities carried at amortized cost) is other than temporary, the security's fair value or cost is written down, and the charge recognized in Other income.

Goodwill and Other Identified Intangibles

The Company's goodwill represents the excess of the reorganization equity value over the fair value of tangible and identifiable intangible assets, net of liabilities as of the emergence date. The goodwill was assigned to reporting units at the date the goodwill was initially recorded. Once the goodwill was assigned to the segment (or "reporting unit") level, it no longer retained its association with a particular transaction, and all of the activities within the reporting unit, whether acquired or internally generated, are available to evaluate the value of goodwill.

Goodwill is not amortized but it is subject to impairment testing at the reporting unit on an annual basis, or more often if events or circumstances indicate there may be impairment. The Company follows guidance in ASU 2011-08, *Intangibles – Goodwill and Other (Topic 350), Testing Goodwill for Impairment* that

includes the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount before performing the two-step impairment test as required in ASC 350, *Intangibles – Goodwill and Other*. Examples of qualitative factors to assess include macroeconomic conditions, industry and market considerations, market changes affecting the Company's products and services, overall financial performance, and company specific events affecting operations.

If the Company does not perform the qualitative assessment or upon performing the qualitative assessment concludes that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, CIT would be required to perform the first step of the two-step goodwill impairment test for that reporting unit. The first step involves comparing the fair value of the reporting unit with its carrying value, including goodwill as measured by allocated equity. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, goodwill in that unit is not considered impaired. However, if the carrying value exceeds its fair value, step two must be performed to assess potential impairment. In step two, the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill (the reporting unit fair value less its carrying amount, excluding goodwill) is compared with the carrying amount of the goodwill. An impairment loss would be recorded in the amount that the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. Reporting unit fair values are primarily estimated using discounted cash flow models. See Note 24 for further details.

An intangible asset was recorded in FSA for net above and below market operating lease contracts. These intangible assets are amortized on a straight line basis, resulting in lower rental income (a component of Non-interest Income) over the remaining term of the lease agreements. Management evaluates definite lived intangible assets for impairment when events and circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of those assets may not be recoverable.

Other Assets

Assets received in satisfaction of loans are initially recorded at fair value and then assessed at the lower of carrying value or estimated fair value less selling costs, with write-downs of the pre-existing receivable reflected in the provision for credit losses. Additional impairment charges, if any, would be recorded in Other Income.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company manages economic risk and exposure to interest rate and foreign currency risk through derivative transactions in over-the-counter markets with other financial institutions. The Company does not enter into derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes.

Derivatives utilized by the Company may include swaps, forward settlement contracts, and options contracts. A swap agreement is a contract between two parties to exchange cash flows based on specified underlying notional amounts, assets and/or indices. Forward settlement contracts are agreements to buy or sell a quantity of a financial instrument, index, currency or commodity at a predetermined future date, and rate or price. An option contract is an agreement that gives the buyer the right, but not the

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

obligation, to buy or sell an underlying asset from or to another party at a predetermined price or rate over a specific period of time.

The Company documents at inception all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as the risk management objectives and strategies for undertaking various hedges. Upon executing a derivative contract, the Company designates the derivative as either a qualifying hedge or non-qualifying hedge. The designation may change based upon management's reassessment of circumstances.

The Company utilizes cross-currency swaps and foreign currency forward contracts to hedge net investments in foreign operations. These transactions are classified as foreign currency net investment hedges with resulting gains and losses reflected in AOCI. For hedges of foreign currency net investment positions, the "forward" method is applied whereby effectiveness is assessed and measured based on the amounts and currencies of the individual hedged net investments versus the notional amounts and underlying currencies of the derivative contract. For those hedging relationships where the critical terms of the underlying net investment and the derivative are identical, and the credit-worthiness of the counterparty to the hedging instrument remains sound, there is an expectation of no hedge ineffectiveness so long as those conditions continue to be met.

The Company also enters into foreign currency forward contracts to manage the foreign currency risk associated with its non US subsidiary's funding activities and designates these as foreign currency cash flow hedges for which certain components are reflected in AOCI and others recognized in noninterest income when the underlying transaction impacts earnings.

In addition, the company uses foreign currency forward contracts, interest rate swaps, cross currency interest rate swaps, and options to hedge interest rate and foreign currency risks arising from its asset and liability mix. These are treated as economic hedges.

Derivative instruments that qualify for hedge accounting are presented in the balance sheet at their fair values in other assets or other liabilities. Derivatives that do not qualify for hedge accounting are presented in the balance sheet as trading assets or liabilities, with their resulting gains or losses recognized in Other Income. Fair value is based on dealer quotes, pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques for which the determination of fair value may require significant management judgment or estimation. The fair value of the derivative is reported on a gross-by-counterparty basis. Valuations of derivative assets and liabilities reflect the value of the instrument including the Company's and counterparty's credit risk.

CIT is exposed to credit risk to the extent that the counterparty fails to perform under the terms of a derivative. The Company manages this credit risk by requiring that all derivative transactions be conducted with counterparties rated investment grade at the initial transaction by nationally recognized rating agencies, and by setting limits on the exposure with any individual counterparty. In addition, pursuant to the terms of the Credit Support Annexes between the Company and its counterparties, CIT may be required to post collateral or may be entitled to receive collateral in the form of cash or highly liquid securities depending on the valuation of the derivative instruments as measured on a daily basis.

Fair Value

CIT measures the fair value of its financial assets and liabilities in accordance with ASC 820 Fair Value Measurements, which defines fair value, establishes a consistent framework for measuring fair value and requires disclosures about fair value measurements. The Company categorizes its financial instruments, based on the priority of inputs to the valuation techniques, according to the following three-tier fair value hierarchy:

- Level 1 – Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that are accessible at the measurement date. Level 1 assets and liabilities include debt and equity securities and derivative contracts that are traded in an active exchange market, as well as certain other securities that are highly liquid and are actively traded in over-the-counter markets;
- Level 2 – Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 assets and liabilities include debt securities with quoted prices that are traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments and derivative contracts whose value is determined using a pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data. This category generally includes derivative contracts and certain loans held-for-sale;
- Level 3 – Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using valuation models, discounted cash flow methodologies or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation. This category generally includes highly structured or long-term derivative contracts and structured finance securities where independent pricing information cannot be obtained for a significant portion of the underlying assets or liabilities.

Income Taxes

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future taxation of events that have been reflected in the Consolidated Financial Statements. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the book values and the tax basis of particular assets and liabilities, using tax rates in effect for the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is provided to reduce the reported amount of any net deferred tax assets of a reporting entity if, based upon the relevant facts and circumstances, it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

The Company is subject to the income tax laws of the United States, its states and municipalities and those of the foreign jurisdictions in which the Company operates. These tax laws are complex, and the manner in which they apply to the taxpayer's facts is sometimes open to interpretation. Given these inherent complexities, the Company must make judgments in assessing the likelihood that a beneficial income tax position will be

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

sustained upon examination by the taxing authorities based on the technical merits of the tax position. An income tax benefit is recognized only when, based on management's judgment regarding the application of income tax laws, it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained upon examination. The amount of benefit recognized for financial reporting purposes is based on management's best judgment of the most likely outcome resulting from examination given the facts, circumstances and information available at the reporting date. The Company adjusts the level of unrecognized tax benefits when there is new information available to assess the likelihood of the outcome. Liabilities for uncertain income tax positions are included in current taxes payable, which is reflected in accrued liabilities and payables. Accrued interest and penalties for unrecognized tax positions are recorded in income tax expense.

Other Comprehensive Income/Loss

Other Comprehensive Income/Loss includes unrealized gains and losses, unless other than temporarily impaired, on AFS investments, foreign currency translation adjustments for both net investment in foreign operations and related derivatives designated as hedges of such investments, changes in fair values of derivative instruments designated as hedges of future cash flows and certain pension and postretirement benefit obligations, all net of tax.

Foreign Currency Translation

In addition to U.S. operations, the Company has operations in Canada, Europe and other jurisdictions. The functional currency for foreign operations is generally the local currency. The value of assets and liabilities of these operations is translated into U.S. dollars at the rate of exchange in effect at the balance sheet date. Revenue and expense items are translated at the average exchange rates during the year. The resulting foreign currency translation gains and losses, as well as offsetting gains and losses on hedges of net investments in foreign operations, are reflected in AOCI. Transaction gains and losses resulting from exchange rate changes on transactions denominated in currencies other than the functional currency are included in Other income.

Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits

CIT has both funded and unfunded noncontributory defined benefit pension and postretirement plans covering certain U.S. and non-U.S. employees, each of which is designed in accordance with the practices and regulations in the related countries. Recognition of the funded status of a benefit plan, which is measured as the difference between plan assets at fair value and the benefit obligation, is included in the balance sheet. The Company recognizes as a component of Other Comprehensive Income, net of tax, the net actuarial gains or losses and prior service cost or credit that arise during the period but are not recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost in the Statement of Operations.

Variable Interest Entities

A VIE is a corporation, partnership, limited liability company, or any other legal structure used to conduct activities or hold assets. These entities: lack sufficient equity investment at risk to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties; have equity owners who either do not have voting rights or lack the ability to make

significant decisions affecting the entity's operations; and/or have equity owners that do not have an obligation to absorb the entity's losses or the right to receive the entity's returns.

The Company accounts for its VIEs in accordance with Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2009-16, *Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860) – Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets* and ASU No. 2009-17, *Consolidations (Topic 810) – Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities*. ASU 2009-17 amended the VIEs Subsections of ASC Subtopic 810-10 to require former qualified special purpose entities to be evaluated for consolidation and also changed the approach to determining a VIE's primary beneficiary ("PB") and required companies to more frequently reassess whether they must consolidate VIEs. Under the new guidance, the PB is the party that has both (1) the power to direct the activities of an entity that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance; and (2) through its interests in the VIE, the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

To assess whether the Company has the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance, the Company considers all facts and circumstances, including its role in establishing the VIE and its ongoing rights and responsibilities. This assessment includes, first, identifying the activities that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance; and second, identifying which party, if any, has power over those activities. In general, the parties that make the most significant decisions affecting the VIE (such as asset managers, collateral managers, servicers, or owners of call options or liquidation rights over the VIE's assets) or have the right to unilaterally remove those decision-makers are deemed to have the power to direct the activities of a VIE.

To assess whether the Company has the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE, the Company considers all of its economic interests, including debt and equity investments, servicing fees, and derivative or other arrangements deemed to be variable interests in the VIE. This assessment requires that the Company apply judgment in determining whether these interests, in the aggregate, are considered potentially significant to the VIE. Factors considered in assessing significance include: the design of the VIE, including its capitalization structure; subordination of interests; payment priority; relative share of interests held across various classes within the VIE's capital structure; and the reasons why the interests are held by the Company.

The Company performs on-going reassessments of: (1) whether any entities previously evaluated under the majority voting-interest framework have become VIEs, based on certain events, and are therefore subject to the VIE consolidation framework; and (2) whether changes in the facts and circumstances regarding the Company's involvement with a VIE cause the Company's consolidation conclusion regarding the VIE to change.

When in the evaluation of its interest in each VIE it is determined that the Company is considered the primary beneficiary, the VIE's assets, liabilities and non-controlling interests are consolidated and included in the Consolidated Financial Statements. See *Note 8 — Long Term Borrowings* for further details.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Non-interest Income

Non-interest income is recognized in accordance with relevant authoritative pronouncements and includes rental income on operating leases and other income. Other income includes (1) factoring commissions, (2) gains and losses on sales of equipment (3) fee revenues, including fees on lines of credit, letters of credit, capital markets related fees, agent and advisory fees and servicing fees (4) gains and losses on loan and portfolio sales, (5) recoveries on loans charged-off pre-emergence and loans charged-off prior to transfer to AHFS, (6) gains and losses on investments, (7) gains and losses on derivatives and foreign currency exchange, (8) counterparty receivable accretion, (9) impairment on assets held for sale, and (10) other revenues.

Other Expenses

Other expenses include (1) depreciation on operating lease equipment, (2) operating expenses, which include compensation and benefits, technology costs, professional fees, occupancy expenses, provision for severance and facilities exiting activities, advertising and marketing, and other expenses and (3) losses on debt extinguishments.

Stock-Based Compensation

Compensation expense associated with equity-based awards is recognized over the vesting period (requisite service period), generally three years, under the "graded vesting" attribution method, whereby each vesting tranche of the award is amortized separately as if each were a separate award. The cost of awards granted to directors in lieu of cash is recognized using the single-grant approach with immediate vesting and expense recognition. Expenses related to stock-based compensation are included in Operating Expenses.

Earnings per Share ("EPS")

Basic EPS is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding increased by the weighted-average potential impact of dilutive securities. The Company's potential dilutive instruments include restricted unvested stock grants, performance stock grants and stock options. The dilutive effect is computed using the treasury stock method, which assumes the conversion of these instruments. However, in periods when there is a net loss, these shares would not be included in the EPS computation as the result would have an anti-dilutive effect.

Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities

A liability for costs associated with exit or disposal activities, other than in a business combination, is recognized when the liability is incurred. The liability is measured at fair value, with adjustments for changes in estimated cash flows recognized in earnings.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents includes cash and interest-bearing deposits, which are primarily overnight money market investments and short term investments in mutual funds. The Company maintains cash balances principally at financial institutions located in the U.S. and Canada. The balances are not insured in all cases. Cash and cash equivalents also include amounts at CIT Bank, which are only available for the bank's

funding and investment requirements. Cash inflows and outflows from customer deposits are generally greater than 90 days and are presented on a net basis. Most factoring receivables are presented on a net basis in the Statements of Cash Flows, as factoring receivables are generally less than 90 days.

Cash receipts and cash payments resulting from purchases and sales of loans, securities, and other financing and leasing assets are classified as operating cash flows in accordance with ASC 230-10-45-21 when these assets are originated/acquired and designated specifically for resale.

Activity for loans originated or acquired for investment purposes, including those subsequently transferred to AHFS, is classified in the investing section of the statement of cash flows in accordance with ASC 230-10-45-12 and 230-10-45-13. The vast majority of the Company's loan originations are for investment purposes. Cash receipts resulting from sales of loans, beneficial interests and other financing and leasing assets that were not specifically originated and/or acquired and designated for resale are classified as investing cash inflows regardless of subsequent classification.

Fresh Start Accounting

The consolidated financial statements include the effects of adopting Fresh Start Accounting ("FSA") upon the Company's emergence from bankruptcy on December 10, 2009, based on a convenience date of December 31, 2009 (the "Convenience Date"), as required by U.S. GAAP. Accretion and amortization of certain FSA adjustments are included in the consolidated Statements of Operations and Cash Flows.

Interest income includes a component of accretion of the fair value discount on loans and lease receivables recorded in connection with FSA. For finance receivables that were not considered impaired at the FSA date and for which cash flows were evaluated based on contractual terms, the discount is accreted using the effective interest method as a yield adjustment over the remaining term of the loan and recorded in Interest Income. If the finance receivable is prepaid, the remaining accretable balance is recognized in Interest Income. If the finance receivable is sold, the remaining discount is considered in the determination of the resulting gain or loss. If the finance receivable is subsequently classified as non-accrual, accretion of the discount ceases.

For finance receivables that were considered impaired at the FSA date and for which the cash flows were evaluated based on expected cash flows that were less than contractual cash flows, there is an accretable and a non-accretable discount. The accretable discount is accreted using the effective interest method as a yield adjustment over the remaining term of the loan and recorded in Interest Income. The non-accretable discount reflects the present value of the difference between the excess of cash flows contractually required to be paid and expected cash flows (i.e. credit component). The non-accretable discount is recorded as a reduction to finance receivables and serves to reduce future charge-offs or is reclassified to accretable discount should expected cash flows improve. The accretable discount on finance receivables that are on non-accrual does not accrete until the account returns to performing status. Operating lease equipment purchased prior to emergence from bankruptcy in 2009 was recorded at estimated fair value at emergence and is carried at that new basis less accumulated depreciation.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Revisions

In preparing the interim financial statements for September 30, 2013, the Company discovered and corrected in its third quarter report on Form 10-Q an immaterial error impacting the classification of *cash and due from banks* and *interest bearing deposits* in the amount of \$430 million as of December 31, 2012. The reclassification error had no impact on the Company's statements of operations or cash flows for any periods.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS**Foreign Currency Matters**

In March 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2013-05, *Parent's Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of Certain Subsidiaries or Groups of Assets within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity*, which provides that a cumulative translation adjustment ("CTA") is attached to the parent's investment in a foreign entity and should be released in a manner consistent with the derecognition guidance on investments in entities. Thus, the entire amount of the CTA associated with the foreign entity would be released when there has been a:

- Sale of a subsidiary or group of net assets within a foreign entity and the sale represents the substantially complete liquidation of the investment in the foreign entity.
- Loss of a controlling financial interest in an investment in a foreign entity (i.e., the foreign entity is deconsolidated).
- Step acquisition for a foreign entity (i.e., when an entity has changed from applying the equity method for an investment in a foreign entity to consolidating the foreign entity).

The ASU does not change the requirement to release a pro rata portion of the CTA of the foreign entity into earnings for a partial sale of an equity method investment in a foreign entity.

The guidance is effective for fiscal years (and interim periods within those fiscal years) beginning on or after December 15,

2013, with early adoption permitted. The ASU should be applied prospectively from the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. The adoption of this guidance will not have a significant impact on CIT's financial statements or disclosures.

Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-11, *Income Taxes (Topic 740): Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force)*. This pronouncement amends guidance on exceptions as to when an unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward.

To the extent a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to settle any income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position, or the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a liability and should not be combined with deferred tax assets. The assessment of whether a deferred tax asset is available is based on the unrecognized tax benefit and deferred tax asset that exist at the reporting date and should be made presuming disallowance of the tax position at the reporting date.

The amended guidance is effective for fiscal years (and interim periods within those fiscal years) beginning on or after December 15, 2013, with early adoption permitted. The amendments should be applied prospectively to all unrecognized tax benefits that exist at the effective date. Retrospective application is permitted. The adoption of this guidance will not have a significant impact on CIT's financial statements or disclosures.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 2 — LOANS

Finance receivables consist of the following:

Finance Receivables by Product (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Loans ⁽¹⁾	\$ 13,814.3	\$ 16,082.3
Direct financing leases and leveraged leases ⁽¹⁾	4,814.9	4,765.3
Finance receivables	18,629.2	20,847.6
Finance receivables held for sale	4,168.8	302.8
Finance receivables and held for sale receivables ⁽²⁾	<u>\$ 22,798.0</u>	<u>\$ 21,150.4</u>

⁽¹⁾ In 2013, the Company discovered and corrected an immaterial error related to the classification of loans and leases at December 31, 2012. The 2012 amount has been conformed to the current year presentation.

⁽²⁾ Assets held for sale in the balance sheet includes finance receivables and operating lease equipment. As discussed in subsequent tables, since the Company manages the credit risk and collections of finance receivables held for sale consistently with its finance receivables held for investment, the applicable amount is presented.

The following table presents finance receivables by segment, based on obligor location:

Finance Receivables (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Domestic	Foreign	Total	Domestic	Foreign	Total
Corporate Finance	\$8,310.2	\$1,155.7	\$9,465.9	\$7,162.7	\$1,013.2	\$8,175.9
Transportation Finance	900.1	1,281.2	2,181.3	1,219.8	633.4	1,853.2
Trade Finance	2,134.3	128.1	2,262.4	2,177.2	128.1	2,305.3
Vendor Finance	2,635.8	2,083.8	4,719.6	2,459.1	2,359.6	4,818.7
Consumer	–	–	–	3,684.4	10.1	3,694.5
Total	<u>\$13,980.4</u>	<u>\$4,648.8</u>	<u>\$18,629.2</u>	<u>\$16,703.2</u>	<u>\$4,144.4</u>	<u>\$20,847.6</u>

The following table presents selected components of the net investment in finance receivables.

Components of Net Investment in Finance Receivables (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Unearned income	\$(942.0)	\$(995.2)
Equipment residual values	669.2	694.5
Unamortized (discounts)	(47.9)	(40.5)
Net unamortized deferred costs and (fees)	49.7	51.4
Leveraged lease third party non-recourse debt payable	(203.8)	(227.9)

Certain of the following tables present credit-related information at the "class" level in accordance with ASC 310-10-50, *Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Finance Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses*. A class is generally a disaggregation of a portfolio segment. In determining the classes, CIT considered the finance receivable characteristics and methods it applies in monitoring and assessing credit risk and performance.

Credit Quality Information

The following table summarizes finance receivables by the risk ratings that bank regulatory agencies utilize to classify credit exposure and which are consistent with indicators the Company monitors. Risk ratings are reviewed on a regular basis by Credit Risk Management and are adjusted as necessary for updated information affecting the borrowers' ability to fulfill their obligations.

The definitions of these ratings are as follows:

- Pass – finance receivables in this category do not meet the criteria for classification in one of the categories below.
- Special mention – a special mention asset exhibits potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may, at some future date, result in the deterioration of the repayment prospects.
- Classified – a classified asset ranges from: (1) assets that exhibit a well-defined weakness and are inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the borrower, and are characterized by the distinct possibility that some loss will be sustained if the deficiencies are not corrected to (2) assets with weaknesses that make collection or liquidation in full unlikely on the basis of current facts, conditions, and values. Assets in this classification can be accruing or on non-accrual depending on the evaluation of these factors.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES – NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Finance and Held for Sale Receivables — By Risk Rating (dollars in millions)

Grade:	Corporate Finance –			Transportation Finance	Trade Finance	Vendor Finance U.S.	Vendor Finance International	Commercial	Consumer	Total
	Corporate Finance – Other	Real Estate Finance	Corporate Finance – SBL							
December 31, 2013										
Pass	\$6,579.0	\$1,554.8	\$114.8	\$1,980.9	\$1,804.6	\$2,154.8	\$2,158.9	\$16,347.8	\$2,954.2	\$19,302.0
Special mention	864.5	–	262.4	79.3	314.7	246.7	196.6	1,964.2	121.4	2,085.6
Classified – accruing	309.8	–	67.6	106.8	138.9	184.0	63.7	870.8	298.9	1,169.7
Classified – non-accrual	84.5	–	42.2	14.3	4.2	50.3	45.2	240.7	–	240.7
Total	<u>\$7,837.8</u>	<u>\$1,554.8</u>	<u>\$487.0</u>	<u>\$2,181.3</u>	<u>\$2,262.4</u>	<u>\$2,635.8</u>	<u>\$2,464.4</u>	<u>\$19,423.5</u>	<u>\$3,374.5</u>	<u>\$22,798.0</u>
December 31, 2012										
Pass	\$5,615.5	\$ 616.1	\$166.1	\$1,492.4	\$1,913.2	\$2,057.0	\$2,340.5	\$14,200.8	\$3,251.2	\$17,452.0
Special mention	759.5	–	358.6	184.1	266.9	194.0	161.8	1,924.9	213.5	2,138.4
Classified – accruing	408.2	–	96.7	136.2	119.2	160.4	77.7	998.4	229.8	1,228.2
Classified – non-accrual	148.9	–	63.0	40.5	6.0	45.5	26.3	330.2	1.6	331.8
Total	<u>\$6,932.1</u>	<u>\$ 616.1</u>	<u>\$684.4</u>	<u>\$1,853.2</u>	<u>\$2,305.3</u>	<u>\$2,456.9</u>	<u>\$2,606.3</u>	<u>\$17,454.3</u>	<u>\$3,696.1</u>	<u>\$21,150.4</u>

Past Due and Non-accrual Loans

The table that follows presents portfolio delinquency status, regardless of accrual/non-accrual classification:

Finance and Held for Sale Receivables — Delinquency Status (dollars in millions)

	30–59 Days Past Due	60–89 Days Past Due	90 Days or Greater	Total Past Due	Current	Total Finance Receivables
	December 31, 2013					
Commercial						
Corporate Finance – Other	\$ 1.6	\$ 0.6	\$ 18.1	\$ 20.3	\$ 7,817.5	\$ 7,837.8
Corporate Finance – Real Estate Finance	–	–	–	–	1,554.8	1,554.8
Corporate Finance – SBL	11.3	3.8	7.6	22.7	464.3	487.0
Transportation Finance	18.3	0.9	0.5	19.7	2,161.6	2,181.3
Trade Finance	47.9	2.4	1.0	51.3	2,211.1	2,262.4
Vendor Finance – U.S.	102.4	24.5	15.9	142.8	2,493.0	2,635.8
Vendor Finance – International	61.7	20.6	23.5	105.8	2,358.6	2,464.4
Total Commercial	<u>243.2</u>	<u>52.8</u>	<u>66.6</u>	<u>362.6</u>	<u>19,060.9</u>	<u>19,423.5</u>
Consumer	113.0	74.1	223.7	410.8	2,963.7	3,374.5
Total	<u>\$356.2</u>	<u>\$126.9</u>	<u>\$290.3</u>	<u>\$773.4</u>	<u>\$22,024.6</u>	<u>\$22,798.0</u>
December 31, 2012						
Commercial						
Corporate Finance – Other	\$ –	\$ 0.3	\$ 4.0	\$ 4.3	\$ 6,927.8	\$ 6,932.1
Corporate Finance – Real Estate Finance	–	–	–	–	616.1	616.1
Corporate Finance – SBL	18.0	2.9	12.5	33.4	651.0	684.4
Transportation Finance	4.0	0.9	0.7	5.6	1,847.6	1,853.2
Trade Finance	79.3	3.4	5.6	88.3	2,217.0	2,305.3
Vendor Finance – U.S.	56.1	18.0	12.4	86.5	2,370.4	2,456.9
Vendor Finance – International	55.2	12.3	8.2	75.7	2,530.6	2,606.3
Total Commercial	<u>212.6</u>	<u>37.8</u>	<u>43.4</u>	<u>293.8</u>	<u>17,160.5</u>	<u>17,454.3</u>
Consumer	135.2	80.8	231.7	447.7	3,248.4	3,696.1
Total	<u>\$347.8</u>	<u>\$118.6</u>	<u>\$275.1</u>	<u>\$741.5</u>	<u>\$20,408.9</u>	<u>\$21,150.4</u>

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES – NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table sets forth non-accrual loans and assets received in satisfaction of loans (repossessed assets). Non-accrual loans include loans that are individually evaluated and

determined to be impaired (generally loans with balances greater than \$500,000), as well as other, smaller balance loans placed on non-accrual due to delinquency (generally 90 days or more).

Finance Receivables on Non-accrual Status (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Held for Investment	Held for Sale	Total	Held for Investment	Held for Sale	Total
Commercial						
Corporate Finance – Other	\$ 84.2	\$ 0.3	\$ 84.5	\$148.6	\$0.3	\$148.9
Corporate Finance – SBL	7.0	35.2	42.2	60.3	2.7	63.0
Transportation Finance	14.3	–	14.3	40.5	–	40.5
Trade Finance	4.2	–	4.2	6.0	–	6.0
Vendor Finance – U.S.	50.3	–	50.3	45.5	–	45.5
Vendor Finance – International	40.0	5.2	45.2	24.3	2.0	26.3
Consumer	–	–	–	–	1.6	1.6
Total non-accrual loans	<u>\$200.0</u>	<u>\$40.7</u>	<u>\$240.7</u>	<u>\$325.2</u>	<u>\$6.6</u>	<u>\$331.8</u>
Repossessed assets			7.0			9.9
Total non-performing assets			<u>\$247.7</u>			<u>\$341.7</u>
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more						
Government guaranteed – Consumer			\$223.7			\$231.4
Other			10.0			3.4
Total			<u>\$233.7</u>			<u>\$234.8</u>

Payments received on non-accrual financing receivables are generally applied first against outstanding principal, though in certain instances where the remaining recorded investment is deemed fully collectible, interest income is recognized on a cash basis.

Impaired Loans

The Company's policy is to review for impairment finance receivables greater than \$500,000 that are on non-accrual status. Consumer loans and small-ticket loan and lease receivables that have not been modified in a troubled debt restructuring, as well as short-term factoring receivables, are included (if appropriate) in the reported non-accrual balances above, but are excluded from the impaired finance receivables disclosure below as charge-offs are typically determined and recorded for such loans when they are more than 120-150 days past due.

The following table contains information about impaired finance receivables and the related allowance for loan losses, exclusive of finance receivables that were identified as impaired at the Convenience Date for which the Company is applying the income recognition and disclosure guidance in ASC 310-30 *Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality*, which are disclosed further below in this note.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES – NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Impaired Loans at or for the year ended December 31, 2013 (dollars in millions)

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment
With no related allowance recorded:				
Commercial				
Corporate Finance – Other	\$144.5	\$159.3	\$ –	\$153.4
Corporate Finance – SBL	7.6	7.8	–	19.9
Transportation Finance	–	–	–	6.8
Trade Finance	9.1	9.1	–	10.0
Vendor Finance – U.S.	4.2	5.4	–	4.6
Vendor Finance – International	11.1	31.6	–	13.4
With an allowance recorded:				
Commercial				
Corporate Finance – Other	42.2	42.6	28.8	74.5
Corporate Finance – SBL	–	–	–	1.0
Transportation Finance	14.3	14.3	0.6	12.4
Trade Finance	4.2	4.2	1.0	4.6
Total Commercial Impaired Loans ⁽¹⁾	237.2	274.3	30.4	300.6
Total Loans Impaired at Convenience Date ⁽²⁾	54.1	87.7	1.0	80.5
Total	<u>\$291.3</u>	<u>\$362.0</u>	<u>\$31.4</u>	<u>\$381.1</u>

Impaired Loans at or for the year ended December 31, 2012 (dollars in millions)

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment
With no related allowance recorded:				
Commercial				
Corporate Finance – Other	\$179.9	\$231.9	\$ –	\$199.8
Corporate Finance – SBL	39.1	52.6	–	40.7
Transportation Finance	11.3	29.1	–	7.8
Trade Finance	10.1	13.3	–	29.7
Vendor Finance – U.S.	4.7	12.2	–	7.7
Vendor Finance – International	8.4	20.0	–	9.7
With an allowance recorded:				
Commercial				
Corporate Finance – Other	102.4	106.7	32.3	111.0
Corporate Finance – SBL	2.4	2.7	1.0	10.4
Transportation Finance	29.1	29.3	8.9	29.0
Trade Finance	6.0	6.0	1.3	12.2
Total Commercial Impaired Loans	393.4	503.8	43.5	458.0
Total Loans Impaired at Convenience date ⁽²⁾	106.7	260.8	1.5	147.4
Total	<u>\$500.1</u>	<u>\$764.6</u>	<u>\$45.0</u>	<u>\$605.4</u>

⁽¹⁾ Interest income recorded for the year ended December 31, 2013 while the loans were impaired was \$17.7 million of which \$3.5 million was interest recognized using cash-basis method of accounting. Interest income recorded for the year ended December 31, 2012 while the loans were impaired was \$21.3 million of which \$4.3 million was interest recognized using the cash-basis method of accounting.

⁽²⁾ Details of finance receivables that were identified as impaired at the Convenience date are presented under Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Impairment occurs when, based on current information and events, it is probable that CIT will be unable to collect all amounts due according to contractual terms of the agreement. The Company has established review and monitoring procedures designed to identify, as early as possible, customers that are experiencing financial difficulty. Credit risk is captured and analyzed based on the Company's internal probability of obligor default (PD) and loss given default (LGD) ratings. A PD rating is determined by evaluating borrower credit-worthiness, including analyzing credit history, financial condition, cash flow adequacy, financial performance and management quality. An LGD rating is predicated on transaction structure, collateral valuation and related guarantees or recourse. Further, related considerations in determining probability of collection include the following:

- Instances where the primary source of payment is no longer sufficient to repay the loan in accordance with terms of the loan document;
- Lack of current financial data related to the borrower or guarantor;
- Delinquency status of the loan;
- Borrowers experiencing problems, such as operating losses, marginal working capital, inadequate cash flow, excessive financial leverage or business interruptions;
- Loans secured by collateral that is not readily marketable or that has experienced or is susceptible to deterioration in realizable value; and
- Loans to borrowers in industries or countries experiencing severe economic instability.

Impairment is measured as the shortfall between estimated value and recorded investment in the finance receivable. A specific allowance or charge-off is recorded for the shortfall. In instances

Loans Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2013 ⁽¹⁾			December 31, 2012 ⁽¹⁾		
	Carrying Amount	Outstanding Balance ⁽²⁾	Allowance for Loan Losses	Carrying Amount	Outstanding Balance ⁽²⁾	Allowance for Loan Losses
Commercial	\$54.1	\$87.7	\$1.0	\$106.7	\$260.8	\$1.5
Total loans	\$54.1	\$87.7	\$1.0	\$106.7	\$260.8	\$1.5

⁽¹⁾ The table excludes amounts in Assets Held for Sale with a carrying amount of \$12 million and \$3 million at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and outstanding balances of \$26 million and \$16 million, respectively.

⁽²⁾ Represents the sum of contractual principal, interest and fees earned at the reporting date, calculated as pre-FSA net investment plus inception to date charge-offs.

Troubled Debt Restructurings

The Company periodically modifies the terms of finance receivables in response to borrowers' difficulties. Modifications that include a financial concession to the borrower are accounted for as troubled debt restructurings (TDRs).

CIT uses a consistent methodology across all loans to determine if a modification is with a borrower that has been determined to be in financial difficulty and was granted a concession. Specifically, the Company's policies on TDR identification include the following examples of indicators used to determine whether the borrower is in financial difficulty:

- Borrower is in default with CIT or other material creditor
- Borrower has declared bankruptcy
- Growing doubt about the borrower's ability to continue as a going concern

where the estimated value exceeds the recorded investment, no specific allowance is recorded. The estimated value is determined using fair value of collateral and other cash flows if the finance receivable is collateralized, or the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the contract's effective interest rate. In instances when the Company measures impairment based on the present value of expected future cash flows, the change in present value is reported in the provision for credit losses.

The following summarizes key elements of the Company's policy regarding the determination of collateral fair value in the measurement of impairment:

- "Orderly liquidation value" is the basis for collateral valuation;
- Appraisals are updated annually or more often as market conditions warrant; and
- Appraisal values are discounted in the determination of impairment if the:
 - appraisal does not reflect current market conditions; or
 - collateral consists of inventory, accounts receivable, or other forms of collateral that may become difficult to locate, collect or subject to pilferage in a liquidation.

Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality

For purposes of this presentation, finance receivables that were identified as impaired at the Convenience Date are presented separately below. The Company is applying the income recognition and disclosure guidance in ASC 310-30, *Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality*, to loans considered impaired under FSA at the time of emergence.

- Borrower has (or is expected to have) insufficient cash flow to service debt
- Borrower is de-listing securities
- Borrower's inability to obtain funds from other sources
- Breach of financial covenants by the borrower.

If the borrower is determined to be in financial difficulty, then CIT utilizes the following criteria to determine whether a concession has been granted to the borrower:

- Assets used to satisfy debt are less than CIT's recorded investment in the receivable
- Modification of terms – interest rate changed to below market rate
- Maturity date extension at an interest rate less than market rate
- The borrower does not otherwise have access to funding for debt with similar risk characteristics in the market at the restructured rate and terms

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES – NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

- Capitalization of interest
- Increase in interest reserves
- Conversion of credit to Payment-In-Kind (PIK)
- Delaying principal and/or interest for a period of three months or more
- Partial forgiveness of the balance.

Modified loans that meet the definition of a TDR are subject to the Company's standard impaired loan policy, namely that non-accrual loans in excess of \$500,000 are individually reviewed for impairment, while non-accrual loans less than \$500,000 are considered as part of homogenous pools and are included in the determination of the non-specific allowance.

The recorded investment of TDRs at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was \$220.9 million and \$289.1 million, of which 33% and 29%, respectively were on non-accrual. Corporate Finance receivables accounted for 93% of the total TDRs at December 31, 2013 and 91% at December 31, 2012. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, there were \$7.1 million and \$6.3 million, respectively, of commitments to lend additional funds to borrowers whose loan terms have been modified in TDRs.

The tables that follow present additional information related to modifications qualifying as TDRs that occurred during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Recorded investment of TDRs that occurred during the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Commercial		
Corporate Finance – Other	\$12.2	\$31.4
Corporate Finance – SBL	9.7	15.1
Vendor Finance – U.S.	0.2	2.1
Vendor Finance – International	2.5	1.3
Total	<u>\$24.6</u>	<u>\$49.9</u>

Recorded investment of TDRs that experience a payment default⁽¹⁾ at the time of default, in the period presented, and for which the payment default occurred within one year of the modification (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Commercial		
Corporate Finance – Other	\$0.5	\$0.2
Corporate Finance – SBL	3.0	3.9
Vendor Finance – U.S.	0.2	0.2
Vendor Finance – International	2.0	0.1
Total	<u>\$5.7</u>	<u>\$4.4</u>

⁽¹⁾ Payment default in the table above is one missed payment.

The financial impact of the various modification strategies that the Company employs in response to borrower difficulties is described below. While the discussion focuses on current year amounts, the overall nature and impact of modification programs were comparable in the prior year.

- The nature of modifications qualifying as TDR's, based upon recorded investment at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, was comprised of payment deferral for 88% and 86%, covenant relief and/or other for 11% and 8%, and interest rate reductions and debt forgiveness for 1% and 6%, respectively;
- Payment deferrals, the Company's most common type of modification program, result in lower net present value of cash flows and increased provision for credit losses to the extent applicable. The financial impact of these modifications is not significant given the moderate length of deferral periods;
- Interest rate reductions result in lower amounts of interest being charged to the customer, but are a relatively small part of the Company's restructuring programs. Additionally, in some instances, modifications improve the Company's economic return through increased interest rates and fees, but are

reported as TDRs due to assessments regarding the borrowers' ability to independently obtain similar funding in the market and assessments of the relationship between modified rates and terms and comparable market rates and terms. The weighted average change in interest rates for all TDRs occurring during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were immaterial;

- Debt forgiveness, or the reduction in amount owed by borrower, results in incremental provision for credit losses, in the form of higher charge-offs. While these types of modifications have the greatest individual impact on the allowance, the amounts of principal forgiveness for TDRs occurring during 2013 and 2012 totaled \$12.2 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, as debt forgiveness is a relatively small component of the Company's modification programs; and
- The other elements of the Company's modification programs do not have a significant impact on financial results given their relative size, or do not have a direct financial impact, as in the case of covenant changes.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES – NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 3 — ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

Allowance for Loan Losses and Recorded Investment in Finance Receivables

As of and for the Years Ended December 31 (dollars in millions)

	2013						
	Corporate Finance	Transportation Finance	Trade Finance	Vendor Finance	Total Commercial	Consumer	Total
Beginning balance	\$ 229.9	\$ 36.3	\$ 27.4	\$ 85.7	\$ 379.3	\$ –	\$ 379.3
Provision for credit losses	19.0	(1.0)	(4.4)	51.3	64.9	–	64.9
Other ⁽¹⁾	(4.1)	(0.8)	(0.9)	(1.6)	(7.4)	–	(7.4)
Gross charge-offs ⁽²⁾	(44.8)	(4.5)	(4.4)	(84.9)	(138.6)	–	(138.6)
Recoveries	17.5	2.0	7.8	30.6	57.9	–	57.9
Allowance balance – end of period	<u>\$ 217.5</u>	<u>\$ 32.0</u>	<u>\$ 25.5</u>	<u>\$ 81.1</u>	<u>\$ 356.1</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ 356.1</u>
Allowance balance:							
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 28.8	\$ 0.6	\$ 1.0	\$ –	\$ 30.4	\$ –	\$ 30.4
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	188.2	31.4	24.5	80.6	324.7	–	324.7
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality ⁽³⁾	0.5	–	–	0.5	1.0	–	1.0
Allowance balance – end of period	<u>\$ 217.5</u>	<u>\$ 32.0</u>	<u>\$ 25.5</u>	<u>\$ 81.1</u>	<u>\$ 356.1</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ 356.1</u>
Other reserves ⁽¹⁾	<u>\$ 19.4</u>	<u>\$ 1.5</u>	<u>\$ 6.9</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ 27.8</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ 27.8</u>
Finance receivables:							
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 194.3	\$ 14.3	\$ 13.3	\$ 15.3	\$ 237.2	\$ –	\$ 237.2
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	9,219.5	2,167.0	2,249.1	4,702.3	18,337.9	–	18,337.9
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality ⁽³⁾	52.1	–	–	2.0	54.1	–	54.1
Ending balance	<u>\$9,465.9</u>	<u>\$2,181.3</u>	<u>\$2,262.4</u>	<u>\$4,719.6</u>	<u>\$18,629.2</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$18,629.2</u>
Percent of loans to total loans	<u>50.8%</u>	<u>11.7%</u>	<u>12.2%</u>	<u>25.3%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>–</u>	<u>100.0%</u>
	2012						
Beginning balance	\$ 262.2	\$ 29.3	\$ 29.0	\$ 87.3	\$ 407.8	\$ –	\$ 407.8
Provision for credit losses	7.3	18.0	(0.9)	26.5	50.9	0.7	51.6
Other ⁽¹⁾	(7.2)	0.7	0.1	0.7	(5.7)	(0.2)	(5.9)
Gross charge-offs ⁽²⁾	(52.7)	(11.7)	(8.6)	(67.8)	(140.8)	(1.0)	(141.8)
Recoveries	20.3	–	7.8	39.0	67.1	0.5	67.6
Allowance balance – end of period	<u>\$ 229.9</u>	<u>\$ 36.3</u>	<u>\$ 27.4</u>	<u>\$ 85.7</u>	<u>\$ 379.3</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ 379.3</u>
Allowance balance:							
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 33.3	\$ 8.9	\$ 1.3	\$ –	\$ 43.5	\$ –	\$ 43.5
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	195.7	27.4	26.1	85.1	334.3	–	334.3
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality ⁽³⁾	0.9	–	–	0.6	1.5	–	1.5
Allowance balance – end of period	<u>\$ 229.9</u>	<u>\$ 36.3</u>	<u>\$ 27.4</u>	<u>\$ 85.7</u>	<u>\$ 379.3</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ 379.3</u>
Other reserves ⁽¹⁾	<u>\$ 16.4</u>	<u>\$ 0.6</u>	<u>\$ 6.0</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ 23.0</u>	<u>\$ 0.2</u>	<u>\$ 23.2</u>
Finance receivables:							
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 323.8	\$ 40.4	\$ 16.1	\$ 13.1	\$ 393.4	\$ –	\$ 393.4
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	7,754.1	1,812.8	2,289.2	4,796.9	16,653.0	3,694.5	20,347.5
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality ⁽³⁾	98.0	–	–	8.7	106.7	–	106.7
Ending balance	<u>\$8,175.9</u>	<u>\$1,853.2</u>	<u>\$2,305.3</u>	<u>\$4,818.7</u>	<u>\$17,153.1</u>	<u>\$3,694.5</u>	<u>\$20,847.6</u>
Percent of loans to total loans	<u>39.2%</u>	<u>8.9%</u>	<u>11.1%</u>	<u>23.1%</u>	<u>82.3%</u>	<u>17.7%</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

⁽¹⁾ "Other reserves" represents additional credit loss reserves for unfunded lending commitments, letters of credit and for deferred purchase agreements, all of which is recorded in Other Liabilities. "Other" also includes changes relating to sales and foreign currency translations.

⁽²⁾ Gross charge-offs included \$18 million and \$38 million charged directly to the Allowance for loan losses for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. In 2013, \$17 million related to Corporate Finance and \$1 million related to Trade Finance. In 2012, \$28 million related to Corporate Finance, \$8 million related to Transportation Finance and \$2 million related to Trade Finance.

⁽³⁾ Represents loans considered impaired in FSA and are accounted for under the guidance in ASC 310-30 (Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality).

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 4 — OPERATING LEASE EQUIPMENT

The following table provides the net book value (net of accumulated depreciation of \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2013 and \$1.2

billion at December 31, 2012) of operating lease equipment, by equipment type.

Operating Lease Equipment (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Commercial aircraft (including regional aircraft)	\$ 8,229.1	\$ 8,061.4
Railcars and locomotives	4,500.1	4,053.1
Other equipment	306.2	297.2
Total ⁽¹⁾	<u>\$13,035.4</u>	<u>\$12,411.7</u>

⁽¹⁾ Includes equipment off-lease of \$144.7 million and \$202.5 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, primarily consisting of rail and aerospace assets.

The following table presents future minimum lease rentals due on non-cancelable operating leases at December 31, 2013. Excluded from this table are variable rentals calculated on asset usage levels, re-leasing rentals, and expected sales proceeds from remarketing equipment at lease expiration, all of which are components of operating lease profitability.

Minimum Lease Rentals Due (dollars in millions)

Years Ended December 31,

2014	\$1,607.6
2015	1,382.0
2016	1,142.9
2017	881.1
2018	650.7
Thereafter	1,516.7
Total	<u>\$7,181.0</u>

NOTE 5 — INVESTMENT SECURITIES

Investments include debt and equity securities. The Company's debt securities primarily include U.S. Treasury securities, U.S. Government Agency securities, and supranational and foreign

government securities that typically mature in 91 days or less, and the carrying value approximates fair value. Equity securities include common stock and warrants.

Investment Securities (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Debt securities available-for-sale	\$1,487.8	\$ 767.6
Equity securities available-for-sale	13.7	14.3
Debt securities held-to-maturity ⁽¹⁾	1,042.3	188.4
Non-marketable equity investments ⁽²⁾	86.9	95.2
Total investment securities	<u>\$2,630.7</u>	<u>\$1,065.5</u>

⁽¹⁾ Recorded at amortized cost less impairment on securities that have credit-related impairment.

⁽²⁾ Non-marketable equity investments include \$23.6 million and \$27.6 million in limited partnerships at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, accounted for under the equity method. The remaining investments are carried at cost and include qualified Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) investments, equity fund holdings and shares issued by customers during loan work out situations or as part of an original loan investment.

Debt securities and equity securities classified as available-for-sale ("AFS") are carried at fair value with changes in fair value reported in other comprehensive income ("OCI"), net of applicable income taxes.

Debt securities classified as held-to-maturity ("HTM") represent securities that the Company has both the ability and intent to hold until maturity, and are carried at amortized cost.

Non-marketable equity investments include ownership interests greater than 3% in limited partnership investments that are accounted for under the equity method. Equity method investments are recorded at cost, adjusted to reflect the Company's

portion of income, loss or dividends of the investee. All other non-marketable equity investments are carried at cost and periodically assessed for other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI").

The Company conducts and documents periodic reviews of all securities with unrealized losses to evaluate whether the impairment is OTTI. For debt securities classified as HTM that are considered to have OTTI that the Company does not intend to sell and it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell before recovery, the OTTI is separated into an amount representing the credit loss, which is recognized in other income in the Consolidated Statement of Operations, and the amount related to all other factors, which is recognized in OCI.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES – NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

OTTI on debt securities and equity securities classified as AFS and non-marketable equity investments are recognized in the Consolidated Statement of Operations in the period determined.

Realized investment gains totaled \$8.9 million, \$40.4 million and \$53.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and exclude losses from OTTI. OTTI credit-related impairments on equity securities recognized in earnings were \$0.7 million, \$0.2 million and \$8.2 million for the years

ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Impairment amounts in accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI") were not material at December 31, 2013 or December 31, 2012.

In addition, the Company maintained \$5.2 billion and \$5.9 billion of interest bearing deposits at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, that are cash equivalents and are classified separately on the balance sheet.

The following table presents interest and dividends on interest bearing deposits and investments:

Interest and Dividend Income (dollars in millions)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Interest income – interest bearing deposits	\$16.6	\$21.8	\$24.2
Interest income – investments	8.9	7.8	9.3
Dividends – investments	3.4	2.7	1.3
Total interest and dividends	<u>\$28.9</u>	<u>\$32.3</u>	<u>\$34.8</u>

Securities Available-for-Sale

The following table presents amortized cost and fair value of securities AFS at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Securities AFS – Amortized Cost and Fair Value (dollars in millions)

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
December 31, 2013				
Debt securities AFS				
U.S. Treasury Securities	\$ 649.1	\$ –	\$ –	\$ 649.1
U.S. government agency obligations	711.9	–	–	711.9
Supranational and foreign government securities	126.8	–	–	126.8
Total debt securities AFS	1,487.8	–	–	1,487.8
Equity securities AFS	13.5	0.4	(0.2)	13.7
Total securities AFS	<u>\$1,501.3</u>	<u>\$0.4</u>	<u>\$(0.2)</u>	<u>\$1,501.5</u>
December 31, 2012				
Debt securities AFS				
U.S. Treasury Securities	\$ 750.3	\$ –	\$ –	\$ 750.3
Brazilian Government Treasuries	17.3	–	–	17.3
Total debt securities AFS	767.6	–	–	767.6
Equity securities AFS	13.1	1.2	–	14.3
Total securities AFS	<u>\$ 780.7</u>	<u>\$1.2</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ 781.9</u>

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES – NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Debt Securities Held-to-Maturity

The carrying value and fair value of securities HTM at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

Debt Securities HTM – Carrying Value and Fair Value (dollars in millions)

	Carrying Value	Gross Unrecognized Gains	Gross Unrecognized Losses	Fair Value
December 31, 2013				
U.S. government agency obligations	\$ 735.5	\$ 0.1	\$ –	\$ 735.6
<i>Mortgage-backed securities</i>				
U.S. government owned and sponsored agencies	96.3	1.7	(5.8)	92.2
State and municipal	57.4	–	(6.5)	50.9
Foreign government	38.3	–	–	38.3
Corporate – Foreign	114.8	9.0	–	123.8
Total debt securities held-to-maturity	<u>\$1,042.3</u>	<u>\$10.8</u>	<u>\$(12.3)</u>	<u>\$1,040.8</u>
December 31, 2012				
<i>Mortgage-backed securities</i>				
U.S. government-sponsored agency guaranteed	\$ 96.5	\$ 3.1	\$ (0.3)	\$ 99.3
State and municipal	13.1	–	–	13.1
Foreign government	28.4	–	–	28.4
Corporate – Foreign	50.4	8.2	–	58.6
Total debt securities held-to-maturity	<u>\$ 188.4</u>	<u>\$11.3</u>	<u>\$ (0.3)</u>	<u>\$ 199.4</u>

The following table presents the amortized cost and fair value of debt securities HTM by contractual maturity dates:

Securities Held To Maturity – Amortized Cost and Fair Value Maturities (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Carrying Cost	Fair Value	Carrying Cost	Fair Value
U.S. government agency obligations				
Total – Due within 1 year	\$ 735.5	\$ 735.6	\$ –	\$ –
<i>Mortgage-backed securities</i>				
U.S. government owned and sponsored agencies				
Total – Due after 10 years ⁽¹⁾	96.3	92.2	96.5	99.3
State and municipal				
Due within 1 year	0.7	0.7	–	–
Due after 1 but within 5 years	4.4	4.4	4.9	4.9
Due after 5 but within 10 years	0.7	0.7	1.4	1.4
Due after 10 years ⁽¹⁾	51.6	45.1	6.8	6.8
Total	<u>57.4</u>	<u>50.9</u>	<u>13.1</u>	<u>13.1</u>
Foreign government				
Due within 1 year	29.8	29.8	25.5	25.4
Due after 1 but within 5 years	8.5	8.5	2.9	3.0
Total	<u>38.3</u>	<u>38.3</u>	<u>28.4</u>	<u>28.4</u>
Corporate – Foreign				
Due within 1 year	0.8	0.8	–	–
Due after 1 but within 5 years	48.6	56.1	–	–
Due after 5 but within 10 years	65.4	66.9	50.4	58.6
Total	<u>114.8</u>	<u>123.8</u>	<u>50.4</u>	<u>58.6</u>
Total debt securities held-to-maturity	<u>\$1,042.3</u>	<u>\$1,040.8</u>	<u>\$188.4</u>	<u>\$199.4</u>

⁽¹⁾ Investments with no stated maturities are included as contractual maturities of greater than 10 years. Actual maturities may differ due to call or prepayment rights.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 6 — OTHER ASSETS

The following table presents the components of other assets.

Other Assets (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Deposits on commercial aerospace equipment	\$ 831.3	\$ 615.3
Deferred debt costs and other deferred charges	158.5	172.2
Tax receivables, other than income taxes	132.2	81.7
Executive retirement plan and deferred compensation	101.3	109.7
Accrued interest and dividends	96.8	93.9
Furniture and fixtures	85.3	75.4
Prepaid expenses	64.3	73.8
Other counterparty receivables	45.9	115.7
Other ⁽¹⁾	190.7	225.8
Total other assets	<u>\$1,706.3</u>	<u>\$1,563.5</u>

⁽¹⁾ Other includes investments in and receivables from non-consolidated entities, deferred federal and state tax assets, servicing assets, and other miscellaneous assets.

NOTE 7 — DEPOSITS

The following table presents deposits detail, maturities and weighted average interest rates.

Deposits (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Deposits Outstanding	\$ 12,526.5	\$ 9,684.5
Weighted average contractual interest rate	1.65%	1.75%
Weighted average remaining number of days to maturity ⁽¹⁾	1,014 days	725 days
Contractual Maturities and Rates		
Due in 2014 – (1.41%) ⁽²⁾	\$ 5,587.8	
Due in 2015 – (1.53%)	2,190.0	
Due in 2016 – (2.14%)	766.3	
Due in 2017 – (1.38%)	1,947.3	
Due in 2018 – (1.84%)	793.4	
Due after 2018 – (2.92%)	1,242.5	
Deposits outstanding, excluding fresh start adjustments	<u>\$ 12,527.3</u>	

⁽¹⁾ Excludes deposit accounts with no stated maturity.

⁽²⁾ Includes deposit accounts with no stated maturity.

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Daily average deposits	\$11,254.3	\$7,699.6
Maximum amount outstanding	\$12,605.3	\$9,690.7
Weighted average contractual interest rate for the year	1.56%	1.98%

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents the maturity profile of deposits with a denomination of \$100,000 or more.

Certificates of Deposits \$100,000 or More (dollars in millions)

	At December 31,	
	2013	2012
U.S. Bank		
Three months or less	\$ 317.7	\$ 241.6
After three months through six months	258.1	234.6
After six months through twelve months	601.7	619.8
After twelve months	1,501.9	1,119.3
Total U.S. Bank	\$2,679.4	\$2,215.3
Foreign Bank	\$ 88.3	\$ 98.6

Deposits were adjusted to estimated fair value at December 31, 2009 in FSA, and the net fair value premium will be recognized as a yield adjustment over the deposit lives. During 2013 and 2012,

\$4.3 million and \$3.5 million, respectively of the fair value premium was recognized as a reduction to Interest Expense.

NOTE 8 — LONG-TERM BORROWINGS

The following table presents outstanding long-term borrowings, net of FSA.

(dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2013			December 31, 2012
	CIT Group Inc.	Subsidiaries	Total	Total
Senior unsecured ⁽¹⁾	\$12,531.6	\$ –	\$12,531.6	\$11,824.0
Secured borrowings	–	9,218.4	9,218.4	10,137.8
Total Long-term Borrowings	\$12,531.6	\$9,218.4	\$21,750.0	\$21,961.8

⁽¹⁾ Senior Unsecured Notes were comprised of \$5,250 million of Series C Notes, \$7,243 million of Unsecured Notes and \$38.6 million of other unsecured debt.

Upon emergence from bankruptcy in December 2009, all components of long-term borrowings were fair valued in FSA. The fair value adjustment is amortized as a cost adjustment over the remaining term of the respective debt and is reflected in Interest

Expense. The following table summarizes contractual maturities of total long-term borrowings outstanding excluding issue discounts and FSA adjustments as of December 31, 2013:

Contractual Maturities – Long-term Borrowings (dollars in millions)

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Thereafter	Contractual Maturities
Senior unsecured	\$1,300.0	\$1,500.0	\$ –	\$3,000.0	\$2,200.0	\$4,551.4	\$12,551.4
Secured borrowings	1,339.1	1,577.4	1,026.8	755.1	641.1	4,153.8	9,493.3
	<u>\$2,639.1</u>	<u>\$3,077.4</u>	<u>\$1,026.8</u>	<u>\$3,755.1</u>	<u>\$2,841.1</u>	<u>\$8,705.2</u>	<u>\$22,044.7</u>

Unsecured**Revolving Credit Facility**

The following information was in effect prior to the 2014 Revolving Credit Facility amendment. See Note 28 — Subsequent Events for changes to this facility.

There were no outstanding borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility at December 31, 2013 and 2012 and the amount available to draw upon at each period was approximately \$1.9 billion, with the remaining amount of approximately \$0.1 billion utilized for issuance of letters of credit.

The total commitment amount under the Revolving Credit Facility was \$2 billion and consisted of a \$1.65 billion revolving loan tranche and a \$350 million revolving loan tranche that can also be utilized for issuance of letters of credit. The Revolving Credit Facility accrued interest at a per annum rate of LIBOR plus a margin of 2.00% to 2.75% (with no floor) or Base Rate plus a margin

of 1.00% to 1.75% (with no floor). The applicable margin was determined by reference to the current long-term senior unsecured, non-credit enhanced debt rating of the Company by S&P and Moody's. The applicable margin for LIBOR loans was 2.50% and the applicable margin for Base Rate loans was 1.50% at December 31, 2013.

The Revolving Credit Facility may be drawn and prepaid at the option of CIT. The unutilized portion of any commitment under the Revolving Credit Facility may be reduced permanently or terminated by CIT at any time without penalty.

The facility was guaranteed by eight of the Company's domestic operating subsidiaries and subject to an asset coverage covenant (based on the book value of eligible assets of the Continuing Guarantors) of 2.0x the sum of: (i) the committed facility size and (ii) all outstanding indebtedness (including, without duplication, guarantees of such indebtedness) for borrowed money (excluding subordinated intercompany indebtedness) of the Continuing

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Guarantors, tested monthly and upon certain dispositions or encumbrances of eligible assets of the Continuing Guarantors.

The Revolving Credit Facility was also subject to a \$6 billion minimum consolidated net worth covenant of the Company, tested quarterly, and limits the Company's ability to create liens, merge

Senior Unsecured Notes

Senior unsecured notes include notes issued under the "shelf" registration filed in March 2012, and Series C Unsecured Notes. The notes filed under the shelf registration rank equal in right of payment with the Series C Unsecured Notes and the Revolving Credit Facility.

Senior Unsecured Notes (dollars in millions)

<u>Maturity Date</u>	<u>Rate (%)</u>	<u>Date of Issuance</u>	<u>Par Value</u>
May 2017	5.000%	May 2012	\$1,250.0
August 2017	4.250%	August 2012	1,750.0
March 2018	5.250%	March 2012	1,500.0
May 2020	5.375%	May 2012	750.0
August 2022	5.000%	August 2012	1,250.0
August 2023	5.000%	August 2013	750.0
Weighted average and total	4.91%		<u>\$7,250.0</u>

Series C Unsecured Notes (dollars in millions)

<u>Maturity Date</u>	<u>Rate (%)</u>	<u>Date of Issuance</u>	<u>Par Value</u>
April 2014	5.250%	March 2011	\$1,300.0
February 2015	4.750%	February 2012	1,500.0
April 2018	6.625%	March 2011	700.0
February 2019	5.500%	February 2012	1,750.0
Weighted average and total	5.37%		<u>\$5,250.0</u>

See Note 28 — *Subsequent Events* related to an issuance on February 19, 2014 of \$1 billion of senior unsecured notes.

The Indentures for the Senior Unsecured Notes and Series C Unsecured Notes limit the Company's ability to create liens, merge or consolidate, or sell, transfer, lease or dispose of all or substantially all of its assets. Upon a Change of Control Triggering Event as defined in the Indentures for the Senior Unsecured Notes and Series C Unsecured Notes, holders of the Senior Unsecured Notes and Series C Unsecured Notes will have the right to require the Company, as applicable, to repurchase all or a portion of the Senior Unsecured Notes and Series C Unsecured Notes at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of such repurchase.

Other debt of \$38.6 million includes senior unsecured notes issued prior to CIT's reorganization.

or consolidate, sell, transfer, lease or dispose of all or substantially all of its assets, grant a negative pledge or make certain restricted payments during the occurrence and continuance of an event of default.

The following tables present the principal amounts of Senior Unsecured Notes issued under the Company's shelf registration and Series C Unsecured Notes by maturity date.

Secured

Secured Borrowings

At December 31, 2013, the secured borrowings had a weighted average interest rate of 2.24%, which ranged from 0.25% to 8.60% with maturities ranging from 2014 through 2043. Set forth below are borrowings and pledged assets primarily owned by consolidated variable interest entities. Creditors of these entities received ownership and/or security interests in the assets. These entities are intended to be bankruptcy remote so that such assets are not available to creditors of CIT or any affiliates of CIT until and unless the related secured borrowings have been fully discharged. These transactions do not meet accounting requirements for sales treatment and are recorded as secured borrowings.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Secured Borrowings and Pledged Assets Summary (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Secured Borrowing	Pledged Assets	Secured Borrowing	Pledged Assets
Consumer ⁽¹⁾	\$3,265.6	\$ 3,438.2	\$ 3,630.9	\$ 3,772.8
Trade Finance	334.7	1,453.2	350.8	1,523.6
Corporate Finance ⁽¹⁾	475.7	622.0	933.9	1,190.6
Vendor Finance – U.S.	1,017.7	1,262.5	574.6	765.4
Vendor Finance – International	745.9	938.2	1,028.4	1,182.9
Transportation Finance – Aircraft ⁽¹⁾	2,366.1	4,126.7	2,560.3	4,049.1
Transportation Finance – Rail ⁽¹⁾	931.0	1,163.1	976.8	1,185.0
Other	81.7	92.6	82.1	83.3
Total	<u>\$9,218.4</u>	<u>\$13,096.5⁽²⁾</u>	<u>\$10,137.8</u>	<u>\$13,752.7⁽³⁾</u>

⁽¹⁾ At December 31, 2013 GSI TRS related borrowings and pledged assets, respectively, of \$820.4 million and \$913.9 million were included in Consumer, \$25.8 million and \$119.4 million in Corporate Finance, and \$998.4 million and \$1.99 billion in Transportation Finance. The GSI TRS is described in Note 9 — Derivative Financial Instruments.

⁽²⁾ Includes operating lease equipment of \$4.7 billion, loans of \$3.8 billion, assets held for sale of \$3.5 billion, cash of \$1.0 billion and investment securities of \$0.1 billion.

⁽³⁾ Includes operating lease equipment of \$4.7 billion, loans of \$7.9 billion, cash of \$1.1 billion and investment securities of \$0.1 billion.

Series A 7% Notes and Series C 7% Notes

During 2012, CIT redeemed the remaining \$6.5 billion of Series A 7% Notes and redeemed or repurchased the \$8.76 billion of Series C 7% Notes. These actions resulted in the acceleration of \$1.3 billion of FSA discount accretion that was recorded as additional interest expense and also resulted in a loss on debt extinguishments of \$61 million.

Variable Interest Entities (“VIEs”)

The Company utilizes VIEs in the ordinary course of business to support its own and its customers’ financing needs.

The most significant types of VIEs that CIT utilizes are ‘on balance sheet’ secured financings of pools of leases and loans originated by the Company. The Company originates pools of assets and sells these to special purpose entities, which, in turn, issue debt instruments backed by the asset pools or sell individual interests in the assets to investors. CIT retains the servicing rights and participates in certain cash flows. These VIEs are typically organized as trusts or limited liability companies, and are intended to be bankruptcy remote, from a legal standpoint.

The main risks inherent in these secured borrowing structures are deterioration in the credit performance of the vehicle’s underlying asset portfolio and risk associated with the servicing of the underlying assets.

Investors typically have recourse to the assets in the VIEs and may benefit from other credit enhancements, such as: (1) a reserve or cash collateral account that requires the Company to deposit cash in an account, which will first be used to cover any defaulted obligor payments, (2) over-collateralization in the form of excess assets in the VIE, or (3) subordination, whereby the Company retains a subordinate position in the secured borrowing which would absorb losses due to defaulted obligor payments before the senior certificate holders. The VIE may also enter into derivative contracts in order to convert the debt issued by the VIEs to match the underlying assets or to limit or change the risk of the VIE.

With respect to events or circumstances that could expose CIT to a loss, as these are accounted for as on balance sheet secured financings, the Company records an allowance for loan losses for the credit risks associated with the underlying leases and loans. As these are secured borrowings, CIT has an obligation to pay the debt in accordance with the terms of the underlying agreements.

Generally, third-party investors in the obligations of the consolidated VIE’s have legal recourse only to the assets of the VIEs and do not have recourse to the Company beyond certain specific provisions that are customary for secured financing transactions, such as asset repurchase obligations for breaches of representations and warranties. In addition, the assets are generally restricted only to pay such liabilities.

NOTE 9 — DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

As part of managing economic risk and exposure to interest rate and foreign currency risk, the Company enters into derivative transactions in over-the-counter markets with other financial institutions. The Company does not enter into derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Act”) includes measures to broaden the scope of derivative instruments subject to regulation by requiring clearing and exchange trading of certain derivatives, and imposing margin, reporting and registration requirements for certain market participants. Since the Company does not meet the definition of a Swap Dealer or Major Swap Participant under the Act, the new reporting obligations, which became effective April 10, 2013, apply to a limited number of derivative transactions executed with its lending customers in order to mitigate their interest rate risk.

See Note 1 — Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies for further description of its derivative transaction policies.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES – NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents fair values and notional values of derivative financial instruments:

Fair and Notional Values of Derivative Financial Instruments⁽¹⁾ (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Notional Amount	Asset Fair Value	Liability Fair Value	Notional Amount ⁽²⁾	Asset Fair Value	Liability Fair Value
Qualifying Hedges						
Cross currency swaps – net investment hedges	\$ 47.1	\$ 1.1	\$ –	\$ 151.2	\$ –	\$ (6.1)
Foreign currency forward contracts – cash flow hedges	3.8	–	(0.3)	11.7	–	(0.9)
Foreign currency forward contracts – net investment hedges	1,436.8	11.8	(23.8)	1,232.6	1.9	(31.5)
Total Qualifying Hedges	<u>1,487.7</u>	<u>12.9</u>	<u>(24.1)</u>	<u>1,395.5</u>	<u>1.9</u>	<u>(38.5)</u>
Non-Qualifying Hedges						
Cross currency swaps	\$ 131.8	\$ 6.3	\$ –	\$ 552.8	\$ 1.7	\$ (11.0)
Interest rate swaps	1,386.0	5.7	(25.4)	809.6	0.6	(39.3)
Written options	566.0	–	(1.0)	251.4	–	(0.1)
Purchased options	816.8	1.2	–	502.7	0.3	–
Foreign currency forward contracts	1,979.9	23.4	(50.8)	1,853.8	5.7	(25.7)
Total Return Swap (TRS)	485.2	–	(9.7)	106.6	–	(5.8)
Equity Warrants	1.0	0.8	–	1.0	0.1	–
Total Non-qualifying Hedges	<u>5,366.7</u>	<u>37.4</u>	<u>(86.9)</u>	<u>4,077.9</u>	<u>8.4</u>	<u>(81.9)</u>
Total Hedges	<u>\$6,854.4</u>	<u>\$50.3</u>	<u>\$(111.0)</u>	<u>\$5,473.4</u>	<u>\$10.3</u>	<u>\$(120.4)</u>

⁽¹⁾ Presented on a gross basis.

Total Return Swaps (“TRS”)

Two financing facilities between two wholly-owned subsidiaries of CIT and Goldman Sachs International (“GSI”) are structured as total return swaps (“TRS”), under which amounts available for advances are accounted for as derivatives. Pursuant to applicable accounting guidance, only the unutilized portion of the TRS is accounted for as a derivative and recorded at its estimated fair value. The size of the CIT Financial Ltd. (“CFL”) facility is \$1.5 billion and the CIT TRS Funding B.V. (“BV”) facility is \$625 million.

The aggregate “notional amounts” of the total return swaps of \$485.2 million at December 31, 2013 and \$106.6 million at December 31, 2012 represent the aggregate unused portions under the CFL and BV facilities and constitute derivative financial instruments. These notional amounts are calculated as the maximum aggregate facility commitment amounts, currently \$2,125.0 million, less the aggregate actual adjusted qualifying borrowing base outstanding of \$1,639.8 million at December 31, 2013 and \$2,018.4 million at December 31, 2012 under the CFL and BV facilities. The notional amounts of the derivatives will increase as the adjusted qualifying borrowing base decreases due to

repayment of the underlying asset-backed securities (ABS) to investors. If CIT funds additional ABS under the CFL or BV facilities, the aggregate adjusted qualifying borrowing base of the total return swaps will increase and the notional amount of the derivatives will decrease accordingly.

Valuation of the derivatives related to the GSI facilities is based on several factors using a discounted cash flow (DCF) methodology, including:

- CIT’s funding costs for similar financings based on current market conditions;
- Forecasted usage of the long-dated CFL and BV facilities through the final maturity date in 2028; and
- Forecasted amortization, due to principal payments on the underlying ABS, which impacts the amount of the unutilized portion.

Based on the Company’s valuation, a liability of \$10 million and \$6 million was recorded at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The change in value is recorded in Other Income in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES – NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Impact of Collateral and Netting Arrangements on the Total Derivative Portfolio

The following tables present a summary as at December 31, 2013 and 2012, of the gross amounts of recognized financial assets and liabilities; the amounts offset under current GAAP in the consolidated balance sheet; the net amounts presented in the

consolidated balance sheet; the amounts subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement that were not included in the offset amount above, and the amount of cash collateral received or pledged. Substantially all derivative transactions are documented under International Swaps and Derivatives Association ("ISDA") agreements.

	Gross Amount of Recognized Assets (Liabilities)	Gross Amount Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheet	Net Amount Presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheet	Gross Amounts not offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheet		Net Amount
				Derivative Financial Instruments ⁽⁵⁾	Cash Collateral Pledged/ (Received) ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	
December 31, 2013						
Derivative assets ⁽¹⁾	\$ 50.3	\$ –	\$ 50.3	\$(33.4)	\$ (5.0)	\$ 11.9
Derivative liabilities ⁽²⁾	(111.0)	–	(111.0)	33.4	41.0	(36.6)
December 31, 2012						
Derivative assets ⁽³⁾	\$ 10.3	\$ –	\$ 10.3	\$ (7.6)	\$ (1.7)	\$ 1.0
Derivative liabilities ⁽⁴⁾	(120.4)	–	(120.4)	8.0	73.3	(39.1)

⁽¹⁾ Includes \$12.9 million of qualifying hedges reported in Other assets and \$37.4 million reported in Trading assets at fair value – derivatives.

⁽²⁾ Includes \$(24.1) million of qualifying hedges reported in Other liabilities and \$(86.9) million reported in Trading liabilities at fair value – derivatives.

⁽³⁾ Includes \$1.9 million of qualifying hedges reported in Other assets and \$8.4 million reported in Trading assets at fair value – derivatives.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes \$(38.5) million of qualifying hedges reported in Other liabilities and \$(81.9) million reported in Trading liabilities at fair value – derivatives.

⁽⁵⁾ The Company's derivative transactions are governed by ISDA agreements that allow for net settlements of certain payments as well as offsetting of all contracts ("Derivative Financial Instruments") with a given counterparty in the event of bankruptcy or default of one of the two parties to the transaction. We believe our ISDA agreements meet the definition of a master netting arrangement or similar agreement for purposes of the above disclosure. In conjunction with the ISDA agreements, the Company has entered into collateral arrangements with its counterparties which provide for the exchange of cash depending on the change in the market valuation of the derivative contracts outstanding. Such collateral is available to be applied in settlement of the net balances upon the event of default by one of the counterparties.

⁽⁶⁾ Collateral pledged or received are included in Other assets or Other liabilities, respectively.

The following table presents the impact of derivatives on the statements of operations:

Derivative Instrument Gains and Losses (dollars in millions)

Contract Type	Gain / (Loss) Recognized	Years Ended December 31,		
		2013	2012	2011
Qualifying Hedges				
Foreign currency forward contracts – cash flow hedges	Other income	\$ 0.7	\$ 1.1	\$ (0.9)
Total Qualifying Hedges		<u>0.7</u>	<u>1.1</u>	<u>(0.9)</u>
Non Qualifying Hedges				
Cross currency swaps	Other income	11.5	(10.5)	29.2
Interest rate swaps	Other income	19.1	1.2	(14.6)
Interest rate options	Other income	–	(0.7)	(0.9)
Foreign currency forward contracts	Other income	(12.1)	(23.7)	30.0
Equity warrants	Other income	0.8	(0.3)	(0.8)
Total Return Swap (TRS)	Other income	(3.9)	(5.8)	–
Total Non-qualifying Hedges		<u>15.4</u>	<u>(39.8)</u>	<u>42.9</u>
Total derivatives - income statement impact		<u>\$ 16.1</u>	<u>\$(38.7)</u>	<u>\$ 42.0</u>

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents the changes in AOCI relating to derivatives:

Changes in AOCI Relating to Derivatives (dollars in millions)

<u>Contract Type</u>	<u>Derivatives – effective portion reclassified from AOCI to income</u>	<u>Hedge ineffectiveness recorded directly to income</u>	<u>Total income statement impact</u>	<u>Derivatives – effective portion recorded in OCI</u>	<u>Total change in OCI for period</u>
Year Ended December 31, 2013					
Foreign currency forward contracts – cash flow hedges	\$ 0.7	\$ –	\$ 0.7	\$ 0.6	\$ (0.1)
Foreign currency forward contracts – net investment hedges	(7.7)	–	(7.7)	5.8	13.5
Cross currency swaps – net investment hedges	(0.1)	–	(0.1)	10.0	10.1
Total	<u>\$ (7.1)</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ (7.1)</u>	<u>\$ 16.4</u>	<u>\$ 23.5</u>
Year Ended December 31, 2012					
Foreign currency forward contracts – cash flow hedges	\$ 1.1	\$ –	\$ 1.1	\$ 1.7	\$ 0.6
Foreign currency forward contracts – net investment hedges	(4.1)	–	(4.1)	(59.4)	(55.3)
Cross currency swaps – net investment hedges	–	–	–	(12.9)	(12.9)
Total	<u>\$ (3.0)</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ (3.0)</u>	<u>\$(70.6)</u>	<u>\$(67.6)</u>
Year Ended December 31, 2011					
Foreign currency forward contracts – cash flow hedges	\$ (1.0)	\$ –	\$ (1.0)	\$ (0.1)	\$ 0.9
Foreign currency forward contracts – net investment hedges	(9.7)	–	(9.7)	26.4	36.1
Cross currency swaps – net investment hedges	–	–	–	9.0	9.0
Total	<u>\$(10.7)</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$(10.7)</u>	<u>\$ 35.3</u>	<u>\$ 46.0</u>

Estimated amount of net losses on cash flow hedges recorded in AOCI at December 31, 2013 expected to be recognized in income over the next 12 months is \$0.2 million.

NOTE 10 — OTHER LIABILITIES

The following table presents components of other liabilities:

Other Liabilities (dollars in millions)

	<u>December 31, 2013</u>	<u>December 31, 2012</u>
Equipment maintenance reserves	\$ 904.2	\$ 850.0
Accrued expenses and accounts payable	490.1	570.2
Accrued interest payable	247.1	236.9
Security and other deposits	227.4	231.6
Current taxes payable and deferred taxes	179.8	185.5
Valuation adjustment relating to aerospace commitments ⁽¹⁾	137.5	188.1
Other ⁽²⁾	403.4	425.5
Total other liabilities	<u>\$2,589.5</u>	<u>\$2,687.8</u>

⁽¹⁾ In conjunction with FSA, a liability was recorded to reflect the current fair value of aircraft purchase commitments outstanding at the time. When the aircraft are purchased, the cost basis of the assets is reduced by the associated liability.

⁽²⁾ Other liabilities consist of other taxes, property tax reserves and other miscellaneous liabilities.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 11 — FAIR VALUE

Fair Value Hierarchy

The Company is required to report fair value measurements for specified classes of assets and liabilities. See Note 1 — “Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies” for fair value measurement policy.

The Company characterizes inputs in the determination of fair value according to the fair value hierarchy. The fair value of the Company’s assets and liabilities where the measurement objective specifically requires the use of fair value are set forth in the tables below:

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis (dollars in millions)

	<u>Total</u>	<u>Level 1</u>	<u>Level 2</u>	<u>Level 3</u>
December 31, 2013				
Assets				
Debt Securities AFS	\$1,487.8	\$675.9	\$ 811.9	\$ –
Equity Securities AFS	13.7	13.7	–	–
Trading assets at fair value – derivatives	37.4	–	37.4	–
Derivative counterparty assets at fair value	12.9	–	12.9	–
Total	<u>\$1,551.8</u>	<u>\$689.6</u>	<u>\$ 862.2</u>	<u>\$ –</u>
Liabilities				
Trading liabilities at fair value – derivatives	\$ (86.9)	\$ –	\$ (77.2)	\$(9.7)
Derivative counterparty liabilities at fair value	(24.1)	–	(24.1)	–
Total	<u>\$ (111.0)</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$(101.3)</u>	<u>\$(9.7)</u>
December 31, 2012				
Assets				
Debt Securities AFS ⁽¹⁾	\$ 767.6	\$767.6	\$ –	\$ –
Equity Securities AFS	14.3	14.3	–	–
Trading assets at fair value – derivatives	8.4	–	8.4	–
Derivative counterparty assets at fair value	1.9	–	1.9	–
Total	<u>\$ 792.2</u>	<u>\$781.9</u>	<u>\$ 10.3</u>	<u>\$ –</u>
Liabilities				
Trading liabilities at fair value – derivatives	\$ (81.9)	\$ –	\$ (76.1)	\$(5.8)
Derivative counterparty liabilities at fair value	(38.5)	–	(38.5)	–
Total	<u>\$ (120.4)</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$(114.6)</u>	<u>\$(5.8)</u>

⁽¹⁾ Debt securities AFS fair value hierarchy at December 31, 2012 has been conformed to the current presentation.

The following table presents financial instruments for which a non-recurring change in fair value has been recorded:

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Non-recurring Basis (dollars in millions)

	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using:				Total Gains and (Losses)
	<u>Total</u>	<u>Level 1</u>	<u>Level 2</u>	<u>Level 3</u>	
Assets					
December 31, 2013					
Assets Held for Sale	\$731.1	\$ –	\$ –	\$731.1	\$ (59.4)
Impaired loans	18.5	–	–	18.5	(1.6)
Total	<u>\$749.6</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$749.6</u>	<u>\$(61.0)</u>
December 31, 2012					
Assets Held for Sale	\$296.7	\$ –	\$ –	\$296.7	\$(106.9)
Impaired loans	61.0	–	–	61.0	(40.9)
Total	<u>\$357.7</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$ –</u>	<u>\$357.7</u>	<u>\$(147.8)</u>

Loans are transferred from HFI to AHFS at the lower of cost or fair value. At the time of transfer, a write-down of the loan is recorded as a charge-off, if applicable. Once classified as AHFS, the

amount by which the carrying value exceeds fair value is recorded as a valuation allowance.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Impaired finance receivables (including loans or capital leases) of \$500 thousand or greater that are placed on non-accrual status are subject to periodic individual review in conjunction with the Company's ongoing problem loan management (PLM) function. Impairment occurs when, based on current information and events, it is probable that CIT will be unable to collect all amounts due according to contractual terms of the agreement. Impairment is measured as the shortfall between estimated value and recorded investment in the finance receivable, with the estimated value determined using fair value of collateral and other cash flows if the finance receivable is collateralized, or the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the contract's effective interest rate.

FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The carrying and estimated fair values of financial instruments presented below exclude leases and certain other assets and liabilities, which are not required for disclosure. Assumptions

Financial Instruments (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value
Assets				
Trading assets at fair value – derivatives	\$ 37.4	\$ 37.4	\$ 8.4	\$ 8.4
Derivative counterparty assets at fair value	12.9	12.9	1.9	1.9
Assets held for sale (excluding leases)	3,789.7	4,013.6	58.3	61.9
Loans (excluding leases)	12,628.2	12,690.2	15,941.9	16,177.7
Investment Securities	2,630.7	2,629.2	1,065.5	1,068.3
Other assets subject to fair value disclosure and unsecured counterparty receivables ⁽¹⁾	938.9	938.9	1,084.0	1,084.0
Liabilities				
Deposits ⁽²⁾	\$(12,565.0)	\$(12,751.9)	\$(9,721.8)	\$(9,931.8)
Trading liabilities at fair value – derivatives	(86.9)	(86.9)	(81.9)	(81.9)
Derivative counterparty liabilities at fair value	(24.1)	(24.1)	(38.5)	(38.5)
Long-term borrowings ⁽²⁾	(21,958.6)	(22,682.1)	(22,161.4)	(23,180.8)
Other liabilities subject to fair value disclosure ⁽³⁾	(1,931.2)	(1,931.2)	(1,953.1)	(1,953.1)

⁽¹⁾ Other assets subject to fair value disclosure primarily include accrued interest receivable and miscellaneous receivables. These assets have carrying values that approximate fair value generally due to the short-term nature and are classified as Level 3. The unsecured counterparty receivables primarily consist of amounts owed to CIT from GSI for debt discount, return of collateral posted to GSI and settlements resulting from market value changes to asset-backed securities underlying the GSI Facilities.

⁽²⁾ Deposits and long-term borrowings include accrued interest, which is included in "Other liabilities" in the Balance Sheet.

⁽³⁾ Other liabilities subject to fair value disclosure include accounts payable, accrued liabilities, customer security and maintenance deposits and miscellaneous liabilities. The fair value of these approximates carrying value and use Level 3 inputs.

Level 3 Gains and Losses

Changes in Fair Value of Level 3 Financial Assets and Liabilities Measured on a Recurring Basis (dollars in millions)

	Total	Derivatives
December 31, 2011	\$ –	\$ –
Gains or losses realized/unrealized		
Included in Other Income ⁽¹⁾	(5.8)	(5.8)
December 31, 2012	(5.8)	(5.8)
Gains or losses realized/unrealized		
Included in Other Income ⁽¹⁾	(3.9)	(3.9)
December 31, 2013	\$(9.7)	\$(9.7)

⁽¹⁾ Valuation of the derivative related to the GSI facilities.

used in valuing financial instruments at December 31, 2013 are disclosed below.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Assumptions used in 2013 to value financial instruments are set forth below:

Derivatives – The estimated fair values of derivatives were calculated internally using observable market data and represent the net amount receivable or payable to terminate, taking into account current market rates, which represent Level 2 inputs, except for the TRS derivative that utilized Level 3 inputs. See *Note 9 — Derivative Financial Instruments* for notional principal amounts and fair values.

Investment Securities – Debt and equity securities classified as AFS are carried at fair value, as determined either by Level 1 or Level 2 inputs. Debt securities classified as AFS included investments in U.S. Treasury (Level 1) and federal government agency securities and were valued using Level 2 inputs, primarily quoted prices for similar securities. Certain equity securities classified as AFS were valued using Level 1 inputs, primarily quoted prices in active markets, while other equity securities used Level 2 inputs, due to being less frequently traded or having limited quoted market prices. Debt securities classified as HTM are securities that the Company has both the ability and the intent to hold until maturity and are carried at amortized cost and periodically assessed for OTTI, with the cost basis reduced when impairment is deemed to be other-than-temporary. Non-marketable equity investments are generally recorded under the cost or equity method of accounting and are periodically assessed for OTTI, with the net asset values reduced when impairment is deemed to be other-than-temporary. For investments in limited equity partnership interests, we use the net asset value provided by the fund manager as an appropriate measure of fair value.

Assets held for sale – Assets held for sale are recorded at lower of cost or fair value on the balance sheet. Most of the assets are subject to a binding contract, current letter of intent or other third-party valuation, which are Level 3 inputs. For the remaining assets, the fair value is generally determined using internally generated valuations or discounted cash flow analysis, which are considered Level 3 inputs. Commercial loans are generally valued individually, while small-ticket commercial loans are valued on an aggregate portfolio basis.

Loans – Since there is no liquid secondary market for most loans in the Company's portfolio, the fair value is estimated based on discounted cash flow analyses which use Level 3 inputs. In addition to the characteristics of the underlying contracts, key inputs to the analysis include interest rates, prepayment rates, and credit spreads. For the commercial loan portfolio, the market based credit spread inputs are derived from instruments with comparable credit risk characteristics obtained from independent third party vendors. For the

consumer loan portfolio, the discount spread is derived based on the company's estimate of a market participant's required return on equity that incorporates credit loss estimates based on expected and current default rates. As these Level 3 unobservable inputs are specific to individual loans/collateral types, management does not believe that sensitivity analysis of individual inputs is meaningful, but rather that sensitivity is more meaningfully assessed through the evaluation of aggregate carrying values of the loans. The fair value of loans at December 31, 2013 was \$12.7 billion, which is 100.5% of carrying value.

Impaired Loans – The value of impaired loans is estimated using the fair value of collateral (on an orderly liquidation basis) if the loan is collateralized, or the present value of expected cash flows utilizing the current market rate for such loan. As these Level 3 unobservable inputs are specific to individual loans / collateral types, management does not believe that sensitivity analysis of individual inputs is meaningful, but rather that sensitivity is more meaningfully assessed through the evaluation of aggregate carrying values of impaired loans relative to contractual amounts owed (unpaid principal balance or "UPB") from customers. As of December 31, 2013, the UPB related to impaired loans, including loans for which the Company is applying the income recognition and disclosure guidance in ASC 310-30 (Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality), totaled \$362.0 million. Including related allowances, these loans are carried at \$259.9 million, or 72% of UPB. Of these amounts, \$213.2 million and \$176.5 million of UPB and carrying value relate to loans with no specific allowance. The difference between UPB and carrying value reflects cumulative charge-offs on accounts remaining in process of collection, FSA discounts and allowances. See *Note 2 — Loans* for more information.

Deposits – The fair value of deposits was estimated based upon a present value discounted cash flow analysis. Discount rates used in the present value calculation are based on the Company's average current deposit rates for similar terms, which are Level 3 inputs.

Long-term borrowings – Unsecured borrowings of approximately \$12.5 billion par value at December 31, 2013, were valued based on quoted market prices, which are Level 1 inputs. Approximately \$5.9 billion par value of the secured borrowings at December 31, 2013 utilized market inputs to estimate fair value, which are Level 2 inputs. Where market estimates were not available for approximately \$3.6 billion par value at December 31, 2013, values were estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis with a discount rate approximating current market rates for issuances by CIT of similar term debt, which are Level 3 inputs.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 12 — STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

A roll forward of common stock activity is presented in the following table.

Number of Shares of Common Stock

	Issued	Less Treasury	Outstanding
Common Stock – December 31, 2011	200,980,752	(320,438)	200,660,314
Restricted/performance shares issued	272,702	–	272,702
Shares held to cover taxes on vesting restricted shares and other	–	(93,823)	(93,823)
Employee stock purchase plan participation	29,609	–	29,609
Common Stock – December 31, 2012	201,283,063	(414,261)	200,868,802
Restricted stock issued	873,842	–	873,842
Repurchase of common stock	–	(4,006,941)	(4,006,941)
Shares held to cover taxes on vesting restricted shares and other	–	(357,442)	(357,442)
Employee stock purchase plan participation	25,490	–	25,490
Common Stock – December 31, 2013	<u>202,182,395</u>	<u>(4,778,644)</u>	<u>197,403,751</u>

We declared and paid a \$0.10 cash dividend on our common stock during the 2013 fourth quarter. No other dividends were declared or paid in 2013 or 2012.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss)

Total comprehensive income was \$679.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, versus comprehensive losses of \$587.4 million and \$66.7 million for the years ended December 31,

2012 and 2011, respectively, including accumulated other comprehensive loss of \$73.6 million and \$77.7 million at December 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The following table details the components of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, net of tax:

Components of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Gross Unrealized	Income Taxes	Net Unrealized	Gross Unrealized	Income Taxes	Net Unrealized
Changes in benefit plan net gain/(loss) and prior service (cost)/credit	\$(24.3)	\$ 0.2	\$(24.1)	\$(43.5)	\$ 0.4	\$(43.1)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(49.4)	–	(49.4)	(36.6)	–	(36.6)
Changes in fair values of derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges	(0.2)	–	(0.2)	(0.1)	–	(0.1)
Unrealized net gains (losses) on available for sale securities	0.2	(0.1)	0.1	3.5	(1.4)	2.1
Total accumulated other comprehensive loss	<u>\$(73.7)</u>	<u>\$ 0.1</u>	<u>\$(73.6)</u>	<u>\$(76.7)</u>	<u>\$(1.0)</u>	<u>\$(77.7)</u>

The following table details the changes in the components of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss).

	Changes in benefit plan net gain (loss) and prior service (cost) credit	Foreign currency translation adjustments	Unrealized net gains (losses) on available for sale securities	Changes in fair values of derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges	Total accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) ("AOCI")
Balance as of December 31, 2011	\$(54.8)	\$(28.2)	\$ 1.1	\$(0.7)	\$(82.6)
AOCI activity before reclassifications	10.3	(16.8)	1.0	1.7	(3.8)
Amounts reclassified from AOCI	1.4	8.4	–	(1.1)	8.7
Net current period AOCI	11.7	(8.4)	1.0	0.6	4.9
Balance as of December 31, 2012	<u>\$(43.1)</u>	<u>\$(36.6)</u>	<u>\$ 2.1</u>	<u>\$(0.1)</u>	<u>\$(77.7)</u>
AOCI activity before reclassifications	19.2	(21.2)	(2.8)	0.6	(4.2)
Amounts reclassified from AOCI	(0.2)	8.4	0.8	(0.7)	8.3
Net current period AOCI	19.0	(12.8)	(2.0)	(0.1)	4.1
Balance as of December 31, 2013	<u>\$(24.1)</u>	<u>\$(49.4)</u>	<u>\$ 0.1</u>	<u>\$(0.2)</u>	<u>\$(73.6)</u>

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss)

The amounts included in the Statement of Comprehensive Income (Loss) are net of income taxes. The income taxes associated with changes in benefit plans net gain/(loss) and prior service (cost)/credit totaled \$(0.2) million for 2013 and \$0.2 million for 2012 and was not significant in 2011. The income taxes associated with changes in fair values of derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges were not significant for 2013, 2012 and 2011. The change in income taxes associated with net unrealized gains on available for sale securities totaled \$1.3 million for 2013 and \$(1.0) million for 2012 and 2011. The changes in benefit plans net gain/(loss) and prior service (cost)/credit reclassification adjustments impacting net income was \$(0.2) million for 2013 and \$1.4 million for 2012. These amounts were insignificant in 2011. The reclassification adjustments for unrealized gains (losses) on investments

recognized through income were \$0.8 million for 2013 and were not significant for 2012 and 2011.

The Company has operations in Canada, Europe and other countries. The functional currency for foreign operations is generally the local currency. The value of assets and liabilities of these operations is translated into U.S. dollars at the rate of exchange in effect at the balance sheet date. Revenue and expense items are translated at the average exchange rates during the year. The resulting foreign currency translation gains and losses, as well as offsetting gains and losses on hedges of net investments in foreign operations, are reflected in AOCI. Transaction gains and losses resulting from exchange rate changes on transactions denominated in currencies other than the functional currency are included in earnings.

	Years Ended December 31,					
	2013			2012		
	Gross Amount	Tax	Net Amount	Gross Amount	Tax	Net Amount
Changes in benefit plan net gain/(loss) and prior service (cost)/credit						
Gains (Losses)	\$(0.2)	\$ –	\$(0.2)	\$ 1.3	\$0.1	\$ 1.4
Foreign currency translation adjustments						
Gains (Losses)	8.4	–	8.4	8.4	–	8.4
Net unrealized gains (losses) on available for sale securities						
Gains (Losses)	1.3	(0.5)	0.8	–	–	–
Changes in fair value of derivatives qualifying as cash flow hedges						
Gains (Losses)	(0.7)	–	(0.7)	(1.1)	–	(1.1)
Total Reclassifications out of AOCI	<u>\$ 8.8</u>	<u>\$(0.5)</u>	<u>\$ 8.3</u>	<u>\$ 8.6</u>	<u>\$0.1</u>	<u>\$ 8.7</u>

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 13 — REGULATORY CAPITAL

The Company and the Bank are each subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the Federal Reserve Bank ("FRB") and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC").

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require that the Company and the Bank each maintain

Tier 1 Capital and Total Capital Components (dollars in millions)

	CIT		CIT Bank	
	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Tier 1 Capital				
Total stockholders' equity	\$ 8,838.8	\$ 8,334.8	\$ 2,596.6	\$ 2,437.4
Effect of certain items in accumulated other comprehensive loss excluded from Tier 1 Capital	24.2	41.1	—	(0.4)
Adjusted total equity	8,863.0	8,375.9	2,596.6	2,437.0
Less: Goodwill ⁽¹⁾	(338.3)	(345.9)	—	—
Disallowed intangible assets ⁽¹⁾	(20.3)	(32.7)	—	—
Investment in certain subsidiaries	(32.3)	(34.4)	—	—
Other Tier 1 components ⁽²⁾	(32.6)	(68.0)	—	(14.3)
Tier 1 Capital	8,439.5	7,894.9	2,596.6	2,422.7
Tier 2 Capital				
Qualifying allowance for credit losses and other reserves ⁽³⁾	383.9	402.6	193.6	141.2
Less: Investment in certain subsidiaries	(32.3)	(34.4)	—	—
Other Tier 2 components ⁽⁴⁾	0.1	0.5	—	0.3
Total qualifying capital	\$ 8,791.2	\$ 8,263.6	\$ 2,790.2	\$ 2,564.2
Risk-weighted assets	\$50,571.2	\$48,616.9	\$15,451.9	\$11,288.3
Total Capital (to risk-weighted assets):				
Actual	17.4%	17.0%	18.1%	22.7%
Required Ratio for Capital Adequacy Purposes to be well capitalized	10.0%	13.0% ⁽⁵⁾	10.0%	10.0%
Tier 1 Capital (to risk-weighted assets):				
Actual	16.7%	16.2%	16.8%	21.5%
Required Ratio for Capital Adequacy Purposes to be well capitalized	6.0%	6.0%	6.0%	6.0%
Tier 1 Leverage Ratio:				
Actual	18.1%	18.3%	16.9%	20.2%
Required Ratio for Capital Adequacy Purposes	4.0%	4.0%	5.0% ⁽⁶⁾	5.0% ⁽⁶⁾

⁽¹⁾ Goodwill and disallowed intangible assets adjustments also reflect the portion included within assets held for sale.

⁽²⁾ Includes the portion of net deferred tax assets that does not qualify for inclusion in Tier 1 capital based on the capital guidelines, the Tier 1 capital charge for nonfinancial equity investments and the Tier 1 capital deduction for net unrealized losses on available-for-sale marketable securities (net of tax).

⁽³⁾ "Other reserves" represents additional credit loss reserves for unfunded lending commitments, letters of credit, and deferred purchase agreements, all of which are recorded in Other Liabilities.

⁽⁴⁾ Banking organizations are permitted to include in Tier 2 Capital up to 45% of net unrealized pretax gains on available-for-sale equity securities with readily determinable fair values.

⁽⁵⁾ The Company previously had committed to maintaining capital the ratio above regulatory minimum levels.

⁽⁶⁾ Required ratio for capital adequacy purposes to be well capitalized.

minimum amounts and ratios of Total and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets, and of Tier 1 capital to average assets, subject to any agreement with regulators to maintain higher capital levels.

The calculation of the Company's regulatory capital ratios are subject to review and consultation with the FRB, which may result in refinements to amounts reported at December 31, 2013.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 14 — EARNINGS PER SHARE

The reconciliation of the numerator and denominator of basic EPS with that of diluted EPS is presented below:

Earnings Per Share (dollars in millions, except per share amount; shares in thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Earnings / (Loss)			
Net income (loss)	\$ 675.7	\$ (592.3)	\$ 14.8
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding			
Basic shares outstanding	200,503	200,887	200,678
Stock-based awards ⁽¹⁾	1,192	—	137
Diluted shares outstanding	201,695	200,887	200,815
Basic Earnings Per common share data			
Income (loss) per common share	\$ 3.37	\$ (2.95)	\$ 0.07
Diluted Earnings Per common share data			
Income (loss) per common share	\$ 3.35	\$ (2.95)	\$ 0.07

⁽¹⁾ Represents the incremental shares from in-the-money non-qualified restricted stock awards, performance shares, and stock options. Weighted average options and restricted shares that were out-of-the money were excluded from diluted earnings per share and totaled 0.9 million, 1.5 million, and 0.9 million, for the December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 periods, respectively. Additionally, in 2013 there were approximately 0.2 million performance shares that were out of the money and also excluded from diluted earnings per share.

NOTE 15 — NON-INTEREST INCOME

The following table sets forth the components of non-interest income:

Non-interest Income (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Rental income on operating leases	\$1,770.3	\$1,784.6	\$1,667.5
Other Income:			
Gains on sales of leasing equipment	\$ 130.5	\$ 117.6	\$ 148.4
Factoring commissions	122.3	126.5	132.5
Fee revenues	101.5	86.1	97.5
Gains on loan and portfolio sales	48.6	192.3	305.9
Recoveries of loans charged off pre-emergence and loans charged off prior to transfer to held for sale	21.9	55.0	124.1
Counterparty receivable accretion	9.3	96.1	109.9
Gain on investments	8.2	40.2	45.7
Gains (losses) on derivatives and foreign currency exchange	1.0	(5.7)	(5.2)
Impairment on assets held for sale	(124.0)	(115.6)	(113.1)
Other revenues	62.8	60.6	107.1
Total other income	382.1	653.1	952.8
Total non-interest income	\$2,152.4	\$2,437.7	\$2,620.3

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 16 — OTHER EXPENSES

The following table sets forth the components of other expenses:

Other Expenses (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	\$ 573.5	\$ 533.2	\$ 575.1
Operating expenses:			
Compensation and benefits	536.1	538.7	494.8
Technology	83.3	81.6	75.3
Professional fees	69.6	64.8	120.9
Provision for severance and facilities exiting activities	36.9	22.7	13.1
Net occupancy expense	35.3	36.2	39.4
Advertising and marketing	25.2	36.5	10.5
Other expenses ⁽¹⁾	198.3	137.7	142.6
Total operating expenses	984.7	918.2	896.6
Loss on debt extinguishments	—	61.2	134.8
Total other expenses	<u>\$1,558.2</u>	<u>\$1,512.6</u>	<u>\$1,606.5</u>

⁽¹⁾ Includes \$50 million related to a tax settlement agreement with Tyco International Ltd.

NOTE 17 — INCOME TAXES

The following table presents the U.S. and non-U.S. components of income (loss) before provision for income taxes:

Income (Loss) Before Provision for Income Taxes (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
U.S.	\$467.8	\$(1,043.7)	\$(660.5)
Non-U.S.	306.3	588.9	838.9
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	<u>\$774.1</u>	<u>\$ (454.8)</u>	<u>\$ 178.4</u>

The provision for income taxes is comprised of the following:

Provision for Income Taxes (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Current federal income tax provision	\$ 0.1	\$ 1.5	\$ 1.1
Deferred federal income tax provision	18.9	9.5	18.6
Total federal income tax provision	19.0	11.0	19.7
Current state and local income tax provision	6.0	16.1	10.8
Deferred state and local income tax provision/(benefit)	1.0	(2.1)	1.0
Total state and local income tax provision	7.0	14.0	11.8
Total foreign income tax provision	66.5	108.8	127.1
Total provision for income taxes	<u>\$92.5</u>	<u>\$133.8</u>	<u>\$158.6</u>

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES – NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

A reconciliation from the U.S. Federal statutory rate to the Company's actual effective income tax rate is as follows:

Percentage of Pretax Income Years Ended December 31 (dollars in millions)

	Effective Tax Rate								
	2013			2012			2011		
	Pretax Income	Income tax expense (benefit)	Percent of pretax income	Pretax (loss)	Income tax expense (benefit)	Percent of pretax (loss)	Pretax income	Income tax expense (benefit)	Percent of pretax income
Federal income tax rate	\$774.1	\$ 270.9	35.0%	\$(454.8)	\$(159.1)	35.0%	\$178.4	\$ 62.4	35.0%
Increase (decrease) due to:									
State and local income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit		6.9	0.9		14.0	(3.1)		11.8	6.6
Lower tax rates applicable to non-U.S. earnings		(81.7)	(10.6)		(140.9)	31.0		(177.4)	(99.5)
Foreign income subject to U.S. tax		37.8	4.9		305.1	(67.1)		306.9	172.1
Unrecognized tax benefits		0.3	–		(227.8)	50.1		101.3	56.8
Deferred income taxes on foreign unremitted earnings		(24.7)	(3.2)		112.0	(24.6)		86.3	48.4
Valuation allowances		(104.2)	(13.5)		247.2	(54.4)		(201.8)	(113.2)
International tax settlements		(11.2)	(1.4)		–	–		–	–
Other		(1.6)	(0.2)		(16.7)	3.7		(30.9)	(17.2)
Total Effective Tax Rate		<u>\$ 92.5</u>	<u>11.9%</u>		<u>\$ 133.8</u>	<u>(29.4)%</u>		<u>\$ 158.6</u>	<u>89.0%</u>

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to deferred income tax assets and liabilities are presented below:

Components of Deferred Income Tax Assets and Liabilities (dollars in millions)

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Deferred Tax Assets:		
Net operating loss (NOL) carry forwards	\$ 2,694.7	\$ 2,552.9
Loans and direct financing leases	166.4	232.7
Provision for credit losses	147.9	153.4
Accrued liabilities and reserves	97.2	116.8
FSA adjustments – aircraft and rail contracts	52.8	73.6
Other	114.0	176.2
Total gross deferred tax assets	<u>3,273.0</u>	<u>3,305.6</u>
Deferred Tax Liabilities:		
Operating leases	(1,549.3)	(1,317.6)
Foreign unremitted earnings	(168.5)	(198.4)
Debt	(97.7)	(115.7)
Goodwill and intangibles	(47.3)	(32.8)
Other	(71.4)	(152.8)
Total deferred tax liabilities	<u>(1,934.2)</u>	<u>(1,817.3)</u>
Total net deferred tax asset before valuation allowances	1,338.8	1,488.3
Less: Valuation allowances	(1,495.3)	(1,578.9)
Net deferred tax liability after valuation allowances	<u>\$ (156.5)</u>	<u>\$ (90.6)</u>

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2009 Bankruptcy

CIT filed prepackaged voluntary petitions for bankruptcy for relief under the U.S. bankruptcy Code on November 1, 2009 and emerged from bankruptcy on December 10, 2009. As a consequence of the bankruptcy, CIT realized cancellation of indebtedness income ("CODI"). The Internal Revenue Service Code generally requires CODI to be recognized and included in taxable income. However, if CODI is realized pursuant to a confirmed plan of reorganization, then CODI is not recognized in taxable income but instead reduces certain favorable tax attributes. CIT tax attribute reductions included a reduction to the Company's federal net operating loss carry-forwards ("NOLs") of approximately \$5.1 billion and the tax bases in its assets of \$2.6 billion. In 2009, the Company established a deferred tax liability of \$3.1 billion to account for the future tax effects of the CODI adjustments. This deferred tax liability was applied as a reduction to our domestic net deferred tax assets at the beginning of 2010.

CIT's reorganization in 2009 constituted an ownership change under Section 382 of the Code, which placed an annual dollar limit on the use of the remaining pre-bankruptcy NOLs. Under the relief provision elected by the Company, Sec. 382(l)(6), the NOLs that the Company may use annually is limited to the product of a prescribed rate of return applied against the value of equity immediately after any ownership change. Based on an equity value determined by the Company's opening stock price on December 10, 2009, the Company's estimated usage of pre-bankruptcy NOLs will be limited to \$230 million per annum. NOLs arising in post-emergence years are not subject to this limitation absent another ownership change as defined by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) for U.S. tax purposes.

Net Operating Loss Carry-forwards

As of December 31, 2013, CIT has deferred tax assets totaling \$2.7 billion on its global NOLs. This includes a deferred tax asset of: (1) \$1.8 billion relating to its cumulative U.S. Federal NOLs of \$5.2 billion, after the CODI reduction described in the paragraph above; (2) \$453 million relating to cumulative state NOLs of \$9.6 billion, and (3) \$408 million relating to cumulative foreign NOLs of \$3.0 billion.

Of the \$5.2 billion U.S. Federal NOLs, approximately \$2.6 billion relates to the pre-emergence period which is subject to the Sec. 382 limitation discussed above. Domestic taxable income was essentially break-even for the current year, primarily due to one-time items in the fourth quarter, such as the Tyco tax agreement settlement, and the realization of tax losses from the sale of certain loan portfolios. The net increase in the U.S. Federal NOLs from the prior year balance of \$4.9 billion is primarily attributable to ongoing audit adjustments related to prior years as well as certain adjustments related to the finalization of the 2012 tax return filed during 2013. The U.S. Federal NOLs will expire beginning in 2027 through 2033. \$308 million of state NOLs will expire in 2014, and certain of the foreign NOLs will expire over various periods, with an insignificant amount expiring in 2014.

The Company has not recognized any tax benefit on its prior year domestic losses and certain prior year foreign losses due to uncertainties related to its ability to realize its net deferred tax assets in the future. Due to the future uncertainties, combined with the recent three years of cumulative losses by certain

domestic and foreign reporting entities, the Company has concluded that it does not currently meet the criteria to recognize its net deferred tax assets, inclusive of the deferred tax assets related to NOLs in these entities. Accordingly, the Company maintained valuation allowances of \$1.5 billion and \$1.6 billion against their net deferred tax assets at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Of the \$1.5 billion valuation allowance at December 31, 2013, approximately \$1.3 billion relates to domestic reporting entities and \$211 million relates to the foreign reporting entities.

Management's decision to maintain the valuation allowances on certain reporting entities' net deferred tax assets requires significant judgment and an analysis of all the positive and negative evidence regarding the likelihood that these future benefits will be realized. The most recent three years of cumulative losses, adjusted for any non-recurring items, was considered a significant negative factor supporting the need for a valuation allowance. At the point when any of these reporting entities transition into a cumulative three year income position, Management will consider this profitability measure along with other facts and circumstances in determining whether to release any of the valuation allowances. The other facts and circumstances that are considered in evaluating the need for or release of a valuation allowance include sustained profitability, both historical and forecast, tax planning strategies, and the carry-forward periods for the NOLs.

While certain foreign and domestic entities with net operating loss carry-forwards have been profitable, the Company continues to record a full valuation allowance on these entities' net deferred tax assets due to their history of losses. Given the continued improvement in earnings in certain foreign and domestic reporting entities, which is one factor considered in the evaluation process, it is possible that the valuation allowance for those entities may be reduced if these trends continue and other factors do not outweigh this positive evidence.

At the point a determination is made that it is "more likely than not" that a reporting entity generates sufficient future taxable income to realize its respective net deferred tax assets, the Company will reduce the entity's respective valuation allowance (in full or in part), resulting in an income tax benefit in the period such a determination is made. Subsequently, the provision for income taxes will be provided for future earnings; however, there will be a minimal impact on cash taxes paid for until the NOL carry-forward is fully utilized.

Indefinite Reinvestment Assertion

In 2011, management decided to no longer assert its intent to indefinitely reinvest its foreign earnings, except for foreign subsidiaries in select jurisdictions. This decision was driven by events during the course of the year that culminated in Management's conclusion that it may need to repatriate foreign earnings to address certain long-term investment and funding strategies.

As of December 31, 2013, Management continues to maintain the position with regards to its assertion. During 2013, the Company reduced its deferred tax liabilities for foreign withholding taxes by \$10.2 million and the domestic deferred income tax liabilities by \$19.6 million. As of December 31, 2013, the Company has recorded \$1.4 million for foreign withholding taxes and \$167.1

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

million for domestic deferred income tax liabilities which represents the Company's best estimate of the tax cost associated with the potential future repatriation of undistributed earnings of its foreign subsidiaries. The \$167.1 million of cumulative deferred income taxes were offset by a corresponding adjustment to the domestic valuation allowance resulting in no impact to the income tax provision.

Unrecognized Tax Benefits (dollars in millions)

	Liabilities for Unrecognized Tax Benefits	Interest/ Penalties	Grand Total
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$317.8	\$12.6	\$330.4
Additions for tax positions related to current year	1.4	0.2	1.6
Additions for tax positions related to prior years	2.0	0.8	2.8
Settlements and payments	(0.5)	–	(0.5)
Expiration of statutes of limitations	(0.8)	–	(0.8)
Foreign currency revaluation	0.2	(0.3)	(0.1)
Balance at December 31, 2013	<u>\$320.1</u>	<u>\$13.3</u>	<u>\$333.4</u>

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company recorded a \$3.0 million income tax expense on uncertain tax positions, including interest, penalties, and net of a \$0.1 million decrease attributable to foreign currency revaluation. The majority of the current year additions relate to prior-year uncertain tax positions. As required by ASC 740, Income Taxes, the deferred tax assets shown in the deferred tax asset and liability table above do not include any benefits associated with these uncertain tax positions.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company recognized a \$0.7 million income tax expense relating to interest and penalties on its uncertain tax positions, net of a \$0.3 million decrease attributable to foreign currency translation. As of December 31, 2013, the accrued liability for interest and penalties is \$13.3 million. The Company recognizes accrued interest and penalties on unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense.

The entire \$320.1 million of unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2013 would lower the Company's effective tax rate, if realized, absent a corresponding adjustment of the Company's valuation allowance for net deferred tax assets. The Company believes that the total unrecognized tax benefits may decrease, in the range of \$0 to \$5 million, due to the settlements of audits and the expiration of various statutes of limitations prior to December 31, 2014.

Income Tax Audits

On April 3, 2012, the Company and Internal Revenue Service (IRS) concluded the audit examination of the Company's U.S. federal income tax returns for the taxable years ended December 31, 2005 through December 31, 2007. The audit settlement resulted in the imposition of a \$1.4 million alternative minimum tax that can be used in the future as a credit to offset the Company's regular tax liability. In 2012, the IRS commenced its audit examination of the Company's U.S. Federal income tax returns for the taxable years ending December 31, 2008 through December 31, 2010. The IRS is currently targeting completing the examination during 2014.

Liabilities for Unrecognized Tax Benefits

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

The Company and its subsidiaries are under examination in various states, provinces and countries for years ranging from 2005 through 2011. Management does not anticipate that these examination results will have any material financial impact.

NOTE 18 — RETIREMENT, POSTRETIREMENT AND OTHER BENEFIT PLANS

CIT provides various benefit programs, including defined benefit retirement and postretirement plans, and defined contribution savings incentive plans. A summary of major plans is provided below.

Retirement and Postretirement Benefit Plans**Retirement Benefits**

CIT has both funded and unfunded noncontributory defined benefit pension plans covering certain U.S. and non-U.S. employees, each of which is designed in accordance with practices and regulations in the related countries. Retirement benefits under defined benefit pension plans are based on an employee's age, years of service and qualifying compensation.

The Company's largest plan is the CIT Group Inc. Retirement Plan (the "Plan"), which accounts for 73.4% of the Company's total pension projected benefit obligation at December 31, 2013.

The Company also maintains a U.S. noncontributory supplemental retirement plan, the CIT Group Inc. Supplemental Retirement Plan (the "Supplemental Plan"), for participants whose benefit in the Plan is subject to Internal Revenue Code limitations, and an executive retirement plan, which has been closed to new members since 2006. In aggregate, these two plans account for 18.2% of the total pension projected benefit obligation at December 31, 2013.

On October 16, 2012, the Board of Directors of the Company approved amendments to freeze the benefits earned under both the Plan and the Supplemental Plan. These actions became effective on December 31, 2012. These changes resulted in a reduction in the pension liability, a gain to AOCI and eliminated

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

future service cost accruals. The freeze discontinued credit for services after December 31, 2012; however, accumulated balances under the cash balance formula will continue to receive periodic interest, subject to certain government limits. The interest credit was 2.47%, 2.67%, and 4.17% for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. Participants under the traditional formula accrued a benefit through December 31, 2012, after which the benefit amount was frozen, and no further credits will be earned.

Employees generally become vested in both plans after completing three years of service, or upon attaining normal retirement age, as defined. Upon termination or retirement, vested participants under the "cash balance" formula have the option of receiving their benefit in a lump sum, deferring their payment to age 65 or converting their vested benefit to an annuity. Traditional formula participants can only receive an annuity upon a qualifying retirement.

During 2012, CIT offered a voluntary cash out option to Plan participants who are former employees of the Company and who had not yet started to receive monthly pension benefit payments. The payments made from the Plan in 2012 as a result of this offer totaled \$19.8 million.

Postretirement Benefits

CIT provides healthcare and life insurance benefits to eligible retired employees. U.S. retiree healthcare and life insurance

benefits account for 46.8% and 48.4% of the total postretirement benefit obligation, respectively. For most eligible retirees, healthcare is contributory and life insurance is non-contributory. The U.S. retiree healthcare plan pays a stated percentage of most medical expenses, reduced by a deductible and any payments made by the government and other programs. The U.S. retiree healthcare benefit includes a maximum limit on CIT's share of costs for employees who retired after January 31, 2002. All post-retirement benefit plans are funded on a pay-as-you-go basis.

On October 16, 2012, the Board of Directors of the Company approved amendments that discontinue benefits under CIT's postretirement benefit plans. These changes resulted in a gain to AOCI and will reduce future service cost accruals. CIT will no longer offer retiree medical, dental and life insurance benefits to those who did not meet the eligibility criteria for these benefits by December 31, 2013. Employees who met the eligibility requirements for retiree health insurance by December 31, 2013 will be offered retiree medical and dental coverage upon retirement. To receive retiree life insurance, employees must have met the eligibility criteria for retiree life insurance by December 31, 2013 and must have retired from CIT on or before December 31, 2013.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES – NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Obligations and Funded Status

The following tables set forth changes in benefit obligation, plan assets, funded status and net periodic benefit cost of the retirement plans and postretirement plans:

Obligations and Funded Status (dollars in millions)

	Retirement Benefits		Post-Retirement Benefits	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Change in benefit obligation				
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$480.8	\$ 470.3	\$ 42.3	\$ 50.2
Service cost ⁽¹⁾	0.5	14.5	0.1	0.8
Interest cost	17.8	19.9	1.6	1.9
Plan amendments, curtailments and settlements	(1.7)	(22.4)	0.6	(9.0)
Actuarial loss/(gain)	(20.1)	41.7	(2.8)	1.2
Benefits paid	(25.3)	(44.7)	(4.7)	(4.7)
Other ⁽²⁾	0.4	1.5	1.7	1.9
Benefit obligation at end of year	<u>452.4</u>	<u>480.8</u>	<u>38.8</u>	<u>42.3</u>
Change in plan assets				
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of period	346.3	324.6	–	–
Actual return on plan assets	16.0	41.3	–	–
Employer contributions	21.1	24.0	3.0	3.4
Plan settlements	(1.7)	(0.2)	(0.1)	(0.7)
Benefits paid	(25.3)	(44.7)	(4.7)	(4.7)
Other ⁽²⁾	0.5	1.3	1.8	2.0
Fair value of plan assets at end of period	<u>356.9</u>	<u>346.3</u>	<u>–</u>	<u>–</u>
Funded status at end of year ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	<u>\$ (95.5)</u>	<u>\$ (134.5)</u>	<u>\$ (38.8)</u>	<u>\$ (42.3)</u>

⁽¹⁾ The retirement benefit plan was frozen and the post-retirement benefit plan discontinued benefits effective December 31, 2012, as such, there was no service cost incurred on those plans in the year ended December 31, 2013.

⁽²⁾ Consists of any of the following: plan participants' contributions, termination benefits, retiree drug subsidy, and currency translation adjustments.

⁽³⁾ These amounts were recognized as liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

⁽⁴⁾ Company assets of \$95.7 million and \$99.2 million as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, related to the non-qualified U.S. executive retirement plan obligation are not included in plan assets but related liabilities are in the benefit obligation.

During 2013, the Company entered into a buy-in/buy-out transaction in the United Kingdom with an insurance company that is expected to result in a full buy-out of the related pension plan in 2014. This contract did not meet the settlement requirements in ASC 715, *Compensation – Retirement Benefits* as of the year ended December 31, 2013 and resulted in an \$8 million actuarial loss that is included in the net actuarial gain of \$20.1 million as of December 31, 2013, as the plan's pension liabilities were valued at their buy-in value basis. The loss of \$8 million will be recog-

nized in the Statement of Operations when the transaction meets settlement accounting requirements, which is expected in 2014.

The accumulated benefit obligation for all defined benefit pension plans was \$449.8 million and \$477.5 million, at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Information for those defined benefit plans with an accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets is as follows:

Defined Benefit Plans with an Accumulated Benefit Obligation in Excess of Plan Assets (dollars in millions)

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Projected benefit obligation	\$421.4	\$458.8
Accumulated benefit obligation	418.8	455.6
Fair value of plan assets	325.9	319.0

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The net periodic benefit cost and other amounts recognized in AOCI consisted of the following:

Net Periodic Benefit Costs and Other Amounts (dollars in millions)

	Retirement Benefits			Post-Retirement Benefits		
	2013	2012	2011	2013	2012	2011
Service cost	\$ 0.5	\$ 14.5	\$ 13.0	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.8	\$ 0.9
Interest cost	17.8	19.9	22.5	1.6	1.9	2.4
Expected return on plan assets	(18.9)	(18.4)	(20.3)	—	—	—
Amortization of prior service cost	—	—	—	(0.6)	(0.3)	—
Amortization of net loss/(gain)	1.0	2.1	—	(0.2)	(0.4)	(0.2)
Settlement and curtailment (gain)/loss	0.2	(0.6)	0.9	(0.3)	—	—
Termination benefits	—	0.3	—	—	—	—
Net periodic benefit cost	<u>0.6</u>	<u>17.8</u>	<u>16.1</u>	<u>0.6</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>3.1</u>
Other Changes in Plan Assets and Benefit Obligations Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income						
Net (gain)/loss	(17.1)	(2.6)	58.0	(2.5)	0.6	1.6
Prior service cost (credit)	—	—	—	—	(7.7)	—
Amortization, settlement or curtailment recognition of net gain/(loss)	(1.1)	(2.2)	(0.3)	0.1	0.4	0.2
Amortization, settlement or curtailment recognition of prior service (cost)/credit	—	—	—	1.4	0.2	—
Total recognized in OCI	<u>(18.2)</u>	<u>(4.8)</u>	<u>57.7</u>	<u>(1.0)</u>	<u>(6.5)</u>	<u>1.8</u>
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and OCI	<u><u>\$(17.6)</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 13.0</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 73.8</u></u>	<u><u>\$(0.4)</u></u>	<u><u>\$(4.5)</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 4.9</u></u>

The amounts recognized in AOCI during the year ended December 31, 2013 were net gains (before taxes) of \$18.2 million for retirement benefits. The net retirement benefits AOCI gains were primarily driven by a reduction in benefit obligations of \$17.1 million resulting from changes in assumptions. The discount rate for the U.S. pension and postretirement plans increased by 100 basis points from 3.75% at December 31, 2012 to 4.75% at December 31, 2013 and accounted for the majority of the AOCI gains arising from assumption changes.

The postretirement AOCI net gains (before taxes) of \$1.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2013 were primarily driven by a 75 basis point increase in the discount rate from 3.75% at December 31, 2012 to 4.50% at December 31, 2013.

The plan changes approved on October 16, 2012 resulted in plan curtailments and amendments which reduced the liability for the affected plans as indicated in the table above. Each of the amended plans was re-measured at October 1, 2012 using a discount rate of 3.75%.

The amounts recognized in AOCI during the year ended December 31, 2012 were net gains (before taxes) of \$4.8 million for retirement benefits. The net retirement benefits AOCI gains were primarily driven by a reduction in benefit obligations of \$20.4 million resulting from the decision to freeze benefits under certain plans, an increase in asset values of \$23.8 million due to favorable asset performance, and the settlement of obligations of approximately \$8.7 million as a result of the lump sum cash out offering. These gains were largely offset by changes in assumptions, which resulted in an increase in plan obligations of approximately \$48.1 million.

The postretirement AOCI net gains (before taxes) of \$6.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 were primarily driven by the reduction in benefit obligations of \$8.3 million primarily due to the discontinuation of benefits under certain plans, partially offset by the impacts of assumption changes of approximately \$1.8 million.

The discount rate for the majority of the U.S. pension and post-retirement plans decreased by 75 basis points from 4.50% at December 31, 2011 to 3.75% at December 31, 2012. The decrease in the discount rate assumption represents the majority of the offset to the reduction of the pension and postretirement benefit obligations driven by plan changes.

Assumptions

Discount rate assumptions used for pension and post-retirement benefit plan accounting reflect prevailing rates available on high-quality, fixed-income debt instruments with maturities that match the benefit obligation. The rate of compensation used in the actuarial model is based upon the Company's long-term plans for any increases, taking into account both market data and historical increases.

Expected long-term rate of return assumptions on assets are based on projected asset allocation and historical and expected future returns for each asset class. Independent analysis of historical and projected asset returns, inflation, and interest rates are provided by the Company's investment consultants and actuaries as part of the Company's assumptions process.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The weighted average assumptions used in the measurement of benefit obligations are as follows:

Weighted Average Assumptions

	Retirement Benefits		Post-Retirement Benefits	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Discount rate	4.59%	3.80%	4.50%	3.74%
Rate of compensation increases	3.03%	3.03%	(1)	3.00%
Health care cost trend rate				
Pre-65	(1)	(1)	7.40%	7.60%
Post-65	(1)	(1)	7.60%	7.80%
Ultimate health care cost trend rate	(1)	(1)	4.50%	4.50%
Year ultimate reached	(1)	(1)	2029	2029

The weighted average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit costs for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

Weighted Average Assumptions

	Retirement Benefits		Post-Retirement Benefits	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Discount rate	3.81%	4.30%	3.86%	4.31%
Expected long-term return on plan assets	5.57%	5.56%	(1)	(1)
Rate of compensation increases	3.03%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%

(1) Not applicable.

Healthcare rate trends have a significant effect on healthcare plan costs. The Company uses both external and historical data to determine healthcare rate trends. An increase (decrease) of one-percentage point in assumed healthcare rate trends would increase (decrease) the postretirement benefit obligation by \$1.2 million and (\$1.0 million), respectively. The service and interest cost are not material.

Plan Assets

CIT maintains a "Statement of Investment Policies and Objectives" which specifies guidelines for the investment, supervision and monitoring of pension assets in order to manage the Company's objective of ensuring sufficient funds to finance future retirement benefits. The asset allocation policy allows assets to be invested between 15% to 35% in Equities, 35% to 65% in Fixed-Income, 15% to 25% in Global Asset Allocations, and 5% to 10% in Hedge Funds. The asset allocation follows a Liability Driven Investing ("LDI") strategy. The objective of LDI is to allocate assets in a manner that their movement will more closely track the movement in the benefit liability. The policy provides specific guidance on asset class objectives, fund manager guidelines and identification of prohibited and restricted transactions.

It is reviewed periodically by the Company's Investment Committee and external investment consultants.

Members of the Investment Committee are appointed by the Chief Executive Officer and include the Chief Financial Officer as the committee Chairman, and other senior executives.

There were no direct investments in equity securities of CIT or its subsidiaries included in pension plan assets in any of the years presented.

Plan investments are stated at fair value. Common stock traded on security exchanges as well as mutual funds and exchange traded funds are valued at closing market prices; when no trades are reported, they are valued at the most recent bid quotation (Level 1). Investments in common/collective trusts are carried at fair value based upon net asset value ("NAV") (Level 2). Funds that invest in alternative assets that do not have quoted market prices are valued at estimated fair value based on capital and financial statements received from fund managers (Level 3). Given the valuation of Level 3 assets is dependent upon assumptions and expectations, management, with the assistance of third party experts, periodically assesses the controls and governance employed by the investment firms that manage Level 3 assets.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The tables below set forth asset fair value measurements.

Fair Value Measurements (dollars in millions)

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total Fair Value
December 31, 2013				
Cash	\$ 0.2	\$ –	\$ –	\$ 0.2
Mutual Funds	70.4	–	–	70.4
Common Collective Trusts	–	179.3	–	179.3
Common Stock	18.1	–	–	18.1
Exchange Traded Funds	21.2	–	–	21.2
Short Term Investment Fund	–	4.1	–	4.1
Partnership	–	–	9.7	9.7
Hedge Fund	–	–	22.9	22.9
Insurance Contracts	–	–	31.0	31.0
	<u>\$109.9</u>	<u>\$183.4</u>	<u>\$63.6</u>	<u>\$356.9</u>
December 31, 2012				
Cash	\$ 0.3	\$ –	\$ –	\$ 0.3
Mutual Funds	62.7	–	–	62.7
Common Collective Trusts	–	183.0	–	183.0
Common Stock	23.3	–	–	23.3
Exchange Traded Funds	19.6	–	–	19.6
Short Term Investment Fund	–	5.5	–	5.5
Partnership	–	–	10.5	10.5
Hedge Fund	–	–	13.9	13.9
Unitized Insurance Fund	–	27.2	–	27.2
Insurance Contracts	–	–	0.3	0.3
	<u>\$105.9</u>	<u>\$215.7</u>	<u>\$24.7</u>	<u>\$346.3</u>

Certain reclassifications were made to prior year investment classifications and fair value levels to conform to the current year presentation.

The table below sets forth changes in the fair value of the Plan's Level 3 assets for the year ended December 31, 2013:

Fair Value of Level 3 Assets (dollars in millions)

	Total	Partnership	Hedge Funds	Insurance Contracts
December 31, 2012	\$24.7	\$10.5	\$13.9	\$ 0.3
Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses)	1.1	(0.8)	1.9	–
Purchases, sales, and settlements, net	37.8	–	7.1	30.7
December 31, 2013	<u>\$63.6</u>	<u>\$ 9.7</u>	<u>\$22.9</u>	<u>\$31.0</u>
Change in Unrealized Gains (Losses) for Investments still held at December 31, 2013	<u>\$ 1.0</u>	<u>\$ (0.8)</u>	<u>\$ 1.8</u>	<u>\$ –</u>

Contributions

The Company's policy is to make contributions so that they exceed the minimum required by laws and regulations, are consistent with the Company's objective of ensuring sufficient funds

to finance future retirement benefits and are tax deductible. CIT currently expects to contribute \$22.0 million to the U.S. Retirement Plan during 2014. For all other plans, CIT currently expects to contribute \$10.0 million during 2014.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Estimated Future Benefit Payments

The following table depicts benefits projected to be paid from plan assets or from the Company's general assets calculated

Projected Benefits (dollars in millions)

For the years ended December 31,	Retirement Benefits	Gross Postretirement Benefits	Medicare Subsidy
2014	\$ 26.3	\$ 3.5	\$0.4
2015	26.4	3.4	0.4
2016	26.3	3.3	0.4
2017	25.9	3.3	0.4
2018	26.5	3.2	0.5
2019–2023	136.1	14.1	1.2

Savings Incentive Plan

CIT has a number of defined contribution retirement plans covering certain of its U.S. and non-U.S. employees designed in accordance with conditions and practices in the respective countries. The U.S. plan, which qualifies under section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code, is the largest and accounts for 87% of the Company's total defined contribution retirement expense for the year ended December 31, 2013. Generally, employees may contribute a portion of their eligible compensation, as defined, subject to regulatory limits and plan provisions, and the Company matches these contributions up to a threshold. On October 16, 2012, the Board of Directors of the Company approved plan enhancements which provide participants with additional company contributions in the plan effective January 1, 2013. The cost of these plans totaled \$24.9 million, \$16.9 million and \$15.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

Stock-Based Compensation

In December 2009, the Company adopted the Amended and Restated CIT Group Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "LTIP"), which provides for grants of stock-based awards to employees, executive officers and directors, and replaced the Predecessor CIT Group Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "Prior Plan"). The number of shares of common stock that may be issued for all purposes under the LTIP is 10,526,316.

Compensation expense related to equity-based awards are measured and recorded in accordance with ASC 718, *Stock Compensation*. The fair value of equity-based and stock purchase equity awards are measured at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option pricing model, and the fair value of restricted stock and unit awards is based on the fair market value of CIT's common stock on the date of grant. Compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period (requisite service period), which is generally three years for stock options and restricted stock/units, under the graded vesting method, whereby each vesting tranche of the award is amortized separately as if each were a separate award. Valuation assumptions for new equity awards are established at the start of each fiscal year.

Operating expenses includes \$52.5 million of compensation expense related to equity-based awards granted to employees or members of the Board of Directors for the year ended December 31, 2013, including \$52.3 million related to restricted

using current actuarial assumptions. Actual benefit payments may differ from projected benefit payments.

and retention stock and unit awards and the remaining related to stock purchases. Compensation expense related to equity-based awards included \$41.9 million in 2012 and \$24.8 million in 2011, respectively.

Stock Options

Stock options were not significant and no stock options were granted during 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

In December 2010, the Company adopted the CIT Group Inc. 2011 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the "ESPP"), which was approved by shareholders in May 2011. Eligibility for participation in the ESPP includes employees of CIT and its participating subsidiaries who are customarily employed for at least 20 hours per week, except that any employees designated as highly compensated are not eligible to participate in the ESPP. The ESPP is available to employees in the United States and to certain international employees. Under the ESPP, CIT is authorized to issue up to 2,000,000 shares of common stock to eligible employees. Eligible employees can choose to have between 1% and 10% of their base salary withheld to purchase shares quarterly, at a purchase price equal to 85% of the fair market value of CIT common stock on the last business day of the quarterly offering period. The amount of common stock that may be purchased by a participant through the ESPP is generally limited to \$25,000 per year. A total of 25,490 and 29,609 shares were purchased under the plan in 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Restricted Stock / Performance Units

Under the LTIP, Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs") are awarded at no cost to the recipient upon grant. RSUs are generally granted annually at the discretion of the Company, but may also be granted during the year to new hires or for retention or other purposes. RSUs granted to employees and restricted stock granted to members of the Board during 2013 and 2012 generally were scheduled to vest either one third per year for three years or 100% after three years. Certain vested stock awards were scheduled to remain subject to transfer restrictions through the first anniversary of the grant date for members of the Board who elected to receive stock in lieu of cash compensation for their retainer. Vested stock salary awards granted to a limited number of executives were scheduled to remain subject to transfer restrictions through the first and/or third anniversaries of the

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES – NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

grant date. Certain RSUs granted to directors, and in limited instances to employees, are designed to settle in cash and are accounted for as “liability” awards as prescribed by ASC 718. The values of these cash-settled RSUs are re-measured at the end of each reporting period until the award is settled.

During 2013 and 2012, Performance Stock Units (“PSUs”) were awarded to certain senior executives. The awards become payable only if CIT achieves certain growth and margin targets over a three-year performance period. PSU share payouts may increase or decrease from the target grant based on performance against these pre-established performance measures, with the actual number of shares ranging from 0% to a maximum of 150% of the target grant for PSUs granted in 2013, and a maximum of 200% of

the target grant for PSUs granted in 2012. Both performance measures have a minimum threshold level of performance that must be achieved to trigger any payout; if the threshold level of performance is not achieved for either performance measure, then no portion of the PSU target will be payable. Achievement against either performance measures is calculated independently of the other performance measure and each measure is weighted equally.

The fair value of restricted stock and RSUs that vested and settled in stock during 2013 and 2012 was \$38.6 million and \$10.8 million, respectively. The fair value of RSUs that vested and settled in cash during 2013 and 2012 was \$0.4 million in both periods.

The following tables summarize restricted stock and RSU activity for 2013 and 2012:

Stock and Cash – Settled Awards Outstanding

	Stock-Settled Awards		Cash-Settled Awards	
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Value	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Value
December 31, 2013				
Unvested at beginning of period	1,883,292	\$40.15	9,677	\$39.56
Vested / unsettled Stock Salary at beginning of period	114,119	38.20	3,247	39.05
PSUs – granted to employees	111,046	42.55	–	–
RSUs – granted to employees	1,015,861	42.76	–	–
RSUs – granted to directors	23,551	44.27	2,549	44.14
Forfeited / cancelled	(40,697)	41.62	–	–
Vested / settled awards	(872,643)	39.81	(7,800)	39.31
Vested / unsettled Stock Salary Awards	(15,066)	41.46	(2,165)	39.05
Unvested at end of period	<u>2,219,463</u>	\$41.51	<u>5,508</u>	\$41.93
December 31, 2012				
Unvested at beginning of period	979,393	\$42.40	13,964	\$40.12
Vested / unsettled Stock Salary at beginning of period	72,238	39.27	–	–
PSUs – granted to employees	106,511	41.31	–	–
RSUs – granted to employees	1,130,494	38.90	8,117	39.05
RSUs – granted to directors	30,409	35.84	1,815	35.80
Forfeited / cancelled	(56,735)	40.28	–	–
Vested / settled awards	(264,899)	43.68	(10,972)	39.42
Vested / unsettled Stock Salary Awards	(114,119)	38.20	(3,247)	39.05
Unvested at end of period	<u>1,883,292</u>	\$40.15	<u>9,677</u>	\$39.56

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 19 — COMMITMENTS

The accompanying table summarizes credit-related commitments, as well as purchase and funding commitments:

Commitments (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2013			December 31,
	Due to Expire		Total Outstanding	Total
	Within One Year	After One Year		Outstanding
Financing Commitments				
Financing and leasing assets	\$ 799.7	\$3,526.1	\$4,325.8	\$3,301.2
Letters of credit				
Standby letters of credit	36.8	265.5	302.3	238.5
Other letters of credit	35.9	–	35.9	53.6
Guarantees				
Deferred purchase credit protection agreements	1,771.6	–	1,771.6	1,841.5
Guarantees, acceptances and other recourse obligations	3.9	–	3.9	17.4
Purchase and Funding Commitments				
Aerospace manufacturer purchase commitments	729.3	8,015.2	8,744.5	9,168.3
Rail and other manufacturer purchase commitments	648.9	405.1	1,054.0	927.4
Commercial loan portfolio purchase commitment	–	–	–	1,258.3

Financing commitments, referred to as loan commitments or lines of credit, reflect CIT's agreements to lend to its customers, subject to the customers' compliance with contractual obligations. Included in the table above are commitments that have been extended to and accepted by customers, clients or agents, but on which the criteria for funding have not been completed of \$548 million at December 31, 2013 and \$325 million at December 31, 2012. Financing commitments also include credit line agreements to Trade Finance clients that are cancellable by us only after a notice period. The notice period is typically 90 days or less. The amount available under these credit lines, net of amount of receivables assigned to us, is \$157 million at December 31, 2013. As financing commitments may not be fully drawn, may expire unused, may be reduced or cancelled at the customer's request, and may require the customer to be in compliance with certain conditions, total commitment amounts do not necessarily reflect actual future cash flow requirements.

The table above includes approximately \$0.9 billion of undrawn financing commitments at December 31, 2013 and \$0.6 billion at December 31, 2012 for instances where the customer is not in compliance with contractual obligations, and therefore CIT does not have the contractual obligation to lend.

At December 31, 2013, substantially all undrawn financing commitments were senior facilities. Most of the Company's undrawn and available financing commitments are in Corporate Finance.

The table above excludes uncommitted revolving credit facilities extended by Trade Finance to its clients for working capital purposes. In connection with these facilities, Trade Finance has the sole discretion throughout the duration of these facilities to determine the amount of credit that may be made available to its clients at any time and whether to honor any specific advance requests made by its clients under these credit facilities.

Letters of Credit

In the normal course of meeting the needs of clients, CIT sometimes enters into agreements to provide financing and letters of credit. Standby letters of credit obligate the issuer of the letter of credit to pay the beneficiary if a client on whose behalf the letter of credit was issued does not meet its obligation. These financial instruments generate fees and involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. To minimize potential credit risk, CIT generally requires collateral and in some cases additional forms of credit support from the client.

Deferred Purchase Agreements

A Deferred Purchase Agreement ("DPA") is provided in conjunction with factoring, whereby CIT provides a client with credit protection for trade receivables without purchasing the receivables. The trade receivable terms are generally sixty days or less. If the client's customer is unable to pay an undisputed receivable solely as the result of credit risk, then CIT purchases the receivable from the client. The outstanding amount in the table above is the maximum potential exposure that CIT would be required to pay under all DPAs. This maximum amount would only occur if all receivables subject to DPAs default in the manner described above, thereby requiring CIT to purchase all such receivables from the DPA clients.

The table above includes \$1,690 million of DPA credit protection at December 31, 2013, related to receivables which have been presented to us for credit protection after shipment of goods has occurred and the customer has been invoiced. The table also includes \$82 million available under DPA credit line agreements, net of amount of DPA credit protection provided at December 31, 2013. The DPA credit line agreements specify a contractually committed amount of DPA credit protection and are

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

cancellable by us only after a notice period. The notice period is typically 90 days or less.

The methodology used to determine the DPA liability is similar to the methodology used to determine the allowance for loan losses associated with the finance receivables, which reflects embedded losses based on various factors, including expected losses reflecting the Company's internal customer and facility credit ratings. The liability recorded in Other Liabilities related to the DPAs totaled \$6.0 million and \$5.6 million at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Purchase and Funding Commitments

CIT's purchase commitments relate primarily to purchases of commercial aircraft and rail equipment. Commitments to purchase new commercial aircraft are predominantly with Airbus Industries ("Airbus"), The Boeing Company ("Boeing"), and Embraer S.A. ("Embraer"). CIT may also commit to purchase an aircraft directly from an airline. Aerospace equipment purchases are contracted for specific models, using baseline aircraft specifications at fixed prices, which reflect discounts from fair market purchase prices prevailing at the time of commitment. The delivery price of an aircraft may change depending on final specifications. Equipment purchases are recorded at the delivery date. The estimated commitment amounts in the preceding table are based on contracted purchase prices reduced for pre-delivery payments to date and exclude buyer furnished equipment selected by the lessee. Pursuant to existing contractual commitments, 147 aircraft remain to be purchased from Airbus, Boeing and Embraer at December 31, 2013. Aircraft deliveries are scheduled periodically through 2020. Commitments exclude unexercised options to order additional aircraft.

The Company's rail business entered into commitments to purchase railcars from multiple manufacturers. At December 31, 2013, approximately 7,500 railcars remain to be purchased with deliveries through 2015. Rail equipment purchase commitments are at fixed prices subject to price increases for certain materials.

Other vendor purchase commitments relate to Vendor Finance equipment.

The prior year amount includes \$1.3 billion related to December 2012 agreement to acquire commercial loan commitments.

NOTE 20 — CONTINGENCIES

Litigation

CIT is currently involved, and from time to time in the future may be involved, in a number of judicial, regulatory, and arbitration proceedings relating to matters that arise in connection with the conduct of its business (collectively, "Litigation"). In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of Litigation matters, particularly when such matters are in their early stages or where the claimants seek indeterminate damages, CIT cannot state with confidence what the eventual outcome of the pending Litigation will be, what the timing of the ultimate resolution of these matters will be, or what the eventual loss, fines, or penalties related to each pending matter will be, if any. In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, CIT establishes reserves for Litigation when those matters present loss contingencies as to which it is

both probable that a loss will occur and the amount of such loss can be reasonably estimated. Based on currently available information, CIT believes that the results of Litigation that is currently pending, taken together, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, but may be material to the Company's operating results or cash flows for any particular period, depending in part on its operating results for that period. The actual results of resolving such matters may be substantially higher than the amounts reserved.

For certain Litigation matters in which the Company is involved, the Company is able to estimate a range of reasonably possible losses in excess of established reserves and insurance. For other matters for which a loss is probable or reasonably possible, such an estimate cannot be determined. For Litigation where losses are reasonably possible, management currently estimates the aggregate range of reasonably possible losses as up to \$90 million in excess of established reserves and insurance related to those matters, if any. This estimate represents reasonably possible losses (in excess of established reserves and insurance) over the life of such Litigation, which may span a currently indeterminate number of years, and is based on information currently available as of December 31, 2013. The matters underlying the estimated range will change from time to time, and actual results may vary significantly from this estimate.

Those Litigation matters for which an estimate is not reasonably possible or as to which a loss does not appear to be reasonably possible, based on current information, are not included within this estimated range and, therefore, this estimated range does not represent the Company's maximum loss exposure.

The foregoing statements about CIT's Litigation are based on the Company's judgments, assumptions, and estimates and are necessarily subjective and uncertain. Several of the Company's Litigation matters are described below.

TYCO TAX AGREEMENT

In connection with the Company's separation from Tyco International Ltd ("Tyco") in 2002, CIT and Tyco entered into a Tax Agreement pursuant to which, among other things, CIT agreed to pay Tyco for tax savings actually realized by CIT, if any, as a result of the use of certain net operating losses arising during the period that Tyco owned CIT (the "Tyco Tax Attribute"), which savings would not have been realized absent the existence of the Tyco Tax Attribute. During CIT's bankruptcy, CIT rejected the Tax Agreement. Tyco filed a Notice of Arbitration during the second quarter of 2011, seeking arbitration of its alleged contractual damages resulting from rejection of the Tax Agreement. The arbitration hearing was scheduled to begin in December 2013. In November 2013, the parties engaged in a mediation and settlement negotiations resulting in a settlement of the matter. Pursuant to the settlement, CIT paid Tyco \$60 million in December 2013 and Tyco released all claims that it had with respect to the federal Tyco Tax Attribute, which could have been as much as approximately \$794 million and the state Tyco Tax Attribute which could have been as much as approximately \$180 million. The settlement has been fully consummated and the matter is resolved.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

LAC-MÉGANTIC, QUEBEC DERAILMENT

On July 6, 2013, a freight train including five locomotives and seventy-two tank cars carrying crude oil derailed in the town of Lac-Mégantic, Quebec. Nine of the tank cars were owned by The CIT Group/Equipment Financing, Inc. ("CIT/EF") (a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company) and leased to Western Petroleum Company ("WPC"), a subsidiary of World Fuel Services Corp. ("WFS"). Two of the locomotives are owned by CIT/EF and were leased to Montreal, Maine & Atlantic Railway, Ltd. ("MMA"), the railroad operating the freight train at the time of the derailment, a subsidiary of Rail World, Inc.

The derailment was followed by explosions and fire, which resulted in the deaths of over forty people and an unknown number of injuries, the destruction of more than thirty buildings in Lac-Mégantic, and the release of crude oil on land and into the Chaudière River. The extent of the property and environmental damage has not yet been determined. Twenty lawsuits have been filed in Illinois by representatives of the deceased in connection with the derailment. The Company is named as a defendant in seven of the twenty lawsuits, together with 13 other defendants, including WPC, MMA (who has since been dismissed without prejudice as a result of its chapter 11 bankruptcy filing on August 7, 2013), and the lessors of the other locomotives and tank cars. Liability could be joint and several among some or all of the defendants. All but two of these cases have been consolidated in the U.S. District Court in the Northern District of Illinois. The Company has joined a motion to move these cases to the U.S. District Court in Maine. The Company has been named as an additional defendant in a pending class action in the Superior Court of Quebec, Canada. Other cases may be filed in U.S. and Canadian courts. The plaintiffs in the pending U.S. and Canadian actions assert claims of negligence and strict liability based upon alleged design defect against the Company in connection with the CIT/EF tank cars. The Company has rights of indemnification and defense against its lessees, WPC and MMA, and also has rights as an additional insured under liability coverage maintained by the lessees. In addition, the Company and its subsidiaries maintain contingent and general liability insurance for claims of this nature, and the Company and its insurers are working cooperatively with respect to these claims.

The Lac-Mégantic derailment has triggered a number of regulatory investigations and actions. The Transportation Safety Board of Canada is investigating the cause of the derailment, with assistance from Transport Canada. In addition, Quebec's Environment Ministry has issued an order to WFS, WPC, MMA, and Canadian Pacific Railway (which allegedly subcontracted with MMA) to pay for the full cost of environmental clean-up and damage assessment related to the derailment.

As the Company is unable to predict the outcome of the foregoing legal proceedings or whether and the extent to which additional lawsuits or claims will be brought against the Company or its subsidiaries, the regulatory investigations have not been concluded, the total damages have not been quantified, there are a large number of parties named as defendants, and the extent to which resulting liability will be assessed against other parties and their financial ability to bear such responsibilities is unknown, the Company cannot reasonably estimate the amount or range of loss that may be incurred in connection with the

derailment. The Company is vigorously defending the claims that have been asserted, including pursuing its rights under indemnification agreements and insurance policies.

BRAZILIAN TAX MATTERS

Banco CIT, CIT's Brazilian bank subsidiary, is pursuing seven tax appeals relating to disputed local tax assessments on leasing services and importation of equipment. The disputes primarily involve questions of whether the correct taxing authorities were paid and whether the proper tax rate was applied.

ISS Tax Appeals

Notices of infraction were received relating to the payment of Imposto sobre Serviços ("ISS"), charged by municipalities in connection with services. The Brazilian municipalities of Itu and Cascavale claim that Banco CIT should have paid them ISS tax on leasing services for tax years 2006-2011. Instead, Banco CIT paid the ISS tax to Barueri, the municipality in which it is domiciled in São Paulo, Brazil. The disputed issue is whether the ISS tax should be paid to the municipality in which the leasing company is located or the municipality in which the services were rendered or the customer is located. The amounts claimed by the taxing authorities of Itu and Cascavel collectively for tax assessments and penalties are approximately 850 thousand Reais (approximately \$350 thousand). Recent favorable legal precedent in a similar tax appeal has been issued by Brazil's highest court resolving the conflict between the municipalities.

ICMS Tax Appeals

Notices of infraction were received relating to the payment of Imposto sobre Circulação de Mercadorias e Serviços ("ICMS") taxes charged by states in connection with the importation of equipment. The state of São Paulo claims that Banco CIT should have paid it ICMS tax for tax years 2006-2009 because Banco CIT, the purchaser, is located in São Paulo. Instead, Banco CIT paid ICMS tax to the states of Espírito Santo, Espírito Santa Caterina, and Alagoas, where the imported equipment arrived. A recent regulation issued by São Paulo in December 2013 reaffirms a 2009 agreement by São Paulo to conditionally recognize ICMS tax payments made to Espírito Santo. The amounts claimed by São Paulo collectively for tax assessments and penalties are approximately: (i) 79 million Reais (approximately \$34 million) for goods imported into the state of Espírito Santo from 2006-2009 and the states of Espírito Santa Caterina and Alagoas in 2007 and 2008.

A notice of infraction was received relating to São Paulo's challenge of the ICMS tax rate paid by Banco CIT for tax years 2004-2007. São Paulo alleges that Banco CIT paid a lower rate of ICMS tax on imported equipment than was required (8.8% instead of 18%). Banco CIT challenged the notice of infraction and was partially successful – the period from January 1, 2004 through December 22, 2004 has been excluded from the amounts claimed to be due by São Paulo. Banco CIT has commenced a judicial proceeding challenging the unfavorable portion of the administrative ruling. The amount claimed by São Paulo for tax assessments and penalties is approximately 4 million Reais (approximately \$1.6 million).

The current potential aggregate exposure in taxes, fines and interest for the ISS and the ICMS tax matters could be up to approximately 84 million Reais (approximately \$36 million).

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 21 — PLEDGED ASSETS AND LEASE COMMITMENTS

Pledged Assets

As part of our liquidity management strategy, we pledge assets to secure financing transactions (which include securitizations), borrowings from the FHLB and FRB, and for other purposes as required or permitted by law. Our financing transactions do not meet accounting requirements for sale treatment and are recorded as secured borrowings, with the assets remaining on-balance sheet for GAAP. The debt associated with these transactions is collateralized by receivables, leases and/or equipment. Certain related cash balances are restricted. The amounts that follow reflect pledged assets associated with secured financing transactions, which include pledged assets related to variable interest entities ("VIEs") and borrowings from the FHLB. We do not have outstanding borrowings with the FRB. See Note 8 — *Long Term Borrowings* for associated debt balances.

At December 31, 2013 we had pledged assets of \$15.3 billion, which included \$9.4 billion of loans (including amounts held for sale), \$4.8 billion of operating lease assets, \$1.0 billion of cash and \$0.1 billion of investment securities.

Lease Commitments

The following table presents future minimum rental payments under non-cancellable long-term lease agreements for premises and equipment at December 31, 2013:

Future Minimum Rentals (dollars in millions)

Years Ended December 31,	
2014	\$ 31.6
2015	29.5
2016	26.8
2017	23.1
2018	22.4
Thereafter	53.2
Total	<u>\$186.6</u>

In addition to fixed lease rentals, leases generally require payment of maintenance expenses and real estate taxes, both of which are subject to escalation provisions. Minimum payments include \$85.3 million (\$13.1 million for 2014) which will be recorded against the facility exiting liability when paid and therefore will not be recorded as rental expense in future periods. Minimum payments have not been reduced by minimum sublease rentals of \$63.6 million due in the future under non-cancellable subleases which will be recorded against the facility exiting liability when received. See Note 25 — *"Severance and Facility Exiting Liabilities"* for the liability related to closing facilities.

Rental expense for premises, net of sublease income (including restructuring charges from exiting office space), and equipment, was as follows.

(dollars in millions)	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Premises	\$19.0	\$19.8	\$22.7
Equipment	3.0	2.9	2.7
Total	<u>\$22.0</u>	<u>\$22.7</u>	<u>\$25.4</u>

NOTE 22 — CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

CIT invests in various trusts, partnerships, and limited liability corporations established in conjunction with structured financing transactions of equipment, power and infrastructure projects. CIT's interests in these entities were entered into in the ordinary course of business. Other assets included approximately \$65 million and \$69 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, of investments in non-consolidated entities relating to such transactions that are accounted for under the equity or cost methods.

The combination of investments in and loans to non-consolidated entities represents the Company's maximum exposure to loss, as the Company does not provide guarantees or other forms of indemnification to non-consolidated entities.

Certain shareholders of CIT provide investment management, banking and investment banking services in the normal course of business.

NOTE 23 — BUSINESS SEGMENT INFORMATION

Management's Policy in Identifying Reportable Segments

CIT's reportable segments are comprised of strategic business units that are aggregated into segments primarily based upon industry categories and to a lesser extent, the core competencies relating to product origination, distribution methods, operations and servicing and the nature of their regulatory environment. This segment reporting is consistent with the presentation of financial information to management.

Types of Products and Services

CIT has five reportable segments: Corporate Finance, Transportation Finance, Trade Finance, Vendor Finance and Consumer. Corporate Finance and Trade Finance offer secured lending as well as other financial products and services predominately to small and midsize companies. These include secured revolving lines of credit and term loans, factoring, accounts receivable credit protection, accounts receivable collection, import and export financing, debtor-in-possession and turnaround financing and receivable advisory services. Transportation Finance offers leasing products and secured lending to midsize and larger companies across the aerospace, rail and maritime industries. Vendor Finance partners with manufacturers and distributors to offer secured lending and leasing products predominantly to small and mid-size companies primarily in information technology, telecommunication and office equipment markets. Consumer includes a liquidating portfolio of government-guaranteed student loans, which are all in assets held for sale at December 31, 2013.

Segment Profit and Assets

Certain activities are not attributed to operating segments and are included in Corporate and Other. Some of the more significant items include loss on debt extinguishments, costs associated with excess cash liquidity (Interest Expense), mark-to-market adjustments on non-qualifying derivatives (Other Income) and restructuring charges for severance and facilities exit activities (Operating Expenses). In 2011, Corporate and Other also included prepayment penalties associated with debt repayments (Interest Expense).

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES – NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in millions)	Corporate Finance	Transportation Finance	Trade Finance	Vendor Finance	Commercial Segments	Consumer	Total Segments	Corporate and Other	Total CIT
For the year ended December 31, 2013									
Interest income	\$ 525.1	\$ 145.9	\$ 54.9	\$ 509.0	\$ 1,234.9	\$ 130.7	\$ 1,365.6	\$ 17.2	\$ 1,382.8
Interest expense	(244.6)	(510.4)	(26.2)	(219.4)	(1,000.6)	(77.2)	(1,077.8)	(60.2)	(1,138.0)
Provision for credit losses	(19.0)	1.0	4.4	(51.3)	(64.9)	–	(64.9)	–	(64.9)
Rental income on operating leases	18.0	1,546.9	–	205.4	1,770.3	–	1,770.3	–	1,770.3
Other income	147.8	77.0	138.2	11.3	374.3	0.9	375.2	6.9	382.1
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(10.3)	(459.4)	–	(103.8)	(573.5)	–	(573.5)	–	(573.5)
Operating expenses	(233.2)	(200.6)	(115.7)	(327.9)	(877.4)	(23.4)	(900.8)	(83.9)	(984.7)
Income (loss) before (provision) benefit for income taxes	<u>\$ 183.8</u>	<u>\$ 600.4</u>	<u>\$ 55.6</u>	<u>\$ 23.3</u>	<u>\$ 863.1</u>	<u>\$ 31.0</u>	<u>\$ 894.1</u>	<u>\$(120.0)</u>	<u>\$ 774.1</u>
Select Period End Balances									
Loans	\$9,465.9	\$ 2,181.3	\$ 2,262.4	\$4,719.6	\$18,629.2	\$ –	\$18,629.2	\$ –	\$18,629.2
Credit balances of factoring clients	–	–	(1,336.1)	–	(1,336.1)	–	(1,336.1)	–	(1,336.1)
Assets held for sale	413.7	152.0	–	437.7	1,003.4	3,374.5	4,377.9	–	4,377.9
Operating lease equipment, net	79.1	12,771.8	–	184.5	13,035.4	–	13,035.4	–	13,035.4
For the year ended December 31, 2012									
Interest income	\$ 623.6	\$ 135.2	\$ 57.6	\$ 553.5	\$ 1,369.9	\$ 179.6	\$ 1,549.5	\$ 19.6	\$ 1,569.1
Interest expense	(564.6)	(1,233.5)	(80.0)	(473.6)	(2,351.7)	(231.7)	(2,583.4)	(314.0)	(2,897.4)
Provision for credit losses	(7.3)	(18.0)	0.9	(26.5)	(50.9)	(0.7)	(51.6)	–	(51.6)
Rental income on operating leases	8.9	1,536.6	–	239.1	1,784.6	–	1,784.6	–	1,784.6
Other income	387.9	56.3	144.0	27.6	615.8	40.3	656.1	(3.0)	653.1
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(4.3)	(419.7)	–	(109.2)	(533.2)	–	(533.2)	–	(533.2)
Operating expenses	(244.0)	(179.6)	(118.4)	(318.8)	(860.8)	(39.5)	(900.3)	(17.9)	(918.2)
Loss on debt extinguishments	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	(61.2)	(61.2)
Income (loss) before (provision) benefit for income taxes	<u>\$ 200.2</u>	<u>\$ (122.7)</u>	<u>\$ 4.1</u>	<u>\$ (107.9)</u>	<u>\$ (26.3)</u>	<u>\$ (52.0)</u>	<u>\$ (78.3)</u>	<u>\$(376.5)</u>	<u>\$ (454.8)</u>
Select Period End Balances									
Loans	\$8,175.9	\$ 1,853.2	\$ 2,305.3	\$4,818.7	\$17,153.1	\$3,694.5	\$20,847.6	\$ –	\$20,847.6
Credit balances of factoring clients	–	–	(1,256.5)	–	(1,256.5)	–	(1,256.5)	–	(1,256.5)
Assets held for sale	56.8	173.6	–	414.5	644.9	1.5	646.4	–	646.4
Operating lease equipment, net	23.9	12,173.6	–	214.2	12,411.7	–	12,411.7	–	12,411.7
For the year ended December 31, 2011									
Interest income	\$ 923.7	\$ 155.9	\$ 73.3	\$ 788.4	\$ 1,941.3	\$ 266.5	\$ 2,207.8	\$ 20.9	\$ 2,228.7
Interest expense	(706.1)	(885.2)	(90.9)	(505.1)	(2,187.3)	(290.6)	(2,477.9)	(316.5)	(2,794.4)
Provision for credit losses	(173.3)	(12.8)	(11.2)	(69.3)	(266.6)	(3.1)	(269.7)	–	(269.7)
Rental income on operating leases	18.0	1,375.6	–	273.9	1,667.5	–	1,667.5	–	1,667.5
Other income	546.5	99.1	156.1	154.8	956.5	2.0	958.5	(5.7)	952.8
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(7.8)	(382.2)	–	(185.1)	(575.1)	–	(575.1)	–	(575.1)
Operating expenses	(232.7)	(160.2)	(110.4)	(312.8)	(816.1)	(65.4)	(881.5)	(15.1)	(896.6)
Loss on debt extinguishments	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	(134.8)	(134.8)
Income (loss) before (provision) benefit for income taxes	<u>\$ 368.3</u>	<u>\$ 190.2</u>	<u>\$ 16.9</u>	<u>\$ 144.8</u>	<u>\$ 720.2</u>	<u>\$ (90.6)</u>	<u>\$ 629.6</u>	<u>\$(451.2)</u>	<u>\$ 178.4</u>
Select Period End Balances									
Loans	\$6,865.4	\$ 1,487.0	\$ 2,431.4	\$4,442.0	\$15,225.8	\$4,680.1	\$19,905.9	\$ –	\$19,905.9
Credit balances of factoring clients	–	–	(1,225.5)	–	(1,225.5)	–	(1,225.5)	–	(1,225.5)
Assets held for sale	214.0	84.0	–	371.6	669.6	1,662.7	2,332.3	–	2,332.3
Operating lease equipment, net	35.0	11,754.2	–	217.2	12,006.4	–	12,006.4	–	12,006.4

In December 2013 we announced organization changes effective January 1, 2014. In conjunction with management's plans to (i) realign and simplify its businesses and organizational structure, (ii) streamline and consolidate certain business processes to achieve greater operating efficiencies, and (iii) leverage CIT's operational capabilities for the benefit of its clients and customers, CIT will manage its business and report its financial

results in three operating segments (the "New Segments"): (1) Transportation and International Finance; (2) North American Commercial Finance; and (3) Non-Strategic Portfolios. CIT's New Segments will be established based on how CIT's business units will be managed prospectively and how products and services will be provided to clients and customers by each business unit.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The change in segment reporting will have no effect on CIT's historical consolidated results of operations.

- "Transportation and International Finance" will include CIT's commercial aircraft, business aircraft, rail, and maritime finance business units. Each of these businesses is currently included in CIT's Transportation Finance segment. CIT's transportation lending business, which offers cash flow and asset-based loan products to commercial businesses in the transportation sector, is currently part of the Transportation Segment and will be included in the North American Commercial Finance segment. The Transportation and International Finance segment will also include corporate lending businesses outside of North America (currently part of the Corporate Finance Segment) and vendor finance businesses outside of North America (currently part of the Vendor Finance Segment).

- "North American Commercial Finance" will consist of CIT's former Trade Finance segment, North American business units currently in the Corporate Finance and Vendor Finance segments, and the transportation lending business, which is currently reflected in the Transportation Finance segment.

- "Non-Strategic Portfolios" will consist of CIT's run-off government-guaranteed student loan portfolio plus the small business lending portfolio, and other portfolios, including the subscale platforms identified in our international rationalization actions.

Geographic Information

The following table presents information by major geographic region based upon the location of the Company's legal entities.

Geographic Regions (dollars in millions)

		Total Assets	Total Revenue	Income (loss) before income taxes	Income (loss) before noncontrolling interests
U.S.	2013	\$34,121.0	\$2,213.5	\$ 467.8	\$ 438.9
	2012	30,829.1	2,566.0	(1,043.7)	(1,102.7)
	2011	32,338.3	3,042.6	(660.5)	(687.6)
Europe	2013	7,679.6	807.4	167.3	121.5
	2012	7,274.9	822.7	224.7	195.4
	2011	6,938.2	897.6	238.8	196.3
Other foreign ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	2013	5,338.4	514.3	139.0	121.2
	2012	5,908.0	618.1	364.2	318.7
	2011	5,986.9	908.8	600.1	511.1
Total consolidated	2013	47,139.0	3,535.2	774.1	681.6
	2012	44,012.0	4,006.8	(454.8)	(588.6)
	2011	45,263.4	4,849.0	178.4	19.8

⁽¹⁾ Includes Canada region results which had income before income taxes of \$79.5 million in 2013, \$164.3 million in 2012 and \$257.7 million in 2011 and income before noncontrolling interests of \$69.2 million in 2013, \$112.0 million in 2012 and \$207.0 million in 2011.

⁽²⁾ Includes Caribbean region results which had income before income taxes of \$49.6 million in 2013, \$203.5 million in 2012 and \$230.4 million in 2011 and income before noncontrolling interests of \$50.4 million in 2013, \$199.7 million in 2012 and \$228.2 million in 2011.

NOTE 24 — GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The following tables summarize goodwill and intangible assets, net balances by segment:

Goodwill (dollars in millions)

	Transportation Finance	Trade Finance	Vendor Finance	Total
December 31, 2011	\$183.1	\$43.4	\$119.4	\$345.9
December 31, 2012	183.1	43.4	119.4	345.9
Activity	—	—	(11.3)	(11.3)
December 31, 2013	\$183.1	\$43.4	\$108.1	\$334.6

Goodwill was recorded in conjunction with FSA and represented the excess of reorganization equity value over the fair value of tangible and identifiable intangible assets, net of liabilities. Goodwill was allocated to the Transportation Finance, Trade Finance and Vendor Finance segments based on the respective segment's estimated fair value of equity. Goodwill is assigned to

a segment (or "reporting unit") at the date the goodwill is initially recorded. Once goodwill has been assigned, it no longer retains its association with a particular event or acquisition, and all of the activities within a reporting unit, whether acquired or internally generated, are available to support the value of the goodwill. The activity in Vendor Finance reflected the allocated amounts to

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

non-U.S. portfolios that were either sold during the year or included in assets held for sale at December 31, 2013.

The Company periodically reviews and evaluates its goodwill and intangible assets for potential impairment. In 2013, CIT performed Step 1 goodwill impairment testing utilizing estimated fair value based on peer price to earnings (PE) and tangible book value (TBV) multiples for Transportation Finance, Trade Finance and Vendor Finance. Management concluded, based on performing the Step 1 analysis, that the fair values of each of the reporting units exceeded their respective carrying values, including goodwill. As the results of the first step test showed no indication of impairment in any of the reporting units, the Company did not perform the second step of the impairment test for any of the reporting units.

CIT performed the qualitative assessment for Trade Finance goodwill impairment testing in 2012. In such assessment, the Company concluded that it was more likely than not that the fair value of the Trade Finance reporting unit was more than its carrying amount, including goodwill as of December 31, 2012. The qualitative factors considered in this assessment included the Company's market valuation, the reporting unit's profitability and the general economic outlook.

For the Transportation Finance and Vendor Finance segments, Step 1 of goodwill impairment testing was completed by comparing the segments' estimated fair value with their carrying values, including goodwill as of December 31, 2012. The Company concluded that for Transportation Finance and Vendor Finance, fair value was in excess of carrying value, including goodwill. For the purposes of this first step impairment analysis, the Company

NOTE 25 — SEVERANCE AND FACILITY EXITING LIABILITIES

The following table summarizes liabilities (pre-tax) related to closing facilities and employee severance:

Severance and Facility Exiting Liabilities (dollars in millions)

	Severance		Facilities		Total Liabilities
	Number of Employees	Liability	Number of Facilities	Liability	
December 31, 2011	79	\$ 3.5	19	\$44.8	\$ 48.3
Additions and adjustments	193	20.5	5	3.4	23.9
Utilization	(209)	(16.7)	(8)	(9.4)	(26.1)
December 31, 2012	63	7.3	16	38.8	46.1
Additions and adjustments	274	33.4	3	3.7	37.1
Utilization	(212)	(23.0)	(3)	(9.2)	(32.2)
December 31, 2013	125	\$ 17.7	16	\$33.3	\$ 51.0

CIT continued to implement various organization efficiency and cost reduction initiatives, such as our international rationalization activities. The severance additions primarily relate to employee termination benefits incurred in conjunction with these initiatives. The facility additions primarily relate to location closings and

primarily utilized valuation multiples for publicly traded companies comparable to its reporting segments to determine the fair market value of its reporting units. As the results of the impairment assessment and first step test showed no indication of impairment in either of the reporting units, the Company did not perform the second step of the impairment test for either of the reporting units.

Intangible Assets (dollars in millions)

	Transportation Finance
December 31, 2011	\$ 63.6
Amortization	(24.8)
Activity	(6.9)
December 31, 2012	31.9
Amortization	(11.6)
December 31, 2013	\$ 20.3

The Transportation Finance intangible assets recorded in conjunction with FSA is comprised of amounts related to favorable (above current market rates) operating leases. The net intangible asset will be amortized as an offset to rental income over the remaining life of the leases, generally 5 years or less.

Accumulated amortization totaled \$198.3 million at December 31, 2013. Projected amortization for the years ended December 31, 2014 through December 31, 2018 is approximately \$7.5 million, \$5.3 million, \$2.8 million, \$1.0 million, and \$1.2 million, respectively.

consolidations in connection with these initiatives. These additions, along with charges related to accelerated vesting of equity and other benefits, were recorded as part of the \$36.9 million and \$22.7 million provisions for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 26 — PARENT COMPANY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following tables present the Parent Company only financial statements:

Condensed Parent Company Only Balance Sheet (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Assets:		
Cash and deposits	\$ 1,533.5	\$ 1,307.4
Cash held at bank subsidiary	62.0	15.2
Investment securities	2,096.6	750.3
Receivables from nonbank subsidiaries	12,871.1	15,197.9
Receivables from bank subsidiaries	5.6	15.6
Investment in nonbank subsidiaries	6,533.4	6,547.2
Investment in bank subsidiaries	2,599.6	2,437.2
Goodwill	334.6	345.9
Other assets	853.2	547.7
Total Assets	<u>\$26,889.6</u>	<u>\$27,164.4</u>
Liabilities and Equity:		
Long-term borrowings	\$12,531.6	\$11,822.6
Liabilities to nonbank subsidiaries	4,840.9	6,386.8
Other liabilities	678.3	620.2
Total Liabilities	18,050.8	18,829.6
Total Stockholders' Equity	8,838.8	8,334.8
Total Liabilities and Equity	<u>\$26,889.6</u>	<u>\$27,164.4</u>

Condensed Parent Company Only Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Income			
Interest income from nonbank subsidiaries	\$ 636.6	\$ 737.6	\$ 730.0
Interest and dividends on interest bearing deposits and investments	2.0	2.6	3.2
Dividends from nonbank subsidiaries	551.1	834.0	—
Other income from subsidiaries	50.8	181.0	413.7
Other income	(4.6)	(37.7)	47.8
Total income	1,235.9	1,717.5	1,194.7
Expenses			
Interest expense	(686.9)	(2,345.9)	(2,141.5)
Interest expense on liabilities to subsidiaries	(199.6)	(293.6)	(568.1)
Other expenses	(220.4)	(242.3)	(420.4)
Total expenses	(1,106.9)	(2,881.8)	(3,130.0)
Income (loss) before income taxes and equity in undistributed net income of subsidiaries	129.0	(1,164.3)	(1,935.3)
Benefit for income taxes	367.9	482.2	656.6
Income (loss) before equity in undistributed net income of subsidiaries	496.9	(682.1)	(1,278.7)
Equity in undistributed net income of bank subsidiaries	95.9	41.3	67.2
Equity in undistributed net income of nonbank subsidiaries	82.9	48.5	1,226.3
Net income (loss)	675.7	(592.3)	14.8
Other Comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	4.1	4.9	(81.5)
Comprehensive income (loss)	<u>\$ 679.8</u>	<u>\$ (587.4)</u>	<u>\$ (66.7)</u>

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Condensed Parent Company Only Statements of Cash Flows (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 675.7	\$ (592.3)	\$ 14.8
Equity in undistributed (earnings) losses of subsidiaries	(178.8)	(89.8)	(1,293.5)
Other operating activities, net	(88.2)	1,524.3	2,704.1
Net cash flows provided by operations	408.7	842.2	1,425.4
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:			
Decrease (Increase) in investments and advances to subsidiaries	21.0	4,053.1	17,291.2
(Increase) decrease in Investment securities	(1,346.2)	89.1	(839.4)
Net cash flows (used in) provided by investing activities	(1,325.2)	4,142.2	16,451.8
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:			
Proceeds from the issuance of term debt	735.2	9,750.0	2,000.0
Repayments of term debt	(60.5)	(15,239.8)	(6,020.6)
Repurchase of common stock	(193.4)	—	—
Dividends paid	(20.1)	—	—
Net change in liabilities to subsidiaries	728.2	(1,139.5)	(13,614.7)
Net cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	1,189.4	(6,629.3)	(17,635.3)
Net increase (decrease) in unrestricted cash and cash equivalents	272.9	(1,644.9)	241.9
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	1,322.6	2,967.5	2,725.6
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 1,595.5	\$ 1,322.6	\$ 2,967.5

CIT GROUP AND SUBSIDIARIES — NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 27 — SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA

Selected Quarterly Financial Data (dollars in millions)

	Unaudited			
	Fourth Quarter	Third Quarter	Second Quarter	First Quarter
For the year ended December 31, 2013				
Interest income	\$ 338.0	\$ 337.4	\$ 351.6	\$ 355.8
Interest expense	(286.7)	(278.0)	(281.4)	(291.9)
Provision for credit losses	(14.4)	(16.4)	(14.6)	(19.5)
Rental income on operating leases	431.9	441.1	452.4	444.9
Other income	127.9	104.8	79.3	70.1
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(145.9)	(143.0)	(141.3)	(143.3)
Operating expenses	(287.5)	(232.2)	(229.7)	(235.3)
Provision for income taxes	(31.2)	(13.9)	(32.2)	(15.2)
Noncontrolling interests, after tax	(2.2)	(0.2)	(0.5)	(3.0)
Net income	<u>\$ 129.9</u>	<u>\$ 199.6</u>	<u>\$ 183.6</u>	<u>\$ 162.6</u>
Net income per diluted share	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.99	\$ 0.91	\$ 0.81
For the year ended December 31, 2012				
Interest income	\$ 357.0	\$ 375.5	\$ 410.3	\$ 426.3
Interest expense	(366.6)	(816.0)	(634.2)	(1,080.6)
Provision for credit losses	(0.1)	—	(8.9)	(42.6)
Rental income on operating leases	452.0	445.8	446.2	440.6
Other income	171.7	86.7	139.4	255.3
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(130.3)	(134.5)	(130.8)	(137.6)
Operating expenses	(231.9)	(235.2)	(226.8)	(224.3)
Loss on debt extinguishments	—	(16.8)	(21.5)	(22.9)
Provision for income taxes	(44.2)	(3.9)	(45.4)	(40.3)
Noncontrolling interests, after tax	(0.8)	(0.8)	(1.2)	(0.9)
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ 206.8</u>	<u>\$(299.2)</u>	<u>\$ (72.9)</u>	<u>\$ (427.0)</u>
Net income (loss) per diluted share	\$ 1.03	\$ (1.49)	\$ (0.36)	\$ (2.13)

NOTE 28 — SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Unsecured Debt Issuance

On February 19, 2014, CIT issued \$1 billion aggregate principal amount of senior unsecured notes due 2019 (the "Notes") that will bear interest at a per annum rate of 3.875%.

Rail Acquisition

On January 31, 2014, CIT acquired Paris-based Nacco SAS (Nacco), an independent full service railcar lessor in Europe. Leasing assets acquired totaled approximately \$650 million, which were acquired with existing secured debt, and include more than 9,500 railcars.

Revolving Credit Facility Amendment

On January 27, 2014, the Revolving Credit Facility was amended to reduce the total commitment amount from \$2.0 billion to \$1.5 billion and to extend the maturity date of the commitments to January 27, 2017. The total commitment amount now consists of a \$1.15 billion revolving loan tranche and a \$350 million revolving loan tranche that can also be utilized for issuance of letters of credit.

On the closing date, no amounts were drawn under the Revolving Credit Facility. However, there was approximately \$0.1 billion

utilized for the issuance of letters of credit. Any amounts drawn under the facility will be used for general corporate purposes.

The Revolving Credit Agreement is unsecured and is guaranteed by eight of the Company's domestic operating subsidiaries. The facility was amended to modify the covenant requiring a minimum guarantor asset coverage ratio and the criteria for calculating the ratio. The amended covenant requires a minimum guarantor asset coverage ratio ranging from 1.25:1.0 to 1.5:1.0 depending on the Company's long-term senior unsecured, non-credit enhanced debt rating.

Dividend Declared and Share Repurchase Authorization

On January 21, 2014, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.10 per share payable on February 28, 2014. On January 21, 2014, the Board of Directors approved the repurchase of up to \$300 million of common stock through December 31, 2014. In addition, the Board also approved the repurchase of an additional \$7 million of common stock, the amount that was unused at the expiration of the Company's prior share repurchase authorization.

Item 9: Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision of and with the participation of management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") as of December 31, 2013. Based on such evaluation, the principal executive officer and the principal financial officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management of CIT is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that: (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely

detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management of CIT, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013 using the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (1992). Management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (1992).

The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears herein.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING:

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None

PART THREE

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information called for by Item 10 is incorporated by reference from the information under the captions "Directors", "Corporate Governance" and "Executive Officers" in our Proxy Statement for our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information called for by Item 11 is incorporated by reference from the information under the captions "Director Compensation", "Executive Compensation", including "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" and "2014 Compensation Committee Report" in our Proxy Statement for our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information called for by Item 12 is incorporated by reference from the information under the caption "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" in our Proxy Statement for our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information called for by Item 13 is incorporated by reference from the information under the captions "Corporate Governance-Director Independence" and "Related Person Transactions Policy" in our Proxy Statement for our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information called for by Item 14 is incorporated by reference from the information under the caption "Proposal 2 — Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" in our Proxy Statement for our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders.

PART FOUR

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) The following documents are filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as part of this report (see *Item 8*):

1. The following financial statements of CIT and Subsidiaries:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.
 Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.
 Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.
 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.
 Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

2. All schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or because the required information appears in the Consolidated Financial Statements or the notes thereto.

(b) Exhibits

- 3.1 Third Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, dated December 8, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K filed December 9, 2009).
- 3.2 Amended and Restated By-laws of the Company, as amended through December 8, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Form 8-K filed December 9, 2009).
- 4.1 Indenture dated as of January 20, 2006 between CIT Group Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon (as successor to JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A.) for the issuance of senior debt securities (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form S-3 filed January 20, 2006).
- 4.2 First Supplemental Indenture dated as of February 13, 2007 between CIT Group Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon (as successor to JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A.) for the issuance of senior debt securities (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed on February 13, 2007).
- 4.3 Third Supplemental Indenture dated as of October 1, 2009, between CIT Group Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon (as successor to JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A.) relating to senior debt securities (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Form 8-K filed on October 7, 2009).
- 4.4 Fourth Supplemental Indenture dated as of October 16, 2009 between CIT Group Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon (as successor to JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A.) relating to senior debt securities (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed October 19, 2009).
- 4.5 Framework Agreement, dated July 11, 2008, among ABN AMRO Bank N.V., as arranger, Madeleine Leasing Limited, as initial borrower, CIT Aerospace International, as initial head lessee, and CIT Group Inc., as guarantor, as amended by the Deed of Amendment, dated July 19, 2010, among The Royal Bank of Scotland N.V. (f/k/a ABN AMRO Bank N.V.), as arranger, Madeleine Leasing Limited, as initial borrower, CIT Aerospace International, as initial head lessee, and CIT Group Inc., as guarantor, as supplemented by Letter Agreement No. 1 of 2010, dated July 19, 2010, among The Royal Bank of Scotland N.V., as arranger, CIT Aerospace International, as head lessee, and CIT Group Inc., as guarantor, as amended and supplemented by the Accession Deed, dated July 21, 2010, among The Royal Bank of Scotland N.V., as arranger, Madeleine Leasing Limited, as original borrower, and Jessica Leasing Limited, as acceding party, as supplemented by Letter Agreement No. 2 of 2010, dated July 29, 2010, among The Royal Bank of Scotland N.V., as arranger, CIT Aerospace International, as head lessee, and CIT Group Inc., as guarantor, relating to certain Export Credit Agency sponsored secured financings of aircraft and related assets (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.11 to Form 10-K filed March 10, 2011).
- 4.6 Form of All Parties Agreement among CIT Aerospace International, as head lessee, Madeleine Leasing Limited, as borrower and lessor, CIT Group Inc., as guarantor, various financial institutions, as original ECA lenders, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., Paris Branch, as French national agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., Niederlassung Deutschland, as German national agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., London Branch, as British national agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., London Branch, as ECA facility agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., London Branch, as security trustee, and CIT Aerospace International, as servicing agent, relating to certain Export Credit Agency sponsored secured financings of aircraft and related assets during the 2008 and 2009 fiscal years (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.12 to Form 10-K filed March 10, 2011).

- 4.7 Form of ECA Loan Agreement among Madeleine Leasing Limited, as borrower, various financial institutions, as original ECA lenders, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., Paris Branch, as French national agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., Niederlassung Deutschland, as German national agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., London Branch, as British national agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., London Branch, as ECA facility agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., London Branch, as security trustee, and CIT Aerospace International, as servicing agent, relating to certain Export Credit Agency sponsored secured financings of aircraft and related assets during the 2008 and 2009 fiscal years (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.13 to Form 10-K filed March 10, 2011).
- 4.8 Form of Aircraft Head Lease between Madeleine Leasing Limited, as lessor, and CIT Aerospace International, as head lessee, relating to certain Export Credit Agency sponsored secured financings of aircraft and related assets during the 2008 and 2009 fiscal years (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.14 to Form 10-K filed March 10, 2011).
- 4.9 Form of Proceeds and Intercreditor Deed among Madeleine Leasing Limited, as borrower and lessor, various financial institutions, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., Paris Branch, as French national agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., Niederlassung Deutschland, as German national agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., London Branch, as British national agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., London Branch, as ECA facility agent, ABN AMRO Bank N.V., London Branch, as security trustee, relating to certain Export Credit Agency sponsored secured financings of aircraft and related assets during the 2008 and 2009 fiscal years (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.15 to Form 10-K filed March 10, 2011).
- 4.10 Form of All Parties Agreement among CIT Aerospace International, as head lessee, Jessica Leasing Limited, as borrower and lessor, CIT Group Inc., as guarantor, various financial institutions, as original ECA lenders, Citibank International plc, as French national agent, Citibank International plc, as German national agent, Citibank International plc, as British national agent, The Royal Bank of Scotland N.V., London Branch, as ECA facility agent, The Royal Bank of Scotland N.V., London Branch, as security trustee, CIT Aerospace International, as servicing agent, and Citibank, N.A., as administrative agent, relating to certain Export Credit Agency sponsored secured financings of aircraft and related assets during the 2010 fiscal year (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.16 to Form 10-K filed March 10, 2011).
- 4.11 Form of ECA Loan Agreement among Jessica Leasing Limited, as borrower, various financial institutions, as original ECA lenders, Citibank International plc, as French national agent, Citibank International plc, as German national agent, Citibank International plc, as British national agent, The Royal Bank of Scotland N.V., London Branch, as ECA facility agent, The Royal Bank of Scotland N.V., London Branch, as security trustee, and Citibank, N.A., as administrative agent, relating to certain Export Credit Agency sponsored secured financings of aircraft and related assets during the 2010 fiscal year (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.17 to Form 10-K filed March 10, 2011).
- 4.12 Form of Aircraft Head Lease between Jessica Leasing Limited, as lessor, and CIT Aerospace International, as head lessee, relating to certain Export Credit Agency sponsored secured financings of aircraft and related assets during the 2010 fiscal year (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.18 to Form 10-K filed March 10, 2011).
- 4.13 Form of Proceeds and Intercreditor Deed among Jessica Leasing Limited, as borrower and lessor, various financial institutions, as original ECA lenders, Citibank International plc, as French national agent, Citibank International plc, as German national agent, Citibank International plc, as British national agent, The Royal Bank of Scotland N.V., London Branch, as ECA facility agent, The Royal Bank of Scotland N.V., London Branch, as security trustee, and Citibank, N.A., as administrative agent, relating to certain Export Credit Agency sponsored secured financings of aircraft and related assets during the 2010 fiscal year (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.19 to Form 10-K filed March 10, 2011).
- 4.14 Indenture, dated as of March 30, 2011, between CIT Group Inc. and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed June 30, 2011).
- 4.15 First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 30, 2011, between CIT Group Inc., the Guarantors named therein, and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as trustee (including the Form of 5.250% Note due 2014 and the Form of 6.625% Note due 2018) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K filed June 30, 2011).
- 4.16 Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 7, 2012, between CIT Group Inc., the Guarantors named therein, and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as trustee (including the Form of Notes) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 of Form 8-K dated February 13, 2012).
- 4.17 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of February 7, 2012, among CIT Group Inc., the Guarantors named therein, and JP Morgan Securities LLC, as representative for the initial purchasers named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Form 8-K dated February 13, 2012).
- 4.18 Amended and Restated Revolving Credit and Guaranty Agreement, dated as of January 27, 2014 among CIT Group Inc., certain subsidiaries of CIT Group Inc., as Guarantors, the Lenders party thereto from time to time and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed January 28, 2014).

- 4.19 Indenture, dated as of March 15, 2012, among CIT Group Inc., Wilmington Trust, National Association, as trustee, and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as paying agent, security registrar and authenticating agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Form 8-K filed March 16, 2012).
- 4.20 First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 15, 2012, among CIT Group Inc., Wilmington Trust, National Association, as trustee, and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as paying agent, security registrar and authenticating agent (including the Form of 5.25% Senior Unsecured Note due 2018) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of Form 8-K filed March 16, 2012).
- 4.21 Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 4, 2012, among CIT Group Inc., Wilmington Trust, National Association, as trustee, and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as paying agent, security registrar and authenticating agent (including the Form of 5.000% Senior Unsecured Note due 2017 and the Form of 5.375% Senior Unsecured Note due 2020) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of Form 8-K filed May 4, 2012).
- 4.22 Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 3, 2012, among CIT Group Inc., Wilmington Trust, National Association, as trustee, and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as paying agent, security registrar and authenticating agent (including the Form of 4.25% Senior Unsecured Note due 2017 and the Form of 5.00% Senior Unsecured Note due 2022) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K filed August 3, 2012).
- 4.23 Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 1, 2013, among CIT Group Inc., Wilmington Trust, National Association, as trustee, and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as paying agent, security registrar and authenticating agent (including the Form of 5.00% Senior Unsecured Note due 2023) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K filed August 1, 2013).
- 4.24 Fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 19, 2014, among CIT Group Inc., Wilmington Trust, National Association, as trustee, and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as paying agent, security registrar and authenticating agent (including the Form of 3.875% Senior Unsecured Note due 2019) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K filed February 19, 2014).
- 10.1* Amended and Restated CIT Group Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan (as amended and restated effective December 10, 2009) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form S-8 filed January 11, 2010).
- 10.2* CIT Group Inc. Supplemental Retirement Plan (As Amended and Restated Effective as of January 1, 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to Form 10-Q filed May 12, 2008).
- 10.3* CIT Group Inc. Supplemental Savings Plan (As Amended and Restated Effective as of January 1, 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to Form 10-Q filed May 12, 2008).
- 10.4* New Executive Retirement Plan of CIT Group Inc. (As Amended and Restated as of January 1, 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 to Form 10-Q filed May 12, 2008).
- 10.5* Letter Agreement, effective February 8, 2010, between CIT Group Inc. and John A. Thain (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed February 8, 2010).
- 10.6* Form of CIT Group Inc. Three Year Stock Salary Award Agreement, dated February 8, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K filed February 8, 2010).
- 10.7 Written Agreement, dated August 12, 2009, between CIT Group Inc. and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Form 8-K filed August 13, 2009).
- 10.8* Letter Agreement, dated June 2, 2010, between CIT Group Inc. and Scott T. Parker (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to Form 8-K filed July 6, 2010).
- 10.9* Form of CIT Group Inc. Long-term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Retention Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2010).
- 10.10* Form of CIT Group Inc. Long-term Incentive Plan Stock Option Award Agreement (One Year Vesting) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 to Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2010).
- 10.11* Form of CIT Group Inc. Long-term Incentive Plan Stock Option Award Agreement (Three Year Vesting) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 to Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2010).
- 10.12* Form of CIT Group Inc. Long-term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Award Agreement (Three Year Vesting) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 to Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2010).
- 10.13* Form of CIT Group Inc. Long-term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Director Award Agreement (Initial Grant) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.39 to Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2010).

- 10.14* Form of CIT Group Inc. Long-term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Director Award Agreement (Annual Grant) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.40 to Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2010).
- 10.15 Form of Tax Agreement by and between Tyco International Ltd. and CIT (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed June 12, 2002).
- 10.16* Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of May 7, 2008, between CIT Group Inc. and C. Jeffrey Knittel (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 to Form 10-K filed March 2, 2009).
- 10.17* Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated December 22, 2008, between CIT Group Inc. and C. Jeffrey Knittel (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 to Form 10-K filed March 2, 2009).
- 10.18* Letter Agreement, dated April 21, 2010, between CIT Group Inc. and Nelson J. Chai (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 of Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2011).
- 10.19* Letter Agreement, dated April 8, 2010, between CIT Group Inc. and Lisa K. Polsky (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 of Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2011).
- 10.20* Form of CIT Group Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (with Good Reason) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 of Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2011).
- 10.21* Form of CIT Group Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (without Good Reason) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 of Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2011).
- 10.22** Airbus A320 NEO Family Aircraft Purchase Agreement, dated as of July 28, 2011, between Airbus S.A.S. and C.I.T. Leasing Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 of Form 10-Q/A filed February 1, 2012).
- 10.23** Amended and Restated Confirmation, dated June 28, 2012, between CIT TRS Funding B.V. and Goldman Sachs International, and Credit Support Annex and ISDA Master Agreement and Schedule, each dated October 26, 2011, between CIT TRS Funding B.V. and Goldman Sachs International, evidencing a \$625 billion securities based financing facility.
- 10.24** Third Amended and Restated Confirmation, dated June 28, 2012, between CIT Financial Ltd. and Goldman Sachs International, and Amended and Restated ISDA Master Agreement Schedule, dated October 26, 2011 between CIT Financial Ltd. and Goldman Sachs International, evidencing a \$1.5 billion securities based financing facility.
- 10.25** ISDA Master Agreement and Credit Support Annex, each dated June 6, 2008, between CIT Financial Ltd. and Goldman Sachs International related to a \$1.5 billion securities based financing facility (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to Form 10-Q filed August 11, 2008).
- 10.26* Letter Agreement, dated February 24, 2012, between CIT Group Inc. and Andrew T. Brandman (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of Form 8-K filed April 12, 2012).
- 10.27 Form of CIT Group Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan Performance Stock Unit Award Agreement (with Good Reason) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 to Form 10-K filed May 10, 2012).
- 10.28 Form of CIT Group Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan Performance Stock Unit Award Agreement (without Good Reason) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 to Form 10-K filed May 10, 2012).
- 10.29* Assignment and extension of Employment Agreement, dated February 6, 2013, by and among CIT Group Inc., C. Jeffrey Knittel and C.I.T. Leasing Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to Form 10-Q filed November 6, 2013).
- 10.30* Form of CIT Group Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 to Form 10-K filed March 1, 2013).
- 10.31* Form of CIT Group Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (Executives with Employment Agreements) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 to Form 10-K filed March 1, 2013) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 to Form 10-Q filed November 6, 2013).
- 10.32* CIT Employee Severance Plan (Effective as of November 6, 2013).
- 12.1 CIT Group Inc. and Subsidiaries Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
- 21.1 Subsidiaries of CIT Group Inc.
- 23.1 Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.
- 24.1 Powers of Attorney.
- 31.1 Certification of John A. Thain pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Commission, as promulgated pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act and Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

31.2	Certification of Scott T. Parker pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Commission, as promulgated pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act and Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1***	Certification of John A. Thain pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2***	Certification of Scott T. Parker pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document (Includes the following financial information included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (ii) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.)
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.

* Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

** Portions of this exhibit have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission as part of an application for granting confidential treatment pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

*** This information is furnished and not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and is not incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

February 28, 2014

CIT GROUP INC.

By: /s/ John A. Thain

John A. Thain
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Director

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on February 28, 2014 in the capacities indicated below.

NAME

/s/ John A. Thain

John A. Thain
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Director

Ellen R. Alemany*

Ellen R. Alemany
Director

Michael J. Emblar*

Michael J. Emblar
Director

William M. Freeman*

William M. Freeman
Director

David M. Moffett*

David M. Moffett
Director

R. Brad Oates*

R. Brad Oates
Director

Marianne Miller Parrs*

Marianne Miller Parrs
Director

John A. Ryan*

John R. Ryan
Director

NAME

Gerald Rosenfeld*

Gerald Rosenfeld
Director

Sheila A. Stamps*

Sheila A. Stamps
Director

Seymour Sternberg*

Seymour Sternberg
Director

Peter J. Tobin*

Peter J. Tobin
Director

Laura S. Unger*

Laura S. Unger
Director

/s/ Scott T. Parker

Scott T. Parker
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

/s/ E. Carol Hayles

E. Carol Hayles
Executive Vice President and Controller

/s/ James P. Shanahan

James P. Shanahan
Senior Vice President,
Chief Regulatory Counsel Attorney-in-Fact

* Original powers of attorney authorizing Robert J. Ingato, Christopher H. Paul, and James P. Shanahan and each of them to sign on behalf of the above-mentioned directors are held by the Corporation and available for examination by the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Item 302(b) of Regulation S-T.

EXHIBIT 12.1

CIT Group Inc. and Subsidiaries Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,				Predecessor CIT 2009
	CIT				
	2013	2012	2011	2010	
Earnings:					
Net income (loss) available (attributable) to common shareholders	\$ 675.7	\$ (592.3)	\$ 14.8	\$ 521.3	\$ (3.9)
(Benefit) provision for income taxes – continuing operations	92.5	133.8	158.6	245.7	(147.6)
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations, before provision (benefit) for income taxes	768.2	(458.5)	173.4	767.0	(151.5)
Fixed Charges:					
Interest and debt expenses on indebtedness	1,138.0	2,897.4	2,794.4	3,079.7	2,664.6
Interest factor: one-third of rentals on real and personal properties	7.8	8.2	9.3	23.2	17.5
Total fixed charges for computation of ratio	1,145.8	2,905.6	2,803.7	3,102.9	2,682.1
Total earnings before provision for income taxes and fixed charges	\$1,914.0	\$2,447.1	\$2,977.1	\$3,869.9	\$2,530.6
Ratios of earnings to fixed charges	1.67x	⁽¹⁾	1.06x	1.25x	⁽¹⁾

(1) Earnings were insufficient to cover fixed charges by \$458.5 million and \$151.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

EXHIBIT 31.1

CERTIFICATIONS

I, John A. Thain, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of CIT Group Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 28, 2014

/s/ John A. Thain

John A. Thain
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
CIT Group Inc.

EXHIBIT 31.2

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Scott T. Parker, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of CIT Group Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 28, 2014

/s/ Scott T. Parker

Scott T. Parker
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
CIT Group Inc.

EXHIBIT 32.1

**Certification Pursuant to Section 18 U.S.C. Section 1350,
As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report of CIT Group Inc. ("CIT") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, John A. Thain, the Chief Executive Officer of CIT, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. ss.1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that;

(i) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(ii) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of CIT.

/s/ John A. Thain

Dated: February 28, 2014

John A. Thain
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
CIT Group Inc.

EXHIBIT 32.2

**Certification Pursuant to Section 18 U.S.C. Section 1350,
As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report of CIT Group Inc. ("CIT") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Scott T. Parker, the Chief Financial Officer of CIT, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. ss.1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that;

(i) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(ii) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of CIT.

Dated: February 28, 2014

/s/ Scott T. Parker

Scott T. Parker
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
CIT Group Inc.

Corporate Information

GLOBAL HEADQUARTERS

11 West 42nd Street
New York, NY 10036
Telephone: (212) 461-5200

CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS

One CIT Drive
Livingston, NJ 07039
Telephone: (973) 740-5000

Number of employees:
3,240 as of December 31, 2013

Number of beneficial shareholders:
131,238 as of February 10, 2014

EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

John A. Thain
Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer

Nelson J. Chai
President of CIT Group Inc. and North
American Commercial Finance, and
Chairman and CEO of CIT Bank

Andrew T. Brandman
Executive Vice President and
Chief Administrative Officer

Robert J. Ingato
Executive Vice President,
General Counsel and Secretary

C. Jeffrey Knittel
President, Transportation & International
Finance

Scott T. Parker
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

Lisa K. Polsky
Executive Vice President and
Chief Risk Officer

Margaret D. Tutwiler
Executive Vice President,
Communications &
Government Relations

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

John A. Thain
Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer
of CIT Group Inc.

Ellen R. Alemany^{1M}
Retired Chairman and Chief Executive
Officer of Citizens Financial Group, Inc.
and Head of RBS Americas

Michael J. Emblar^{1M, 3M}
Former Chief Investment Officer of
Franklin Mutual Advisors LLC

William M. Freeman^{2M}
Executive Chairman of General
Waters Inc.

David M. Moffett^{1M}
Consultant to Bridgewater Associates, LP,
Former Chief Executive Officer of the
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation

R. Brad Oates^{4M}
Chairman and Managing Partner
of Stone Advisors, LP

Marianne Miller Parrs^{1C, 5M}
Retired Executive Vice President
and Chief Financial Officer of
International Paper Company

Gerald Rosenfeld^{4C}
Vice Chairman of Lazard Ltd.

John R. Ryan^{2M, 3M, 6}
President and Chief Executive Officer
of the Center for Creative Leadership,
Retired Vice Admiral of the U.S. Navy

Sheila A. Stamps^{4M, 5M}
Former Executive Vice President of
Corporate Strategy and Investor Relations
at Dreambuilder Investments LLC

Seymour Sternberg^{2C}
Retired Chairman of the Board
and Chief Executive Officer of
New York Life Insurance Company

Peter J. Tobin^{4M, 5C}
Retired Special Assistant to the President
of St. John's University and Retired Chief
Financial Officer of The Chase Manhattan
Corporation

Laura S. Unger^{3C, 5M}
Former Commissioner of the U.S.
Securities and Exchange Commission

¹ Audit Committee

² Compensation Committee

³ Nominating and Governance Committee

⁴ Risk Management Committee

⁵ Regulatory Compliance Committee

⁶ Lead Director

^C Committee Chairperson

^M Committee Member

INVESTOR INFORMATION

Stock Exchange Information

In the United States, CIT common stock
is listed on the New York Stock Exchange
under the ticker symbol "CIT."

Shareowner Services

For shareowner services, including
address changes, security transfers and
general shareowner inquiries, please
contact Computershare.

By writing:
Computershare Shareowner Services LLC
P.O. Box 43006
Providence, RI 02940-3006

By visiting:
[https://www-us.computershare.com/
investor/Contact](https://www-us.computershare.com/investor/Contact)

By calling:
(800) 851-9677 U.S. & Canada
(201) 680-6578 Other countries
(800) 231-5469 Telecommunication
device for the hearing impaired

For general shareowner information
and online access to your shareowner
account, visit Computershare's website:
computershare.com

Form 10-K and Other Reports

A copy of Form 10-K and all quarterly
filings on Form 10-Q, Board Committee
Charters, Corporate Governance
Guidelines and the Code of Business
Conduct are available without charge at
cit.com, or upon written request to:

CIT Investor Relations
One CIT Drive
Livingston, NJ 07039

For additional information,
please call (866) 54CITIR or
email investor.relations@cit.com.

INVESTOR INQUIRIES

Barbara Callahan
Senior Vice President
(973) 740-5058
barbara.callahan@cit.com
cit.com/investor

MEDIA INQUIRIES

C. Curtis Ritter
Senior Vice President
(973) 740-5390
curt.ritter@cit.com
cit.com/media

The NYSE requires that the Chief Executive Officer of a listed company certify annually that he or she was not aware of any violation by the company of the NYSE's corporate governance listing standards. Such certification was made by John A. Thain on June 10, 2013.

Certifications by the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of CIT pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 have been filed as exhibits to CIT's Annual Report on Form 10-K.



cit.com